Innovation vs. Tradition: A SWOT Analysis of 360 and Huawei Investment in R&D and Its Financial Outcomes

Zhongbin Chen*

School of Science and Engineering, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen, China

* Corresponding Author Email: 121090082@link.cuhk.edu.cn

Abstract. In the fast-evolving technology sector, the dynamic relationships between innovation and tradition form a critical pivot for companies that make their efforts to achieve sustained growth. This study conducts a comprehensive SWOT analysis to explore how two leading Chinese technology giants, 360 Security Technology Inc. (360) and Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. (Huawei), face the challenge through their strategic investments in Research and Development (R&D). Utilizing qualitative and quantitative approaches, this research examines secondary data sources, including annual reports, financial statements, and industry publications, to analyze the impact of R&D investments on the companies' financial outcomes and market positioning. The findings reveal distinct strategies, with Huawei aggressively pursuing innovation, particularly in telecommunications and consumer electronics, while 360 adopts a balanced approach between innovative internet security solutions and traditional business models. The study highlights the double-edged nature of innovation - as a driver of growth and a source of vulnerability - and underscores the stabilizing role of tradition in the volatile technology market. By comparing and contrasting these approaches, the research sheds light on the strategic decisions technology firms face in allocating resources to R&D. The implications of this study extend to practitioners and policymakers, offering insights into fostering environments that support both innovation and tradition. This research contributes to the strategic management literature by providing a nuanced understanding of how technology companies can leverage R&D investments to navigate the complexities of innovation and tradition, ensuring a competitive edge and financial success.

Keywords: Research and Development, SWOT Analysis, Strategic Management.

1. Introduction

Among the rapidly developing landscape of global technology, the relationship between innovation and tradition presents a pivotal challenge for companies that are seeking sustained growth and competitive advantage [1, 2]. This paper focuses on how two leading Chinese technology giants, 360 Security Technology Inc. (hereafter "360") and Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. (hereafter "Huawei"), consider the relationship between innovation and tradition through their strategic investments in Research and Development (R&D). While Huawei is famous for its aggressive pursuit of innovation, especially in telecommunications and consumer electronics, 360 has been focusing on internet security as well as smart home devices. 360 is balanced between innovative approaches and traditional business models. Through a comprehensive SWOT analysis, this study aims to dissect the impact of R&D investments on the financial outcomes and market positioning of these two companies, offering insights into how innovation and tradition coalesce to drive corporate strategy in the technology sector.

This research is of great importance for the increasing global reliance on technological development and the escalating competition among tech companies worldwide. With their own strategies, 360 and Huawei embody the broader industry's challenges in harnessing R&D for financial success. By comparing and contrasting these companies' approaches, this research seeks to figure out how technological firms can effectively allocate resources to R&D activities to foster innovation, maintain a competitive edge, and achieve favourable financial outcomes. Furthermore, this analysis shows the pathways through which traditional business practices can be integrated with innovative efforts, thereby offering a nuanced perspective on strategic planning in the high-tech industry.

2. Literature Review

The dynamic interplay between innovation and tradition in the realm of corporate strategy has been a focal point of academic research and industry analysis. This literature review synthesizes existing research on the role of Research and Development (R&D) investments in shaping the financial outcomes and strategic positioning of technology firms, with a special focus on the contrasting approaches of 360 Security Technology Inc. (360) and Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd. (Huawei).

2.1. Theoretical Foundations

Innovation and Competitive Advantage: Drawing from the resource-based view (RBV), studies have emphasized the significance of unique resources and capabilities, such as R&D, in sustaining competitive advantage and superior performance [3]. Innovation, characterized by the development of new products, processes, or business models, is often cited as a critical resource that can lead to a firm's long-term success [4]. Tradition and Organizational Resilience: On the other hand, the literature on organizational resilience highlights the value of tradition and existing capabilities in helping firms navigate through uncertainties and disruptions [5]. Tradition, through established routines and practices, provides a stable framework within which innovation can flourish.

2.2. Empirical Studies

R&D Investment and Firm Performance: Empirical research has shown mixed results regarding the impact of R&D investments on firm performance, suggesting that the effectiveness of R&D spending may depend on factors such as industry characteristics, firm size, and the nature of the R&D activities [6]. For technology firms, R&D investments are especially critical for maintaining technological leadership and responding to rapid market changes.

Balancing Innovation and Tradition: Studies specifically focusing on technology firms have explored how these companies balance the need for continuous innovation with the preservation of traditional strengths [7]. For instance, Huawei's aggressive R&D investment strategy contrasts with 360's more balanced approach, reflecting different paths to leveraging innovation while maintaining traditional core competencies.

Strategic Management in High-Tech Industries: Research within the strategic management domain has further examined how high-tech firms utilize strategic tools, such as SWOT analysis, to navigate the complex landscape of innovation and tradition [8]. These studies provide insights into strategic planning processes and decision-making in dynamic and competitive environments.

While existing research provides valuable insights into the impact of R&D investments on technology firms, there is a notable gap in comparative studies that specifically analyze how companies like 360 and Huawei consider innovation-tradition relations [9]. This research seeks to fill this gap by offering a detailed SWOT analysis of the R&D strategies of these two companies and figuring out their implications for financial performance and strategic decision-making.

3. SWOT Analysis

This study employs a comprehensive SWOT (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, Threats) analysis framework to examine the strategic R&D investments of 360 Security Technology Inc. (360) and Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. (Huawei). The aim is to elucidate the influence of these investments on the companies' financial performance and market positioning, highlighting the balance between innovation and traditional practices within their corporate strategies. The methodology unfolds in several steps.

This research primarily relies on secondary data sources, including annual reports, financial statements, industry reports, and scholarly articles. This data provides insights into the R&D investment trends, financial performance, and strategic orientation of 360 and Huawei. Information on R&D projects, patent filings, and technological advancements announced through press releases and official websites of both companies serves as a basis for understanding their innovation strategies. Data from market research firms and technology industry analysts are used to gauge the competitive landscape and market trends affecting 360 and Huawei.

This aspect of the analysis focuses on internal factors, such as R&D capabilities, financial resources, brand reputation, and product portfolio diversity. Strengths and weaknesses are assessed based on the companies' historical performance, strategic decisions, and operational efficiencies.

External factors, including market dynamics, technological trends, regulatory changes, and competitive pressures, are examined to identify opportunities and threats. This analysis considers global and regional market conditions affecting the technology sector. The effectiveness of R&D investments is measured by innovation outputs, such as the number of patents filed, the launch of new products or services, and technological breakthroughs [10].

3.1. 360 Security Technology Inc.

The SWOT analysis was conducted on 360 and Huawei to reveal distinct approaches to balancing innovation with tradition. each reflected in their financial outcomes and market positioning. This section details these findings, illustrating the nuanced ways in which R&D investments drive corporate strategy within the technology sector.

Strengths: 360's core strengths reflect its robust internet security solutions and emerging smart home device market presence. The company's dedicated investment in R&D has fostered a strong product innovation pipeline. This dedicated investment makes it rapidly adapted to market changes and customer needs.

Weaknesses: Despite its innovative capabilities, 360 faces challenges in scaling its global market presence, partly due to limited brand recognition outside China. Additionally, reliance on traditional revenue models in a rapidly evolving tech landscape has occasionally hindered its agility.

Opportunities: The burgeoning demand for cybersecurity and smart home technologies presents significant growth opportunities for 360. Strategic partnerships and expansion into new markets are pivotal avenues to leverage these opportunities.

Threats: Intense competition from both domestic and international players, along with the fast pace of technological change, constitutes major threats to 360’s sustained growth.

3.2. Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd.

Strengths: Huawei's aggressive pursuit of innovation, particularly in telecommunications and consumer electronics, has cemented its status as a global technology leader. This pursuit of innovation is underpinned by substantial R&D investment. Its extensive patent portfolio and global network infrastructure projects exemplify this strength.

Weaknesses: Geopolitical tensions and trade restrictions have emerged as significant barriers, impacting Huawei's access to certain international markets and critical supply chains.

Opportunities: The global push towards 5G technology and digital transformation across industries opens vast opportunities for Huawei. Huawei could deploy its advanced solutions to foster new revenue streams.

Threats: Increasing global scrutiny and competition, especially in the 5G arena, alongside geopolitical challenges, pose threats to Huawei’s market position and potential growth avenues.

3.3. Comparative Financial and Strategic Insights

The SWOT analysis underscores a fundamental difference in how 360 and Huawei navigate the innovation-tradition nexus. While 360's approach embodies a balance between pioneering internet security and smart home technologies. It adheres to tried-and-tested business models. Huawei’s strategy is markedly characterized by a relentless pursuit of breakthrough innovations, even in the face of significant external challenges.

Financial Performance: Huawei's financial outcomes reflect the high-risk, high-reward nature of its innovation-led strategy, with substantial revenue growth driven by its dominant position in global telecommunications markets. Conversely, 360's financial performance, while stable, indicates slower growth, highlighting the challenges and potential limitations of its more cautious approach.

Market Positioning: Huawei’s bold R&D initiatives have positioned it as a leader in next-generation technologies. Although it has geopolitical risks, it still works. Meanwhile, 360’s strategy, which emphasizes core areas of expertise, has solidified its market position within China. It also suggests room for broader global expansion and diversification.

3.4. Implications for Innovation and Tradition in Corporate Strategy

The findings of this study illuminate the complex relationships between innovation and tradition. Huawei’s trajectory demonstrates a potent competitive advantage. Albeit with inherent risks, the advantage can be gained from a relentless focus on innovation. In contrast, afforded by integrating innovative endeavours with traditional business practices, 360’s balanced approach highlights sustainability and resilience. Both strategies reveal divergent paths to leveraging R&D for financial success. They offered valuable lessons for other technology firms navigating similar strategic dilemmas.

4. Discussion

The analysis of 360 and Huawei using the SWOT framework has illuminated the intricate balance between innovation and tradition in shaping corporate strategies. This discussion reflects on the key insights derived from the comparative analysis. it situates them within the broader context of technology sector dynamics.

4.1. The Drawbacks of Innovation

Huawei's aggressive R&D strategy underscores the principle that innovation can serve as a significant growth engine for technology companies. The company's substantial investment in pioneering technologies has not only solidified its market leadership but also exemplified the transformative potential of innovation on a global scale. However, this study also highlights the vulnerabilities associated with this innovation-centric approach. Particularly under geopolitical risks, the vulnerabilities will be an apparent challenge. These findings echo the literature that views innovation as a double-edged sword, capable of driving unprecedented growth but also exposing firms to heightened risks.

4.2. The Value of Tradition

Conversely, 360's balanced strategy reveals the enduring value of traditional business models and practices, even in a sector as fast-evolving as technology. By maintaining a focus on core competencies in internet security and smart home devices, 360 demonstrates the potential for stable growth without the constant pressure to disrupt. This approach aligns with the notion that tradition can provide a stable foundation in turbulent markets, enabling firms to leverage existing assets and capabilities while cautiously exploring new opportunities. The resilience of 360 amidst market fluctuations and competitive pressures lends credence to the argument that a balanced approach can mitigate the inherent risks of over-reliance on rapid innovation.

4.3. Strategic Implications for Technology Firms

The contrasting strategies of 360 and Huawei offer valuable lessons for other technology firms. For companies like Huawei, pursuing cutting-edge innovation represents a strategic choice to capture new markets and establish technological leadership. Although with the need for constant vigilance against external threats and the challenge of managing complex global operations, it is still worth a try. For firms more like to 360, a strategy that emphasizes balance and gradual evolution might not capture headlines. However, it can ensure sustained performance and resilience against market volatility.

4.4. Policy Implications

The findings from this study also have significant policy implications, particularly in the context of fostering a conducive environment for technological innovation. Governments and regulatory bodies play a crucial role in shaping the landscape within which technology firms operate. Policies that support R&D, protect intellectual property rights, and encourage international collaboration can amplify the benefits of innovation. Simultaneously, frameworks that recognize the value of traditional business practices can help maintain industry diversity and stability.

5. Conclusion

This paper looked at how 360 and Huawei use their money for research and development (R&D) to grow and stay ahead in the tech world. We saw that Huawei likes to take big steps in innovation, especially with new tech and gadgets. This approach has helped them become leaders globally but also comes with risks, like political issues and market challenges. On the other hand, 360 takes a more balanced path. They mix new ideas with old ways of doing business. This method has kept them stable and growing, though not as fast as some of their more adventurous competitors. From our study, we learned a few key things: Being bold and innovative can lead to big wins but also big risks. Keeping to traditional ways can offer steady growth and safety. Both companies show there's no one-size-fits-all strategy in tech. What works for one may not work for another.

For people running tech companies, our findings highlight the need to think carefully about how much they invest in new ideas versus sticking with what they know. For those making policies, our study shows the importance of creating a supportive environment for all types of business strategies. Looking ahead, more research could help us understand these strategies better. It would be interesting to see if what we found with 360, and Huawei holds true in other places and in other parts of the tech industry. Watching how these strategies change over time as the world and technology evolve would also be valuable.

In summary, finding the right mix of new and old strategies is key for tech companies wanting to succeed. The experiences of 360 and Huawei offer valuable lessons for navigating the fast-paced tech landscape, showing the power and risks of innovation alongside the stability and limitations of tradition.

References

[1] Huang L, Wang K, Wu F, Lou Y, Miao H, Xu Y. SWOT analysis of information technology industry in Beijing, China using patent data. In Computational Science and Its Applications–ICCSA, 2012, 1(12): 447-461.

[2] Onileowo T, Muharam F, Ramily M, Khatib S. The Nexus between innovation and business competitive advantage: A conceptual study. Universal Journal of Accounting and Finance, 2021, 9(3):352-61.

[3] Barney J. Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of management, 1991, 17(1):99-120.

[4] Porter M. Competitive advantage free press. New York, 1985, 33:61.

[5] Weick K, Sutcliffe K. Managing the unexpected. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 2001.

[6] Hall B, Lotti F, Mairesse J. Innovation and productivity in SMEs: empirical evidence for Italy. Small business economics, 2009, 33:13-33.

[7] Tushman M, O'Reilly III CA. Ambidextrous organizations: Managing evolutionary and revolutionary change. California Management Review, 1996, 38(4):8-29.

[8] Grant R. Toward a knowledge-based theory of the firm. Strategic Management Journal, 1996, 17(S2):109-22.

[9] Janjić I, Krstić B, Milanović S. The Impact of R&D Activity on the Business Performance of High-Technology Companies. Facta Universitatis, Series: Economics and Organization, 2022, 21:253-71.

[10] Schwartz L, Miller R, Plummer D, Fusfeld AR. Measuring the effectiveness of R&D. Research-Technology Management, 2011, 54(5):29-36.