The Impact of Student-Centered Learning on Academic Motivation and Achievement: A Comparative Research between Traditional Instruction and Student-Centered Approach

Lichao Wang *

Kenneth P. Dietrich School of Arts and Sciences, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, 15213, United States

* Corresponding author: LIW163@pitt.edu

Abstract. This research explores the shift in the modern educational system towards student-centered learning based on its comparison to the traditional educational methods in standard classroom settings, emphasizing its distinctive factors and significance in creating intrinsic motivation and academic capabilities essential for success. The research underlines the crucial part that student-centered learning plays in developing intellectual capacity and intrinsic drive, both of which are necessary for academic success. The study, grounded in the theoretical underpinnings of creative pedagogy, emphasizes the value of student-centered learning while simultaneously exploring the impact of outside influences, particularly educators' perspectives, attitudes, teaching methods, and evaluation procedures. In order to fill in any gaps, the research explores the complex interplay of these factors within the framework of student-centered learning. The study urges the evolution of instructional strategies to fit the needs of the modern learning environment by emphasizing the importance of embracing and putting into practice student-centered tactics. The study reviews earlier research on student-centered learning and discusses how it might improve student motivation and performance. Additionally, it analyzes the methodology and theoretical framework that support student-centered approaches, including elements like personalization, active learning, and self-directed learning. It further states the importance of generating a supportive learning environment for students with a student-centered approach, emphasizing autonomy, motivation, and relatedness.

Keywords: Student-centered learning, traditional education, academic motivation, academic achievement, innovative pedagogy.

1. Introduction

The paradigm modifications toward student-centered learning in the field of modern education have caused an extensive review of traditional educational approaches. This academic study explores the distinguishing qualities of student-centered learning from traditional teaching strategies, emphasizing its critical role in developing intellectual ability and the internal motivation that fuels academic achievement. A review of the theoretical foundations for student-centered learning as an innovative pedagogical strategy forms the basis of this study [1]. While the body of research already in existence emphasizes the importance of student-centered learning, there is still a crucial need to address the many ways in which external factors, particularly educators' views, attitudes, teaching strategies, and assessment practices, have an impact. This study explores the complex interactions between these variables and the effectiveness of student-centered learning in an attempt to narrow this gap. This study clarifies the need for the widespread acceptance and adoption of student-centered learning techniques, which is based on the idea that the evolution of educational methods is a challenging but essential task. This study will introduce previous research on student-centered learning and expand on how this method increases academic motivation and achievement. It will then discuss the theoretical framework and methodology in innovative pedagogy, specifically student-centered ones, such as personalization, active learning, self-directed learning, etc.

2. Comparative Analysis on Academic Motivation between Traditional Instruction and Student-Centered Approach

2.1. Traditional Instructions and Motivation Factors

The Self-Determination Theory (SDT), which offers a thorough investigation of motivating factors and their impact on human behavior within the framework of educational approaches, is explained by Deci et al. This theory explains how human motivation affects the dynamics of traditional educational systems and their inherent complexity. It highlights the distinction between self-determined and controlled types of intentional regulation, introducing the idea of intentional vs. nonintentional behaviors. In this context, self-determined behaviors are motivated by personal will and internal support, whereas outside forces influence controlled actions. This distinction has significant educational implications because it explains how motivating factors and the effectiveness of teaching strategies intersect. The theory also presents the idea of fundamental psychological needs, which include autonomy, relatedness, and competence. These inherent requirements are proposed as significant incentives for motivation, affecting the learning process and energy of behavior. The theory's distinction between intrinsic and extrinsic motivation deepens our understanding of conventional educational approaches. It emphasizes the value of building intrinsic motivation, which is demonstrated by engaging in activities for their intrinsic motivation. The study sheds light on the complexity of human motivation while also provoking thought about how educational practices align with these core psychological requirements, ultimately advancing the conversation about incorporating student-centered methodologies into traditional models.

Delci et al. also thoroughly analyzes the complex relationship that exists between motivation and academic success in the setting of traditional teaching strategies. It emphasizes how crucial self-determined motivation is in shaping several facets of students' educational experiences. The article provides various empirical evidence demonstrating the relationship between self-determined motivation and academic success, especially across a range of age groups, from elementary to college students. It has extensively investigated how self-determined motivation affects academic achievement, conceptual understanding, persistence, and personal adjustment. The discussion goes into greater detail about the importance of social-contextual factors on motivation and educational results, emphasizing how the environment's autonomy-supportive or controlling nature can either promote or detract from self-determined types of motivation. Notably, the article highlights how crucial it is to meet people's basic psychological requirements of autonomy, competence, and relatedness to promote self-determined motivation. The results of numerous research constantly highlight the crucial role that an environment that supports autonomy plays in fostering internal drive and integrated internalization. Furthermore, the text emphasizes educators and educational systems need to evaluate their practices and organizational frameworks critically. It offers insights into how rewards, evaluations, and outside pressures can promote self-determined motivation and successful educational outcomes. In order to create a more supportive learning environment that fosters academic success and personal well-being, the study provided here emphasizes the importance of integrating conventional educational techniques with SDT [1].

2.2. Student-Centered Learning and Motivation Enhancing Factors

The analysis of developmental concerns and elements that increase motivation explained by M. Weimer is well aligned with student-centered learning. Customizing educational experiences to fit each learner's requirements, preferences, and developmental stages are critical to student-centered learning. "Learner-Centered Teaching: Five Key Changes to Practice " emphasizes how only some faculty members understand developmental concerns and the importance of teaching strategies that support students' growth and development as independent learners. A proper structure for understanding how children change from being dependent to self-directed learners is provided by the stages of evolution described by Grow. This growth process is gradual, punctuated by discoveries and growth spurts. The study by M. Weimer also emphasizes that learning development takes place

alongside other maturation processes, such as intellectual development and interpersonal maturity. In order to provide assignments and experiences that challenge students' thinking, foster intellectual development, and increase their sense of autonomy and self-direction, Student-Centered Learning should consider these interconnected developmental areas. Educators can create a more productive and comprehensive learning environment that supports students' motivation, independence, and growth by incorporating developmental concerns into instructional design [2].

By referencing the ideas presented in Maryellen Weimer's Learner-Centered Teaching, Wright G. explores the topic of student-centered learning and how it is implemented in college classrooms. Weimer's work is based on the idea that traditional instructor-centered teaching methods must give an alternative to those encouraging students to take an active role in their education. Weimer outlines five crucial areas where this transition is most noticeable: the power dynamic, the function of the content, the teacher's position, the responsibility for learning, and the goals and evaluation procedures. Wright's study examines classroom innovations, highlighting teachers' successful implementation of student-centered techniques. Wright emphasizes the importance of involving students in decision-making, changing the emphasis from merely covering content to fostering skills, changing the teacher's role from "sage on the stage" to "guide on the side," encouraging student responsibility for their learning, and redefining evaluation as a means of promoting education instead of just assigning grades. The essay's main objective is to persuade educators to adopt learner-centered teaching methods and to read as much of the wealth of pedagogical knowledge as possible to facilitate this transformation [3].

Problem-based learning (PBL), which was first introduced to the McMaster Medical School in the mid-1960s, is now an accepted teaching strategy in the field of healthcare education. Small group lessons are used instead of regular lectures when students work through poorly organized real-world issues. The research of Chung and Chow evaluates the effectiveness of PBL, which is student-centered. PBL promotes critical thinking, problem-solving, and independent learning abilities while encouraging active participation in the pursuit of knowledge. Students and teachers have conflicting opinions of PBL despite its benefits. In a first-year occupational therapy subject, Chung and Chow noticed that students initially struggled with the technique, expressing stress and lacking confidence in peer learning. The study sought to improve the PBL curriculum to make learning more efficient and engaging through integrating student feedback and learning perceptions [4]. The findings indicated that the revised program of study produced higher learning outcomes, motivation, and interaction by more effectively addressing students' needs and skills. The study emphasizes how crucial it is to customize learning environments in PBL settings to maximize students' potential and motivation.

2.3. Differences in Students' Academic Motivation

Traditional education and student-centered learning vary along the lines of students' academic motivation. Traditional educational methods often involve passive learning, external stimulation, generalized education, and emphasis on memorization, whereas student-centered learning has a different approach. For traditional education in the modern education system, passive learning is common in the school setting, where students are expected to listen to the educator without much participation throughout the course. Moreover, motivation often arises with external factors such as competition and fear of consequences. While those external factors can boost motivation, they can decrease students' interest in education. Traditional education is also known for its generalized approach, where a standardized curriculum is expected for each course. It undermines the importance of learning interests, paces, and diverse learning styles of individuals. As students follow the traditional pace and setting at school, they lose their ability to explore their ownership and interests.

On the other hand, student-centered learning provides active engagement, customized education, ownership, critical thinking, and constructive feedback for students. Student-centered learning promotes collaboration, engagement, and participation, where students are more motivated academically and interest-wide in their learning style and pace. This keeps students motivated with

the subject, which leads to increasing abilities and individual needs. Students also play a more active role in planning their own educational goals, path, and results, which benefits the sense of responsibility and motivates them to learn more. Furthermore, student-centered learning promotes critical thinking as one of the most essential 21st-century skills in applying practical knowledge. It enables students to understand the value of skills and relevance to their intrinsic motivation and experiences.

3. Comparative Analysis in Academic Achievement between Traditional Instruction and Student-Centered Approach

3.1. Differences in Traditional and Student-Centered Approaches to achieve academic success

The research study conducted by Clark K. explores the area of mathematics education in the United States and addresses the well-known problems with American children's poor mathematics performance. The study raises the possibility that the traditional classroom approach, defined by passive learning experiences, may be a significant factor in the terrible state of mathematics abilities. This provides the framework for examining the efficacy of a student-centered strategy, particularly the flipped classroom model, as a complementary strategy to improve engagement and academic accomplishment. This study makes a clear distinction between traditional and student-centered approaches. The traditional method has long been criticized for its ineffectiveness in promoting independent learning and critical thinking. It is replete with lectures, note-taking, and little opportunity for interaction. The flipped classroom model illustrates the student-centered approach by flipping the conventional wisdom on its head. It focuses on hands-on, interactive, and collaborative learning activities, motivating students to engage with the material outside the classroom actively and facilitating more individualized interactions with the teacher inside it [5].

According to the study, the flipped classroom style significantly increased student engagement, how they perceived their instruction, and how well they performed academically in mathematics. According to the students in the flipped classroom, there was more contact, participation, and communication between students and teachers. They valued the freedom to access educational materials at their own pace and more than once, if necessary, a convenience not provided by the traditional approach. Because it took into account the pupils' various learning preferences and styles, this personalized learning approach was seen as more dynamic and engaging. The flipped classroom concept uses technology to provide a more individualized and collaborative learning environment, which is one of the study's main conclusions. By giving students the tools to manage their learning outside of class through multimedia materials like podcasts and videos, teachers may use class time for more in-depth discussions, collaborative problem-solving, and teamwork. Furthermore, by accommodating students with limited internet connections through alternative media distribution techniques, the flipped model solved accessibility issues. The flipped classroom approach, in particular, has the transformative potential to overcome the flaws of the conventional classroom model in mathematics education, as demonstrated by Clark K.'s research study [5]. The study emphasizes the significance of active engagement, customized learning, and effective technology use to improve students' academic performance and engagement. Although this research offers helpful insights, it also highlights the need for more investigation to fully grasp the broader implications and potential difficulties of applying student-centered approaches in various educational contexts.

3.2. Influential Factors to Student Achievement in Student-Centered Learning

The seminal work "How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School" by Bransford, Brown, and Cocking, published in 2000, is a foundational text in educational psychology that provides essential insights into the variables that affect student achievement, particularly in the context of student-centered learning. The authors argue that active involvement, collaboration, and the creation of meaning—all of which are emphasized in student-centered learning environments—

are more in line with how the human brain naturally absorbs information. Such settings promote better understanding and retention by encouraging learners to make connections between new material and their prior learning and experiences. The importance of a learner's pre-existing mental models in influencing how they perceive and understand new concepts is emphasized by Bransford et al. The authors also contend that to accommodate individual differences effectively, student-centered training must consider the variety of learners' backgrounds, motivations, and learning styles. This is consistent with the constructivist viewpoint, which emphasizes the value of social interaction and meaningful context in the acquisition of knowledge. In addition, Bransford et al. underscores the importance of reflective practices, self-control, and metacognition in promoting students' agency and autonomy in the learning process. Despite not concentrating solely on student-centered learning, the book's observations highlight the crucial role of cognitive and socio-emotional elements in promoting the best learning outcomes possible within this strategy. This pioneering work highlights the importance of adjusting educational experiences to take advantage of students' innate cognitive processes, experiential backgrounds, and self-directed abilities in order to improve their performance and foster a more in-depth and long-lasting understanding of the subject [6].

3.3. Methodology for Assessing Academic Achievement

The methodology for evaluating academic achievement has developed into a multifaceted process that goes beyond conventional testing, drawing on the insights provided in Popham's "Classroom Assessment: What Teachers Need to Know" and Nitko and Brookhart's "Educational Assessment of Students". Their works stress the value of employing a variety of evaluation techniques to obtain a complete picture of students' learning results. In their call for a methodical strategy that guarantees assessments accurately reflect the intended educational goals, Nitko and Brookhart emphasize the necessity of alignment between assessment methodologies, learning objectives, and teaching tactics [7]. With this strategy, instructors are encouraged to take into account numerous assessment kinds, such as formative and summative evaluations, to continuously monitor student development and modify training. Popham emphasizes the importance of validity and reliability in assessment design and exhorts educators to design tests that measure what they are meant to measure and yield results that are consistent across time. Both texts emphasize the importance of fair assessment procedures that take into account students' various backgrounds and academic requirements. To give a more comprehensive assessment of students' abilities and knowledge, the integration of authentic assessments, performance tasks, self-assessment, and peer assessment is advised. The use of assessment data as useful feedback to improve instruction, direct intervention plans, and enhance student improvement is also promoted in these publications. Collectively, these observations highlight the need for a dynamic, student-centered system for evaluating academic accomplishment that is also in line with educational goals and promotes a deeper comprehension of student development and learning outcomes [8].

4. The Interaction of Educational Context and Student-Centered Learning

4.1. Learning Environment and Student-Centered Learning

An insightful analysis of the relationship between the learning environment and student-centered learning emerges, in line with the viewpoints offered by Deci, Vallerand, Pelletier, and Ryan in "Motivation and Education: The Self-Determination Perspective" and Hmelo-Silver's research on problem-based learning (PBL). According to Deci et al.'s self-determination theory, it is crucial to cultivate learners' intrinsic drive in order to increase their engagement and success. This theory contends that learners perform best in settings that satisfy their psychological requirements for relatedness, competence, and autonomy. This theory contends that fostering a learning environment where students feel competent in their learning abilities, have autonomy in their learning choices, and feel connected and a part of the community can significantly increase their motivation and active participation [9]. The investigation of PBL as an instructional strategy by Hmelo-Silver sheds more

light on the connection between the learning environment and student-centered learning. Student-led inquiry and problem-solving replace teacher-directed instruction as the primary focus of PBL. Students actively construct knowledge by tackling challenges from the real world in a dynamic and collaborative learning environment that is naturally created by this pedagogical style. PBL environments foster competency by requiring students to use their skills in real-world scenarios and autonomy by giving them the freedom to make judgments. Additionally, PBL addresses the demand for relatedness through social interactions and collaborative learning, establishing a feeling of community among students [2].

These viewpoints' alignment makes it clear that the learning environment and student-centered learning are related concepts. Both emphasize how important it is to develop environments that support students' intrinsic drive and active participation. Student-centered learning is naturally aligned with the SDT's tenets when the learning environment encourages student autonomy, competence, and relatedness. A compelling learning environment has a greater beneficial impact when it is used in conjunction with a student-centered approach, whether through PBL or other instructional methodologies. Inherently enhancing intrinsic motivation and meaningful learning experiences are environments that respect students' autonomy in setting goals and making decisions, foster their competence through difficult and pertinent tasks, and offer opportunities for collaborative interactions. These types of environments are those that Deci et al. This synthesis emphasizes that the learning environment is a fundamental element that when in line with student-centered concepts, makes a substantial contribution to the development of motivated, self-directed, and engaged learners.

The perspectives offered by Deci, Vallerand, Pelletier, Ryan, and Hmelo-Silver emphasize the interdependence of the learning environment and student-centered learning. Students' intrinsic motivation, autonomy, competence, and sense of belonging are all fostered in a motivating and supportive environment that is based on SDT and implemented through strategies like PBL. These factors are crucial for fostering successful student-centered learning experiences [2].

4.2. The Effect of Educator Perspectives on Student-Centered Learning Practice

An important topic investigated in educational research is the effect of instructor viewpoints on the application of student-centered learning. In order to determine whether active learning strategies are beneficial, Prince conducted a thorough assessment of the literature for his study "Does Active Learning Work?". The effectiveness of student-centered initiatives can be influenced by instructors' attitudes and beliefs, as this review clarifies. Engaging students in interactive and participatory learning experiences is a characteristic of student-centered methods. According to Prince's findings, the adoption of active learning approaches by educators has a major impact on both their implementation and results. Lesson plans and activities that promote cooperation, critical thinking, and autonomous problem-solving are more likely to be created and implemented by educators who have a favorable attitude toward student-centered approaches [10]. Their educational decisions are influenced by their conviction in the importance of student participation and autonomy, which leads to more successful learning experiences. In addition, Kember and Kwan advance this knowledge by examining the connection between lecturers' teaching strategies and their ideas about effective instruction. Their research demonstrates how instructional tactics and individual teaching quality beliefs of educators are consistent. When educators encourage student involvement and active participation, they are more likely to apply practices that are student-focused, interactive, and learner-centered [11]. Together, Prince's study and Kember and Kwan's research highlight the critical part that educator attitudes play in influencing the acceptance and success of student-centered learning methodologies. These observations highlight the need to develop educators' attitudes and beliefs in order to support student-centered pedagogy and create an atmosphere that is more favorable for effectiveness.

4.3. Contextual Influences on Implementation and Success of Student-Centered Learning

In "Planning and Conducting Formative Evaluations: Improving the Quality of Education and Training," Martin discusses how contextual factors have a substantial impact on the implementation and success of student-centered learning. Martin's observations emphasize the significance of taking the larger educational context into account when using student-centered methodologies. A variety of elements, such as institutional policies, resources, assistance networks, and cultural norms, are included in contextual influences. These factors are crucial in determining the viability and efficiency of programs for student-centered learning. Student-centered methods are more likely to be accepted and successfully incorporated into the curriculum in educational institutions that have a strong commitment to learner autonomy and active engagement. The availability of technology, classroom space, and educational resources can also have a big impact on how well student-centered techniques are used. The effective adoption of student-centered pedagogy can also be attributed to institutional support, including chances for educators to pursue professional development. Additionally, how student-centered initiatives are viewed and welcomed by stakeholders, including students, instructors, and parents, can be impacted by cultural norms and societal expectations. Martin's analysis thus emphasizes that while a thorough grasp of the educational situation is vital for guaranteeing the alignment and successful implementation of these practices, the theoretical foundations of student-centered learning are also essential. Educational institutions can establish a setting that supports the adoption and effectiveness of student-centered learning by taking into consideration contextual effects [12].

5. Conclusion

This study provides the relationship between student-centered learning and academic motivation and achievement through an in-depth exploration of the differences between traditional instruction methods and student-centered learning. This contributes to the comprehension and adaptation of effective, innovative pedagogy. The study highlights the juxtaposition of traditional educational methods and student-centered learning, promoting the adaptation of student-centered learning with beneficial factors such as intrinsic motivation, personalized learning experiences, and active participation. The research emphasizes the connection between external factors, educators' perspectives, and environmental influences on the academic success of students. While combining pedagogical methods, educational systems should be aware of autonomy, competence, relatedness, and critical thinking, as stated by the principles of the SDT. Moreover, the research observes that the successful adoption of student-centered learning should be based on institutional policies, available resources, and cultural influences. Eventually, traditional educational methods need to adopt certain revolutionary ideas, such as student-centered learning on a school basis.

For educators, administrators, policymakers, and curriculum designers, this study is valuable as an evidence-based guidance for adapting student-centered learning. This could be revolutionizing learning environments and schools by placing students at the center of the learning process. This way, educational institutions can create an environment of active engagement and motivated learning, allowing students to learn grounded and expanded knowledge for success.

Future studies in the field of innovative pedagogy could investigate specific approaches that educators can adopt to improve their teaching style with student-centered learning in different subjects. Studies can focus on the long-term effects of student-centered learning, such as continued enthusiasm besides formal education.

References

[1] Deci, E. L., Vallerand, R. J., Pelletier, L. G., & Ryan, R. M. Motivation and education: The self-determination perspective. *Educational Psychologist*, 1991, *26* (3-4), 325 – 346. https: //doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep2603&4_6.

[2] Weimer, M. *Learner-centered teaching: Five key changes to practice*. Jossey - Bass.

[3] Wright, G. Student-Centered Learning in Higher Education. *The International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education,* 2013, *23,* 92 - 97.

[4] Chung *, J. C., & Chow, S. M. Promoting student learning through a student-centred problem-based learning subject curriculum. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 2004, *41* (2), 157 – 168. https://doi.org/10.1080/1470329042000208684.

[5] Clark, K. The effects of the flipped model of instruction on student engagement and performance in the secondary mathematics classroom. *The Journal of Educators Online*, 2015, *12* (1). https://doi.org/10.9743/jeo.2015.1.5.

[6] Bransford, J. D. *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school*. National Acad. Press, 2004.

[7] Brookhart, S. M., & Nitko, A. J. *Educational assessment of students*. Pearson, 2019.

[8] Popham, W. J. *Classroom assessment: What teachers need to know*. Pearson, 2025.

[9] Hmelo-Silver, C. E. Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn? *Educational Psychology Review*, 2004, *16* (3), 235 – 266. https: //doi.org/10.1023/b: edpr.0000034022. 16470.f3.

[10] Prince, M. Does active learning work? A review of the research. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 2004, *93* (3), 223 – 231. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168 - 9830. 2004. tb00809. x.

[11] Kember, D., & Kwan, K.-P. Lecturers' approaches to teaching and their relationship to conceptions of good teaching. *Teacher Thinking, Beliefs and Knowledge in Higher Education*, 2002, 219 – 239. https: //doi.org/10.1007/978 - 94 - 010 - 0593-7_10.

[12] Martin, T. *Planning and conducting formative evaluations improving the quality of education and training*. Routledge, 2016.