

The Determinants of Venture Capital Investment Decisions

Guoan Wang *

Brunel London School, North China University of Technology, Beijing 100144, China

* Corresponding author: 2281409@brunel.ac.uk

Abstract. Venture capital is pivotal in contemporary economic landscapes, as a critical intermediary in funding nascent or expanding young enterprises. This study delves into the intricate interplay between economic growth, research and development (R&D) expenditures, population density, and the aggregate volume of venture capital investments. The primary objective is to enrich the existing knowledge regarding the factors influencing venture capital allocation. Employing a rigorous fixed-effect model, this research unveils a noteworthy and substantiated positive association between population density and the magnitude of venture capital injections into the entrepreneurial ecosystem. In a rapidly evolving global economy, where innovation is a cornerstone of progress, understanding how population density influences venture capital investments assumes paramount importance. Beyond the well-documented relationship between economic growth and venture capital, this study sheds light on the nuanced impact of population density, accentuating its role as a vital determinant in attracting venture capital funding. This research thus extends the frontiers of knowledge in the field of venture capital. It provides valuable insights for policymakers, entrepreneurs, and investors, offering a more comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted dynamics shaping the venture capital landscape. As the global economic landscape continues to evolve, recognizing the influence of population density on venture capital investments serves as a crucial step toward fostering innovation and sustainable economic growth.

Keywords: Venture Capital, Management Team, Valuation, Deal Structure.

1. Introduction

Venture Capital (VC) is a type of equity investment focusing on high-risk, innovative startups with significant growth potential. It also includes young businesses that are already thriving, with venture capitalists typically acquiring minority stakes. Venture capital has grown in popularity as a financial intermediary for firms when other institutions refuse to allocate capital due to regulatory constraints such as usury laws or internal guidelines. Nevertheless, it should be specified that the venture capital definition may differ according to region. Venture Capital in Europe is defined as private equity investments in privately held and publicly traded companies by institutions or business angel investors. In contrast, in the United States, venture capital is defined as a subset of private equity investment that includes seed, startup, and expansion investments [1].

According to traditional financial theory, the return expected by a rational investor for a project in ideal financial markets is influenced by two key factors: the risk associated with the investment project and the return on risk-free investment alternatives [2]. Nevertheless, by diversifying their investments, investors can minimize the general portfolio risks by lessening their risky exposure. The theory states that the expected return needed on a high idiosyncratic-risk project is not greater since this risk can be minimized through investments in other dissimilar areas. However, the VC investment market could be better [3]. For starters, not all investors have access to the same information simultaneously. VC investments primarily involve private, unlisted companies that face little pressure to disclose information, lack financial analyst oversight, and are less transparent to potential investors than publicly traded companies [4].

Conversely, VC managers are more active in these companies than passive stock market investors [5]. Following an investment, the ongoing monitoring and value-addition activities lead to a deeper understanding of the business than outside analysts typically attain. Secondly, VC investments are characterized by high illiquidity, making them challenging to sell readily [6]. Selling private stocks involves seeking potential buyers and negotiating business valuations, which incurs costs and

consumes time. Additionally, VC investments typically have extended investment horizons, particularly for early-stage projects, with approximately five years needed for investments to mature to a point where they can be sold. Multiple investment rounds are often required before harvesting returns becomes possible [7]. Thirdly, diversifying a portfolio of unlisted investments is more complex than diversifying one consisting of publicly traded assets. Elevated information and transaction costs are justifiable when the potential gains from an investment are substantial, which often necessitates significant investments. VC projects frequently absorb a considerable portion of the total funds available to the VC firm, limiting its diversification capacity [8].

This paper investigates the factors influencing the required return for Venture Capital Companies (VCCs). The analysis concentrates on returns across different investment stages, acknowledging the well-documented significance of a company's development stage as a risk determinant. Furthermore, VC managers naturally categorize investments based on their "investment stage", a classification that holds practical meaning and enhances the validity of findings.

2. Management Team

2.1. The Crucial Role in VC Investments

A fundamental inquiry in financial economics revolves around whether disparities exist in investment managers' capabilities to exhibit superior investment performance [9]. Concurrently, within the management and strategy literature, a central inquiry pertains to how top management teams influence a firm's decision-making processes and subsequent performance [10]. Notably, these areas of study are intrinsically linked to the broader field of labor and organizational economics, particularly the concept of human capital [11].

The notion that top management team decisions significantly influence a firm's outcomes is central to strategy and management literature. One method for gaining insight into top management teams' decision-making processes is to use team members' demographic characteristics as a proxy [12]. Education and experience, among other key demographic characteristics, are foundational components of human capital [13]. Knowledge that is specific and difficult to replicate is essential to human capital, contributing to long-term competitive advantage [14].

To understand knowledge as a critical resource for businesses, it is necessary to consider the qualitative aspects of human capital. Disparities in quantity may be less vital than disparities in quality in situations where organizations have significant amounts of human capital. It can better understand which aspects of human capital are associated with superior performance by distinguishing between different types of education and experiences. The context of venture capital lends itself particularly well to investigating the qualitative nature of human capital. Venture capitalists have a wealth of extensive and diverse knowledge and experience. Furthermore, this context allows for the examination of multiple dimensions of performance.

2.2. Characteristics of an Effective Management Team

Research studies have indicated that leadership roles can be complex and demanding, often requiring overtime work. Leaders must reinforce specific characteristics, such as commitment and passion, in such situations. When leaders support these traits, they cultivate a positive perspective on their job duties, perceiving them as challenging but not overwhelming. Passionate and committed leaders are well-equipped to handle their responsibilities effectively, overcome obstacles, and achieve desired outcomes. Therefore, commitment and passion are recognized as crucial characteristics of effective leadership.

Leaders bear the responsibility for their job duties and obligations. Leadership sets the tone for the organization's culture, and if an atmosphere of accountability is desired, it must start with the leaders and cascade to other members of the organization. Accountability is not a sporadic occurrence but an ongoing commitment. Leaders continually seek opportunities and, when identified, hold themselves accountable for them. Accepting accountability entails understanding and acknowledging the

consequences of their actions in areas where they assume responsibility. For instance, if a leader is tasked with completing a project within a specified timeframe, they dedicate their time to ensure timely completion, taking full responsibility for the project and other assigned duties.

Understanding the essence and significance of accountability empowers leaders to effect change and execute their responsibilities effectively. Leaders' primary objective is achieving desired outcomes and enhancing the organizational structure. To accomplish these tasks efficiently and systematically, they must embrace the concept of accountability. Furthermore, fostering a culture of accountability enables individuals to adopt a mindset of working efficiently, even in the face of challenges and difficulties. Thus, accountability is a vital characteristic of effective leadership, facilitating individuals in executing tasks appropriately and achieving their goals and objectives.

Effective management teams are distinguished by a well-defined team purpose, shared goals, and a clear mission that all team members understand. This team purpose expresses why the management team exists, what value it adds to the organization and its members, the outcomes it is accountable for, and the key deliverables it must produce [15]. This purpose and specific goals delineate the management team's tasks and issues. Notably, the team purpose is distinct from the organization's overall mission and is not simply a collection of individual members' goals. Instead, it defines how the management team uniquely contributes to the organization's goals and strategies [1].

Kartini and NAHDA emphasized the importance of a team's purpose being consequential, challenging, and precise [2]. According to them, the best management teams have a "crystal clear sense of the team's unique added value in advancing the organization's strategy." Members of these teams can articulate the critical strategic and tactical decisions for which they are collectively responsible.

Effective management teams are distinguished by competent leadership. Shrestha, Ben-Menahem, and Von assert that effective leadership processes represent perhaps the most critical factor in the success of organizational teams [4]. The team leader's role is significant because they have more power and influence than other team members. As a result, the leader's decisions and actions have a more substantial impact. They are in charge of defining the team's purpose and goals and structuring the team to achieve these goals [16].

Two of the most common perspectives found in research on efficient team leadership are the leadership style approach and the functional leadership approach. The leadership style approach focuses on identifying the distinctive leadership style of influential team leaders, with the main idea being that effective leaders have a distinct process that differentiates them from ineffective leaders [6]. Various literatures have shown a relationship between leadership styles and the extent to which successful outcomes are attained in achieving specific goals or tasks. Task-oriented and person-oriented are prevalent styles in leadership. On the other hand, the functional approach emphasizes the leader's role in ensuring that all functions necessary for task completion and group maintenance are adequately addressed [8]. Influential leaders constantly assess management teams' challenges to achieve exceptional results and adapt their approach as necessary. Haddad and Hornuf identified five essential team leader functions in creating effective management teams: defining a clear, shared team purpose, creating an agenda for team meetings, shaping team members' understanding of their dual responsibilities, articulating and modeling explicit norms that promote attention to team purposes and strategies while minimizing politics, and coaching the team on effective collaboration and conflict resolution [9].

3. Valuation

Valuation is pivotal in venture capital (VC) investments as it determines the equity stake a startup must offer in exchange for funding. VC investors must evaluate a startup's potential and future growth prospects to arrive at a fair valuation.

There needs to be more historical data regarding the valuation approaches utilized by VCs. A study of 140 venture capitalists from Great Britain, France, the United States of America, and Canada

discovered that while the multiples of comparable firms' method was preferred by most of the experts who were surveyed, the discounted cash flow (DCF) method became the preferred choice when there was insufficient comparative information available. DCF is particularly well-liked by newly founded businesses because of their lower incomes and loftier expansion goals. Hegeman and Sørheim found that most German VC professionals use DCF techniques for valuations [10]. Still, digging deeper into the methods used, they discovered that only about one-third followed the textbook approach. This was one of the findings that emerged from their investigation. On the other hand, the vast majority of DCF users made subjective, ad hoc adjustments. Lerner and Nanda discovered that the method of valuation used the most frequently was the discounted cash flow model, followed by price-earnings multiples based on predicted values [11].

As revealed by Agrawal and Hockerts, the differences were observed concerning valuations in five different countries [12]. In terms of valuation, EBIT multiple and the cost of recent market entrants were popular in the US, while the average PER based on history was largely prevalent in UK trading. In France, a higher reliance was put on the value of the recent investments as DCF-based methods were preferred as the most used method in the Netherlands and Belgium. Similarly, Avazov and Maxmudov researched venture capitalism stakeholders, including venture capitalists from Europe, America, and Asia [13]. The researchers found that DCF was primarily used in countries whose law systems were built in Germany and then following such systems of law as England. Conversely, those adhering to the French legal system often resort to historical book value. According to them, this occurred because the French legal system provides poorer protection for investors than the English legal system, making it necessary for some assets to be put in place for security purposes than it takes in the English system.

4. Deal Structure

In the world of VC investments, the intricacies of deal structures play a pivotal role in determining the success of startups and the returns for investors. The anatomy of deal structures in VC investments is a multifaceted subject encompassing key elements such as terms, equity ownership, and exit strategies. This section will delve into the critical aspects of deal structures, examining how they influence investment decisions and ultimately impact the startup ecosystem.

Deal structures in VC investments are the blueprints that govern the terms and conditions of an investment deal. They are meticulously crafted to balance the interests of the startup founders and the venture capitalists. Understanding the anatomy of deal structures is crucial for both parties involved.

Terms are the heart of any deal structure. They outline the conditions under which the investment is made and the rights and responsibilities of both the startup and the VC. Terms can include aspects like the startup's valuation, the investment amount, and the timeline for investment disbursement. Favorable terms can significantly impact the startup's growth prospects and the VC's potential returns. Terms in deal structures can vary widely and are influenced by several factors. The startup's stage of development, market conditions, and competitive landscape all play a role in shaping these terms. For example, a startup in its early stages may accept a lower valuation in exchange for the credibility and guidance provided by a prestigious VC firm. Conversely, a more mature startup with multiple funding options may negotiate for more favorable terms.

Equity ownership refers to the VC's stake in the startup in exchange for its investment. This is a pivotal element as it determines how much control the VC has over the startup and how much potential upside they have if the startup succeeds. The allocation of equity is often a subject of negotiation, and the balance struck can affect the startup's autonomy. Equity ownership is a pivotal determinant of deal structures. Startups must balance retaining sufficient ownership to maintain control over their company and giving up enough equity to attract investors. Conversely, VCs seek to secure a substantial stake that aligns with their investment goals and risk appetite. The negotiation around equity ownership can be intricate, often involving various classes of shares, vesting schedules, and anti-dilution provisions.

Exit strategies are the predefined mechanisms for the VC to realize their returns on investment. Common exit strategies include acquisition by a larger company or an initial public offering (IPO). The choice of exit strategy can impact the timeline for returns and the potential magnitude of those returns. It's a critical element to align the interests of both parties. Exit strategies are closely tied to deal structures as they define the eventual path to returns for investors. The choice of exit strategy depends on several factors, including the startup's growth trajectory and the broader market conditions. Some startups may aim for rapid growth and an eventual IPO, while others may pursue acquisition by a strategic partner. The chosen exit strategy can profoundly influence the VC's time horizon and return on investment.

5. Conclusion

This essay has shed light on several crucial aspects of VC investments, highlighting the pivotal role played by management teams, valuation, and deal structures in shaping investment decisions. These elements collectively contribute to the success and sustainability of VC investments. The findings underscore the significance of well-defined management teams, precise valuations, and adept deal structures in the VC landscape. Effective management teams are vital for accelerating startups, while valuation methods provide the foundation for equitable investment terms. Simultaneously, well-crafted deal structures influence the outcomes for both startups and investors, influencing the direction and potential for growth.

VC investors can draw valuable insights from these determinants. Understanding the importance of management teams, employing appropriate valuation methods, and crafting favorable deal structures are crucial steps in making informed investment decisions that align with the goals of both parties. The future of VC investments promises to be dynamic and ever-evolving. Emerging trends, market shifts, and technological advancements will continue to shape this landscape. VC investors must remain adaptable and proactive to capitalize on emerging opportunities and mitigate risks effectively. A key takeaway is the delicate balance between innovation and risk inherent in VC investments. Striking the proper equilibrium between nurturing innovative ideas and managing risk is fundamental to achieving long-term success. Prudent risk assessment and strategic decision-making are paramount.

In conclusion, VC investments embody a dynamic and multifaceted domain that demands ongoing analysis, adaptability, and foresight. The collaborative efforts of management teams, sound valuation practices, and well-structured deals form the bedrock of prosperous VC investments. By navigating the evolving landscape and embracing innovation while managing risk, VC investors can harness the boundless potential of startups and contribute to the continued growth and transformation of the entrepreneurial ecosystem.

References

- [1] Cao, M.M., Nguyen, N. T. and Tran, T.T. Behavioral factors on individual investors' decision making and investment performance: A survey from the Vietnam Stock Market. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 2021, 8 (3): 845 - 853.
- [2] Kartini, K. and NAHDA, K. Behavioral biases on investment decision: A case study in Indonesia. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 2021, 8 (3): 1231 - 1240.
- [3] Ning, Y., Cherian, J., Sial, M.S., Álvarez-Otero, S., Comite, U. and Zia-Ud-Din, M. Green bond as a new determinant of sustainable green financing, energy efficiency investment, and economic growth: a global perspective. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 2023, 30 (22): 61324 - 61339.
- [4] Shrestha, Y.R., Ben-Menahem, S.M. and Von Krogh, G. Organizational decision-making structures in the age of artificial intelligence. *California Management Review*, 2019, 61 (4): 66 - 83.
- [5] Cavallo, A., Ghezzi, A., Dell'Era, C. and Pellizzoni, E. Fostering digital entrepreneurship from startup to scaleup: The role of venture capital funds and angel groups. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 2019, 145: 24 - 35.

- [6] Baik, B.K., Berfeld, N. and Verdi, R.S. Do public financial statements influence venture capital and private equity financing? Available at SSRN 3867958, 2022
- [7] Karl, M., Muskat, B. and Ritchie, B.W. Which travel risks are more salient for destination choice? An examination of the tourist's decision-making process. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management*, 2020, 18: 100487.
- [8] Ainia, N.S.N. and Lutfi, L. The influence of risk perception, risk tolerance, overconfidence, and loss aversion towards investment decision-making. *Journal of Economics, Business, & Accountancy Ventura*, 2019, 21 (3): 401 - 413.
- [9] Haddad, C. and Hornuf, L. The emergence of the global fintech market: Economic and technological determinants. *Small business economics*, 2019, 53 (1): 81 - 105.
- [10] Hegeman, P.D. and Sørheim, R. Why do they do it? Corporate venture capital investments in cleantech startups. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2021, 294: 126315.
- [11] Lerner, J. and Nanda, R. Venture capital's role in financing innovation: What we know and how much we still need to learn. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 2020, 34 (3): 237 - 261.
- [12] Agrawal, A. and Hockerts, K. Impact investing strategy: Managing conflicts between impact investor and investee social enterprise. *Sustainability*, 2019, 11 (15): 4117.
- [13] Avazov, N. and Maxmudov, N. Investment as a source of financing. *Архив научных исследований*, 2020 (24).
- [14] Metrick, A. and Yasuda, A. *Venture capital and the finance of innovation*. John Wiley & Sons, 2021.
- [15] Barber, B.M., Morse, A. and Yasuda, A. Impact investing. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 2021, 139 (1), pp.162 - 185.
- [16] Saif-Alyousfi, A.Y., Md-Rus, R., Taufil-Mohd, K.N., Taib, H.M. and Shahar, H.K. Determinants of capital structure: evidence from Malaysian firms. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration*, 2020, 12 (3/4): 283 - 326.