Green Trade Barriers in Global Play: Legal Challenges and Strategic Opportunities for Developing Countries

Ruotong Zhao *

Department of International Business School Suzhou at XJTLU, Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, Suzhou, China

* Corresponding Author Email: Ruotong.Zhao23@student.xjtlu.edu.cn

Abstract. This paper examines the challenges and strategic responses of developing countries to green trade barriers within the framework of global economic integration. Green trade barriers, primarily non-tariff measures established by developed countries for environmental protection, pose significant challenges to the international market entry of products from developing countries, affecting their economic growth and trade dynamics. This study explores how developing countries can leverage international environmental agreements, ISO 14000 standards, and legal reforms to mitigate these barriers. It emphasizes the importance of enhancing environmental standards, fostering international cooperation, and advocating for transparent legal frameworks to align with global environmental practices. The paper also discusses the role of organizations like APEC and the impact of agreements such as the Environmental Goods Agreement in harmonizing standards and reducing trade disputes. By adopting comprehensive strategies and embracing a shift towards a green economy, developing countries can not only overcome these barriers but also enhance their competitiveness in the global market.

Keywords: Green Trade Barriers; developing countries; International Environmental Agreements; ISO 14000; APEC.

1. Introduction

In the process of globalization, international trade is widely regarded as a key factor driving economic prosperity in countries around the world. Although committed to free trade, developing countries still face persistent emerging trade barriers, especially the severe challenges brought by green trade barriers. "Green trade barriers" usually refer to the non-tariff measures system established by developed countries under the pretext of environmental protection and sustainable development. The core of these barriers is to restrict or prohibit imports from specific countries in order to achieve both economic and environmental goals [1]. Green trade barriers, as an important means of trade restriction, pose obstacles to the entry of products from developing countries into the international market, constraining their economic growth and trade circulation.

Confronted with increasing global environmental consciousness, developing countries need to actively confront green trade barriers and seek innovative strategies and solutions, as developed countries enhance environmental regulations and champion technological advancements to mitigate pollution and encourage low-carbon growth [2]. This escalation in standards places substantial pressure on developing countries to elevate their environmental compliance and keep pace with global benchmarks, which often disproportionately target their exported goods. The resulting trade barriers significantly curtail their market access, adversely impacting their economic trade dynamics. Developing economies are thus dual-burdened in their export expansion efforts: they must rigorously conform to environmental mandates while simultaneously fostering green growth to harmonize environmental conservation with economic prosperity [3].

The core objective of this study is to thoroughly explore the challenges and untapped opportunities faced by developing countries in combating green trade barriers, with a particular focus on the role of key mechanisms such as the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC), the Environmental Goods Agreement (EGA) and ISO 14000 [4] international environmental management system certification. APEC unites 21 diverse economies into a cohesive regional economic integration bloc, where varying levels of development and extensive environmental trade policies are represented. As

critical components of the international trade architecture, these mechanisms play a significant role in enabling developing countries to surmount green barriers, which are essential for broadening their global market integration. Through the lens of theoretical analysis and empirical research, this research offers strategic insights and practical recommendations to assist developing countries in overcoming these hurdles, capitalizing on opportunities, and enhancing their integration into the global economy to foster deeper international cooperation.

This paper is structured into five main parts: Part 1, the introduction, sets the stage by defining green trade barriers and highlighting their impact on international trade dynamics. Part 2 examines the current situation and challenges faced by developing countries, detailing the specific barriers they encounter and the roles played by various international mechanisms such as APEC and the Environmental Goods Agreement. Part 3 delves into a deeper analysis, exploring the implications of these trade barriers on the economic and environmental strategies of developing countries. Part 4 proposes strategic pathways and practical solutions to overcome these barriers, emphasizing legal frameworks, policy adaptations, and international cooperation. Finally, Part 5 concludes with a synthesis of the findings and recommendations for future strategies.

2. Current Situation and Challenges

2.1. Green Trade Barriers Facing Developing Countries

As global integration deepens, developed countries increasingly leverage green trade barriers to protect their economic interests amid mounting competition from developing nations. Traditional tariff restrictions are gradually being replaced by green trade barriers such as environmental protection-oriented non-tariff barriers, used as new trade protection measures. To maintain domestic ecological balance, developed economies frequently implement environmental subsidies alongside stringent import regulations that can directly prohibit the entry of goods failing to meet environmental standards. Strategically, developed countries deploy environmental barriers to cleverly restrict market entry by foreign competitors, thus shielding domestic industries from the impacts of intense international competition [5].

Furthermore, green trade barriers encompass environmental subsidies that support domestic industries in developed countries, enhancing their competitiveness under the guise of sustainability. Such measures not only restrict the entry of non-compliant foreign products but also solidify economic fortifications against rising imports from developing countries. These barriers serve dual purposes: protecting local jobs and industries while promoting environmental objectives, albeit at the cost of distorting international trade dynamics [6]. Although it may stimulate the industrial development of developed countries in the short term, in the long run, this may disrupt the balance of international trade and pose potential risks to developing countries' interests. It might also touch upon the bottom line of World Trade Organization (WTO) regulations.

2.2. The Role of APEC in Overcoming Green Trade Barriers

The APEC Center for Economic Integration and Environment focuses on close cooperation among member countries, with the goal of jointly addressing external challenges and achieving a win-win strategy of free trade and sustainable development of the ecological environment. As global multipolarity and economic globalization deepen, environmental issues transcend borders and have a significant impact on regional socio-economic development. In the face of the current environment, APEC member economies deeply recognize that achieving a balance between economic uplift and ecological protection is crucial for sustainable development. To achieve this goal, APEC has launched an innovative initiative that combines economic growth and environmental protection by eliminating trade barriers, promoting free trade within the region, and actively advocating for green growth models.

The core of the initiative is to reduce environmental pollution and resource waste, achieve sustainable use of resources, improve environmental management efficiency, strictly enforce

environmental regulations, and pursue a balance between economic prosperity and ecological protection. In pursuing various goals, APEC's action plans adhere to key principles. APEC member countries need to actively fulfill their international commitments to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change and the Paris Agreement [7], collectively addressing global environmental challenges. APEC advocates using scientific evidence and innovative technology to promote the implementation of environmental protection policies, with the goal of minimizing any potential negative impact on economic growth from environmental measures. APEC focuses on cooperation and information sharing among member economies in the field of environmental protection, aiming to enhance collective efforts to address common environmental challenges.

Although APEC has clear advantages and a well-coordinated framework for integrating environmental protection with the economy, it still faces many challenges in actual implementation. In the early stages, APEC member countries may face challenges in achieving unified planning and goals for environmental policies due to differences in economic development stages and obvious divergent interests. The delicate balance between environmental goals and economic growth is a long-term challenge, in which the implementation of environmental policies often poses temporary pressures on economic growth in the short term [8].

2.3. Impact of Environmental Goods Agreements on Developing Countries

The impact of Environmental Goods Agreements on developing countries is profound, reflecting a growing international concern for environmental protection that has been escalating since the 1990s. As issues like global climate change and environmental pollution have worsened, there has been a significant shift in how major economies approach the intersection of trade policies and environmental protection. Developments in technologies related to renewable energy and pollution control have not only spurred opportunities for the trade of these environmentally friendly products but have also influenced global trade policies.

In 2014, in response to the widespread global consensus on environmental protection, China and 14 other key economies including the United States jointly launched negotiations for an "Environmental Goods Agreement." Its core purpose is to eliminate or reduce environmental product tariffs and barriers, promote the circulation of such products and technologies on a global scale, in order to drive the growth of the green economy. The main issues of the protocol negotiations include a variety of environmental products, such as renewable energy facilities, pollution control equipment and environmental monitoring devices. Representatives from various countries reached a broad consensus: reducing tariffs on such goods will promote trade growth and stimulate technological innovation and widespread application, thereby effectively contributing to the achievement of global environmental goals.

Despite the promising objectives, the negotiations experienced stalls, but a critical preliminary consensus was finally achieved in 2016. According to this consensus, the involved parties are committed to gradually eliminating or completely exempting tariffs on approximately 200 environmental protection products within three to five years. Once fully implemented, this agreement will significantly enhance the smoothness and economic benefits of global trade in environmentally friendly products.

Although the agreement helps to improve the environmental standards of enterprises, there are many challenges in its implementation for developing countries. These agreements often require high initial investment and ongoing operating costs, which are a major burdens for developing countries with limited resources. In addition, technology scarcity and limited management capacity are also difficult problems.

2.4. The Importance of ISO14000 in Combating Green Trade Barriers

The ISO14000 series of standards provide a comprehensive environmental management framework for businesses, with the goal of inspiring companies to improve their environmental practices and successfully meet the environmental entry barriers in international trade, known as

green barriers. The objective of this standard is to guide enterprises in establishing and implementing efficient environmental management systems, ensuring that their production activities strictly comply with environmental laws and standards, thereby enhancing the eco-friendliness of their products. The globally adopted ISO 14000 series of environmental management standards, followed by companies, can win international recognition and trust, thereby preventing potential trade barriers caused by environmental issues.

Following the ISO14000 series standards can significantly enhance the competitive advantage of enterprises [9]. Companies that comply with ISO14000 can more effectively produce environmentally friendly products that meet the growing demand for sustainable goods. This compliance not only fulfills their environmental responsibilities but also aligns them with the evolving trends of green trade, where consumers and governments are increasingly favoring products that contribute to environmental conservation.

3. In-depth Analysis Green Trade Barriers

3.1. Economic Impacts of Green Trade Barriers on Key Export Sectors

Green trade barriers, characterized by stringent environmental standards and certification requirements, have significantly impacted developing countries. A prime example is China, the world's largest apple producer and exporter. However, after China joined the WTO, member countries such as Japan and the United States strengthened their environmental regulations and implemented green trade standards, which significantly constrained Chinese exports of apples. An analysis using a gravity model of the apple export trends from China to Japan between 2003 and 2012 illustrates that these green trade standards have led to notable declines in both export volume and production [10].

The Chinese tea industry faces similar hurdles. The export of Chinese tea to overseas markets such as the European Union has been obstructed by stringent international standards on pesticide residues and organic certifications [11]. Due to strict requirements of green trade barriers, imported goods must comply with environmental protection and certification regulations. The Chinese tea industry may not fully meet international standards in pesticide use, organic certification, and packaging quality which poses a significant challenge for China's tea exports. Moreover, green trade barriers compel importing countries to enhance their environmental quality requirements for goods. This escalation has forced the Chinese tea industry to increase its environmental responsibility efforts, such as promoting organic agriculture, reducing the use of chemical fertilizers, and adopting green packaging practices. The overarching goal is to steer the industry towards more sustainable practices, aiming for structural improvements and a greener development trajectory [12].

3.2. National Perspectives on the Environmental Goods Agreement

The smooth operation of the International Environmental Agreement (IEA) [13] is crucial for strengthening international cooperation. Research shows that the joint implementation of environmental policies and international trade strategies has a significant synergistic effect on energy conservation, emissions reduction, and social welfare improvement. Countries can join such agreements to simultaneously enhance environmental standards and drive the innovative development of local green technologies through technology transfer and knowledge sharing platforms.

There is a growing global awareness of environmental protection, which has led to profound structural transformations in the trade strategies of countries through the Environmental Product Agreements. Countries are increasingly incorporating environmental protection into trade agreements to address global environmental issues such as climate change and acid rain, thereby enhancing the international competitiveness of domestic industries. Meanwhile, the WTO clearly emphasizes in its multilateral environmental agreements that trade rules are not isolated from environmental protection and advocates promoting the implementation of environmental goals through trade mechanisms. This

mechanism effectively manages and solves environmental externalities issues while enhancing the green performance of countries by promoting free trade in environmentally friendly products [14].

However, the implementation of the Environmental Goods Agreement encounters considerable challenges due to varying national understandings of what constitutes environmental products, which greatly complicates the negotiation process. While trade agreements that incorporate non-trade aspects theoretically aim to enhance performance in related areas, their practical effectiveness varies widely. Therefore, the design and implementation of Environmental Goods Agreement must be adapted to each country's unique national conditions and priorities in order to ensure their efficiency and long-term sustainability and its role in mitigating green trade barriers.

3.3. The Strengths and Problems of APEC

As an international organization covering diverse economies, APEC platform has efficiently facilitated the exchange and cooperation of environmental protection issues among member countries. The core goal of this platform is to strengthen cooperation among member countries in the formulation and implementation of environmental policies, with a special focus on addressing transboundary environmental challenges. APEC has effectively enhanced the efficiency and effectiveness of its member countries in environmental management through activities such as sharing innovative environmental practices, organizing seminars and workshops. This system is essential for managing the complexities of green trade barriers, promoting fair and balanced international environmental governance.

However, APEC faces several political and economic challenges that impact its effectiveness:

First, the issue of free rides in open areas. APEC firmly upholds the spirit of open regional cooperation, and is committed to promoting the universal implementation of free trade. It is a driving force for regional economic integration. Openness brings opportunities, but it can also lead to free riding: some countries may take advantage of other countries' open measures without fulfilling equivalent openness commitments. This dynamic not only threatens the fair image of APEC, but also erodes the foundation of trust among member countries [15].

Second, the challenge of achieving policy coordination. The level of economic development, political system and cultural diversity among APEC member economies present significant complexities for the planning and implementation of collective policies. Countries show significant differences in the adoption of environmental standards and technical specifications. Therefore, a major challenge facing APEC is to build a policy framework that takes into account the unique needs of all members and is operationally practical. In the coordination of interests among APEC member economies, there is often a challenge to strike a "common bottom line": how to balance meeting the demands of individual countries while respecting the rights and interests of all nations [16]. This poses a practical test for APEC's long-term stability and progress.

Third, the impact of voluntary participation. The key feature of APEC's cooperation model is non-binding, with member economies enjoying a high degree of autonomy to decide which specific activities or projects they wish to participate in. Although the principle of voluntary cooperation reflects respect for national sovereignty among member states, it may also affect collaboration efficiency due to its flexibility. Due to the lack of an enforcement mechanism, key collaborative projects may encounter difficulties in progressing, which could threaten the achievement of APEC's overall goals.

Each of these elements shows how crucial APEC's role is in mediating and facilitating strategies to combat green trade barriers, despite the inherent challenges in coordination and policy implementation.

4. Strategic Approaches for Overcoming Green Trade Barriers

4.1. Leveraging ISO 14000 Standards in Developing Countries Enterprises

Developing countries face a pressing need to adopt and implement of ISO 14000 international environmental management standards as a strategic response to green trade barriers. ISO 14001, as a globally recognized environmental management standard, inspires companies to go beyond regulatory requirements and encourages the implementation of more proactive environmental protection measures. Therefore, enterprises should actively pursue and achieve ISO 14000 certification, which not only strengthens environmental management effectiveness but also is a key strategy to break through green trade barriers.

In the overseas expansion of enterprises, it is essential to closely monitor the environmental regulations and dynamics of the target market, especially when environmental standards such as ISO 14001 have become mainstream. Enterprises urgently need to adjust their environmental management strategies to meet increasingly strict market acceptance requirements.

Moreover, enterprises and institutions should promote the establishment of a fair and transparent international technical standard framework to ensure that developing economies and small businesses have equal rights in standard-setting. This effort should also strengthen environmental responsibility supervision in the enforcement process to promote global fairness and openness.

Agricultural enterprises, especially, should actively promote the concept of ecological agriculture and take practical actions, focusing on the development and promotion of green products. By obtaining internationally recognized quality and environmental certifications, the company has rigorously monitored its products and production processes, effectively eliminating green trade barriers [17]. Committed to the development of environmentally friendly ecological agriculture and green food, we have not only met the growing global demand for sustainable products from consumers but also enhanced our company's competitiveness in international markets.

In a word, to remain competitive and proactive, companies must continually monitor the global landscape of green trade practices, engaging in ongoing market research and analysis to anticipate and effectively address emerging green trade barriers. This proactive approach helps companies adapt to global trade dynamics, ensuring long-term sustainability and success in international markets.

4.2. Proposing Legal Reform in Developing Countries

Developing countries urgently need to reform their legal frameworks to comply with international environmental standards in order to effectively overcome green trade barriers. The current push for amending environmental protection legislation aims to establish stricter regulations and enhance the transparency and effectiveness of law enforcement. By establishing a sound legal system, enterprises can clarify their codes of conduct, thoroughly understand, and adhere to international environmental standards, thereby effectively avoiding potential trade restrictions due to non-compliance.

Additionally, improving the transparency of environmental protection regulations and ensuring their strict implementation are the core strategies to break down green trade barriers. It is urgent to strengthen the efficiency of environmental protection regulatory agencies in developing countries to ensure the effective enforcement of environmental laws. The primary task is to enhance the professional skills and knowledge level of regulatory agencies, emphasize the active participation and supervisory role of the public, and effectively utilize modern information technology to improve regulatory efficiency. Strengthening the rule of law, openness, transparency, and strict implementation can enhance international confidence in environmental protection measures in developing countries, thereby reducing unnecessary trade restrictions.

4.3. Strengthening Multilateral Cooperation and International Dialogue

Developing countries need to actively embrace international environmental agreements (IEAs) and the World Trade Organization (WTO), along with other global trade frameworks, in order to promote collaboration, consensus-building, and drive shared prosperity. Developing countries have

successfully facilitated the efficient transfer of technology and knowledge through these international platforms, playing a decisive role in shaping global environmental policy-making. Empirical research has confirmed that the stability of international environmental agreements plays a critical role in reducing emissions and improving social welfare. Developing countries can utilize such platforms to promote the harmonization of international environmental standards, thereby eliminating trade barriers caused by differences in standards [18].

The model of international cooperation has revealed its remarkable achievements in breaking down green trade barriers, such as by signing international environmental agreements and jointly formulating and implementing environmental standards to effectively alleviate unreasonable trade restrictions in developing regions. This mode of cooperation significantly improves environmental protection efficiency, promotes technology exchange and knowledge sharing among member countries, and directly helps developing countries improve environmental product standards, thereby reducing the risk of encountering green trade barriers.

5. Conclusion

In the process of global economic integration, developing countries are facing a severe test of green trade barriers. This impact challenges economic growth, industrial structure, and international trade frameworks, while also testing the difficult task of achieving delicate strategic harmony between environmental protection and economic development. Facing this severe challenge, developing countries urgently need to adopt diversified coping strategies and deeply explore forward-looking strategic plans for future development.

Green trade barriers urge developing countries to enhance environmental standards for exports, which improves environmental management systems and raises corporate environmental awareness. However, these enhanced standards can increase production costs and reduce the competitiveness of exports, potentially restricting market access and escalating trade disputes. These issues underscore the importance of international cooperation and legal reforms in mitigating the effects of green trade barriers. Effective international collaboration through environmental agreements can help harmonize standards and reduce barriers, while robust legal frameworks ensure compliance with global environmental norms.

Looking forward, the strategic focus for developing countries should be a profound shift towards a green economy. This includes increasing investments in green industries, promoting research and application of environmental technologies, and adjusting industrial structures to support sustainable development. Additionally, enhancing environmental education and raising public awareness about environmental protection are crucial for fostering a culture of sustainability. Moreover, developing countries should actively engage in shaping the global environmental governance framework, not only to influence the creation and implementation of international environmental agreements but also to enhance cooperation with other nations.

Reference

[1] FU Jing-xuan. Green Trade Barriers and China’s Respense Strategies. Jiangsu Business Review,2024,(03):44-48.

[2] FERNANDES C I,VEIGA P M, FERREIRA J J M,et al. Green growth versus economic growth:do sustainable technology transfer and innovat ions lead to an imperfect choice?[J]. Business Strategy and the Environment, 2021(4):2021-2037.

[3] ZHU Wen-yu, FANG Xiao-han. RCEP Vision of China-Asean Geographical Indications Cooperation Choice Path[J]. Journal of Northeast Forestry University,2024,38(03):54-61.

[4] Bishwanath Goldar, Piyali Majumder, What factors drive industrial plants in emerging economies to adopt ISO 14000 environmental management standards: Evidence from the Indian organized manufacturing sector,Environmental Development,Volume 44,2022,100771,ISSN 2211-4645

[5] Jaime de Melo, Jean-Marc Solleder,Barriers to trade in environmental goods: How important they are and what should developing countries expect from their removal,World Development,Volume 130,2020,104910,ISSN 0305-750X

[6] LIU Hai-tao. Discussion on "Green barriers" in international economy and trade [J]. Shopping Mall Modernization,2019,(01):46-47.

[7] Chris Hohne, Christian Kahmann, Mathis Lohaus; Continuity and Change in Norm Translations After the Paris Agreement: From First to Second Nationally Determined Contributions. Global Environmental Politics 2024; 24 (2): 69-97.

[8] LIU Ting, LI Jun. The difficulties and solutions of developing countries facing environmental trade barriers [J]. China Market,2009,(31):10-11.

[9] Bishwanath G .ICT Investment, Adoption of ISO 14000 Certification, and Export Performance in Indian Manufacturing Plants[J].Journal of Quantitative Economics,2023,21(3):523-553.

[10] Shu-wan, Yan. “Impacts of green trade barriers on apple export in China——An empirical study on the export to Japan.” Guangdong Agricultural Sciences (2015): n. pag.

[11] MOU, Suitao. The Impact of Green Trade Barriers on Chinese Tea Exports: A Gravity Model Study. Modern Economy, 2023, 14.12: 1849-1864.

[12] XUE, Jiayi. The Impact of Green Trade Barriers on China's Agricultural Export Trade and Countermeasures--Take the Tea Export Trade of Fujian Province as an Example. Asian Business Research, 2022, 7.3: 14.

[13] SARTZETAKIS, Eftichios S. International environmental agreements and trading blocks-can issue linkage enhance cooperation?. 2018.

[14] XIE Meng-xiang. Study on the green reciprocity clauses in the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement[D]. Zhongnan University of Economics and Law,2022.

[15] KIYOTA, Kozo; STERN, Robert M. Computational Analysis of APEC Trade Liberalization. 2008.

[16] VU, Van-Hoa; SOONG, Jenn-Jaw; NGUYEN, Khac-Nghia. The political economy of China’s rising role in the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC): Strategies and instruments of the Chinese way. The Chinese Economy, 2022, 55.4: 255-267.

[17] Bishwanath G .ICT Investment, Adoption of ISO 14000 Certification, and Export Performance in Indian Manufacturing Plants[J].Journal of Quantitative Economics,2023,21(3):523-553.

[18] GANI, Azmat. International cooperation, production side emissions and environmental sustainability. International Journal of Social Economics, 2023, 50.6: 860-875.