

East Asian Diaspora and Its Screen Representation in Transnational Cinema

Yuxuan Li

Faculty of arts, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, 3010, Australia

Abstract: This paper will study the screen representations of East Asian diaspora communities in transnational cinema and explore how displaced filmmakers and their works address the conflict among cultural identity, national origin, and the requirements of host countries. Based on the foundation provided by diaspora studies and transnational film scholarship, this paper will explore how cinematic language constructs, questions and sometimes overturn established stereotypes of East Asian subjects in Western-produced and co-produced films. Over time, the type of representation in early Hollywood films has moved away from stereotypes and now carries an accent that reflects the life experience of displacement. The structure of transnational production and its effect on the narrative agency and visual self-expression of East Asian diasporic filmmakers have also been studied. Contemporary transnational cinema is increasingly offering a platform for counter-hegemonic representation, but structural inequalities in the global film industry continue to restrict the scope of permissible diasporic imaginaries on screen.

Keywords: East Asian Diaspora, Transnational Cinema, Screen Representation, Cultural Identity, Accented Cinema, Diaspora Studies.

1. Introduction

For a long time, the representation of East Asian communities in world cinema has been shaped by asymmetrical power relations among the dominant Western production industry and diasporic populations, and their cultural narratives have often been filtered through the assumptions of the majority gaze. With the formation of large communities of East Asians in North America, Europe and Australia, issues of cinematic visibility, self-representation and the politics of cultural authenticity have gradually become subjects of study in film studies and diaspora research. The above pictures are not passive reflections of social reality; instead, they are active spaces for cultural creation in which ideological constructs of race, ethnicity and nationality are constantly being discussed and reproduced.

Transnational cinema offers a very fertile analytical system for studying the above phenomena. Unlike the classical model of national cinema that assumes a stable alignment between filmmaking practice, language and territory, transnational cinema includes the growing body of films made in multiple national contexts through international co-production agreements, expatriate filmmaking and diasporic creative practice. East Asian diaspora cinema is at the intersection of these two forces and engages simultaneously with the visual culture of its country of origin and the representational conventions of the host country.

The first problem of this combination is very serious. Diasporic filmmakers face multiple pressures: the demand from audiences and critics in their countries of origin for cultural authenticity, the commercial needs of distribution in host countries, and the political risks associated with representing diasporic identity on screen for diasporic communities who are observing such portrayals for the first time. The above pressures are not independent; instead, they work together to shape the production system and aesthetic standards of the world's film industry.

This paper will discuss the way in which East Asian diaspora communities have been depicted in transnational

cinema and how these portrayals have changed over time. Section 2 provides the theoretical basis for the study of diaspora and transnational cinema. Section 3 presents the development history of East Asian screen representations in Western cinema. Section 4: Aesthetics and narrative devices in East Asian Diasporic Cinema. Section 5: The transnational production system and its impact on representation. Section 6 presents the current situation and future prospects of East Asian diaspora cinema in the form of reflections.

2. Theoretical Frameworks: Diaspora, Identity, and Transnational Cinema

The foundation of all research on the diaspora and film must be Hall's first idea of cultural identity as an evolving process rather than an immutable quality. Hall [1] proposed that the diasporic cultural identity should be viewed not as a stable, realized fact, but as a process of production that is never finished and always ongoing. This processual conception can be directly applied to the cinema of East Asian diaspora communities: films produced by and about displaced East Asian subjects do not transparently reflect a pre-given cultural essence but actively construct identity through the selective use of memory, narrative and image. Hall frames diaspora as being formed through difference and rupture rather than similarity and continuity, and thus, diasporic films can be read as spaces of identity negotiation rather than displays of identity.

Naficy [4] expanded this concept system to form a full-fledged theory of accented cinema and proposed that it is a type of film shaped by the sensory and psychological experience of displacement. Naficy believes that accent films carry the stylistic features of the exiles' or diasporas' creators, such as an ambivalent attitude towards language, an obsession with themes of border crossing and return, and a formal aesthetic that resists the smooth narrative integration of classical Hollywood cinema. The above idea of accentuation is especially suitable for studying East Asian diaspora cinema, where filmmakers frequently work across languages, employ

non-linear temporality to show the fragmented lives of the displaced, and create visual spaces that combine the homelands and host countries.

Lu [3] has built a system of transnational Chinese cinema at the level of production structure and political economy to explore how national film traditions and the demands of global commerce are integrated. Lu's formulation moved beyond the simple opposition of national and diasporic cinema to show that Chinese-language films produced throughout mainland China, Taiwan, Hong Kong and the wider diaspora are all constituted by the tensions of cultural nationalism, commodification and transnational capital flow.

Higbee and Lim [6] have recently provided all-encompassing mappings of the transnational cinema concept, identifying three primary critical applications: a supranational type focused on film movements crossing national borders, a production-based mode centered on international co-production and distribution networks, and a critically transnational type that investigates power, agency and representation in cross-national filmmaking. A demand for critical transnationalism in the study of diaspora cinema has emerged, and attention should be paid to the political aspect of cultural production rather than solely celebrating borderless hybridity.

3. Historical Trajectory of East Asian Screen Representation

The history of East Asian representation in Western cinema is also the history of racial stereotypes. Marchetti [2] provided an in-depth study of how Hollywood has created East Asian figures by linking themes of racial threat and erotic desire; he identified several archetypal characters, such as the enigmatic villain, the exotic temptress and the devoted servant, etc., that served ideological purposes to address fears of immigration, racial integration and national identity. Stereotypes are ways of depicting life, and when they are applied to racial groups, they can serve to naturalize racial hierarchy in the visual culture of dominant society.

The continuing presence of these representational legacies in the present day has been extensively documented by scholars of criticism. Han [9] has investigated how Chinese American families are portrayed in transnational Chinese films and how the experience of the diaspora redefines diasporic identity through history, education, family and immigration. Critically speaking from a transnational perspective, this identity negotiation should also be examined in the context of the production and dissemination structures that shape the visibility of diasporic narratives [5, 6].

Zhang [5] has studied this phenomenon in the context of Chinese national cinema and shown that the overseas dissemination of Chinese films, including those made by filmmakers from the Chinese diaspora, has been significantly shaped by the reception system of Western film festivals and distribution companies. The foreign critics and distributors in the West have repeatedly demanded an "exotic" aesthetic for Asian films to gain international attention, and diasporic filmmakers are caught in a difficult predicament: to achieve representation on the world stage, they have often had to adopt a form of strategic self-exoticization that inadvertently meets orientalist expectations.

The ideological mechanisms by which stereotyping occurs in film are not fixed but change according to the times and places of political upheaval and migration. The post-1965

wave of East Asian immigration to North America gave rise to new stereotypical formations-the model minority narrative-and, replacing earlier discourses of racial threat, established an ostensibly positive yet equally restrictive construction of East Asian subjects as industrious, academically successful, and socially compliant. In the language of film, this stereotype serves to establish a disciplinary function by presenting an image of acceptable minority behavior and thus disciplining other minority groups; it limits East Asian subjects to a restricted role devoid of political agency, creative individuality, and the full range of diasporic experiences. Therefore, a typical criticism of diaspora cinema does not seek to substitute negative images with positive ones; instead, it hopes to discover the social functions that stereotypical representations, both positive and negative in character, serve in dominant culture.

4. Aesthetic and Narrative Strategies of East Asian Diasporic Filmmakers

Notwithstanding the above structural deficiencies, East Asian diasporic filmmakers have developed all kinds of aesthetic and narrative approaches to contest or renovate the representation systems propagated by mainstream cinema. Among the above strategies, one is the construction of an autobiographical or semi-autobiographical system of narration that provides a specific, historically grounded diasporic experience for the film's perspective, rather than offering a general cultural typology. Hanley [10] examined a body of Chinese diasporic films and found that autobiography can be employed by filmmakers to establish a narrative voice of resistance against the assimilatory pressures of both ethnic essentialism and cultural assimilation.

Another type of feature in East Asian diaspora films is linguistic diversity. Films that switch smoothly between Mandarin, Cantonese, Japanese, Korean, English and others can create an acoustic world in line with the linguistic crossroads of diaspora life. This multi-lingual aesthetics does not pursue a single, unified cultural position but rather proposes that identity is inherently diverse and contextually negotiated. De Man [7] has pointed out that the linguistic and sonic attributes of diaspora films provide one of the primary areas for theoretical investigation, and thus the system of critical thought for diaspora films should also take into account how sound and language construct cultural positions in an equivalent manner to visual elements.

Temporal complexity offers a third type of aesthetics. Many East Asian diaspora films use a non-linear narrative system, prolonged flashbacks and dream-like temporal overlaps to depict people's lives in various countries and eras at the same time. Therefore, temporal heterogeneity does not accept the story of linear assimilation in diasporic cinema that depicts the diasporic subject moving smoothly from alienation to integration; rather, it presents an ambivalent structure that maintains the tension and contradiction of diasporic life without resolution. The resulting aesthetics are neither fully legible according to the conventions of the country of origin nor those of the host country; they have formed an independent formal area for diasporic culture.

5. Production Structures and Representational Constraints

Analysis of individual films and their ways of representation should be carried out in the context of the

larger political economy of cross-border film production. Foreign co-production agreements are now necessary conditions for funding overseas Chinese diaspora projects in East Asia and have imposed considerable restrictions on narrative content and representation. Co-production agreements generally set forth minimum requirements for the nationality of cast and crew, the proportion of production work in each partner country, and occasionally the linguistic and cultural content of the film. The above regulatory systems reflect the interests of the national film industry more than those of diasporic filmmakers, and when applied to diaspora cinema, they often result in representative effects that do not align with the cultural self-expression of the communities depicted.

At present, scholars have expanded the analysis of transnational production systems to cover new important channels for distribution and financing, such as digital platforms and streaming services. The spread of subscription-based streaming platforms around the world has created a new channel for East Asian diasporic content to reach overseas audiences without the traditional restrictions of theatrical distribution. Xian [8] has investigated how transnational Chinese-language films address problems of cultural identity and global dissemination, and pointed out that overseas circulation can change the perception of ethnic groups and culture.

The critical discourse of East Asian diaspora cinema should also address the internal diversity of the East Asian diasporic experience. The category of 'East Asian diaspora' includes communities of Chinese, Japanese, Korean and other nationalities, spread across various host countries, and have undergone different histories of migration, settlement and cultural assimilation. The generalizing nature of the umbrella category may not reflect the specific circumstances of all the communities that have been integrated and adapted to different extents. Effective presentation of the screen should address this internal diversity and avoid creating a single, monolithic East Asian diasporic subject that ignores significant variations in experience.

Recently, some scholars have also begun to explore whether the experiences of second- and third-generation diasporic filmmakers differ from those of first-generation migrants in terms of their connection to the culture of origin. While first-generation diaspora films often focus on the experience of initial displacement and the longing to return, later-generation diasporic cinema frequently explores issues of hyphenated identity, belonging, and the boundaries of ethnic identification within host-country societies. Al-Maliki [11] believes that transnational cinema fosters cultural hybridity, disrupts the notion of a singular national identity, and is now being shaped through co-productions and digital distribution platforms.

A long-standing structural problem of the world's film industry is the conflict between economic feasibility and expressive goals, and diasporic filmmakers have sought various degrees of freedom in the face of this conflict. Films that gain considerable attention at the festivals for their exploration of diasporic identity often come under pressure from distribution companies to reduce ambiguity and contradiction in a way that appeals more to a wider audience. Commercial mediation of diasporic representation is not an external imposition; rather, filmmakers often internalize market demands and thus engage in self-censorship or aesthetic compromise that goes unnoticed by the public and

critics. Therefore, in order to understand the conditions under which genuine representational innovation is possible in East Asian diaspora cinema, attention should be paid not only to the films themselves but also to the institutional and economic environment in which they are conceived, financed, produced and distributed.

6. Conclusion

Based on the analysis in this paper, the screen representations of East Asian diaspora communities in transnational cinema are neither uniformly stereotypical nor freely expressive of diasporic creative agency. The path of representation has moved from the overt racial coding of early Hollywood orientalism to the complex aesthetic negotiations in mid-century diasporic filmmaking, and now stands at the contested site of contemporary transnational co-production; however, this development is not a straightforward progression. At all times, the prospects for diasporic self-representation have been shaped and limited by the structural conditions of the world film industry.

The theoretical systems that have been introduced in this paper, such as the ideas of accented cinema, critical transnationalism and processual cultural identity, will be used to examine these phenomena. They are most effective when used together to conduct analyses at different levels, such as individual aesthetics of film, the political economy of production, and the cultural politics of communities. Any framework that gives preference to one of these levels at the expense of the others may be aestheticizing questions with political roots or reducing creative practice to a mechanical expression of structural forces.

With the spread of digital production technology and streaming platforms in the future, East Asian diasporic filmmakers will have better access to production resources and a global audience. It is unknown whether such access results in genuine transformative representations, and various factors have contributed to this gap, including the creative choices of individual filmmakers, cultural policies, industry structure, and conditions for diasporic screen expression. The continuous development of a stringent, politically conscious study in East Asian diaspora cinema is required to ensure that the expansion of representative access is accompanied by an increase in the quality and critical depth of those representations.

References

- [1] Hall, S. (1990). Cultural identity and diaspora. In J. Rutherford (Ed.), *Identity: Community, culture, difference* (pp. 222–237). Lawrence & Wishart.
- [2] Marchetti, G. (1993). *Romance and the yellow peril: Race, sex, and discursive strategies in Hollywood fiction*. University of California Press.
- [3] Lu, S. H. (Ed.). (1997). *Transnational Chinese cinemas: Identity, nationhood, gender*. University of Hawaii Press.
- [4] Naficy, H. (2001). *An accented cinema: Exilic and diasporic filmmaking*. Princeton University Press.
- [5] Zhang, Y. (2004). *Chinese national cinema*. Routledge.
- [6] Higbee, W., & Lim, S. H. (2010). Concepts of transnational cinema: Towards a critical transnationalism in film studies. *Transnational Cinemas*, 1(1), 7–21.

- [7] De Man, A. (2023). Reframing diaspora cinema: Towards a theoretical framework. *Alphaville: Journal of Film and Screen Media*, (25), 24–39.
- [8] Xian, L. (2024). Ethnicity and global dissemination in transnational Chinese-language cinema. *Frontiers in Art Research*, 6(7), 28–33.
- [9] Han, C. (2018). Negotiating identity in the diasporic space: Transnational Chinese cinema and Chinese Americans. *Continuum*, 32(2), 224–238.
- [10] Hanley, D. (2013). Chinese diasporic films: A case study in transnational cinema [Master's thesis]. Concordia University.
- [11] Al-Maliki, M. S. S. (2025). Cultural bridges in film: Evolving perspectives of transnational cinema. *Frontiers in Communication*, 10, 1614642.