

Intellectual Property Protection Dilemmas and Legal Response Strategies Under the Perspective of Generative Artificial Intelligence

Jiahao Ni *

Department of Sociology, University of Warwick Coventry, CV4 7AL, United Kingdom

* Corresponding Author Email: ethan.ni@warwick.ac.uk

Abstract. As generative artificial intelligence (AI) technology advances rapidly, its potential in innovation output poses significant challenges to the traditional intellectual property (IP) protection legal framework. This study concentrates on the technological foundations and creative applications of generative AI, analyzing its impact on aspects of IP law such as the definition of authors' rights, the criteria for originality, and the attribution of liability for infringement. The paper provides an overview of the development of generative AI technology and its applications in fields such as the arts and text generation, and delves into the legal contradictions and challenges it has sparked. Finally, it proposes strategies for updating the legal framework, balancing innovation and protection, and forecasts future legal trends, aiming to offer a legal perspective and strategic guidance for addressing IP issues arising from generative AI.

Keywords: Generative AI, Intellectual Property, Legal Challenges, Definition of Authors' Rights, Originality Standards, Infringement Liability, Legal Strategies.

1. Introduction

In the context of the rapid development of information technology, generative artificial intelligence (AI) has become a cutting-edge field in the technology sector. This type of AI technology uses advanced machine learning models to generate new content, analyzing and learning from massive amounts of data to grasp patterns and regularities in specific fields, thereby creating highly realistic results that are almost indistinguishable from human works. The application of generative AI in fields such as natural language processing, image generation, and music composition has not only expanded the boundaries of technology but also brought unprecedented innovative opportunities to all walks of life.

The rise of generative AI has caused a profound transformation at the technical level, continuously expanding its capabilities in autonomously creating text, music, and artworks, which has garnered widespread attention from all sectors of society. The development of this technology is not only impacting the technical and industrial communities but also bringing far-reaching effects to the fields of law, ethics, and society. Particularly in the area of intellectual property protection, the traditional legal system is facing unprecedented challenges. Finding a balance between encouraging innovation and protecting copyright has become an urgent issue that needs to be addressed. Therefore, researching the impact of generative AI on intellectual property and the corresponding legal strategies is of extreme importance both theoretically and practically.

This study focuses on how generative AI challenges the existing legal framework for intellectual property protection, especially in key areas such as defining authorship, establishing standards for originality, and determining liability for infringement. The study proposes specific legal strategies and recommendations to address the intellectual property protection dilemmas faced by content created by generative AI, in response to the challenges it brings. The aim of this research is to provide guidance to legislators, scholars, and practitioners, helping them better understand and respond to the complex issues raised by generative AI in the field of intellectual property.

2. Status and Challenges of Intellectual Property Protection

The legal framework for intellectual property is a system that encompasses fields such as patents, trademarks, copyrights, and trade secrets, aiming to protect innovative achievements and balance the interests of creators, rights holders, and the general public. However, with the rapid development of generative artificial intelligence (AI), the existing legal framework for intellectual property faces new challenges. The characteristic of autonomous learning and creative capabilities in generative AI significantly challenges the fundamental assumptions in traditional intellectual property law regarding the subjects of creation, originality, and the attribution of rights.

The traditional legal system is grounded in human creators. However, as AI gains the ability for autonomous creation, issues regarding copyright ownership for these works become increasingly ambiguous. Current laws have not made explicit provisions on whether works created by non-human entities should be eligible for copyright protection and how to handle situations where AI and humans collaborate in the creative process.

Works created by AI often rely on extensive existing data and algorithms, making the assessment of their originality complex. Questions arise regarding whether AI-generated works can be considered independent intellectual labor and whether these works possess legal significance in terms of originality. These issues necessitate new interpretations and regulations within the legal field.

3. Legal Challenges and Analysis

3.1. Difficulty in Defining Authorship Rights

One of the core challenges posed by generative AI to intellectual property law is the determination of authorship rights. Traditional legal frameworks are built upon the assumption of human creators, whereas generative AI has the capability to create autonomously, making the identification of the author of a work a complex issue. Current laws, with a definition of originality that heavily leans towards human intellect, struggle to adapt to the scenario of independent AI creation, challenging traditional standards of originality. In situations where AI collaborates with humans in the creative process, the attribution of rights becomes even more intricate, involving a balance between technological contributions and human creativity. The anonymity and automation inherent in AI-generated works make traditional theories of authorship rights challenging to apply. The involvement of different copyright laws across jurisdictions in the case of transnational AI creation demands international coordination and unified legal interpretations to ensure equitable protection globally. The authorship issues brought about by generative AI challenge traditional legal concepts, calling for legal adaptations to accommodate new technological developments while balancing innovation and intellectual property protection.

3.2. Ambiguity of the Originality Standard

In intellectual property law, the standard of originality serves as the core for copyright protection, requiring works to reflect the author's independent intellectual effort and creativity. However, the development of generative artificial intelligence (AI) technology has introduced ambiguity into the legal interpretation of the originality standard. Generative AI engages in autonomous learning and creative processes through complex algorithms and large datasets. However, its works often rely on the analysis and imitation of existing creations, complicating the determination of compliance with the originality standard.

In traditional concepts, originality is closely tied to the creative intent and expression of human authors. Yet, under AI-generated creations, the question arises as to whether the works can exhibit a similar "personal creativity." Due to the absence of traditional subjective creative intent in AI-generated works, applying traditional standards of originality becomes challenging. With the continued development of AI technology, its role in the creative process may evolve from being a

tool to becoming a collaborator or even the primary creator, posing new challenges to the definition of originality.

3.3. Attribution of Infringement Liability

In the field of intellectual property law, generative AI technology has brought about a critical issue concerning the attribution of liability. As AI plays an increasingly significant role in creativity and invention, determining responsibility for intellectual property infringement becomes complex. Traditional legal frameworks typically attribute infringement liability to identifiable human actors, but in the context of AI, the attribution of responsibility becomes blurred. On one hand, as a non-human entity, AI's actions may exceed human control; on the other hand, the decision-making process of AI, based on complex algorithms and large datasets, makes it challenging to trace specific infringing actions. In this context, traditional legal frameworks may no longer be entirely applicable.

The primary question is whether responsibility for AI infringement should lie with the developer, owner, or user. Due to the autonomy and unpredictability of AI behavior, responsibility attribution needs to consider the intrinsic nature of AI. Secondly, the rapid advancement of AI technology has led to infringement behaviors beyond the scope of existing laws, and traditional standards of infringement liability may not be sufficient to address the issues. Therefore, new standards or mechanisms may be necessary to address the challenges posed by AI technology.

The application of AI across borders also raises international legal issues, as different countries may have varying legal provisions regarding AI infringement. International coordination and cooperation are required to address these disparities. In summary, the issue of responsibility for AI in intellectual property infringement requires careful consideration, potentially necessitating innovative legal concepts and solutions to ensure fair treatment of AI infringement while protecting intellectual property rights. This may include the development of specific liability rules for AI or adjustments to existing legal frameworks to accommodate AI technology. Through these measures, intellectual property law can remain effective and just in the era of AI, promoting technological innovation and societal progress.

4. Strategies and Recommendations

4.1. Necessary Updates to Legal Frameworks

The existing intellectual property legal systems, largely built on a traditional anthropocentric perspective, assuming humans as the creators and inventors, require adjustments and updates to adapt to the specific demands and challenges of the emerging field, especially with the rapid development of AI technology.

In the context of copyright law, traditional definitions of originality and authorship need reevaluation. Considering AI's involvement in creative processes, it is essential to explore the introduction of new legal definitions and standards to determine the extent to which AI participation meets originality requirements. This may involve explicit regulations on copyright attribution for AI-created works and mechanisms for handling copyright in works co-created by AI and humans.

In the field of patent law, a reassessment of the existing legal framework is necessary. This includes a reevaluation of the eligibility of patent applications for inventions directly generated or entirely created by AI. The current patent system is heavily rooted in the concept of human inventors; therefore, adjustments are required to clearly define the status of AI innovation in patent law, including whether AI should be allowed as an inventor and defining corresponding responsibilities and rights.

Additionally, as AI technology is increasingly applied in trademark design and trade secret domains, trademark and trade secret laws also need corresponding updates. This may involve determining the protection scope of trademarks created or assisted by AI and whether business information generated and processed by AI meets the standards for trade secret protection.

Another aspect to consider is the legal challenges brought about by the cross-border application of AI. Due to the global nature of AI technology and data flows, variations in intellectual property laws across different countries exist. Therefore, international coordination and uniformity in legal frameworks become crucial in the age of AI. This may require international treaties or agreements to ensure effective protection of intellectual property rights for AI-created works and inventions globally.

Finally, updates to legal frameworks must also account for the rapid technological advancements and future trends. In formulating or amending laws, careful consideration of the potential and direction of AI technology is essential, ensuring that the laws not only adapt to the current technological landscape but also anticipate future changes and challenges.

Updating the existing legal frameworks for intellectual property is a necessary step to accommodate the development of generative AI. This involves a comprehensive reassessment and adjustment of traditional legal concepts and provisions, considering the uniqueness and trends of AI technology, to ensure that the laws effectively protect intellectual property while fostering technological innovation and societal progress. This update is not solely the responsibility of individual nations or regions; it requires collective efforts and coordination on an international scale.

4.2. Balancing Innovation and Protection Strategies

In dealing with the challenges to intellectual property brought about by generative AI, it is crucial to formulate a balanced strategy that not only promotes innovation but also effectively safeguards intellectual property rights. This strategy must strike a suitable balance between encouraging technological development and protecting the rights of creators and inventors to ensure that the legal framework stimulates innovation without compromising the intrinsic value of creativity and intellectual property.

The key to fostering innovation lies in ensuring that the legal framework can adapt to the rapid development of emerging technologies. This implies that intellectual property laws should be flexible enough to accommodate the swift changes and diverse applications of AI technology. Legislators need to recognize the unique characteristics and innovative potential of AI technology and adjust relevant legal provisions accordingly. For instance, in copyright law, it may be considered to introduce new regulations to clarify the copyright ownership and usage permissions of AI-generated works. In patent law, exploring specialized protection mechanisms or procedures for AI innovations could be discussed.

The emphasis in protecting intellectual property should be on preserving the originality and creativity of creation and invention. This includes ensuring that AI creative activities do not infringe upon existing intellectual property rights and safeguarding the legitimate rights of human creators in the face of AI technology. In this regard, the law should provide clear guidance and regulations to prevent the misuse of AI technology for copyright or patent infringement, while ensuring that human creators are not unduly affected or restricted by AI-generated creations.

Balancing strategies should also take into account the international and global applicability of intellectual property laws. Due to the transnational nature of AI technology and related intellectual property issues, resolving potential conflicts and differences requires international cooperation and legal coordination. This might involve explicitly stating rules on AI-generated intellectual property in international treaties or coordinating different countries' legal policies through multilateral organizations to ensure consistency and fairness on a global scale.

Additionally, balanced strategies should encompass considerations for public interests and the promotion of innovation. When formulating intellectual property laws, the broad impact of AI technology on society, economy, and culture should be taken into account. For example, exceptions for fair use could be set in copyright and patent laws to promote education, research, and innovation while protecting the public's rights to access information and technology.

Finally, to achieve a balance between innovation and protection, continuous monitoring of the development of AI technology and its impact on the intellectual property system is necessary. This includes ongoing evaluation and adjustment of relevant legal provisions based on academic research,

policy analysis, and feedback from legal practice. Through such a dynamic process of legal adjustments, intellectual property laws can not only reflect the current technological reality but also anticipate and adapt to future changes.

Achieving a balance between innovation and protection requires legal drafters, scholars, and practitioners to adopt flexible and forward-looking legal strategies based on an understanding of the characteristics of AI technology. This involves not only adjusting and improving existing legal frameworks but also focusing on the practical application of legal provisions and their impact on technological innovation, creators' rights, and public interests. Through such a comprehensive strategy, a fair and healthy innovation environment can be maintained while encouraging innovation in AI technology and protecting intellectual property.

5. Conclusion

This study delves into a series of challenges and strategies arising from generative AI technology within the framework of intellectual property law. The concluding section synthesizes research findings from various perspectives, summarizes the outcomes, and proposes future research directions.

From a technological development perspective, generative AI, as a rapidly advancing technology, has demonstrated immense potential in the creative domain. Its emergence challenges traditional intellectual property legal frameworks, particularly in areas such as defining authorship, originality standards, and attribution of infringement liability. These challenges necessitate a reexamination and adjustment of existing intellectual property laws by legislators and practitioners to adapt to the development of this emerging technology.

From a legal standpoint, this paper emphasizes the necessity of updating and improving the intellectual property legal framework. To address the challenges posed by AI, more flexible and adaptive legal provisions are required. This includes but is not limited to redefining copyright and patent laws, as well as corresponding adjustments to trademark and trade secret laws. Additionally, international collaboration and legal coordination need to be considered to address the global nature of AI technology.

From the perspective of balancing innovation and protection strategies, this paper discusses the importance of finding a balance between encouraging technological innovation and protecting intellectual property rights. It is crucial to ensure that the legal framework stimulates innovation in the field of AI while also safeguarding the legitimate rights of creators and inventors, taking into account public interests and societal well-being.

Generative AI technology presents unprecedented challenges to the intellectual property legal system. Addressing these challenges requires not only the collective efforts of legal drafters and practitioners but also interdisciplinary collaboration and international cooperation. Through continuous research and practice, we can better understand and respond to the impact of this emerging technology on intellectual property law, thereby promoting technological innovation while protecting intellectual property and maintaining a fair and just legal environment.

6. References

- [1] Aulia C, Nugraha E, Parlindungan R B. The Copyright Responsibilities of Artificial Intelligence in the Digital Age [J]. *Indonesia Law Reform Journal*, 2023, 3(2): 145-154.
- [2] Geiger C. When the robots (try to) take over: of artificial intelligence, authors, creativity and copyright protection [M]//*Innovation-Creation-Markets*, Festschrift Reto M. Hilty. Springer, 2024: 1-21.
- [3] Ryoo W O, Lee S Y, Jung S I. Analysis of Copyright and Licensing Issues in Artificial Intelligence [J]. *Electronics and Telecommunications Trends*, 2023, 38(6): 84-94.
- [4] Abdallah M, Salah M. Artificial Intelligence and Intellectual Properties: Legal and Ethical Considerations [J]. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering*, 2024, 12(1): 368-376.

- [5] Vescovo S. Rise of the Machines: The Future of Intellectual Property Rights in the Age of Artificial Intelligence[J]. Brooklyn Law Review, 2023, 89(1): 221.
- [6] Ananto M M. Legal Position Artificial Intelligence Art Generator in Copyright Law [J]. JISIP (Jurnal Ilmu Sosial dan Pendidikan), 2023, 7(4): 2938-2947.
- [7] Han T. On the Copyright of Content Generated by Artificial Intelligence [C]//SHS Web of Conferences. EDP Sciences, 2023, 178: 01019.
- [8] Hardman B, Housel J. A Sui Generis Approach to the Protection of AI-Generated Works: Balancing Innovation and Authorship [J]. Available at SSRN 4557004, 2023.
- [9] Xu J. The Boundaries of Copyright Protection for Deep Learning Technologies of Artificial Intelligence [C]//2023 4th International Conference on Big Data, Artificial Intelligence and Internet of Things Engineering (ICBAIE). IEEE, 2023: 209-213.
- [10] Abbott R. Artificial intelligence, big data and intellectual property: protecting computer generated works in the United Kingdom[M]//Research handbook on intellectual property and digital technologies. Edward Elgar Publishing, 2020: 322-337.
- [11] Drott E. Copyright, compensation, and commons in the music AI industry [J]. Creative Industries Journal, 2021, 14(2): 190-207.
- [12] Chesterman S. Artificial intelligence and the limits of legal personality [J]. International & Comparative Law Quarterly, 2020, 69(4): 819-844.
- [13] Dornis T W. Artificial intelligence and innovation: The end of patent law as we know it [J]. Yale JL & Tech., 2020, 23: 97.
- [14] Yara O, Brazheyev A, Golovko L, et al. Legal regulation of the use of artificial intelligence: Problems and development prospects [J]. European Journal of Sustainable Development, 2021, 10(1): 281-281.
- [15] Stahl B C. From computer ethics and the ethics of AI towards an ethics of digital ecosystems [J]. AI and Ethics, 2022, 2(1): 65-77.
- [16] Deltorn J M. The elusive intellectual property protection of trained machine learning models: a European perspective [M]//Research Handbook on Intellectual Property and Artificial Intelligence. Edward Elgar Publishing, 2022: 84-112.