

Enhancing the Well-Being of Uber Drivers: Insights and Strategies from DiDi

Yuchen Gu*

Department of Sociology, Boston College, Boston, United States

*Corresponding author: guyw@bc.edu

Abstract. The rise of the sharing economy, epitomized by Uber, has revolutionized work dynamics. Uber, with its allure of flexibility and accessibility, has empowered countless individuals to explore new avenues of employment. However, within this transformative landscape, unique challenges have taken root, particularly affecting those who rely on Uber as their primary source of income. With Uber's driver community now numbering over 5.4 million strong, the imperative to address these challenges has become increasingly pronounced. This study embarks on an exploration of innovative operational solutions, drawing inspiration from the practices of Didi, the ride-sharing juggernaut in China. It addresses income instability through fairer commissions and surge pricing incentives, combats isolation with community-building features, and tackles discrimination with enhanced driver rating systems through different tags. By embracing and implementing these multifaceted strategies, Uber has the potential to fortify its platform, fostering a mutually beneficial ecosystem that not only bolsters the company's growth but also enhances the livelihoods of its dedicated drivers.

Keywords: Uber drivers; Ride-sharing platforms; Driver well-being; Income stability; Discrimination mitigation.

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

The advent of the sharing economy, exemplified by platforms like Uber, has profoundly reshaped the contemporary employment landscape. Becoming an Uber driver offers advantages such as flexibility, low entry barriers, and immediate payment, but it has also given rise to numerous challenges that were previously unaddressed in the traditional job market.

The multifaceted nature of the sharing economy has introduced a host of issues impacting the livelihoods and well-being of Uber drivers. The dynamic characteristics of Uber, including its pricing models, absence of traditional employment benefits, and heavy reliance on technology, present challenges for individuals who depend on ride-sharing as a primary income source. Additionally, questions about discrimination have arisen in the relationship between drivers and passengers. It's worth noting that these impacts may disproportionately affect full-time Uber drivers compared to their part-time counterparts.

As of today, the number of monthly active drivers and couriers on Uber has reached 5.4 million [1]. With this figure continually on the rise, addressing the issues faced by these drivers has become a matter of paramount importance, both to ensure a steady supply of drivers and to safeguard the well-being of this significant group of workers.

1.2. Related Research

Uber's operations are predominantly app-based, a model that has presented both opportunities and challenges for its drivers. As described by Minchin, the platform has implemented several strategies to manage driver earnings, and these strategies have far-reaching implications. One noteworthy challenge has been the intense competition among drivers for ride requests, as Uber does not impose limits on the number of vehicles allowed on the road simultaneously or on the duration of their activity. This competition places considerable control in Uber's hands, enabling the company to establish rules and conditions that may not always favor drivers. For instance, drivers face the pressure of accepting

ride requests within a mere 15-second window, often without knowing the destination or fare. This lack of transparency places them at a significant risk, as they must make quick decisions that can impact their earnings. Moreover, drivers closely monitor their acceptance rates, and refusals, even when justified, can adversely affect their overall performance [2].

Furthermore, the issue of income variability has been a persistent concern for full-time Uber drivers. Kaltner's argument in 2018 highlighted the absence of guaranteed minimum wages, a protection mandated by the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) for traditional employees. Instead, Uber, along with Lyft, relies on a rate-setting system where the company unilaterally determines ride prices [3]. This approach leads to income fluctuations, disproportionately affecting full-time Uber drivers who depend entirely on the platform for their livelihoods. The absence of wage guarantees creates a degree of financial uncertainty that part-time drivers, who may have other sources of income, do not experience to the same extent.

Another fundamental challenge lies in the classification of Uber drivers as independent contractors rather than employees. DeBruyne's 2017 analysis underscored that this classification deprives Uber drivers of essential benefits such as healthcare coverage, sick pay, and employment stock options. This distinction has more pronounced consequences for full-time Uber drivers, as they often lack access to the safety nets provided by traditional employment. In contrast, part-time drivers who supplement their income with Uber driving may have other jobs that offer employment benefits [4].

Moreover, Geitung's research in Cape Town exposed how Uber's communication strategy, primarily conducted through the app, contributes to a lack of natural gathering points for drivers. This communication barrier has resulted in a fragmented working environment, hampering efforts to build driver solidarity [5]. The isolation caused by extensive periods spent alone in their vehicles can lead to mental health issues. Jenkins et al. found a significant correlation between heightened feelings of loneliness and decreased self-reported mental health ratings, along with an increased frequency of bothersome symptoms among drivers [6].

Additionally, Uber drivers' ratings and reviews play a pivotal role in their ability to secure rides and earn income. However, Rosenblat et al. discovered that the rating system, while intended to ensure service quality, can inadvertently introduce discrimination into ostensibly neutral job decisions. Corporate decisions to deactivate drivers may be based on a vast body of systematically biased consumer ratings, allowing discrimination to persist without accountability. This practice raises concerns about fairness and equity in the ride-sharing ecosystem. Platforms that base hiring decisions on customer reviews, rather than directly addressing discrimination between market participants, may present challenges for achieving equality within the constraints of current anti-discrimination laws [7].

In seeking solutions to these complex challenges, it is insightful to compare Uber's practices with those of DiDi, a similar ride-hailing platform primarily based in China. Numerous studies, such as the comparative case study by Chan and Kwok in 2021 [8] and the in-depth analysis conducted by Wang and Liu [9], have explored the operational dynamics and experiences of drivers and consumers on both platforms. These comparative studies have provided valuable insights and recommendations that may inform strategies for improving Uber's driver-centric policies and app functions, ultimately enhancing the well-being of its drivers.

1.3. Objective

While previous research has illuminated the myriad challenges facing Uber drivers, the solutions proposed thus far have predominantly revolved around legislative and policy changes. However, these changes can often be slow to materialize, leaving immediate concerns unaddressed. Moreover, the focus on external regulations has left a gap in the examination of how Uber itself can enact operational changes to mitigate the challenges faced by its drivers. This study seeks to fill this void by exploring innovative operational solutions within Uber's control, drawing inspiration from the practices of a comparable ride-hailing platform, DiDi, which has proactively enhanced its policies to foster a more supportive and empowering working environment for its drivers.

It is important to recognize that DiDi, like Uber, grapples with similar challenges in the ever-evolving ride-sharing landscape. As such, DiDi's experiences provide valuable insights into strategies that could be adapted and applied to Uber's unique context. DiDi's journey of policy improvements can serve as a source of inspiration and potential solutions for Uber, offering a blueprint for creating a better ecosystem for its drivers.

The core objective of this study is to embark on a comprehensive examination of the social challenges that confront full-time Uber drivers. By drawing insights from DiDi, this research aims to present actionable recommendations tailored to Uber's specific circumstances. These recommendations are designed to enhance both the company's operations and the functionality of its app, ultimately leading to an improved quality of life for its drivers. The study endeavors to bridge the gap between identifying issues and implementing solutions, recognizing the agency that ride-sharing companies like Uber have in shaping the experiences of their workforce.

2. Strategies

2.1. Income Instability

The income instability experienced by full-time Uber drivers can be attributed to various factors. Unlike traditional employment, Uber does not guarantee a minimum wage; instead, drivers are compensated per ride provided [3]. As ride prices fluctuate based on demand, weather conditions, and driver availability, the income of Uber drivers can be highly variable. Therefore, it is essential to introduce incentives and protections for full-time Uber drivers.

One direct approach to increasing driver income is to reduce the commission fees charged by Uber [10]. Historically, Uber imposed a flat percentage commission fee on all fares, and in 2015, this fee was increased to a range of 20% to 30%, varying by city and circumstances [11]. This study suggests that Uber consider following the example set by Chinese ride-hailing platforms, which have announced reductions in commission fees, resulting in an approximate monthly income increase of 150 yuan for drivers [10]. Uber could implement a tiered fee system based on driver work hours, with lower commission rates for drivers who work longer hours (e.g., reducing from 25% to 23% for drivers working 40 hours per week). Additionally, Uber could introduce incentives and rewards for drivers who consistently work extended hours, ensuring a more stable income [11]. As an illustration, Uber implements surge pricing, a practice where trip fares are increased during periods of exceptionally high passenger demand and a shortage of available drivers. Typically, surge pricing results in fares that range from 1.4 times to 7 times higher than the standard rates [11]. One viable suggestion is for Uber to offer drivers who work extended hours the opportunity to benefit from additional surge pricing, thereby safeguarding their income for individual trips. This proposal holds practical merit as it not only ensures a more consistent income for drivers who put in longer hours but also serves as an enticing incentive to attract more drivers to increase their hours with Uber, ultimately leading to a higher number of Uber vehicles available on the streets.

2.2. Isolation and Mental Health

Uber drivers often work in isolated environments, leading to socio-spatial atomization, where they become disconnected from their peers and communities. In a 2016 study conducted by Wells et al., 78% of 40 Uber drivers interviewed in Washington reported never having shared a meal or conversation with fellow Uber drivers. They did not engage in online forums or even exchange text messages [12]. This isolation can increase the risk of mental health issues and bothersome symptoms [6]. To address this challenge, it is crucial to foster connections among drivers and enhance their sense of community, particularly for full-time drivers who spend a significant amount of time driving alone.

One effective approach, as seen with DiDi, is the creation of a specialized mobile platform called the Driver Tribe App. This platform organizes drivers into small teams, facilitating real-time communication, Q&A sessions, and support among peers [13]. Uber could adopt a similar strategy

within its Driver app, allowing drivers to join groups for communication and collaboration. Additionally, Uber could let drivers track the number of trips they completed within their respective teams to incentivize driving activity.

In addition, DiDi regularly organizes gatherings for its drivers at various locations to foster stronger bonds between drivers and the company. These events aim to enhance the quality of life for DiDi drivers, create a positive and healthy work environment, bolster team dynamics, and promote a sense of cooperation. An example of such an initiative took place on October 24, 2020, in Chongqing Republican Street, with the theme "Chuan Potential Together" for group-building activities. During these events, DiDi drivers come together and engage in various interactive and collaborative games, fostering a deeper understanding of one another [14]. Uber could consider implementing similar activities within their Uber Drivers' app, offering drivers opportunities for enjoyable activities beyond their driving duties. If there is a high number of drivers who would like to participate, Uber could limit the attendees by prioritizing drivers with better performance and higher working hours, which may trigger as an incentive for Uber drivers.

2.3. Addressing Discrimination

The rating system is a pivotal component of Uber that directly impacts drivers' income and potential working hours. However, the absence of a clear rubric for customers to rate their drivers introduces the possibility of discrimination [7]. This issue is particularly burdensome for full-time drivers because low rating scores can restrict their ability to accept more trips, negatively affecting their income. Given that many full-time drivers rely entirely on Uber for their livelihoods, even a brief period of reduced work due to low ratings can impose significant financial strain on their families.

The primary challenge regarding discrimination lies in people's biases, often stemming from limited information about the driver. Currently, when a customer requests an Uber ride, they are presented with only the driver's name, a photograph, and the driver's previous rating. These limited details may contribute to a higher likelihood of discriminatory judgments.

DiDi has implemented a practice of recognizing drivers with outstanding performance by bestowing titles such as "Platinum Driver" and "City Walker" [15]. These titles are displayed to customers when they hail a DiDi vehicle, instilling trust and confidence in these highly-rated drivers. A similar approach could be adopted by Uber through the creation of tags for each driver.

Currently, when passengers conclude their Uber trips, they could be given the opportunity to rate their drivers. If the rating is five stars, no further feedback prompt would appear. However, in cases where the rating falls below five stars, passengers could provide specific reasons for the lower rating, such as excessive speed or vehicle uncleanliness. Based on that, Uber could then expand this system to include both positive and negative tags that passengers can choose even when rating with five stars. These tags might include attributes like "polite driver," "adherence to the navigation route," "clean vehicle," and "safe driving speed."

Uber could then compile and display these tags on each driver's information page. This would enable passengers to make more informed decisions when having a driver, moving beyond just a name or appearance-based selection. By addressing information asymmetry and providing passengers with more comprehensive insights into drivers, Uber could potentially reduce instances of discrimination and promote fairer driver ratings. This is a practical method because currently Uber already has a well-established rating system and this method will inevitably make its drivers feel more comfortable.

3. Prospect

The future prospects for Uber and its drivers are intertwined with the continued evolution of the gig economy and the ridesharing industry. For Uber, the company's potential for growth remains

substantial, with opportunities to expand into new markets and services beyond traditional ride-hailing.

For Uber drivers, the outlook is influenced by the ongoing efforts to address their well-being. The implementation of strategies to reduce income instability, combat isolation, and address discrimination can significantly improve their working conditions. Moreover, the rise of driver communities and improved support networks can contribute to enhanced job satisfaction and mental health. However, the ultimate future for Uber drivers depends on the extent to which gig work can provide sustainable livelihoods, benefits, and worker protections, and this may involve ongoing legislative and policy changes. Balancing the interests of both Uber and its drivers in a rapidly evolving gig economy will be crucial in shaping their future prospects.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the future of Uber and its drivers holds both promise and complexity. The sharing economy has revolutionized the way people work, offering unprecedented flexibility and opportunities. However, this transformation has also exposed drivers to a range of challenges, from income instability to isolation and discrimination.

To secure a positive future for Uber and its drivers, proactive measures are essential. Addressing income instability through fair commission structures and surge pricing incentives can contribute to a more stable financial outlook for drivers. Efforts to combat isolation and support mental health through community-building activities and improved communication platforms can enhance the overall well-being of drivers, particularly those who work full-time.

Furthermore, addressing discrimination in the rating system is crucial. By adopting practices such as driver tags and improved feedback mechanisms, Uber can work towards a fairer and more equitable driver rating process.

Ultimately, the future of Uber and its drivers hinges on the platform's ability to adapt, innovate, and prioritize the well-being of its workforce. By implementing these strategies and remaining responsive to the evolving needs of drivers, Uber can pave the way for a more sustainable and inclusive future in the ever-evolving landscape of the sharing economy.

References

- [1] Uber Technologies, Inc. (2022). Uber Announces Results for Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2022. https://s23.q4cdn.com/407969754/files/doc_financials/2022/q4/Uber-Q4-22-Earnings-Press-Release.pdf
- [2] Minchin, T. J. (2020). Be Your Own Boss?: Long-Term Labor Trends and the Rise of Uber. *Australasian Journal of American Studies*, 39(1), 47–80. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26973000>
- [3] Kaltner, J. (2018). Employment status of uber and lyft drivers: unsettlingly settled. *Hastings Women's Law Journal*, 29(1), 29-54.
- [4] DeBruyne, N. L. (2017). Uber drivers: disputed employment relationship in light of the sharing economy. *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, 92(1), 289-316
- [5] Geitung, I. (2017). Uber drivers in Cape Town: Working conditions and worker agency in the sharing economy (Master's thesis).
- [6] Jenkins, G. T., Janich, N., Wu, S., & Shafer, M. (2023). Social isolation and mental health: Evidence from adults with serious mental illness. *Psychiatric rehabilitation journal*, 46(2), 148.
- [7] Rosenblat, A., Levy, K.E.C., Barocas, S. and Hwang, T. (2017), Discriminating Tastes: Uber's Customer Ratings as Vehicles for Workplace Discrimination. *Policy & Internet*, 9: 256-279. <https://doi.org/10.1002/poi3.153>
- [8] Chan, N. K., & Kwok, C. (2022). The politics of platform power in surveillance capitalism: A comparative case study of ride-hailing platforms in China and the United States. *Global Media and China*, 7(2), 131–150. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20594364211046769>

- [9] Huimei, W., & Yadi, L. (2019). Comparative Analysis of DiDi and Uber App. In *Frontier Computing: Theory, Technologies and Applications (FC 2018)* 7 (pp. 1286-1291). Springer Singapore.
- [10] Lyu, H. (2023). Ride-hailing platforms cut commission rate, industry norms approaching. *China Economic Times*, 1. (in Chinese).
- [11] Moon, Y. (2015). Uber: Changing the Way the World Moves. Harvard Business School Case, 316-101.
- [12] Wells, K. J., Attoh, K., & Cullen, D. (2021). “Just-in-Place” labor: Driver organizing in the Uber workplace. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space*, 53(2), 315–331. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X20949266>
- [13] Li, J. (2020). Research and Practice on Experience Design of the Growth Center Board for DiDi Drivers. Hunan University, MA Thesis. <https://kns.cnki.net/KCMS/detail/detail.aspx?dbname=CMFD202102&filename=1020406196.nh>. (in Chinese).
- [14] Chongqing Group Building | DiDi "Chuan Potential Together" Theme Group Building Activity Highlight Gallery. (2020). Sohu. https://www.sohu.com/na/428130522_100278929. (in Chinese).
- [15] Yao, J. (2019). The Influence of Motivating Factors of Online Car-hailing Drivers. Capital University of Economics and Business, MA Thesis. (in Chinese)