The Application of Experiential Teaching in College English Education

Xuheng Li *

School of Economics and Management, Shanghai Polytechnic University, Shanghai, 201209, China

* Corresponding author: whayles81489@student.napavalley.edu

Abstract. As a basic education subject in colleges and universities, English has a direct impact on the effectiveness of teaching due to its strong practicality and dependence on context. Because of the changes in the development of the times, the requirements of English classroom teaching have also changed, and the traditional full-teaching mode can no longer achieve the teaching goals required by the syllabus. Experiential pedagogy, as one of the innovative teaching modes that are the focus of today's research, has been verified by researchers through trial and error and found to have real advantages in language teaching. Therefore, there is a practical necessity for the implementation of experiential pedagogy in university English education and teaching. In this article, I will collect and analyze the existing literature to explore the differences between the experiential teaching method and traditional teaching in university English, based on the concept and advantages of experiential teaching, and combined with the current objectives of English teaching, I will explore the specific measures of this teaching method in English teaching to stimulate the student's interest in learning and to improve the effect of teaching in English classroom.

Keywords: Experiential teaching, university English, application strategy, pedagogical innovation.

1. Introduction

Currently, some universities in China still have a deficiency in their English classroom teaching methods. Most English classroom teaching may overly rely on traditional methods such as rote memorization and mechanical repetition. While these teaching methods can help students achieve good grades in exams, they are not conducive to fostering students' creative thinking and practical language usage abilities. The experiential teaching method employs specific language usage contexts to immerse the group of participants in critical thinking and learning. Applying this teaching method in university English instruction not only breaks students' fixed mindset in language learning but also helps students understand and master the logical use of English. It also facilitates effective communication between teachers and students, enabling teachers to innovate teaching methods based on social demands and students' actual abilities, thus laying a solid foundation for cultivating high-quality foreign language talents.

Since the introduction of experiential teaching methods, there has been a significant amount of discussion regarding their associated theories and practical implementations.

Peplau [1] provided a precise definition of experiential teaching, while Kolb's Experimental Learning Theory and its various adaptations serve as the foundational framework for contemporary research and applications in experiential teaching and learning [2]. This approach has also found its way into diverse domains such as language teaching [3,4], cross-cultural training [5], graphic design [6], physical education [7], and other fields. Notably, experiential teaching has gained substantial traction within college-level English instruction. However, a majority of the existing literature primarily focuses on specific application methodologies, with a limited exploration into the rationale behind the integration of this approach within college English teaching. A discernible gap exists in terms of a comprehensive systematic review concerning the implementation of experiential teaching within college English education.

This article will discuss the characteristics and shortcomings of current university English classrooms, the significance of using experiential teaching in English classrooms, and how to apply experiential teaching.

2. The Characteristics and Limitations of Traditional English Classrooms.

In the realm of college English education, traditional classrooms have persisted as the primary mode of instruction for many years. However, as educational theories and practices continue to evolve, it becomes increasingly important to critically examine the characteristics and limitations of these conventional approaches.

2.1. Teaching Method Based on Indoctrination

English, as one of the world's most commonly used languages, is not only a linguistic tool but also a global communication link. By learning English, students can better adapt to the trend of internationalization, expand their horizons, and gain more opportunities and challenges in different fields. Therefore, English teaching plays a pivotal role in China's foreign language and literature education system, and it has become a common foreign language course for non-foreign language majors in China's colleges and universities. However, at present, most Chinese colleges and universities are still adopting the traditional teaching method of teacher-led teaching, which has a long history, although it has advantages in many aspects, there are obvious shortcomings in the cultivation of students' independent learning ability as well as their practical application ability. Within the traditional landscape of English education, the predominant teaching approach has often been characterized as one based on indoctrination. This approach is rooted in didactic methods, where educators assume the role of information providers, and students play a passive role in receiving and memorizing knowledge [8]. While this approach has its merits, it falls short of nurturing the holistic language acquisition experience required in today's dynamic linguistic landscape.

Traditional classrooms employing the indoctrination model focus on instructing students with prescribed content, often centered on grammar rules and vocabulary lists. The emphasis on rote memorization can lead to a superficial understanding of language mechanics, devoid of the ability to apply language effectively in real-world contexts. Students might excel in structured exams that test their ability to regurgitate memorized information, yet find themselves at a loss when confronted with authentic language interactions that require adaptability and critical thinking.

Furthermore, the indoctrination model tends to stifle students' engagement and creativity. In this setting, students may perceive learning as a passive reception of information rather than an active and participatory process. The lack of opportunities for independent exploration and problem-solving inhibits the development of vital skills such as critical analysis and effective communication.

It is evident that this traditional approach falls short of preparing students for the multifaceted demands of language use in the real world. The limitations of indoctrination-based teaching highlight the urgency for innovative pedagogies, such as experiential teaching methods, that prioritize active engagement, critical thinking, and the application of language skills within authentic contexts.

2.2. Lacking a Practical English Language Environment

A critical limitation of traditional English classrooms is the absence of a practical English language environment that mirrors real-life language use. Language learning thrives when students are immersed in contexts where communication is genuine, immediate, and interactive. However, traditional teaching methods often fall short in creating such immersive experiences, hindering students' ability to develop the fluency, adaptability, and cultural awareness necessary for effective communication.

Traditional classrooms primarily focus on structured exercises, grammar drills, and controlled conversations, which do not adequately prepare students for the unpredictable nature of real-world

language interactions. This controlled environment limits exposure to the diversity of language styles, accents, and cultural nuances that one encounters outside the classroom [9].

The absence of practical language experiences deprives students of the opportunity to navigate the complexities of language use beyond the academic setting. Language, being deeply intertwined with culture and context, requires exposure to genuine interactions for true proficiency. Without this exposure, students may struggle to understand colloquial expressions, decipher cultural references, and adapt their language to various communication contexts.

To address this limitation, a shift is required towards pedagogical approaches that offer students more authentic language experiences. Experiential teaching methods, for instance, integrate real-world scenarios, discussions, and interactions into the classroom, thereby bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application. Such methods expose students to the vibrant intricacies of language use and cultivate their ability to communicate effectively in diverse contexts.

2.3. The English Teaching Objectives are Relatively One-sided

Traditional English classrooms often prioritize specific objectives, such as memorization of grammar rules and preparation for standardized tests. While these objectives hold significance, their dominance can lead to a one-sided approach to language education. This narrow focus may inadvertently overshadow other crucial aspects of language learning, including effective communication skills, cultural understanding, and the ability to adapt language to diverse situations.

The emphasis on grammar and vocabulary, while essential, may result in students perceiving language as a series of rules to be followed rather than a dynamic tool for meaningful communication. Students may excel in written exercises and tests yet struggle to engage in spontaneous conversations, express nuanced ideas, or comprehend cultural subtleties—essential components of language proficiency [10].

Moreover, the traditional emphasis on exam-oriented outcomes can undermine the development of well-rounded language skills. Students may prioritize rote memorization to score well on tests, often neglecting the practical application of language in authentic contexts. Consequently, graduates may face challenges when it comes to real-world language use, which demands the integration of linguistic competence, critical thinking, and cultural sensitivity [9].

To address this imbalance, language education needs to adopt a more comprehensive approach that encompasses a wider range of objectives. Experiential teaching methods align more closely with such objectives, as they promote the development of communication skills, intercultural competence, and the ability to adapt language to diverse situations. By embracing experiential methods, educators can foster language learners who excel not only in academic assessments but also in the intricate tapestry of real-life language use.

In conclusion, the characteristics and limitations of traditional English classrooms warrant careful consideration as educators seek to provide students with holistic and effective language learning experiences. In the subsequent sections, we will explore how experiential teaching methods offer a transformative approach to addressing these limitations and fostering comprehensive language acquisition

3. The Significance of Using Experiential Teaching in English Classrooms

As the limitations of traditional teaching methods become increasingly evident, the adoption of experiential teaching in English classrooms emerges as a transformative and effective alternative. This section delves into the profound significance of integrating experiential teaching methods within the context of college-level English education.

3.1. The Concept of the Experiential Teaching Method

The concept of experiential teaching represents a paradigm shift in education, focusing on active engagement, personal reflection, and practical application. Rooted in the theories of scholars like

David Kolb, experiential learning posits that knowledge is best internalized when learners engage in a cycle of concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation [11].

Experiential teaching emphasizes the importance of learning through direct engagement with real-world situations. In the context of college English education, this method encourages students to actively use the language in contexts that mirror authentic communication scenarios. Rather than passively receiving information, students become active participants in their learning process, grappling with language challenges, adapting to different contexts, and reflecting on their experiences.

Central to experiential teaching is the belief that learners benefit from practical, hands-on experiences that challenge them to apply their language skills beyond the classroom. By participating in activities such as debates, simulations, and collaborative projects, students cultivate problem-solving abilities, critical thinking, and adaptability—all essential for effective language use [12].

Experiential teaching transforms educators into facilitators of learning experiences rather than just knowledge transmitters. This approach recognizes the significance of personal engagement and encourages learners to take ownership of their language acquisition journey. By embracing experiential teaching, educators empower students to become active, independent learners who can navigate the complexities of language in diverse real-world contexts.

3.2. The Application of Experiential Teaching Method in College English Education

The application of experiential teaching methods in college English education heralds a transformative shift that aligns with the dynamic nature of language acquisition. By immersing students in authentic language experiences, educators harness the power of experiential learning to enhance language proficiency and foster practical communication skills.

Experiential teaching encourages students to actively participate in real-world language scenarios, mirroring the situations they may encounter beyond the classroom. Activities like role-playing, debates, simulations, and interactive projects become the conduits through which students develop and apply language skills. These activities compel students to think on their feet, adapt language to diverse contexts, and collaborate effectively—all essential components of language proficiency.

Modern technology and multimedia resources play a pivotal role in enhancing the application of experiential teaching. Virtual reality simulations, online language exchange programs, and authentic content from various media sources offer students immersive opportunities to engage with language beyond traditional pedagogical boundaries. These tools create a bridge between classroom learning and real-world language use, promoting active participation and cultural understanding.

Experiential teaching methods also stimulate student motivation and engagement. By connecting language learning to practical application, students see the immediate relevance of their studies. This intrinsic motivation cultivates a deeper investment in language acquisition and a greater willingness to tackle language challenges head-on.

Ultimately, the application of experiential teaching methods bridges the gap between theory and practice, enriching language education by fostering linguistic proficiency, cultural awareness, and adaptable communication skills. This approach equips students with the tools they need to navigate a diverse linguistic landscape and communicate effectively in various contexts.

3.3. The Differences between the Experiential Teaching Method and the Traditional Teaching Method

Increasing numbers of non-traditional learners are choosing to attend university and demanding more diverse modes of learning. A fundamental divergence between experiential teaching methods and traditional approaches lies in their underlying philosophies and impact on learners. These differences reflect the varying ways in which students engage with language, develop skills, and prepare for real-world language use.

3.3.1. Active Learning vs. Passive Reception

Experiential teaching prioritizes active learning, where students engage with language through hands-on activities, discussions, and projects. In contrast, traditional methods often promote passive reception of information, with students as passive recipients of knowledge. Experiential teaching fosters student agency, encouraging them to take an active role in their language acquisition journey.

3.3.2. Practical Application vs. Rote Memorization

Experiential teaching places emphasis on the practical application of language skills in real-world scenarios. Students engage in authentic communication, adapting language to varying contexts. Traditional methods, however, may lean towards rote memorization of rules and vocabulary without practical application. Experiential teaching nurtures language proficiency that extends beyond theoretical knowledge.

3.3.3. Critical Thinking vs. Repetition

Experiential teaching cultivates critical thinking and problem-solving skills. Through activities that require adaptation and decision-making, students develop the ability to analyze language nuances and navigate communication challenges. Traditional methods, relying on repetition and drills, may not encourage the same level of critical engagement.

3.3.4. Communication Skills vs. Exam Performance

Experiential teaching places a premium on effective communication. Students learn to express ideas, negotiate meaning, and adapt language to different contexts. In traditional classrooms, the focus might skew towards preparing for standardized exams, often prioritizing grammar accuracy over effective communication.

3.3.5. Holistic Assessment vs. Standardized Testing

Experiential teaching calls for holistic assessment methods, evaluating students based on diverse language tasks and real-world scenarios. Traditional methods frequently employ standardized testing, which may not capture students' full range of language abilities, creativity, and practical communication skills.

3.3.6. Lifelong Learning vs. Short-Term Success

Experiential teaching promotes skills that are transferable beyond the classroom, preparing students for lifelong language learning and adaptability. Traditional methods might prioritize short-term success in exams, potentially neglecting the broader skills needed for language use in a rapidly changing world.

In summary, experiential teaching methods mark a departure from traditional teaching approaches by prioritizing active engagement, practical application, and the cultivation of adaptable communication skills. This paradigm shift resonates with the demands of modern language education, where effective language use extends beyond memorization and grammar rules to encompass cultural awareness, critical thinking, and versatile communication.

4. Strategies for Implementing Experiential Teaching Methods in College English Education

The integration of experiential teaching methods into college English education requires a deliberate shift in instructional design and pedagogical approach. This section outlines key strategies that educators can employ to effectively implement experiential teaching and enhance language learning outcomes.

4.1. Create a Practical English Communication Environment

Central to the successful implementation of experiential teaching methods is the creation of a practical English communication environment within the classroom. This environment goes beyond

traditional language instruction, emphasizing the immersion of students in real-life language scenarios to foster genuine communication and language acquisition.

4.1.1. Role-playing and simulations

Role-playing exercises and simulations form a cornerstone of this environment. Students engage in scenarios mirroring real-world interactions, such as job interviews, customer service conversations, or social debates. These activities require students to apply their language skills dynamically, encouraging adaptability, quick thinking, and effective communication within the context [13].

4.1.2. Authentic materials

Incorporating authentic materials from various sources, such as newspapers, podcasts, and videos, enriches the language environment. Exposure to real-life language use exposes students to diverse vocabulary, idiomatic expressions, and cultural nuances. Analyzing and discussing these materials deepens language comprehension and broadens students' understanding of how language functions beyond the classroom.

4.1.3. Collaborative projects

Collaborative projects provide opportunities for students to work together on authentic tasks. Creating presentations, organizing events, or collaborating on reports compels students to navigate language challenges as a team, encouraging effective communication and shared goal achievement. These dynamic nurtures skills vital for successful language interaction in professional and personal contexts [14].

Creating a practical English communication environment enhances students' linguistic proficiency and cultivates their ability to navigate real-life language situations. This experiential approach bridges the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application, empowering students to confidently communicate and interact in diverse linguistic contexts.

4.2. Stimulate Students’ Subjectivity

Empowering students' subjectivity is a pivotal strategy when implementing experiential teaching methods in college English education. By encouraging personal reflection, individual expression, and autonomous exploration, educators enable students to forge a deep and meaningful connection with the language they are learning.

4.2.1. Reflective journals

Integrating reflective journaling as a regular practice invites students to document their language-learning journey. Through these journals, students reflect on their progress, challenges, and insights. This introspective practice cultivates metacognition, fostering self-awareness and helping students identify their strengths, weaknesses, and strategies for improvement.

4.2.2. Personalized projects

Allowing students to choose topics of personal interest for projects ignites their intrinsic motivation. Whether composing creative pieces, producing multimedia content, or conducting independent research, personalized projects drive students to invest in language exploration. This autonomy nurtures a sense of ownership and a deeper connection to the language.

4.2.3. Peer feedback and discussions

Structured peer feedback sessions and group discussions stimulate students' subjectivity through dialogue. These interactions encourage students to articulate their opinions, listen actively to others, and provide constructive criticism. This practice enhances communication skills, develops empathy, and hones the ability to engage in thoughtful discussions, fostering well-rounded language competence [15].

By fostering subjectivity, educators tap into students' intrinsic motivation, providing a solid foundation for active and meaningful language engagement. This personalized approach not only

nurtures language proficiency but also empowers students to become self-directed learners who embrace language learning as a transformative and lifelong endeavor.

4.3. Change the Methods of Evaluation

Revamping evaluation methods is a critical strategy in the successful implementation of experiential teaching methods in college English education. Traditional assessment approaches often focus solely on measuring memorization and regurgitation, which may not capture the full spectrum of skills required for effective language use in real-world contexts.

4.3.1. Performance-based assessments

Transitioning towards performance-based assessments is essential. Assign tasks that mirror real-life language demands, such as delivering persuasive speeches, engaging in debates, or participating in group discussions. These assessments gauge students' ability to adapt their language to diverse scenarios, fostering linguistic flexibility and effective communication skills.

4.3.2. Portfolio assessment

Embrace portfolio assessment as a holistic alternative. Encourage students to curate a collection of their work, showcasing their linguistic progression over time. Portfolios not only illustrate language proficiency but also highlight critical thinking, creativity, and adaptability in applying language to various contexts. This approach provides a comprehensive overview of students' language abilities.

4.3.3. Self-assessment and reflection

Incorporate self-assessment tools to empower students in their evaluation process. Encourage students to evaluate their language skills, set goals for improvement, and reflect on their progress. This practice cultivates metacognition and self-awareness, enabling students to actively engage in their own learning journey.

Changing evaluation methods aligns assessment with the core principles of experiential teaching—emphasizing practical language application, critical thinking, and adaptability. This approach not only measures students' linguistic competence but also encourages the development of skills essential for navigating the complexities of language use beyond the classroom [16].

5. Conclusion

From the findings of this study, we confirmed that experiential teaching can be used as a very effective tool in college English education. By comparing students' learning outcomes under the two teaching styles - traditional and experiential - and the long-term impact of these two educational styles on students, we can clearly conclude that students need to participate in more interactive and autonomous experiential teaching and learning, in which they will actively reflect on their own or others' feedback, which will make their thinking clearer, not only facilitate their self-monitoring and correction but also help to cultivate their learning ability and lay a foundation for future learning. Teachers, as instructional designers, can use modern technology and classroom activities to create experiential classrooms for students, increase student participation in the classroom, and enhance the effectiveness of teaching and learning.

Research significance: Exploring the Practical Application of Experiential Teaching in College English Teaching through the Deficiencies of Traditional Teaching Methods to Improve the Quality of English Teaching in Colleges and Universities

However, because at present, this study mainly focuses on researching and summarizing the existing literature, in fact, it has not included the actual situation and requirements of teaching English in different majors in universities, and different teaching objectives directly affect the specific use of experiential pedagogy in the classroom, so in the future, there is a need to refine the actual use of experiential pedagogy under different requirements.

In the future, we will make the use of experiential teaching in this field more concrete and perfect through more teaching practice and research.

References

[1] Peplau, H. E. What is experiential teaching? The American Journal of Nursing, 1957, 884 - 886.

[2] Lehane, L. Experiential Learning—David A. Kolb. Science Education in Theory and Practice: An Introductory Guide to Learning Theory, 2020, 241 - 257.

[3] Fan, N. Application of multimodal experiential teaching in college English teaching based on morphology learning theory. International Journal of New Developments in Education, 2020, 2 (3), 55 - 57.

[4] Dan, L. Research on the application of experiential teaching mode in Japanese teaching. Frontiers in Educational Research, 2020, 3 (15).

[5] Weaver, H. N. Teaching cultural competence: Application of experiential learning techniques. Journal of Teaching in Social Work, 1998, 17 (1-2), 65 - 79.

[6] Zhang, M. Exploration of computer-aided graphic design teaching under the experiential teaching mode. Computer-Aided Design and Applications, 2021, 18 (S2), 1 - 11.

[7] Wei, W., Wei, J., & Fang, W. Research on the application of college basketball courses based on the concept of network experiential teaching. In Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021, February, Vol. 1744, No. 4, p. 042227, IOP Publishing.

[8] Rao, Z. Reconciling communicative approaches to the teaching of English with traditional Chinese methods. Research in the Teaching of English, 1996, 458 - 471.

[9] Na, L., & Miao, X. Research on English Teaching Reform in Higher Vocational Colleges from the Perspective of Vocational English Competence Cultivation, 2019.

[10] Jeyaraj, J. S. Traditional learning vs. experiential learning. American College Journal of English Language and Literature (ACJELL), 2019, 9, 43 - 46.

[11] Kolb, D. A. Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development. FT press, 2014.

[12] Kolb, A. Y., & Kolb, D. A. Learning styles and learning spaces: A review of the multidisciplinary application of experiential learning theory in higher education. In Learning styles and learning: A key to meeting the accountability demands in education (pp. 45-91). New York, NY: Nova Science Publishers, 2006.

[13] Sojka, J. Z., & Fish, M. S. Brief in-class role plays an experiential teaching tool targeted to Generation Y students. Marketing Education Review, 2008, 18 (1), 25 - 31.

[14] Liubashenko, O., & Kornieva, Z. Dialogic interactive speaking skills assessment in the experiential teaching of technical English to tertiary school students. Advanced Education, 2019, 18 - 25.

[15] Sorathia, K., & Servidio, R. Learning and experience: teaching tangible interaction & edutainment. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2012, 64, 265 - 274.

[16] Moon, J. A. Reflection in learning and professional development: Theory and practice. Routledge, 2013.