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Abstract. In the wake of the information revolution, human civilization has entered a novel epoch, necessitating the evolution of various social theories to meet new necessities. This scholarly investigation engages with the dynamic interplay between Marxist theory and its application within contemporary social and political milieus. It addresses the critical inquiry into Marxist thought's continued relevance and adaptability amidst modern challenges, including digitization, globalization, postmodernity, and state modernization. Rooted in the seminal principles of Marx and Engels, the study meticulously explores the diversification of Marxism into distinct strands and its applicability in deciphering the complexities of the current socio-political landscape. The research findings indicate that while Marxist critique maintains its pertinence in analyzing capitalist societies, it confronts challenges arising from technological advancements, shifting global economic paradigms, and the proliferation of intricate identities that intertwine class with diverse socio-cultural dimensions. This study is significant in its comprehensive analysis of Marxism's adaptability and resilience, offering an updated perspective for academic discourse to navigate and comprehend multifaceted contemporary phenomena. Moreover, it delineates prospective research avenues, mainly focusing on the impact of digitization in reshaping class dynamics and the confluence of Marxism with alternative theoretical frameworks.
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1. Introduction

Marxism, a theoretical framework founded on the ideas of Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels, has persisted as an influential tool for examining societal structures and power dynamics. Its original formulations in the 19th century were rooted in the tumultuous socio-economic landscapes of industrial Europe, focusing on dialectical materialism and the class struggle between the proletariat and the bourgeoisie [1]. While some critics argue that Marx's ideas are archaic and unfit for today's globalized and digital era, many scholars find them more pertinent than ever. In a world marked by rising income inequality, resurgent populist movements, and the omnipresence of digital technology, a renewed Marxian lens offers a potent critique and understanding of these complex phenomena.

Contemporary society, characterized by rapid technological advancements and global interconnectedness, presents new contexts and challenges. Yet, underlying these modern complexities are age-old systems of oppression, exploitation, and class dynamics that Marxian concepts can elucidate. As Harvey [2] posits, modern capitalism, with its inherent contradictions, manifests in spatial and geographical transformations that echo Marx's predictions of capital accumulation and crisis tendencies. The urbanization patterns, the globalization of markets, and the neo-colonial ventures of dominant economies can all be critically examined through a Marxian framework.

Furthermore, the digital age brings forth novel terrains of struggle and power. The rise of digital platforms, from social media to e-commerce giants, does not merely represent technological progress but signifies shifts in production, consumption, and ideological dissemination. The digital realm becomes a space of capitalist enterprise and a battleground for ideological skirmishes, where narratives are shaped, propagated, and contested. While Marx could not have foreseen the intricacies of the internet age, the foundational principles of Marxism — concerning the means of production, ideology, and class relations — remain pertinent analysis tools.
However, in applying Marxian concepts to modern society, it is essential to recognize the evolving nature of the current industry and the myriad ways it intersects with culture, politics, and technology. This paper critically explores the relevance, adaptability, and challenges of applying Marxian ideas to contemporary social and political phenomena. Through a multifaceted analysis that actively uses Marxism to its natural explanatory advantage about critical elements of the modernization process, such as digitization, globalization, and class, this article aims to demonstrate that far from being a relic of the past, Marxism offers valuable insights into the workings and contradictions of the world today.

In the following chapters, this article collates Marxism’s developmental lineage and the advantages of its reception before exploring specific issues such as the impact of digital developments, international competition due to globalization, and class challenges in the postmodern era. This introductory overview, in turn, lays the groundwork for rigorous scholarship, grounding our discussion in historical contexts and contemporary manifestations, aiming to bridge the gap between space and time and emphasizing the continuing relevance of Marxist analysis in understanding and critiquing the modern world.

2. Literature review: The Genesis and Evolution of Marxism

The intellectual underpinnings of Marxism, a philosophical and sociopolitical doctrine, find their roots in the collaborative works of Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels in the 19th century. Since then, the central tenets of their theory - notably the concepts of class struggle, modes of production, and ideology - have not only offered foundational critiques of capitalist societies but have also evolved and diversified into various strands, embodying the dynamism and adaptability of Marxian thought.

At the heart of Marx’s theoretical framework, dialectical materialism lies the concept of class struggle, which Marx posited as the primary catalyst in the historical evolution of societies. He delineated how each epoch is characterized by specific class relations and modes of production, highlighting in capitalist societies a pronounced conflict between the bourgeoisie, the proprietors of production means, and the proletariat, the labor providers. Marx theorized that these classes' deep-rooted contradictions and frictions would engender a revolutionary transition towards socialism [1]. Moreover, Marx’s discourse on modes of production, encompassing feudalism, capitalism, and socialism, underscores distinct class structures and relational dynamics inherent to each system. His critique of the capitalist mode is particularly notable for its focus on the propensity of this system to exploit labor and consolidate wealth among the bourgeoisie. Marx’s scrutiny of ideology unveils its function as an instrument of the ruling class. He contended that the predominant ideas within any given era predominantly mirror the interests of its ruling class. This observation is especially pertinent in capitalist societies, where Marx argued that the bourgeoisie propagates ideologies that validate and perpetuate their dominion, often masking the underlying exploitation as universally accepted norms or natural societal order.

While Karl Marx’s seminal work laid the foundation for a comprehensive critique of capitalist systems, the evolution of Marxist thought has witnessed a range of interpretations and adaptations, each reflective of varied socio-political milieus and challenges. Among these, Leninism stands out as a notable extension of Marxian theory. Extrapolating from Marx’s principles, Vladimir Lenin introduced the vanguard party concept, envisioned as a cohort of professional revolutionaries who guided the proletariat toward a revolutionary climax. This conceptual development proved pivotal in the success of the Bolshevik Revolution in Russia, representing a significant shift from Marx’s envisaged spontaneous, proletariat-driven insurrection [3]. Similarly, Mao Zedong’s adaptation of Marxism, commonly called Maoism, recontextualized the theory within the Chinese setting, emphasizing the peasantry’s role in revolutionary undertakings. This perspective departed from the strict focus on the proletariat inherent in traditional Marxism. Maoism further distinguished itself by advocating for continuous revolution and the deployment of mass mobilization as instrumental in achieving and maintaining a socialist state [4]. These evolutions, such as Leninism and Maoism, are
rooted in Marxist doctrine and have generated new theories based on different national contexts, illustrating the flexibility and ability of Marxian theory to address different revolutionary paradigms and social structures.

Marxist analysis underscores the concept of "class struggle" as central to the dynamics of society and political change, transcending superficial examinations of social phenomena to interrogate the core of societal structures. This approach posits that conflicts and transformations are grounded not in randomness but in the inherent contradictions of socio-economic frameworks [5]. Methodologically, Marxism embraces a dialectical process that stresses the importance of a comprehensive and profound understanding of social and political phenomena, offering a scientific method that enhances critical reflection and enables the anticipation of developmental trends [6].

Marxism’s utility in understanding the complex nature and principles of social and political occurrences has profound implications for research within these fields. Researchers apply Marxist theoretical instruments to decode the complexities of social and political dynamics, thereby facilitating more precise and holistic analyses and interventions [7]. The methodology’s critical and scientific nature serves as a cornerstone for exploring societal and political phenomena, providing a robust theoretical base to deepen the comprehension of social transformation and political action by highlighting the inherent contradictions and forces at work. Marxism's capacity to adapt to various global contexts exemplifies its continued significance and the resilience of its foundational principles.

This theoretical approach, rooted in historical materialism, offers a broad analytical framework for dissecting social and political phenomena, suggesting an inevitable historical progression propelled by the advancement of productive forces.

Within the framework of Marxist theory, the analysis of state modernization is predominantly conducted through the lenses of digitalization, globalization, and class structures. Digitalization, revolutionizing communication, governance, and economic operations, is perceived as a dual-edged instrument that can empower and subjugate the proletariat, fundamentally altering state-citizen interactions. Concurrently, globalization presents a formidable challenge to state sovereignty. The growing influence of transnational corporations and global financial entities on domestic policy-making and economic agendas is a testament to this phenomenon. Furthermore, class dynamics are pivotal in the Marxist critique of state modernization. This perspective critically evaluates how policy shifts and reforms impact the power dynamics between the bourgeoisie and the proletariat, underscoring modernization's potential to deepen existing class disparities and open new pathways for economic and social progression.

3. Digitalisation: Marxist Critique of the Information Age

The advent of the digital epoch has catalyzed a significant transformation, introducing an array of new communicative platforms and media that extend beyond mere technological innovation to impact societal discourse, consciousness, and structures profoundly. This evolution prompts a critical inquiry into whether the digital realm can be conceptualized as a contemporary iteration of the 'public sphere,' a concept pivotal to social theory. Jürgen Habermas [8], in "The Structural Transformation of the Public Sphere," defines the public sphere as a societal space where individuals engage in discourse on general matters, uninhibited by state or economic pressures, thus shaping public opinion and fostering societal enlightenment. The emergence of digital media platforms, such as Twitter, Facebook, and various online forums, echoes the attributes of Habermas's public sphere. These platforms facilitate the democratization of information dissemination, allowing individuals across socio-economic spectrums to engage in global conversations. The internet's participatory culture and decentralized nature transform the digital realm into a dynamic space for vibrant societal dialogue [9].

To deepen the understanding of the digital realm's alignment with the concept of the public sphere, an exploration through the lens of Marxian critical theory becomes imperative. Karl Marx's critique of capitalist systems, characterized by the concentration of economic power among a select few,
resonates profoundly in the digital era. This epoch is marked by the dominance of giant technology corporations that exert substantial influence over digital spaces. According to Fuchs [10], through the commodification of data and the application of algorithmic determinism, these entities subtly manipulate digital discourse, thereby challenging the 'public' essence of digital spaces as envisaged in Habermasian theory.

Additionally, while digital platforms promote open dialogues, they are susceptible to creating echo chambers and fostering polarization. In this phenomenon, users are inclined towards communities reinforcing their beliefs [11]. This tendency can restrict exposure to diverse viewpoints, diminishing the critical and reflective discourse fundamental to the concept of the public sphere.

However, it is crucial to recognize the emancipatory potential of the digital realm. It has emerged as a crucible for articulating resistance narratives and challenging hegemonic structures. Historical instances, such as the Arab Spring, exemplify the capacity of digital media to mobilize movements and confront authoritarian regimes, highlighting its role in enabling grassroots activism and societal change [12].

In summation, the burgeoning landscape of digital media platforms presents a compelling manifestation of a modern public sphere. It reinforces democratic ethos through participatory discourse but is not immune to the imprints of capitalist dynamics and polarizing tendencies. As society ventures through this digital continuum, applying critical Marxian analysis to comprehend this sphere's true nature thoroughly becomes essential. Such an analysis must balance acknowledging the digital realm's potential for liberation against an awareness of its inherent limitations and challenges. This critical approach enables a nuanced understanding of the digital public sphere, recognizing its capacity to democratize information while remaining vigilant to the influences of economic power and societal division.

4. Globalisation: A Marxist Perspective

Marxist theory provides a sophisticated lens through which the current epoch of economic globalization can be understood not simply as the geographical expansion of capitalism but as a qualitative metamorphosis that amplifies the system's inherent contradictions and augments the class struggle on a global stage. The capital's imperative characterizes this phase of globalization to transcend the limitations of the nation-state and the saturation of domestic markets, a phenomenon seen as a strategic response to capitalism's cyclic crises [13].

From the standpoint of modernization theory, the expansion of multinational corporations and international financial institutions is seen not solely in terms of capitalist hegemony or imperialist dynamics but also as agents of modernization that potentially bring about positive economic and social change. The hegemony of multinational corporations and international financial institutions marks this new phase, which has been argued to perpetuate imperialist dynamics, disproportionately affecting the economic sovereignty and development of less affluent nations [14]. The contradictions at the heart of capitalism, notably the tension between collective production processes and private capital accumulation, are intensified by globalization. This tension is starkly visible in the disparity between a globally interconnected proletariat and the concentration of wealth within a transnational elite.

Furthermore, the relentless pursuit of profit under globalization often results in crises of overaccumulation, where capital outpaces the market's ability to consume, leading to financial and economic instability. Technological progress, a hallmark of this era, has paradoxically led to greater worker alienation and unemployment challenges, creating what is now understood as a global 'reserve army of labor,' which weakens labor bargaining power and drives down wages and working conditions [15].

Contemporary Marxist discourse, revitalized by globalization's latest phase characterized by financialization and neoliberal policies, has renewed emphasis on class analysis as an essential tool to understand and address the inequalities and struggles workers worldwide face [16]. This
reinvigorated Marxist perspective calls for rekindling international working-class solidarity as a counterbalance to the dominance of capitalist globalization. Marxism, therefore, offers a comprehensive and critical framework for examining the unfolding of capitalism within the milieu of globalization. It sheds light on the systemic contradictions, crises, and class struggles that underpin contemporary society and insists on a transformative approach to understanding and fundamentally changing the world. Such an analysis underscores the imperative of engaging with Marxist thought to confront and challenge established systems and to advocate for profound social change.

In summary, integrating modernization theory with Marxist critique allows for a nuanced understanding of the multifaceted nature of economic globalization. It acknowledges the potential of globalization to foster development and modernization while remaining critically aware of the inherent contradictions, systemic crises, and class struggles intrinsic to the global capitalist system. This combined approach insists on the need for transformative strategies that comprehend and actively address the challenges and disparities engendered by the international economic order.

5. Postmodernity and Class in the Contemporary World

Postmodernism is a distinctive marker, representing both a reaction and a challenge to established theoretical frameworks. It is characterized by a pronounced skepticism towards grand narratives or metanarratives – overarching stories that have historically claimed to offer universally valid interpretations or solutions [17]. These narratives, which include concepts of emancipation, progress, and enlightenment, are deemed by postmodernists as not only reductionist but also as tools serving hegemonic powers.

David Harvey [2], a notable Marxist theorist, has offered a refreshing critique of postmodernism. In his seminal work, "The Condition of Postmodernity," Harvey approaches postmodernism through a Marxian lens. He views the rise of postmodernist thought not merely as a shift in intellectual currents but as deeply intertwined with broader socioeconomic transitions, specifically the change from Fordist-Keynesian modes of capital accumulation to the more fragmented, flexible, and unpredictable regime of late capitalism. While he acknowledges the significant cultural and intellectual changes denoted by postmodernism, Harvey posits that its very emergence can be traced back to the contradictions and difficulties of contemporary capitalism.

The concept of class is a central tenet of Marxist thought that faces significant scrutiny in the postmodern era. Traditional Marxian class analysis, which primarily revolved around the dichotomy between the bourgeoisie and the proletariat, is perceived by some as overly simplistic for the complex, multi-faceted realities of the contemporary world. With the proliferation of the gig economy, the digitization of labor, and the dissolution of traditional industrial sectors, the once-clear boundaries delineating class structures appear increasingly blurred [18]. Moreover, postmodernism, emphasizing fragmented identities and resistances, often intersects class with other socio-cultural categories, including race, gender, and ethnicity. This intersectional view necessitates a more nuanced, multifaceted approach to class analysis that goes beyond traditional Marxian paradigms.

While postmodernity seems to challenge the traditional anchors of Marxian analysis, it does not render them obsolete. Instead, it invites scholars and thinkers to adapt and evolve these tools, ensuring they remain relevant and effective in critiquing and understanding a world marked by fluidity, uncertainty, and multiple realities. In essence, while postmodernism, with its unique characteristics, presents challenges to Marxian analysis, it also underscores the need for the continuous evolution of theory in response to changing societal contexts.

6. The Limits and Development of Marxist Theory of State Modernisation

Any theoretical framework's vitality and longevity hinge on its strengths and ability to withstand, incorporate, or respond to criticisms and challenges. Marxism has not been exempting from such critiques in social science despite its profound influence and lasting legacy. This section delves into
the criticisms aimed at Marxism, particularly concerning its application in contemporary society, and further examines the areas where Marxian analysis might encounter limitations or benefit from synergies with other theoretical perspectives.

A primary criticism of Marxism pertains to its perceived determinism. Critics, such as Popper [19], argue that Marx's focus on material conditions and economic structures as the primary catalysts of societal change tends to marginalize the roles of individual agency and cultural complexities. This critique posits that by emphasizing the monetary base as the foremost determinant of societal superstructures, including culture, politics, and ideology, Marxian analysis risks neglecting the diversity and nuances of human experience and cultural manifestations. Another critique that has gained traction, particularly in globalization and the postmodern turn, centers on Marxism's focus on class struggle as the central axis of social conflict. In the contemporary era, myriad forms of identity—based on gender, race, ethnicity, or sexuality—have emerged as significant loci of societal contention and mobilization [20]. To some critics, strict adherence to Marxian class analysis may appear reductionist, potentially sidelin ing other pivotal social struggles.

In the current era, informatization is a critical feature, leading to the concentration of universal data in the hands of a select group of internet oligarchs and state entities. This development has redefined global competition as a battle for data control, resulting in the emergence of a new echelon of individuals. Armed with extensive global information, these individuals have established themselves as a new ruling class, thus altering the traditional power dynamics worldwide. This transformation poses a significant challenge to the conventional Marxist dichotomy of proletariat and bourgeoisie. The rise of the gig economy and the ensuing obscuring of class distinctions necessitate either a reevaluation or a nuanced reinterpretation of the conventional Marxian class schema, as argued by Wright [21]. Furthermore, the feasibility of Marx's concept of a proletariat revolution, which stands as a cornerstone of Marxist ideology, has come under scrutiny in light of the varied outcomes of socialist and communist movements globally. The disparity between Marx's theoretical framework and the real-world enactment of socialist ideologies in different settings calls for an essential reexamination of the relevance and applicability of Marxian revolutionary principles in the modern context.

It is crucial to note that Marxian analysis has also shown remarkable resilience and adaptability while facing these critiques. Marxist scholars have incorporated insights from feminist, postcolonial, and cultural studies to render Marxian analysis more holistic and attuned to contemporary realities. These integrative efforts highlight the potential for Marxian thought to evolve and remain relevant by dialoguing with other theoretical traditions. Marxism offers profound insights into the structures and contradictions of capitalist society. It is not without challenges and critiques. Its application to modern society necessitates continuous introspection, adaptation, and, where needed, integration with other theoretical perspectives to capture the multifaceted nature of contemporary social and political phenomena.

7. Conclusion

This scholarly investigation has meticulously navigated Marxist theory's intricate and diverse landscape, examining its relevance and application within contemporary societal and political dynamics. The study embarked on a comprehensive journey, beginning from the foundational principles established by Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels and examining Marxism's pertinence in understanding the nuances of digitalization, globalization, postmodernity, and state modernization. This exploration revealed the enduring nature of the Marxist critique of capitalist societies, focusing on class struggles, modes of production, and ideological constructs. The adaptability of Marxism was highlighted through its evolution into various forms, including Leninism and Maoism. Additionally, the impact of the digital era on public discourse, as conceptualized by Habermas and further critiqued through a Marxist lens, underscored the complex interplay between technological advancements and societal dialogue. The study also illuminated the intricate dimensions of globalization from a Marxian
perspective, emphasizing how economic expansion accentuates systemic contradictions and intensifies global class conflicts. The challenge posed to traditional Marxian class analysis by postmodernism's fragmented identities and multifaceted realities necessitated an evolved theoretical approach. Furthermore, the research critically addressed Marxism's perceived determinism and class-centric focus, advocating for a more nuanced interpretation of class structures amidst the shifting paradigms of informatization and global power dynamics.

The comprehensive scope of this study significantly contributes to academic discourse by providing a modern perspective on the relevance and applicability of Marxist theory. It enriches the ongoing scholarly dialogue regarding the adaptability and resilience of Marxism in the face of changing societal and economic structures, thereby deepening the comprehension of complex contemporary phenomena through a Marxist lens. The study identifies numerous areas for future research, particularly in exploring the role of digitalization and data control in redefining class dynamics and the intersection of Marxism with feminist, postcolonial, and cultural studies, aiming to foster a more inclusive and holistic analytical framework. Moreover, the practical application of Marxian principles in diverse global contexts, especially in digitalization and postmodernity, presents fertile ground for in-depth investigation. These prospective research domains promise to advance Marxian theory and provide valuable insights into the multifaceted challenges of the modern world. In sum, the study reaffirms the ongoing relevance of Marxist theory, underscoring the need for continuous scholarly engagement and theoretical innovation to adeptly navigate and elucidate the complexities of the contemporary global landscape.
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