A Study of the Impact of ESG on Corporate Performance and Firm Valuation

Weibo Fang *

School of Finance Economics & International Trade, Zhejiang University of Finance & Economics Dongfang College, Haining, 314408, China

* Corresponding Author Email: 100392@yzpc.edu.cn

Abstract. This study is committed to a thorough examination of the significant effects that environmental, social, and governance (ESG) dimensions have on the worth of businesses. Additionally, obtaining ESG certification holds considerable importance for the valuation of companies. Enterprises certified by the authority ESG can not only prove that they have a high standard and level in ESG, but also improve their brand image and credibility, thus attracting more partners and investors. To grasp the intricacies and significance of this matter fully, it begins with a foundational theoretical approach, delving into the obstacles and dilemmas companies encounter throughout their routine business activities. It also further discusses and studies how ESG performance affects enterprise value. Through the analysis of a large number of data and cases, it is found that those enterprises that actively disclose ESG information can often gain more trust and support from investors, thus improving the market value and position of enterprises. Finally, it finds that although ESG has a positive impact on the stock price of enterprises, it is actually relatively slight, and investors prefer enterprises with better operating conditions in terms of investment choices.

Keywords: Environmental, Social, and Governance; Corporate Valuation; ESG Performance.

1. Introduction

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) pertains to the ecological and societal aspects of corporate stewardship, employed as metrics for assessing a company's sustainable practices and their effects on societal norms. The ESG rating system currently developed by domestic institutions is usually improved in the specific indicator design based on the mature framework of international institutions and the characteristics of domestic development and market [1]. At present, academic circles pay less attention to the ESG system, but the research on environment, social responsibility and corporate governance can be traced back to 1978 [2]. More than 2200 relevant academic papers were collected and collated, and empirical research was conducted on them. The analysis revealed that the vast majority (90%) of the research indicated a positive correlation between the solitary aspect of ESG and the financial outcomes of companies [3]. In the study conducted by Sun, it was highlighted that when Chinese firms disclose information pertaining to their social responsibility activities in areas such as social welfare and environmental conservation, it can enhance the company's valuation, as noted by Alareeni and colleagues [4]. It analyzed the American S&P listed companies from 2009 to 2018, and pointed out that corporate environmental, social responsibility and corporate governance information disclosure were positively correlated with corporate value [5]. In their investigation, Aboud & Diab examined businesses from Egypt and China, focusing on how they report information, and discovered that the dissemination of ESG data bears a substantial positive influence on the valuation of companies [6].

2. Literature Review

As the worldwide economy expands swiftly, there's a growing focus from every societal faction on ecological matters, social accountability, and organizational oversight. The emergence of the ESG framework (encompassing environmental, social, and governance aspects) has evolved as a necessity of the era, solidifying its role as a critical element in the investment evaluation process. ESG pertains not just to the ethical integrity and moral obligation of companies but is also intimately connected with their enduring value and prospects for sustainable growth. This paper aims to explore the impact of ESG on enterprise value and its mechanism through a review of relevant literature.

First, the impact of ESG on enterprise value is significant and complex. Looking at it from an ecological standpoint, businesses diligently execute their duties towards safeguarding the environment and implement strategies for reducing energy use and cutting emissions, actions that will enhance their ecological reputation and corporate brand worth, thereby drawing in additional customers and investors who prioritize environmental conscientiousness. Simultaneously, enacting eco-friendly strategies will aid in diminishing the threat of pollution linked to businesses, while also curbing financial setbacks and harm to their reputations stemming from ecological issues.

Within the societal sphere, a company's commitment to social responsibility, engagement in community service, and safeguarding of worker's welfare contribute to elevating its societal standing and gaining the public's confidence. This growth in confidence bolsters the firm’s brand power and competitive edge in the marketplace, ultimately amplifying its worth. In addition, good social responsibility performance can also reduce the social risks faced by enterprises, such as labor disputes, consumer complaints, etc., thereby lowering associated operational expenses and detrimental effects.

The aspect of corporate governance pertains to how a company is managed and makes decisions internally. A robust framework for corporate governance can safeguard the clarity and impartiality in business decision-making processes, shield investor rights, and mitigate the legal hazards that companies encounter. Concurrently, sound corporate governance contributes to elevating a business's operational efficacy and its competitive stance in the marketplace, thereby bolstering its worth.

Nonetheless, the influence of ESG on a company's worth does not stem from a solitary element; instead, it arises from a multitude of contributors. A blend of internal and external elements—including the regulatory landscape, consumer interests, sector rivalry, organizational scale, and administrative practices—plays a role in shaping the nexus between ESG and corporate valuation. For example, in areas where policy support is strong, it may be easier for enterprises to obtain government resources and market recognition to fulfill their ESG responsibilities, thus improving enterprise value. Within a highly competitive marketplace, companies that demonstrate outstanding ESG practices are often better positioned to attract consumer loyalty and secure investment backing.

In addition, the impact of ESG on enterprise value is also reflected in financing constraints, operating efficiency and enterprise risks. Enterprises with good performance of ESG are often able to obtain low-cost financing more easily, relieve capital pressure and provide strong support for the development of enterprises. Concurrently, adopting ESG measures not only enhances corporate operational performance and resource utilization rates but also cuts down on manufacturing expenses. From a risk perspective, ESG strategies enable firms to detect and mitigate possible ecological and societal hazards, thereby diminishing the financial fallout and harm to their standing that might ensue.

To sum up, the impact of ESG on enterprise value is significant and complex, involving multiple dimensions such as environment, society and governance. In practical terms, it is imperative that businesses proactively engage in their duties related to ESG criteria while elevating their ESG outcomes to augment the worth of their enterprise and progress toward sustainability. Concurrently, it behooves not only government bodies and the investment community but also various societal stakeholders to bolster advocacy and implementation of ESG principles, collaboratively advancing the enduring growth of both corporate entities and the societal fabric.

3. Theoretical Analysis

3.1. Three Factors Emphasized by ESG Concept

Discussing the endurance of business activities and their influence on societal norms leads us to consider the importance of environmental preservation, fulfilling societal duties, and enhancing management practices, all of which are highlighted within the principles of ESG.

Environmental factors: Sustainable development as a concept has its roots in humanity's contemplation of the socio-environmental challenges precipitated by anthropocentric thinking. In April of 1987, the World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED) introduced the benchmark document "Our Common Future", commonly known as the "Brandt Report", which signified the official inauguration of sustainable development theory. This marks the inaugural instance of characterizing sustainable development as the process of fulfilling current human requirements while preserving the capacity of upcoming generations to satisfy their necessities.

Social factors: Adam Smith, the originator of the market economy theory, believes that the free economy system encourages and allows individuals to pursue their own interests, and each individual cares about and pursues the maximization of their own interests, which will ultimately form the best result for the whole society. As a kind of public goods with unclear property rights, the problems related to ecological environment resources cannot be solved completely by market mechanism, but need to be intervened and regulated by the government. Both purely administrative intervention and regulation and quasi market intervention and regulation cannot be separated from the full disclosure of environmental information by market entities. ESG report is undoubtedly an important policy option for enterprises to fully disclose environmental information. The data supplied through an ESG report not only serves as a foundation for managerial action and oversight, but it can also significantly decrease the transaction expenses associated with such managerial actions and oversight.

From the perspective of Hart's main property residual claims and decision-making residual control rights, this paper demonstrates that shareholder supremacy fits into the property rights system arrangement matching rights and obligations. The pyramid model of corporate social responsibility dictates that there are four crucial components to a company's societal duties: generating profits as an economic obligation; adhering to the law as a legal mandate; upholding ethical standards; and engaging in philanthropy as a form of benevolent contribution. Upon examining how listed Chinese firms report their social responsibility accounting information, Chen's team discovered that the link between a company's dedication to social responsibility and its market value is statistically inconsequential [7]. Li's research found that the more enterprises undertake social responsibility, the lower their enterprise value in the short term, but in the long term, undertaking social responsibility will not reduce their enterprise value [8]. In 2005, through an investigation using data from manufacturing firms listed on both the Shanghai and Shenzhen Stock Exchanges, Wang and He discovered that the link between a company's social responsibility and its financial outcomes, like Return on Equity (ROE), was inconsequential [9].

3.2. Reasons of the Insignificant Relationship between ESG Factors and Firm Performance

Enterprise valuation is significantly influenced by their performance in environmental, social, and governance aspects, which is evident in the areas of financial success, corporate reputation, adherence to regulatory risks, and fulfillment of societal obligations [10]. An increasing number of investors recognize the value of such ESG criteria, making it a critical component in the evaluation of a company's enduring worth. ESG performance is an important part of enterprise sustainable development, but its impact on enterprise value is not always significant. The following are the possible causes and limiting conditions.

Industry differences: ESG indicators in different industries affect enterprise value in different ways and to different degrees. ESG factors in some industries may have a more significant impact on enterprise value, while in other industries, ESG factors may be ignored or hidden behind other factors.

Investor preferences: Investors' attention to ESG performance varies. For some investors, ESG performance may be an indispensable factor in investment decisions, while for other investors, ESG performance may not be their main concern.

Data reliability: There may be differences in data quality and reliability of ESG performance, which may lead to insignificant impact on ESG performance. Should the information be erroneous or incomplete, ESG efficiency might fail to accurately represent the business's true state, thus having a negligible effect on the firm's valuation.

National and regional differences: Different countries and regions pay different attention to ESG performance. In some countries and regions, ESG performance may be regarded as an important component of enterprise value, while in other countries and regions, ESG performance may be regarded as a secondary factor.

Resource allocation: To enhance its ESG score, the firm plans to allocate additional assets into various initiatives, including the management of pollutants and the oversight of company practices. Such investment may cause certain financial pressure on the enterprise in the short term. Funds are assigned to sectors which might not immediately yield financial gains; however, those same funds could initially have been employed for enhancing output, marketing initiatives, and various direct profit-boosting endeavors. As a result, the company's financial metrics might experience some impact in the near term, exemplified by a shrinking profit margin and escalating expenses, reflecting a downturn in the company's fiscal health.

In conclusion, a multitude of factors and constraints influence the effect of ESG performance on a company's valuation. Grasping these factors and constraints enables businesses to more accurately assess how their ESG endeavors affect their valuation, and to implement appropriate strategies to enhance both their ESG outcomes and overall business worth.

3.3. Correlation between ESG Information Disclosure and Firm Performance

Businesses discover that by disseminating insider details to prospective financiers, they are able to transmit positive indications to the financial markets, bolster the assurance of interested parties in the company, facilitate the diminishment of transaction-related agency and capital expenditures, and foster the positive progression of the company's financial outcomes [11]. At present, all stakeholders in the market are not satisfied with only knowing the relevant financial information disclosed by enterprises. A growing number of investors are focusing on the non-financial aspects of companies, including details related to environmental stewardship, social responsibility, and the management of corporate governance, collectively known as ESG information. By revealing data on ESG aspects, companies can convey their present performance and capacity for future sustainability to the marketplace. This enables investors to gain a deeper insight into business practices, mitigates the risks associated with information asymmetry such as adverse selection and moral hazard, simplifies the process of pinpointing promising businesses for investment, and diminishes the likelihood of incurring losses due to erroneous investment choices. Businesses ought to keep pace with contemporary evolutionary trends, ramp up their financial commitment to ESG initiatives, transparently report associated data, cultivate a favorable reputation, convey an encouraging message to the marketplace, draw in additional investors, and establish a solid groundwork for enduring, stable progress and enhancement of their corporate performance.

Currently, the prevailing opinion among academics is that China is transitioning from a market with weak efficiency or limited effectiveness to one that exhibits semi-strong efficiency. Furthermore, the discrepancy between market prices and actual share values is also cited as a key factor driving the growth of ESG investing. Due to the lack of equal access to data and various other factors, investors are unable to completely comprehend the data pertaining to publicly-traded firms. The revelation of ESG details can, however, significantly assist investors in assessing a firm through a broader lens, potentially diminishing the discrepancy between market prices and the actual value of stocks. Revealing and monitoring a company's ESG practices also aligns with its own stringent standards and promotes a forward-looking perspective on its growth, thereby enhancing the steadiness of the stock market. Consequently, when public companies transparently report their achievements in sustainability, societal duties, and governance, it can lessen the disparity in information within the trading landscape. ESG information disclosure is one of the ways for enterprises to disclose their environmental, social and governance performance to stakeholders (including shareholders, customers, employees, suppliers, etc.).

3.4. Significance of ESG Certification on Firm Performance

The evaluation and accreditation of a company's conduct in ecological, communal, and administrative domains is denoted by the term ESG certification. The purpose is to evaluate the performance of enterprises in terms of sustainability by evaluating their environmental responsibility, social responsibility and governance structure, provide investors with comprehensive information about enterprises, and help investors make more responsible and sustainable investment decisions. Obtaining ESG credentials enhances a company's commitment to social responsibility and sustainability, while also favorably influencing its enduring worth. The following are the impacts of ESG certification on enterprise value:

Improving the attractiveness of investment: ESG certification can improve the social image and reputation of enterprises, increase the attention and recognition of investors and investment institutions, and thus improve the investment attraction of enterprises.

Enhancing shareholder value: Attaining ESG accreditation may assist companies in mitigating hazards, enhancing their operational effectiveness and financial performance, thereby bolstering the value for equity holders.

Increasing the value of the brand: Attaining ESG accreditation may enhance a company's brand equity and expand its market presence, while also bolstering consumer confidence and fidelity toward the business, thereby augmenting its sustained financial gain.

Reducing environmental and social risks: Obtaining ESG credentials enables companies to mitigate ecological and societal hazards, circumvent possible litigation, and lessen the detrimental effects of societal perception, thereby enhancing their enduring sustainability and increasing the long-term worth of the business.

Numerous entities and groups, such as the International Organization for Standardization, the Environmental Protection Agency, the United Nations Global Compact, and the Principles for Responsible Investment, offer accreditation in ESG practices. At the same time, many investment institutions and funds also regard ESG certification as one of the important factors in investment decisions.

4. Conclusion

The environmental factor is a part of enterprise operation that cannot be ignored. Businesses must diligently promote ecological sustainability principles and advance their environmental tech proficiency to effectively address escalating ecological issues. This not only serves to bolster their communal standing, but is also crucial for their long-term viability.

Management of interpersonal dynamics is crucial both internally and externally to the organization. It is important for companies to be cognizant of their employees' benefits and rights, forge solid partnerships with supply chain partners, and honor the community's stakes. Such actions are essential for sustaining consistent business activities and cultivating a positive reputation.

Factors related to corporate governance hold equivalent significance. By improving the corporate governance structure, limiting executive compensation, strengthening internal controls and protecting shareholders' rights, enterprises can enhance transparency and credibility, thereby attracting more investors.

ESG information disclosure plays an important role in improving enterprise value. Revealing a company's ecological, societal, and managerial achievements can bolster the trust of investors, mitigate the hazards associated with uneven knowledge distribution, and consequently amplify the reputation and worth of the firm.

In conclusion, while an ESG rating isn't the sole determinant of a company's worth, there is a positive association with corporate value, albeit with a constrained effect. Enterprises with excellent ESG ratings can often attract more investors who pay attention to social responsibility and create more business opportunities for enterprises. Simultaneously, firms burdened with substandard ESG scores could encounter reputational harm and a decrease in market valuation, yet the paramount interest of the primary investors lies with the financial performance and business environment of the companies in question.

References

[1] Xu, M., & Wen, G. (2022). Development of ESG Ratings. China Finance.

[2] Gunnar, F., Busch, T., & Bassen, A. (2015). ESG and Financial Performance: Aggregated Evidence from more than 2000 Empirical Studies. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 5(4), 210-233.

[3] Clarkson, P. M., Fang, X., Yue, L., et al. (2013). The Relevance of Environmental Disclosures: Are Such Disclosures Incrementally Informative? Journal of Accounting and Public Policy, 32(5), 410-431.

[4] Alareeni, A., & Allam, H. (2020). ESG Impact on Performance of US S&P 500-Listed Firms. Corporate Governance (Bradford), 20(7), 1409-1428.

[5] Sun, Y. (2015). Study on the Relevance of Social Responsibility Disclosure of Listed Companies to Corporate Value. Finance and Accounting Newsletter, (12), 53-56.

[6] Aboud, A., & Diab, A. (2018). The Impact of Social, Environmental and Corporate Governance Disclosures on Firm Value. Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies, 8(4), 442-458.

[7] Chen, Y., & Ma, L. (2005). Empirical Analysis of Market Response to Corporate Social Responsibility Accounting Information of Listed Companies in China. Accounting Research, (11), 76-81.

[8] Li, Z. (2006). Research on the Relevance of Corporate Social Responsibility to Corporate Value: Empirical Evidence from Listed Companies in Shanghai. Chinese Industrial Economy, (02), 77-83.

[9] Wang, J., & He, J. (2009). Corporate Governance, Corporate Economic Performance and Corporate Social Responsibility: Empirical Study Based on Data of Listed Manufacturing Companies in China. Economic Horizon, (02), 83-86.

[10] Feng, L., Xiao, X., & Cheng, X. (2016). The Impact of Social Responsibility on Corporate Risk: An Analysis Based on China's Economic Environment. Nankai Management Review, (6), 141-154.

[11] Ren, L., & Hong, Z. (2017). Research on the Impact of Environmental Disclosure on Corporate Value. Economic Management, 39(3), 34-47.