

Disability Rights Legislation Comparison: China and Canada

Yisa Liu *

Burlington Central High school, Burlington, Canada

* Corresponding Author Email: 1liuyis@hdsb.ca

Abstract. This paper makes a comprehensive comparative study of disability rights legislation in China and Canada. The report carefully examines the differences between the two countries in terms of the definition of disability, the legal basis for protection, and existing legislation. Through in-depth case studies, it reveals the challenges encountered in the realization of the rights of persons with disabilities. Then, it puts forward the corresponding solutions. A comparison shows that while China has made great progress in this area, Canada's system appears to be more mature and more centered on disability rights. However, both countries face challenges that require the joint efforts of the government, society and the people. This paper aims to provide valuable insights and feasible suggestions for strengthening the legal protection and realization of the rights of persons with disabilities in both countries. It stressed the importance of a comprehensive legal framework, strong law enforcement, public awareness and equal opportunities to ensure the full inclusion of persons with disabilities in society and guarantee their equal rights.

Keywords: The comparison of disability rights legislation; The Rights of People with Disabilities; Canada; China.

1. Introduction

The protection and promotion of disability rights have experienced growth in global attention. This paper centers around the legal frameworks in China and Canada, two countries with diverse political systems and social structures, both working to protect disability rights. A key Canadian case is the landmark *Moore v. British Columbia (Education)*, in which the Supreme Court of Canada ruled in favor of a student with dyslexia, emphasizing that denial of an appropriate education for persons with disabilities constitutes discrimination. In China, the case of Li Jinsheng, a teacher with a disability whose employment rights were violated, sparked a discussion about the lack of legal protection for people with disabilities.

By examining these cases and the broader legal environment, this paper emphasizes the importance of a strong legal framework consistent with international standards, such as The UN Convention regarding the Rights of Individuals with Disabilities (CRPD). Through a comparative analysis, the paper aims to identify strengths, gaps, and possible solutions in the legislation of both countries.

2. Analysis of the Legal Basis for the Protection of Persons with Disabilities

2.1. Differences in the Definition of Disability

The definition understanding the importance of disability is vital for the legal basis of disability protection. In China, disability is mainly based on a medical definition, which is stipulated in the Law on the Protection of Persons with Disabilities [1]. This approach to definition focuses on an individual's physical or mental condition and determines the scope and degree of disability from a medical perspective.

Canada, on the other hand, adopts a broader, rights-based definition derived from the social model of disability. This definition emphasizes social barriers rather than medical conditions, implying a shift in focus from the impairments of persons with disabilities themselves to the barriers imposed on them by their social environment. This way of defining disability is more conducive to promoting changes in society and removing barriers to realizing the equal rights of persons with disabilities.

2.2. Legal Basis for Disability Protection in China

The main legal framework for disability protection in China is the Law on the Protection of Persons with Disabilities (2008) [2]. The Act provides a wide range of protections for persons with disabilities in various key areas, including education, employment, and accessibility. It plays an important role in ensuring that people with disabilities receive the necessary support and opportunities. However, this kind of law enforcement mechanism is often criticized as insufficient for a series of reasons, such as insufficient publicity of law, insufficient publicity of law, and incomplete cooperation mechanism of departments. And there are significant regional differences in the implementation of this law. This means that the effectiveness and extent of protection provided may vary from region to region.

2.3. Legal Basis for the Protection of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities in Canada

The protection of the rights of persons with disabilities in Canada is built on a multi-layered legal framework covering the Constitution, national human rights laws, specialized legislation, and international treaties. First, the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms provides equal protection at the constitutional level, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of disability [3]. Second, the Canadian Human Rights Act further guarantees equal treatment for persons with disabilities in areas such as employment, education, and housing, emphasizing the principle of “reasonable accommodation” and ensuring that institutions make reasonable adjustments to accommodate the needs of persons with disabilities [4]. The Accessible Canada Act passed in 2019, represents Canada's most recent effort to advance an accessible society [5]. Establishes specific goals and implementation mechanisms aimed at achieving a fully accessible social environment. In addition, provincial legislation such as Ontario's Accessible Ontario Act provides more specific legal support to provinces. Canada's commitment to upholding the rights of individuals with disabilities on a global scale is demonstrated through its endorsement of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities [1].

2.4. Comparison and Insights into the Legal Foundations of the Two Countries

2.4.1 Comparison

China's definition of disability focuses on medical standards, and the scope of protection is relatively narrow. Canada's rights-based definition focuses on removing social barriers and changing social attitudes toward persons with disabilities. Canada's legal system is multi-layered and diversified, providing more comprehensive protection for the rights of persons with disabilities; China mainly relies on the Law on the Protection of Persons with Disabilities, and there is room for improvement in the richness of its legal system [2]. Canadian law enforcement emphasizes the setting of goals and mechanisms. In contrast, China's enforcement mechanisms are lacking and have regional disparities. There is a need to strengthen supervision and law enforcement and to narrow regional gaps.

2.4.2 Insights

China can learn from Canada's rights-based definition of disability, focusing on eliminating social barriers and expanding the scope of protection for persons with disabilities. It should improve laws and regulations, build a multi-level and diversify legal system, and enhance its systematicity and operability. Strengthen the implementation of the Law on the Protection of Persons with Disabilities and other laws, improve the monitoring mechanism, narrow regional differences, and promote balanced development. Promoting social participation, strengthening publicity and education, raising awareness of respect, encouraging participation from all sectors, and creating an inclusive and equal environment.

3. Comparison of Current Chinese and Canadian legislation

3.1. Existing Legislation in China

China's legal framework is constantly evolving and improving. Important policies include the Law on the Protection of Persons with Disabilities and the Regulations on the Employment of Persons with Disabilities [6,7]. These legal instruments play a vital role in ensuring the protection of the rights and welfare of individuals with disabilities. However, some critics have pointed out that while such laws exist, they often lack the necessary enforcement mechanisms and comprehensive monitoring systems to guarantee full compliance. This means that while the intentions behind these laws are noble and important, there is still much room for improvement in terms of their effective implementation and compliance in practice.

3.2. Existing Legislation in Canada

Canada is renowned for having robust legal provisions that are specifically designed to safeguard the rights of persons with disabilities. This includes not only federal human rights legislation but also provincial-level statutes. For instance, the Canada Accessibility Act and the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) are prime examples of provincial acts that vividly reflect Canada's highly proactive stance when it comes to eradicating systemic barriers that persons with disabilities often face [5,8]. These acts play a vital function in guaranteeing that people with disabilities are provided with equitable opportunities to engage in all facets of life, from public spaces to employment opportunities and more.

3.3. Comparative Analysis

The comparative analysis clearly showcases that Canada adopts a more comprehensive and rights-based approach when contrasted with China's medical-based model. In both Canada and China, significant progress has been achieved. Canada's framework offers superior enforcement and strong institutional support for the actualization of rights. On the other hand, China's system is predominantly centered around social welfare. Moreover, it is noted that China's system does not furnish adequate legal remedies for individuals who are confronted with discrimination.

4. Case Studies

4.1. Relevant Case Studies in China: Li Jinsheng Case

Under China's legal framework, the Law on the Protection of Persons with Disabilities is the main law protecting the basic rights of persons with disabilities. However, despite the fact that the law makes it clear that persons with disabilities enjoy equal rights to education, employment and other rights, there are still more barriers and discrimination in practice.

The Li Jinsheng case clearly reflects the challenges in implementing the law. Although the PWD Act ensures equal treatment for individuals with disabilities in the workplace, preventing any form of discriminatory practices, in practice, individual organizations still make unfair decisions based on disability. Li Jinsheng, a person with a disability who was qualified for a teaching position, was denied renewal of his employment due to his medical condition, and the court ultimately ruled that he had been discriminated against and demanded that he be reinstated. This case reflects the shortcomings of Chinese law in protecting the employment rights of persons with disabilities. That is, while the law exists, its implementation and monitoring still needs to be improved.

This case reveals the complexity of defending the rights of persons with disabilities in China and the limitations of legal protection in reality. Despite the progress made in China's legislation, differences in the implementation of the law in different regions and the lack of public awareness still result in discriminatory acts such as the Li Jinsheng case occurring from time to time.

4.2. Relevant Case Studies in Canada: Moore v. British Columbia (Education)

Compared with China, Canada's legal system is relatively more complete in terms of the protection of the rights of persons with disabilities. The Canadian Constitution and the Human Rights Act explicitly prohibit discrimination, and institutional barriers are further removed through the Accessible Canada Act [4,5,9]. In addition to legislative completeness, the Canadian judicial system also attaches great importance to the specific ensuring the safeguarding of the privileges possessed by individuals with disabilities.

The Moore case is an important decision of the Supreme Court of Canada in the field of education. The case involved the failure of students with disabilities to receive appropriate special education supports in schools, which the Court ultimately found to be discriminatory against persons with disabilities. The case is significant because it emphasizes the obligation of the education system to provide “effective” supports, not just formal equal opportunity. This reflects the deep concern for the rights of persons with disabilities in Canadian law in practice and highlights the country’s maturity in the area of rights protection [10].

This case demonstrates that Canada’s legal framework is reflected not only in policy development, but also in judicial decisions, demonstrating the country's strong commitment to ensuring equal opportunities for individuals with disabilities.

4.3. Comparative Analysis

A comparison of the Li Jinsheng case and the Moore case reveals significant differences in the safeguarding of the rights of individuals with disabilities in China and Canada. First, there are differences in the completeness of the legal framework. Canada provides comprehensive ensuring the well-being of individuals with disabilities through its constitution and specialized laws, and these laws are more strongly enforced in practice; whereas China's laws, despite a certain degree of coverage, still face more challenges in the process of implementation, especially the relatively weak enforcement and monitoring mechanisms of the laws.

Second, the relationship between social consciousness and legal effectiveness is also an important aspect of the differences between the two countries. The Li Jinsheng case reflects the fact that discrimination against persons with disabilities still exists in society, and that despite the provisions of the law, discriminatory behaviors still occur from time to time, and public awareness of the rights of persons with disabilities needs to be further enhanced. The Moore case, on the other hand, demonstrates that Canadian society and the justice system attach great importance to the rights of persons with disabilities, and that supports for persons with disabilities in the areas of education and other basic public services are of a higher standard and have wider coverage.

5. Challenges and Solutions Ensuring the Protection of Rights for Individuals with Disabilities Challenges Faced

5.1. Commonly Faced

The challenges to realizing the protection of the rights for people with disabilities in both China and Canada encompass a wide range of aspects.

(1) Inadequate implementation of laws. Although the legal framework is in place, there are still gaps in implementation and monitoring.

(2) Social prejudice and lack of public awareness hinder the integration of persons with disabilities into society, especially in the areas of employment and education, where persons with disabilities often encounter discrimination.

(3) Lagging accessibility is also a major challenge, with public facilities and services unevenly distributed between urban and rural areas and between developed and remote areas, affecting the mobility and participation of persons with disabilities.

(4) Inequality in employment and education opportunities still exists, and persons with disabilities have difficulty accessing resources and support equal to those of the general population in the workplace and academic environments. Together, these challenges impede the full realization of the rights of persons with disabilities and require the concerted efforts of the Government, society and the public to address them.

5.2. Facing Separately

5.2.1 Challenges faced by Canada

Uneven accessibility: While Canada has made significant progress in accessibility, particularly in urban areas, accessibility in remote communities, rural and Aboriginal areas remain inadequate. Persons with disabilities in these areas face difficulties in getting around and a lack of facilities.

Implementation Disparities: While laws such as the Accessible Canada Act provide solid guarantees for the rights of persons with disabilities, implementation of the law is inconsistent across regions, institutions and sectors. This has led to deficiencies in safeguarding the rights of individuals with disabilities particularly in the practical application of and compliance with the statutes.

Hidden disability issues: The identification and support of psychiatric or hidden disabilities, such as mental health problems, remains a challenge. The low level of understanding and acceptance of these disabilities by the public and employers affects the support of the relevant groups in terms of healthcare, employment, etc.

Bottlenecks in Employment Opportunities: Despite the legal requirement for reasonable accommodation, opportunities for persons with disabilities in the workplace, particularly in terms of workplace advancement and career development, remain limited. Some employers have misgivings about hiring persons with disabilities, which affects the employment rate and career development of persons with disabilities [11,12].

5.2.2 Challenges in China

Insufficient implementation of laws: Although China has relevant laws such as the Law on the Protection of Persons with Disabilities, the actual implementation of the law is often inadequate due to poor implementation mechanisms, especially at the local level, resulting in insufficient Safeguarding the entitlements of individuals who have disabilities. Lagging behind in the construction of barrier-free facilities: Compared to Canada, China is lagging behind in the construction of barrier-free facilities, especially in second- and third-tier cities and rural areas, where the barrier-free infrastructure is seriously insufficient, seriously affecting the mobility and social participation of persons with disabilities.

Public Awareness and Social Prejudice: China's social acceptance and inclusion of persons with disabilities is still low, and many employers and the public are prejudiced against persons with disabilities, believing that they are unable to participate in society on an equal footing with ordinary people. This prejudice leads to the serious discrimination that people who have disabilities encounter challenges in various aspects, including but not limited to the field of employment people with disabilities face difficulties in different areas, such as the realm of work education.

Insufficient resources for education and employment: There are significant gaps in education and employment opportunities for persons with disabilities in China. Many schools and businesses lack special support systems for individuals with disabilities, students who have disabilities have difficulty accessing equal educational opportunities, and individuals who have disabilities are often at a disadvantage in the job market [13].

5.3. Suggested Solutions

A series of systematic solutions have been proposed Facilitating the inclusion of persons with disabilities in community settings.

(1) Strengthening law enforcement and supervision to guarantee that laws on the rights of persons with disabilities are effectively implemented through the establishment of supervisory bodies, training of personnel, and improvement of accountability mechanisms.

(2) Raising public awareness in society, eliminating prejudice through education and publicity, and promoting a culture promoting the integration of individuals with disabilities into society".

(3) Accelerate the establishment of inclusive environments and improve infrastructure in urban and remote areas The creation of an environment that promotes inclusivity social life without barriers.

(4) Promoting The establishment of a setting that fosters inclusiveness is also key, and promoting the allocation of educational resources and business support to help people with disabilities gain more opportunities for development.

(5) Strengthen cross-sectoral cooperation and international experience, so as to achieve "Through collaborative efforts between the Government, a comprehensive approach is implemented to safeguard and improve the rights of individuals with disabilities. enterprises and social organizations.

6. Conclusion

A comparison between the legal frameworks governing individuals with disabilities in China and Canada highlights significant disparities in their approaches and levels of efficacy. While China is making progress, its laws have weak enforcement, and many people still face barriers to realizing their rights. In contrast, Canada's system is more developed and rights-based, but still need to solve the problem with access and rules in different provinces. The two countries could learn from each other, with China drawing on Canada's rights-based approach and Canada improving the consistency of its legislative framework.

References

- [1] United Nations. Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, 2006.
- [2] China. Law on the Protection of Disabled Persons, 2008.
- [3] Canadian. Charter of Rights and Freedoms, 1982.
- [4] Canada. Canadian Human Rights Act, 1985.
- [5] Canada. Accessible Canada Act, 2019.
- [6] China. Law on the Protection of Persons with Disabilities, 2008.
- [7] China. Regulations on Employment of Persons with Disabilities, 2007.
- [8] Canada. Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA), 2005.
- [9] Canada. Canadian Constitution Act, 1982.
- [10] Moore v. British Columbia (Education), 2012 SCC 61.
- [11] Michael J. Prince Disability and Social Policy in Canada. Canadian Public Policy, 2009.
- [12] Laverne Jacobs, Ruby Dhand , David Ireland , Richard Jochelson, Freya Kodar, Odelia Bay. Law and Disability in Canada: Cases and Materials, 2021.
- [13] Zhang, W., UN. ESCAP. Harmonization of national laws with the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities: A country case study in China, 2023.