The Relationship among Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Factors

Siyu Chen *
Department of Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications University, Beijing, China
* Corresponding Author Email: chensiyu@bupt.edu.cn

Abstract. As the global economy slows down, enterprise development enters a new stage. In addition to scale expansion, more emphasis is placed on external factors that focus on sustainable development, such as environmental, social and governance (ESG). ESG represents the concept of green economy, emphasizing that enterprises should not develop at the cost of the environment, but should take the initiative to fulfill social responsibilities and protect the rights and interests of stakeholders. In this paper, the comprehensive impact of ESG dimensions on corporate governance is deeply studied. Through the systematic review and analysis of several domestic and international literatures, this paper reveals the key role of strong governance structures in promoting the development and implementation of corporate environmental policies, as well as their central position in enhancing social responsibility and corporate social image. Research shows that good governance can not only improve a company's environmental performance, but also further enhance its financial performance and market competitiveness by improving social interaction and meeting stakeholder needs. This paper emphasizes that the optimization of governance structure is an important strategy for enterprises to achieve sustainable development and increase shareholder value.

Keywords: Corporate Governance; Environmental Responsibility; Social Responsibility; Sustainable Development; ESG Performance.

1. Introduction

As the global focus on sustainability has increased, companies' ESG performance has become an important indicator of their long-term success. This paper explores how these three dimensions interact and influence corporate governance structures and strategic decisions. In particular, the paper analyzes how governance structures promote corporate sustainability by integrating environmental and social responsibility. Through the review and analysis of several literatures, this paper aims to show how strengthening governance structures can improve the environmental and social performance of companies, and how this process can help companies add value in a complex socio-economic environment. These insights have important implications for understanding and implementing effective ESG strategies.

In today's business environment and global economy, ESG activities have become increasingly important. Companies are required to change how they operate and consider environmental, social and ethical obligations, not just financial success. ESG factors are critical to the measurement and management of a company's sustainability performance and its impact on society [1, 2]. This increased importance is driven by growing public demand for greater transparency, ethical and socially responsible behaviour by companies over time. These changes have made ESG the key to driving long-term business success, reducing social and environmental risk, and meeting customer and investor expectations [3].

How companies respond to these societal expectations is reflected in ESG factors. ESG is a reflection of a company's environmental impact, social responsibility and ethical practices. It is therefore inevitable that it will have an impact on the presentation of a company's financial information. Companies with an ESG focus are more likely to have higher standards of corporate governance and transparency [4].

2. The Interaction of ESG Factors

2.1. The Influence of Governance on Environmental Factor

Past studies have shown that there is a close relationship between the environment and governance, disclosing that environmental protection is embedded in social responsibility. A good governance structure can promote better management of environmental issues by, for example, formulating environmental protection policies and standardizing management processes to ensure the implementation of environmental responsibilities. In addition, the solution of environmental problems also requires a good governance mechanism to supervise and implement to ensure the effectiveness and sustainability of environmental protection measures.

A good corporate governance structure is essential to promote the fulfillment of corporate environmental responsibility and improve environmental performance. The quality of governance structures directly affects the ability of companies to formulate and implement environmental policies, thereby driving sustainable development in the broader socio-economic environment.

First of all, the intensity of governance has a direct impact on the formulation of corporate environmental strategies. The board of directors promotes the improvement of corporate governance and the implementation of strategies by exercising supervisory and advisory functions as the main decision-making body of the company [5]. The board plays a driving role in the development of ESG strategies as it can identify external environmental pressures and translate them into internal environmental strategies [6]. Studies have shown that how companies meet ESG responsibilities is important for boards and that boards have significant responsibility for achieving ESG responsibility objectives [7-9]. Board characteristics can influence the implementation of companies' ESG strategies, as the influence of individual board member characteristics on the collective decision-making characteristics of the board cannot be ignored [10]. In companies with strong governance mechanisms, boards and senior management are more likely to incorporate environmental responsibility into the company's core values and long-term strategy. This integration not only promotes environmentally friendly business practices, but also improves the adaptability and responsiveness of enterprises to environmental changes. A robust governance structure provides a solid framework for environmental protection by ensuring that environmental objectives are aligned with the overall corporate objectives.

Second, in monitoring and enforcing environmental policies, the quality of corporate governance plays a key role. Companies that were early adopters of environmental management practices had better financial performance than those that were slower to adopt, according to research by Darnall et al [11]. Similarly, Kitzes and Kuntze found that stricter environmental regulations were associated with increased innovation in German manufacturing [12]. Therefore, corporate governance should pay more attention to supervision mechanism. Effective governance mechanisms, including transparent reporting systems, clear accountability and regular performance evaluations, are essential components of ensuring effective implementation of environmental policies. For example, by regularly assessing environmental performance and reporting openly to stakeholders, companies can increase the transparency of their operations while promoting compliance with environmental standards.

Furthermore, a well-established governance structure also helps enterprises to deal with environmental risks more effectively. When faced with challenges such as resource scarcity, climate change, and environmental regulations, companies with strong governance practices are better able to identify potential risks and develop mitigation strategies accordingly. This forward-looking risk management not only reduces the uncertainty of business operations, but also protects the long-term interests of investors and other stakeholders.

All in all, the interaction between environment and corporate governance reveals a complex and profound interaction pattern, in which the quality of corporate governance structure directly affects the fulfillment of its environmental responsibility and the improvement of its environmental performance. Good governance structures are a key driver of sustainable development by ensuring the effective formulation and implementation of environmental protection policies and the standardization of management processes. This structure not only promotes the adoption of environmentally friendly practices within the enterprise, but also enhances the adaptability and responsiveness of the enterprise in the face of environmental changes.

2.2. The Influence of Governance on Social Factor

ESG has gradually become a hot topic in both pragmatic and academic circles, as all sectors of society pay more attention to sustainable development in the face of environmental degradation, depletion of natural resources, intensification of labour-management conflicts and frequent corporate bankruptcies. Multidimensional and balanced corporate development and related concepts such as CSR and socially responsible investment (SRI) are at the core of the ESG concept [13].

The relationship between social and governance factors shows similar characteristic, and the "stakeholder values" of corporate governance contain social responsibility. A well-organized governance structure can improve the understanding and fulfillment of enterprises' social responsibilities, thus enhancing the relationship between enterprises and employees, customers and suppliers, and boosting social recognition. At the same time, the consideration of social factors also helps enterprises to establish a good corporate image, improve brand value and market competitiveness. By improving environmental performance, companies can not only directly contribute to sustainable development, but also indirectly improve social well-being.

First, a well-arranged governance structure provides a solid foundation for a company's social performance. It ensures transparency, accountability and fairness in the decision-making process, which is essential to identify and balance the needs of different stakeholders. In a well-structured governance system, stakeholder participation is recognized as an important part of the decision-making process, thereby enhancing the sensitivity and responsiveness of the business to the needs and expectations of society. Stakeholder theory suggests that ESG initiatives gradually move the objectives of companies from the sole maximization of economic value to the balancing of economic and social value, and thus achieve an effective balance between the interests of owners, managers, employees, suppliers, consumers and the public. It means that the network of relationships and development resources of an enterprise are significantly reintegrated, which ultimately increases operational efficiency [14, 15].

Secondly, the quality of governance has a profound impact on the fulfillment of corporate social responsibility. These include fair treatment of employees, protection of consumer rights, and a commitment to the environment and community welfare. The modern global economy is driving companies to become more aware of the importance of socially responsible business practices than they have in the past. Since companies are the basic economic units and play a central role in economic growth and social development, it is highly appropriate and necessary for companies to become more socially responsible by giving back to society in various ways, e.g., by donating to charity, producing safely, supporting employment, protecting workers' rights, improving product quality, protecting the environment, conserving resources, and so on. However, these responsible activities cannot be achieved without the support of the awareness of honesty and integrity embedded in the minds of the management and the employees of the companies, as well as the ethical corporate cultures [16]. Good governance promotes a culture of corporate responsibility that encourages companies to go beyond basic compliance requirements and take proactive steps to positively impact the social environment in which they operate. In addition, transparent reporting and proactive stakeholder communication strategies further enhance public trust and confidence in corporate social behavior.

Moreover, the robustness and efficiency of governance structures play a decisive role in addressing societal challenges. Business organizations operate under the norms of society, and in order to establish an ethical identity, they need to demonstrate corporate ethical responsibility and adopt ethical standards [17]. In the face of global issues such as labor rights, gender equality and social justice, companies demonstrate the true strength of their values and commitments through their governance practices. This shows that the social impact of companies is not only achieved through their direct actions, but also through the ethical standards and principles embodied in their decision-making and governance processes.

Finally, the positive relationship between social performance and corporate governance creates value for companies in the long run. Corporate governance is an important determinant of the success of an organization and its relationship with its stakeholders [18]. Effective governance mechanisms, such as board diversity by Carter et al, transparent reporting by Adams and Ferreira, and stakeholder engagement by Carter et al and Erhardt et al, contribute to better decision-making and risk management [19-21]. In addition to improving financial performance, these governance elements also enhance corporate reputation and social capital. Moreover, it plays a crucial role in the ESG framework as it acts as a safeguard against ethical lapses that can lead to ESG controversies [22]. Superior social performance can enhance a company's brand image and market position, attract and retain talent, and promote consumer and investor loyalty. This highlights that corporate governance is not just a matter of internal management mechanisms, but also how to achieve long-term business success through a socially responsible approach.

2.3. The Relationship between Environmental and Social Factors

ESG research also focuses on how the environment and society are interrelated. Solving environmental problems often has a direct or indirect impact on society, such as reducing pollutant emissions and improving resource utilization efficiency, which helps to improve the social environment and the quality of life of local people. At the same time, solving social problems can also promote environmental sustainability, for example, by raising the level of education and improving the social welfare system, which helps to increase people's awareness and ability to protect the environment.

First, improved environmental performance directly affects public health and community well-being. By reducing emissions, implementing cleaner production technologies and adopting renewable energy sources, companies can significantly reduce negative impacts on air, water and soil, thereby mitigating threats to the health of nearby residents. In addition, corporate environmental protection measures can also enhance biodiversity and protect natural ecosystems, which is essential for maintaining the ecological well-being of society and providing necessary ecological services.

Second, corporate commitment to the environment promotes positive interactions with consumers. By participating in environmental projects, supporting sustainability initiatives and promoting environmental education, companies not only enhance their social image, but also strengthen their connections with members of society. This participation not only helps to build a positive brand image of the company, but also inspires consumer awareness and participation in environmental protection. Moreover, environmental performance is closely related to corporate social responsibility. The company's efforts in environmental management reflect its commitment to social responsibility, which builds a positive corporate image among employees, consumers and investors. Businesses with high environmental standards are often seen as more responsible and attractive employers and partners, which helps attract and retain talent, increase consumer loyalty, and attract socially responsible investments.

Finally, the relationship between environment and society reflects a broader global trend towards balancing environmental sustainability with social equity. As companies improve their environmental performance, they also need to consider their wider impact on society, including ensuring fair labor practices, promoting economic inclusion, and supporting community development. Since the 18th NPC, China relentlessly pursued the path of green development, insisting on 'green water and green hills are gold and silver hills' and promising the world to try to reach the carbon peak by 2030 and to strive for carbon neutrality by 2060. ESG not only aligns with China's strategic plan to accelerate implementation of its "dual carbon" goal, but also closely reflects China's new development concept [23]. Consequently, an increasing number of enterprises, not only in China but also globally, have begun to recognize the significance of social and environmental responsibility. By doing so, companies can not only contribute to environmental protection, but also play a role in building a more just and inclusive society. The interaction between the environment and society underscores the important role of business in promoting sustainable development and social well-being. Through active environmental management and social engagement, companies can not only improve their own environmental and social performance, but also make an important contribution to achieving the broader Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

3. Summary

In summary, this paper has discussed the relationship and interaction of ESG factors. It has explored the impact of governance on environmental and social factors respectively, as well as the relationship between the latter two. Moreover, it has offered suggestions and inspiration for corporate management.

To be specific, a sound governance structure can not only promote the performance of enterprises in the formulation and implementation of environmental protection policies, but also enhance the fulfillment of corporate social responsibility. Good governance mechanisms help companies to more effectively identify risks and develop mitigation strategies in the face of environmental and social challenges, thereby protecting the long-term interests of investors and other stakeholders. In addition, the analysis shows that by integrating the ESG dimension, companies can improve their social and environmental performance while enhancing their financial performance and market competitiveness.

Therefore, corporate managers should pay attention to the optimization of governance structures and integrate environmental and social responsibility into their core business strategies. Ultimately, while pursuing economic interests, enterprises should also assume the responsibility of promoting social public welfare and environmental sustainability. By strengthening ESG practices, companies can not only remain competitive in the global marketplace, but also have a positive long-term impact on society and the environment.

For future research, it is recommended to further explore the specific impact of ESG practices in different industries and regions, and how their implementation can be further facilitated globally through policy and education.

References

[1] E. Clementino, R. Perkins, how do companies respond to environmental, social and governance (ESG) ratings? Evidence from Italy,Journal of Business Ethics, 2021, 171.

[2] G.H. Ionescu, D. Firoiu, R. Pirvu, R.D. Vilag, The impact of ESG factors on market value of companies from travel and tourism industry, Technological and Economic Development of Economy, 2019, 25 (5).

[3] Gökhan Özer, Nagihan Aktaş, İlhan Çam, Corporate environmental, social, and governance activities and financial reporting quality: An international investigation, Borsa Istanbul Review, 2024, ISSN 2214-8450

[4] P.C. Kumar, ESG compliant companies provide superior returns, The star, 2020.

[5] Y. Lu et al, Board of directors' attributes and corporate outcomes: a systematic literature review and future research agenda, Int. Rev. Financ. Anal, 2022.

[6] Q. Zhang et al, ESG reputational risks and board monitoring committees, Finance Res. Lett, 2022.

[7] M. Zahid et al, Boardroom gender diversity: implications for corporate sustainability disclosures in Malaysia, J. Clean. Prod, 2020.

[8] M.H. Shakil, Environmental, social and governance performance and financial risk: moderating role of ESG controversies and board gender diversity, Resour. Policy, 2021.

[9] B. Muniandy et al, Board generational cohorts, gender diversity and corporate environmental and social disclosures: evidence from China, J. Account. Public Policy, 2023.

[10] Awaisu Adamu Salihi, Haslindar Ibrahim, Dayana Mastura Baharudin, Environmental governance as a driver of green innovation capacity and firm value creation, Innovation and Green Development, Volume 3, Issue 2,2024,100110

[11] N. Darnall, G.J. Jolley, R. Handfield, Environmental management systems and green supply chain management: Complements for sustainability? Business Strategy and the Environment, 2008, 17 (1).

[12] E. Kitzes, T. Kuntze, Environmental policy stringency and innovation: Empirical evidence from Germany, Ecological Economics, 2015, 119.

[13] Nan Zhang, Chao Yang, Siyi Wang, Research progress and prospect of environmental, social and governance: A systematic literature review and bibliometric analysis, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 447,2024,141489.

[14] T. Donaldson, L.E. Preston, The stakeholder theory of the corporation: concepts, evidence, and implications, Acad. Manag. Rev., 1995,20 (1).

[15] J.F. Houston, H. Shan, Corporate ESG profiles and banking relationships, Rev. Financ. Stud., 2022, 35 (7).

[16] Wanyi Chen, Yuchuan Xie, Kang He, Environmental, social, and governance performance and corporate innovation novelty, International Journal of Innovation Studies, Volume 8, Issue 2, 2024, 109-131.

[17] Surajit Bag, Gautam Srivastava, Shivam Gupta, Uthayasankar Sivarajah, Natalie Victoria Wilmot, The effect of corporate ethical responsibility on social and environmental performance: An empirical study, Industrial Marketing Management, Volume 117, 2024, 356-370.

[18] M.C. Jensen et al, Theory of the firm: managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure, J. Financ. Econ, 1976.

[19] D.A. Carter et al, The gender and ethnic diversity of US boards and board committees and firm financial performance, Corp. Gov. Int. Rev, 2010.

[20] R.B. Adams et al, Women in the boardroom and their impact on governance and performance, J. Financ. Econ, 2009.

[21] G. Bernile et al, Board diversity, firm risk, and corporate policies, J. Financ. Econ, 2018.

[22] Zihao Wu, Siliang Lin, Tianhao Chen, Chunyang Luo, Hui Xu, do es effective corporate governance mitigate the negative effect of ESG controversies on firm value? Economic Analysis and Policy, Volume 80, 2023, 1772-1793.

[23] Fei Chen, Yuehu Liu, Xuezhao Chen, ESG performance and business risk—Empirical evidence from China's listed companies, Innovation and Green Development, Volume 3, Issue 3, 2024, 100142.