

The Policy and Development of China's Green Finance

Yutao Zhou

Department of Environment & Business, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada

r94zhou@uwaterloo.ca

Abstract. This paper explores the evolving landscape of green finance in China, elucidating its pivotal role in driving sustainable economic growth amidst escalating global environmental concerns. Encompassing an intricate analysis, it examines China's ongoing green finance initiatives, spotlighting key policies and program advancements. The research delves into the external macro-environmental impacts on China's green finance arena, employing the PESTEL framework. This holistic approach underscores the intricate interplay between domestic policies and worldwide trends. The study offers two strategic recommendations to fortify China's green finance endeavors. The initial proposal emphasizes bolstering the legal framework to underpin environmental protection, advocating for rigorous standards to counteract greenwashing, ensuring transparency, and nurturing confidence in green financial products. The second recommendation centers on enhancing Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) legislation, promoting an inclusive and participatory approach to engender collective ownership of environmentally significant projects. By amplifying public involvement, this strategy augments decision-making processes and magnifies the influence of green finance initiatives. This synthesis furnishes a comprehensive comprehension of China's trajectory in green finance, encompassing its present status, external influences, and strategic trajectory. Armed with these insights, stakeholders and policymakers can collaborate to fortify China's dedication to sustainable development, steering the nation toward an ecologically conscientious economic trajectory.

Keywords: Green finance, PESTEL, environmental impact assessment.

1. Introduction

In recent decades, global attention has increasingly focused on pressing environmental challenges such as climate change, pollution, and resource depletion. China, a major contributor to greenhouse gas emissions, has been under mounting pressure to confront these issues and shift towards a more sustainable trajectory [1]. In response, the concept of green finance has emerged as a potent tool to expedite the transition to an eco-friendly and sustainable economy.

The People's Bank of China (PBOC) defines green finance as “financial services provided to foster environmental improvement, mitigate climate change, and enhance resource efficiency” [2]. In essence, any financially structured activity that promotes environmental betterment or reduces harm qualifies as green finance.

Over the past five years, driven by the imperative to address environmental deterioration and climate concerns, China has enacted a series of policies and measures to foster the growth of green finance. These efforts encompass pilot programs, incentivizing financial institutions to channel investments into environmental projects, and other strategic initiatives [2].

2. The Current Situation of China's Green Finance

Over the last half-decade, China has achieved notable strides in advancing green finance as a cornerstone of its campaign against climate change and pursuit of sustainable growth. Chinese President Xi Jinping, in a significant address at the United Nations, committed China to attain its peak carbon dioxide emissions by 2030 and realize carbon neutrality by 2060. To attain this ambitious objective, the nation has been diligently enacting policy initiatives to bolster the growth of green and low-carbon energy sectors, while also fostering an environment conducive to investments in projects dedicated to environmental preservation and protection [3].

The landscape of green finance in China has expanded significantly, marked by the establishment of green banks, green funds, and burgeoning green bond markets. The government has strategically deployed a range of incentive mechanisms, encompassing tax incentives and subsidies, to entice private capital towards active engagement in green investments [4]. Furthermore, regulators have instituted a comprehensive framework of standards, a pivotal step to ensure the transparency and responsibility of the green finance ecosystem. Beyond these measures, China has demonstrated a proactive stance in bolstering international collaboration, emerging as a vital advocate for green finance within the Belt and Road Initiative. This engagement entails encouraging sustainable infrastructure investments in nations along the initiative's route.

Collectively, China's green finance sector has undergone rapid expansion in recent years, serving as a pivotal financial support for ventures related to renewable energy, energy-efficient technologies, and environmentally viable undertakings. These endeavors underscore China's unwavering commitment to navigating towards a low-carbon economy and propelling the ideals of sustainable development [4].

3. External Macro-Environmental Factors Under PESTEL Framework

The PESTEL framework serves as a critical strategic instrument, designed to delve into the realm of external macro-environmental dynamics that possess the potential to significantly influence the realization of a sustainable green economy. This analysis not only outlines the trajectory of an organization or industry, but also extends its scope to larger contexts, such as the complex landscape of China's economic evolution. PESTEL encompasses political, economic, social, technological, environmental, and legal factors, which collectively shed light on the full range of influences that need to be scrutinized in pursuit of a harmonious and effective integration of green economy principles.

3.1. Political Factors

In recent years, the Chinese government has increasingly recognized the paramount importance of sustainable development, environmental safeguarding, and the propagation of renewable energy solutions as critical responses to prevailing challenges such as air pollution, climate change, and resource conservation. In this endeavor, the government has introduced policies and refined standards to catalyze the growth of a green economy. While China's centralized political framework plays a pivotal role in propelling eco-friendly initiatives, ensuring consistent and effective policy implementation across the nation's diverse regions remains a formidable hurdle.

In addition, the intricacies of China's political landscape introduce complexities that can potentially influence the sincerity of its green economy pursuits. Specifically, to enhance its global standing and soft power, China may strategically spotlight its environmentally conscious endeavors on the international stage. This projection might involve accentuating green projects while downplaying or overlooking other facets of environmental degradation or unsustainable practices.

China's ambitious Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), initiated in 2013 and continuously underway, stands as an exemplar. Designed to bolster transportation and energy infrastructure in underdeveloped regions of several nations, the BRI has, however, attracted criticism for its environmental footprint. Although some projects touted under this initiative might present themselves as green, they can yield adverse effects on local ecosystems, wildlife, and communities. As far back as 2017, a report from WWF warned that the initiative had exacerbated risks for more than 1,739 Important Bird Areas and Key Biodiversity Areas, alongside 265 already threatened species [5]. As the BRI has unfolded over the past five years, the adverse environmental outcomes have accentuated. A 2019 World Bank report underlines that as the initiative's industrial expansion proceeds, certain countries may witness an increase of 7% or more in carbon dioxide emissions [6].

3.2. Economic Factors

China's historical trajectory of economic growth and industrialization has exerted immense strain on its environment. While transitioning from a phase of rapid development to one of slower growth, China, as a developing nation, faces intricate challenges in fully embracing a green economy. This transition is marked by potential economic fluctuations and resource limitations that could impede its seamless realization. Moreover, the shift from traditional industries to green technologies necessitates substantial investments, and for China – the world's premier coal consumer, constituting 49% of global coal consumption – and a notable greenhouse gas emitter, the economic implications of transitioning from fossil fuels to renewable energy are formidable [7].

As the foremost consumer of coal and a substantial emitter of greenhouse gases, China's transition entails considerable investments in renewable energy infrastructure and technology. This financial commitment, while economically exacting, encounters resistance from entrenched interests. China's historical reliance on coal as its energy cornerstone further compounds the challenge. Coal-fired power plants have historically dominated electricity generation, sustaining coal's prominence within the nation's energy portfolio. While reports underscore the potential cost advantage of solar energy over coal on a megawatt basis, effectuating a transition involves complex measures. Revamping China's power grid for solar energy distribution necessitates substantial financial outlay due to its costly nature [8].

Adding to the intricacies, recent developments highlight China's nuanced position. In 2021, the government issued directives urging Chinese coal mines to maximize production to cater to chemical-related projects, underscoring the intricate interplay between industrial demands and green transition imperatives [9].

3.3. Social Factors

While China's previous stance on climate change was not uniformly positive, a marked transformation has unfolded in recent years. The Chinese populace has exhibited a burgeoning awareness and concern for environmental issues, signifying a pivotal shift [10]. This heightened consciousness is manifesting as an amplified demand for cleaner air, water, and sustainable commodities. Consequently, consumer preferences are undergoing a notable transformation, with environmentally sound products and services garnering heightened appeal.

Nonetheless, navigating the delicate equilibrium between economic advancement and the imperatives of social and environmental well-being remains a complex challenge. China's vast expanse encompasses considerable regional disparities, each posing distinct challenges and opportunities. While certain regions boast greater development levels and more robust resources to enact effective environmental policies, others confront infrastructural and financial constraints. The affluent eastern coastal areas tend to possess enhanced resources and infrastructure conducive to green initiatives, while inland and western domains might encounter difficulties in keeping stride [11].

3.4. Technological Factors

Technological advancements have emerged as pivotal catalysts propelling China's transition towards a green economy. Notably, the nation has made remarkable strides in the development and embrace of electric vehicles (EVs), establishing itself as a global frontrunner in EV adoption. This achievement mirrors China's strategic commitment to leveraging innovation for sustainable progress.

A notable report from Global Energy Monitor, an influential non-governmental organization, underscores China's resounding success in advocating renewable energy adoption, particularly solar and wind power. The nation's steadfast efforts in this arena are yielding substantial dividends. Remarkably, projections indicate that by 2025, China's dedication to harnessing wind and solar energy will have facilitated a twofold surge in production capacity, culminating in an impressive 1,200 GW of electricity. This staggering feat positions China to achieve its 2030 energy target an impressive five years ahead of schedule, exemplifying its resolute determination [12].

However, the pace of this transformative technological trajectory, compounded by the need for sustained investment, presents challenges. These challenges stem from the economic intricacies highlighted earlier, which underscore potential hurdles to maintaining the momentum of innovation and sustained financial commitment. Despite the remarkable progress and projections, the evolving technological landscape and the concurrent requirement for continual financial infusion necessitate diligent attention and strategic maneuvering to ensure ongoing success.

3.5. Environmental Factors

China's economic ascent over recent decades has been propelled by robust strides in agriculture and manufacturing. This economic surge, however, has exacted a considerable toll on the environment, manifesting in substantial degradation. This environmental reckoning is marked by the ominous specters of air pollution, water scarcity, and the looming threat of climate change – issues of paramount importance within China. In response, the Chinese government has embarked on a resolute course of action. The implementation of stringent environmental regulations and comprehensive pollution control measures signifies a proactive approach to tackling these formidable challenges [13].

However, the intricate task of harmonizing economic growth and environmental preservation persists as a challenge. Differing perspectives among stakeholders can impede the comprehensive efficacy of these measures. Local officials and businesses may, at times, prioritize immediate economic gains over enduring sustainability. Despite China's central government's earnest dedication to environmental protection, lower-tier officials often confront demands to fulfill economic growth quotas. This pressure can erode the robust execution of environmental policies. Furthermore, bureaucratic intricacies are prevalent in China, and inefficiency and corruption within government tiers can impede policy implementation and compliance [14].

In the realm of environmental regulations, their effectiveness can be compromised, particularly at the local level, due to inadequate enforcement. Local officials may grapple with conflicting priorities or insufficient resources to vigilantly monitor and enforce compliance. Concurrently, certain companies might exploit lax environmental regulations and enforcement mechanisms to engage in "greenwashing," a deceptive practice entailing the presentation of a false environmentally friendly image or dissemination of misleading information.

3.6. Legal Factors

China's legal and regulatory landscape has undergone transformation to bolster the foundation of a green economy. Over time, environmental laws and regulations have seen progressive enhancements aimed at curbing pollution and propelling sustainable development. The government has concurrently instituted a system of incentives and subsidies to galvanize companies towards the adoption of green technologies and practices [4].

However, despite these advancements, the phenomenon of "greenwashing" has emerged as a significant challenge across all implementation stages. This involves companies embellishing or distorting their efforts to present a more environmentally responsible facade than is truly warranted. Instances of "greenwashing," characterized by deliberate concealment and inconsistent standards, have been spotlighted by Chinese media. Both prominent domestic entities like PetroChina and renowned foreign corporations such as Adidas have been implicated. This disconcerting trend can be attributed, in part, to the lag in China's regulatory framework pertaining to greenwashing. While the legal apparatus for environmental protection has been incrementally bolstered, the realm of greenwashing remains comparatively uncharted.

This void is manifest in the dearth of specialized legislation targeting greenwashing. The scope of activities that can be effectively governed is notably restricted. While some instances of false advertising to promote green initiatives could be subject to legal action under statutes, such as the Anti-Unfair Competition Law, the Consumer Rights and Interests Protection Law, and the Advertising Law, a dedicated law addressing greenwashing is conspicuously absent [15].

4. Suggestions

In order to move China towards a more sustainable and environmentally friendly economic trajectory, a comprehensive set of strategies and initiatives should be implemented. These recommendations aim to address the challenges posed by urbanization and climate change while promoting a harmonious relationship between economic growth and ecological protection. Through a combination of policy reforms, technological innovation and public participation, China has the opportunity to lead the way in realizing a green economic future. This section outlines a series of recommendations that, if adopted, will drive transformational change and advance China's sustainable development.

4.1. Strengthening of the Legal Framework for Environmental Protection – Addition of Standards Related to Greenwashing

Strengthening and improving China's environmental protection laws and regulations is of paramount importance, especially in the relentless fight against "greenwashing". This strategic effort not only underpins China's sustainable development goals, but also plays a key role in safeguarding global environmental interests.

In order to achieve these goals, it is imperative to establish precise definitions and universally recognized standards. Terms commonly used in green marketing, such as "eco-friendly," "sustainable," and "green," must have legally binding meanings based on sound scientific evidence. This approach aims to prevent companies from indulging in vague or exaggerated claims, thereby improving the accuracy and completeness of their environmental statements.

An effective strategy is to actively promote independent third-party certification and labeling initiatives. These programs should be used as a standard for validating the environmental claims promoted by companies. In essence, these certifications need to be carefully standardized and recognized by the relevant authorities to ensure that consumers are provided with a transparent and credible method of assessing environmental claims. This approach is similar to established precedents such as BREEAM and LEED in the field of green building and is a model to be emulated.

Furthermore, transparency and accountability are important pillars in addressing development failures, and these mechanisms can be effective in mitigating potential shortcomings [16]. Transparency and accountability can be effectively entrenched through the implementation of mandatory reporting mechanisms. Companies should be obliged to fully disclose their environmental performance and impacts, including intricate details on resource consumption, emissions, waste management and other relevant indicators. Routine audits can confirm the veracity of these disclosures, reinforcing a culture of accuracy and accountability. In addition, to increase transparency, the creation of an accessible and publicly available database is advocated. This repository would help stakeholders and consumers review the legitimacy of environmental statements. The basic components of such a database could include certified products, companies that engage in greenwashing practices, and other relevant data sets. Such a repository of information is a valuable resource that can effectively facilitate informed decision-making.

In conclusion, the strengthening and improvement of China's legal framework for environmental protection, coupled with a strong opposition to greenwashing practices, is key to the country's sustainable development and the cause of global environmental protection.

4.2. Improving Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Legislation – Harmonizing the Degree of Public Participation

The complexity of environmental assessment legislation has been the subject of ongoing discussion as policymakers seek to strike a delicate balance between public participation and effective decision-making. In the case of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Act of 2016, there are concerns that it is flawed in terms of incorporating a strong public participation component. Critics argued that the lack of mechanisms for public participation resulted in decisions being made without

input from those who may be directly affected by the results of the assessment [17]. However, subsequent iterations of the legislation (e.g. the 2018 version) have presented a different set of challenges. This time, the emphasis on public participation was so pronounced that it inadvertently led to an unintended dilemma - poor EIA. By overemphasizing public participation, the decision-making process was prone to delays, conflicting opinions, and potentially subjective interpretations [18]. In light of these challenges, the need to reconcile public participation with the need for state intervention in environmental decision-making has become increasingly evident.

One pragmatic approach is to promote public participation within the field of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA). This can be achieved by providing support to environmental organizations and groups so that they can integrate the dispersed concerns and interests of individual citizens into a more cohesive collective view [19]. By empowering these organizations, a more structured and informed public participation process can emerge. Alternatively, a paradigm shift in governance may be the key to achieving the desired harmony. The concept of pluralistic co-management, where multiple stakeholders collaborate in the management of environmental resources, may need to evolve into a rule of law model. In this context, Du Hui's proposal for a rule of law-based approach to environmental governance can be explored. This model provides a framework to enhance market competition, social autonomy, and government regulatory capacity based on the principle of balanced and coordinated tripartite development of government, market, and community to form a structural order framework where economic prosperity, order stability, and freedom of rights are symbiotic and coexisting [19].

In conclusion, the road to effective environmental assessment and decision-making is full of challenges and delicate trade-offs. Achieving the necessary harmony between public participation and state intervention requires innovative strategies, whether it is amplifying collective voices through empowered environmental organizations, redefining governance models.

5. Conclusion

In summary, the analysis of external macro-environmental factors within the PESTEL framework reveals the critical role that legal and environmental assessment aspects play in shaping the business landscape. The recommendations made, i.e., strengthening the legal framework for environmental protection through the incorporation of new standards, and strengthening environmental assessment legislation through coordinated public participation, offer promising avenues for promoting sustainable development and responsible business practices.

Addressing the issue of greenwashing through the implementation of stringent standards can incentivize businesses to adopt a more transparent and truthful approach to environmental protection. This not only maintains consumer trust, but also contributes to the greater goal of ecological protection. At the same time, the proposed legislative improvements in environmental assessment could help strike a balance between economic growth and environmental protection. Through greater public participation, the decision-making process can gain a broader perspective and ensure that the voices of different stakeholders are heard, leading to more effective and inclusive policies.

However, it is imperative to acknowledge that these recommendations demand a judicious approach to implementation and vigilant monitoring, as a means to preempt any inadvertent repercussions. The legal framework must strike a balance between regulation and flexibility, promoting innovation while preventing abuse. In addition, the level of public participation needs to be harmonized in a way that enhances the efficiency of decision-making without causing delays or conflicts.

In the midst of changing business and environmental dynamics, the recommendations presented in this paper provide a foundation for moving towards a more sustainable and harmonious future. Acceptance of these recommendations has the potential not only to drive positive change within individual organizations, but also to contribute to broader global efforts to protect the planet for future generations.

References

- [1] A. Blokhin, "The 5 Countries That Produce the Most Carbon Dioxide (CO₂)," Investopedia, April 2023.
- [2] C. Briefing, "China's Green Finance Market: Policy Support & Investment Opportunities," China Briefing News, October 20, 2022.
- [3] "China Headed towards Carbon Neutrality by 2060; President Xi Jinping Vows to Halt New Coal Plants Abroad," UN News, Sep. 22, 2021. <https://news.un.org/en/story/2021/09/1100642>.
- [4] C. N. Wang, "Green Finance Trends in China (1): China's Green Finance Policy Landscape – Green Finance & Development Center," Feb. 08, 2023.
- [5] W. Shepard, "Inside China's 'Greenwashing' of the Belt and Road," Forbes, Feb. 29, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.forbes.com/sites/wadeshepard/2020/02/29/inside-chinas-greenwashing-of-the-belt-and-road/>.
- [6] World Bank Group, "Belt and Road Economics: Opportunities and risks of transport corridors," Dec. 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/regional-integration/publication/belt-and-road-economics-opportunities-and-risks-of-transport-corridors>.
- [7] "China Produces and Consumes Almost as Much Coal as the Rest of the World Combined." <https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=16271#:~:text=China%20is%20the%20largest%20coal,global%20increase%20in%20coal%20consumption>.
- [8] R. Kapadia, "8 Funds to Consider if China's Efforts to Revive Economy Gain Traction," Barrons, Aug. 06, 2023.
- [9] L. Heim, "Fossil Fuels are Dead. Long Live Fossil Fuels. - PracticalESG," PracticalESG, Jun. 15, 2023. <https://practicalesg.com/2021/10/fossil-fuels-are-dead-long-live-fossil-fuels/>.
- [10] B. Wang and Q. Zhou, "Climate change in the Chinese mind: An overview of public perceptions at macro and micro levels," Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change, vol. 11, no. 3, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.1002/wcc.639.
- [11] "Topic: Regional Disparities in China," Statista, Dec. 08, 2022. <https://www.statista.com/topics/7157/regional-disparities-in-china/#topicOverview>.
- [12] R. Cheung and A. Hawkins, "China on Course to Hit Wind and Solar Power Target Five Years Ahead of Time," The Guardian, June 29, 2023.
- [13] L. Maizland, "China's Fight Against Climate Change and Environmental Degradation," Council on Foreign Relations, May 19, 2021.
- [14] X. Zhou, "Chinese bureaucracy through three lenses: Weberian, Confucian, and Marchian," Management and Organization Review, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 655–682, June 2021, doi: 10.1017/mor.2021.34.
- [15] CMS Green Globe, "Sustainability Claims and Greenwashing in China," May 10, 2023 <https://cms.law/en/int/publication/cms-green-globe/china>.
- [16] J. Gaventa and R. McGee, "The Impact of Transparency and Accountability Initiatives," Development Policy Review, vol. 31, pp. s3–s28, Jul. 2013, doi: 10.1111/dpr.12017.
- [17] C. Zhang, "Has China's Impact Assessment Law Lost Its Teeth?" China Dialogue, October 09, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://chinadialogue.net/en/pollution/9122-has-china-s-impact-assessment-law-lost-its-teeth/>.
- [18] S. Wu, Y. Tian, and J. Zhu, "China's Environmental Impact Assessment Reform from the Perspective of Public-Private Collaboration," Environmental Impact Assessment Review, vol. 100, p. 107089, May 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.eiar.2023.107089.
- [19] H. Du, "Co-Governance Pattern-Oriented form of Rule of Law and Its Construction," Chinese Journal of Law, No. 4, 2019.