A Study on the Application of Loss Aversion Theory from the Perspective of Behavioral Economics: Taking the Fields of Business and Education as Examples

Linting Wang *

Jon M. Huntsman School of Business, Utah State University, Logan City, America

* Corresponding Author Email: a02415421@usu.edu

Abstract. People's understanding of economic behavior has gradually deepened with the development of society and the economy. From the end of the 20th century to the beginning of the 21st century, behavioral economics has gradually attracted widespread attention as an emerging discipline. The discipline combines psychological and economic theories and methods to provide new perspectives for understanding human economic decision-making. This study aims to examine the utilization of the principle of loss aversion in behavioral economics within business and education contexts. Reviewing existing literature and case studies, this study will first introduce the basic concepts of behavioral economics and how they differ from traditional economics. It will then discuss the definition of loss aversion and its importance in behavioral economics. The study will also explore the application of loss aversion and its implications from business and education perspectives. In the business context, it will examine how brand loyalty and loss aversion affect trade-in strategies and dynamic pricing for enterprises; while in education, it will consider how leveraging the principle of loss aversion can improve students' academic performance and motivation. Finally, this study will summarize the benefits of applying the principle of loss aversion in practical settings and suggest potential areas for future research.

Keywords: Behavioral economics, loss aversion, business strategy, educational motivation, pricing anchoring.

1. Introduction

With social and economic progress, there is a growing understanding of economic behavior. It integrates the theories and methods of psychology and economics and provides a new perspective for understanding human economic decision-making. In recent years, behavioral economists have found that people tend to react more strongly to losses than to gains of the same magnitude, a phenomenon known as “loss aversion” [1, 2]. Loss aversion is not only widely used in financial markets and personal investment decisions, but also has important implications in many areas such as business marketing and educational management. This study aims to explore the application of the loss aversion principle in behavioral economics in business and education. It innovatively proposes a college student performance evaluation system based on the loss aversion principle, aiming to improve students’ motivation to learn by adjusting the grading rules, thus improving their academic performance. In terms of business applications, this study will analyze how to apply the loss aversion principle in business marketing, such as promotional and pricing strategies. This study will examine how the loss aversion principle can be used in education to motivate students, especially in subjects such as mathematics. To summarize the patterns of application of the loss aversion principle in business and education and to make recommendations accordingly. Enrich the body of theory in behavioral economics and provide new ideas for understanding and predicting people’s economic behavior. Provide business decision-makers and educators with practical tools to help them better design strategies and approaches to respond to market changes and improve the quality of education. This study will outline how the principle of loss aversion can be applied in areas such as business marketing and educational management, and construct a research framework that will point the way to future in-depth research. The research framework includes an analysis of loss aversion's role in trade-in strategies and price discrimination, as well as an exploration of its impact on consumer behavior in everyday scenarios. Additionally, the study proposes innovative grading systems in education, where a focus on the avoidance of loss can potentially enhance students' engagement and learning efficiency.

2. Loss Aversion from a Behavioral Economics Perspective

2.1. Definition and Importance of Loss Aversion

Loss aversion refers to the fact that people show a stronger reaction when faced with a loss than when they receive an equal amount of gain. This phenomenon was first articulated in prospect theory by Kahneman and Tversky in 1979 [3]. Research has shown that people are usually reluctant to take losses, even if they are not economically significant. The psychological mechanism of loss aversion leads individuals to favor conservative strategies in decision-making, which has important applications in both business and education.

2.2. Relationship between Loss Aversion and Behavioral Economics

Loss aversion is a fundamental concept in behavioral economics that reveals the psychological trait of people's tendency to avoid losses rather than pursue gains of equal magnitude [4]. According to this theory, the pain associated with losing something is often more intense than the pleasure associated with gaining something of equal value, which leads people to show a greater tendency to be risk-averse when faced with choices. Behavioral economics reveals the reasons behind many irrational behaviors by studying the actual behavioral patterns of people in real life. Loss aversion explains why people adopt different decision-making styles when faced with losses and how this behavior affects the market and individual choices. Therefore, understanding loss aversion can help us better understand the economic decision-making process of individuals and provide a theoretical basis for designing more effective policies and marketing strategies. Firms can develop more attractive promotions, such as trade-in programs, by understanding consumers' loss aversion, thus lowering the psychological barriers consumers face when making purchasing decisions. Similarly, in education, teachers can use this psychological mechanism to design teaching methods that are more motivating to students.

2.3. Application of Loss Aversion in Daily Life

In daily life, supermarkets often use promotional means such as "buy one get one free" or "limited time discount" to attract customers. For example, when you are shopping in a supermarket, you may come across a certain product that is on a "buy one get one free" promotion. Even though you don't need the item urgently, you may decide to buy it because you don't want to "lose" the item you got for free. This promotional strategy exploits the loss aversion of consumers and makes them decide to buy to get extra value. In addition, many retailers offer no-excuse return policies, which allow customers to return merchandise within a certain period after purchase. Although most consumers do not use this right frequently, knowing that this option exists gives them peace of mind as they worry that in case the item is not suitable for them, at least it will not result in monetary loss. Therefore, even if they do not intend to return the item, this policy can influence their purchasing decision. Through these examples from everyday life, this study can see how loss aversion works in different consumption scenarios and how it affects individual decision-making.

3. Application of Loss Aversion from a Business Perspective

3.1. Role in Trade-in Strategies

Brand loyalty and loss aversion play a significant role in how consumers decide to purchase or upgrade their products [5]. When people think about replacing an older product, they often hesitate because they fear losing the value they've already put into it. In trade-in situations, there are two ways to view the transaction: some see selling an old item as a loss and buying a new one as a gain. This perspective makes consumers place a higher value on trading in their old item compared to buying something new outright. Consequently, when selling used items, people often expect higher trade-in values than they would be willing to pay for the same item. On the other hand, others view spending money on a new product as a loss, while receiving a discount or rebate is seen as a gain. This means that consumers might be more sensitive to the cost of the new product rather than the value of the old one. This sensitivity can keep them loyal to their current brand and less likely to consider trade-in offers from competitors [6, 7]. Regardless of the kind of gains and losses, companies can alleviate this psychological barrier by offering trade upgrading strategies such as trade discounts or buyback programs to reduce consumers' perceived losses.

3.2. Price Discrimination and Dynamic Pricing

To further explore how firms can utilize consumer loss aversion for price discrimination and dynamic pricing, it was found that firms can encourage consumers to upgrade their products by offering different discounts and rebates. For example, firms may not need to offer too many discounts to brand-loyal consumers, while more incentives may be needed for consumers who are considering switching brands. The concept of price anchors plays a key role here by setting a higher reference price to influence consumers' perceived value [8]. For example, Starbucks creates a price anchor by selling Evian water in its shops at a higher price than in supermarkets; when Starbucks introduces a limited-edition Evian water and prices it at a slightly higher price than the regular version, the new limited edition may still seem reasonable or even attractive, as consumers are used to the higher price of purchasing Evian water at Starbucks. Similarly, Amazon employs a similar price-anchoring strategy by displaying a $1,000 laptop next to a similar product priced at $1,200, making the $1,000 computer appear more cost-effective. This strategy exploits consumers' reluctance to lose out on potential value, prompting them to make purchasing decisions among seemingly better options, thus helping companies drive sales while maintaining healthy profit margins [9].

4. Application of Loss Aversion from an Educational Perspective

4.1. Enhancement of Student Motivation

This study explores how loss aversion can improve students' academic performance through a literature review [10]. In this randomized controlled trial, researchers controlled for student characteristics and tested whether combining a research-based teaching strategy with loss aversion resulted in greater student learning gains. Students in the control group accumulated their course grade point averages from zero, building up their scores by completing assignments and taking exams. Students in the experimental group, on the other hand, received full marks at the beginning of each task and saw deductions for errors and incomplete work. The results showed that students in the experimental group improved on average between 5 and 13 percentage points more than the control group, and this academic improvement was concentrated among students with lower math SAT scores. This phenomenon can be attributed to leveraging students' aversion to losing points they already possess, thereby motivating them to work harder and maintain their grades.

4.2. Innovations in Teaching Methodology

The study suggests that students can be motivated by changing the grading system so that they have perfect grades at the beginning of the course and then gradually deduct points as errors and incomplete work accumulate. This approach makes students more concerned about avoiding losses and therefore study harder. The results of the study showed that students using this approach performed significantly better in the final exam than those in the control group.

The experimental design consisted of data collected from the Principles of Microeconomics course taught in the autumn 2018 and spring 2019 semesters. These courses were taught on Tuesdays and Thursdays and each class was 75 minutes long. The only difference between the control and experimental groups is the way students' grades are presented in the Learning Management System (LMS). The composition of the students' grades consisted of a class quiz (10%), an out-of-class quiz (10%), an after-class quiz (10%), two midterm exams (20% each), and a cumulative final exam (30%). On the first day of the course, each student took a pretest consisting of multi-part fill-in-the-blanks and graphing questions, which were not counted as part of the overall score, but which students were required to complete to the best of their ability for the instructor to understand their background information.

5. Integrated Analysis and Recommendations

5.1. Innovative Grading System to Motivate Learning

To further verify the validity of the aversion loss principle and apply it to a real teaching and learning environment, this study proposes a GPA evaluation system for college students. While the traditional GPA calculation starts from zero and accumulates the student's GPA for each course, the new system starts from a maximum GPA of 4.0 and gradually deducts the corresponding points from the total GPA as the student performs poorly (e.g., fails an exam or fails to submit an assignment on time) in each course. The aim is to make it more important for students to avoid losses, thus motivating them to put more effort into their studies and improve their academic performance.

5.2. Summary of Application Models

This study summarizes the application models of the loss aversion principle in business and education through case studies and a literature review. In the business field, companies can mitigate consumers' aversion to loss psychology through trade escalation strategies and dynamic pricing strategies to promote sales. In education, students' motivation and academic performance can be effectively improved by adjusting the grading system and teaching methods.

5.3. Suggestions for Implementation

1) Business: When implementing loss-avoidance strategies in emerging markets, companies should gain a deeper understanding of the psychology of consumers in the target market and develop personalized trade upgrading and pricing strategies accordingly. For example, for consumers who are more sensitive to losses, companies can offer attractive trade-in programs to lower their psychological barriers to purchasing new products, thereby increasing sales. As to how companies can implement loss aversion strategies in emerging markets, the successful case of Apple in the Chinese market can be referred to. By offering trade-in programs and accepting non-Apple devices in its retail shops, as well as adjusting product pricing, Apple has managed to reverse its sales decline in China [11].

2) Education field: Schools can pilot new marking systems, such as gradual deduction of marks from full marks, to stimulate students' interest in learning. During implementation, schools need to ensure that students understand the mechanics of the new system and the importance of avoiding missing marks. Teachers can design small-scale experimental projects to try out the new marking system in a particular class or subject and observe its impact on students' attitudes and performance. At the same time, teachers should maintain communication with students to find out their honest feedback on the new system so that timely adjustments can be made.

6. Conclusion

This study has revealed the importance and usefulness of the principle by analyzing examples of the application of loss aversion in business and education. In the business field, companies can design more effective marketing strategies by understanding consumers' aversion to loss. In the education field, educators can use this principle to stimulate students' learning interests and motivation. It is especially worth mentioning that the GPA evaluation system based on the aversion loss principle proposed in this study provides a new way of thinking to improve students' learning efficiency.

However, this research also acknowledges several limitations. The study primarily relies on observational data and may benefit from more experimental designs that control for additional variables affecting consumer and student behavior. Furthermore, the current research focuses predominantly on Western and Chinese contexts. Future research can further explore the differences in the application of loss aversion in various cultural contexts and how this principle can be combined with other principles of behavioral economics for wider application. Additionally, longitudinal studies could track the long-term effects of employing loss aversion strategies in educational settings, assessing whether the initial positive impacts persist over time and contribute to sustained academic achievement. Finally, the integration of technology in applying loss aversion techniques, such as gamification in education or personalized marketing in business, represents another promising area for future exploration.

References

[1] Schmidt, Ulrich, and Horst Zank. "What Is Loss Aversion?" Journal of Risk and Uncertainty, vol. 30, no. 2, Mar. 2005, pp. 157 – 67, https: //doi.org/10.1007/s11166 - 005 - 6564 - 6.

[2] Sokol-Hessner, Peter, and Robb B. Rutledge. "The Psychological and Neural Basis of Loss Aversion." Current Directions in Psychological Science, vol. 28, no. 1, Nov. 2018, pp. 20 – 27, https: //doi.org/10.1177/0963721418806510.

[3] Kahneman, Daniel, and Amos Tversky. "Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk." Econometrica, vol. 47, no. 2, Mar. 1979, pp. 263–92, https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185.

[4] Blavatskyy, Pavlo R. "A Behavioral Definition of Loss Aversion." Economics Letters, vol. 235, Feb. 2024, p. N.PAG. EBSCOhost, https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2024.111555.

[5] Zhou, Qin, et al. "The Effect of Brand Loyalty and Loss Aversion on Competitive Trade-in Strategies." Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, vol. 33, no. 9/10, July 2022, pp. 1084 – 112. EBSCOhost, https: //doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2021.1933423.

[6] Okada, Erica Mina. "Upgrades and New Purchases." Journal of Marketing, vol. 70, no. 4, Oct. 2006, pp. 92 – 102, https: //doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.70.4.092.

[7] Kim, Jungkeun, et al. "More or Less: A Model and Empirical Evidence on Preferences for Under- and Overpayment in Trade-in Transactions." Journal of Marketing Research, vol. 48, no. 1, American Marketing Association, Feb. 2011, pp. 157 – 71, https: //doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.48.1.157.

[8] Priceva. "What Is Price Anchoring & How Does It Work?" Priceva.com, Priceva, 10 Mar. 2023, priceva.com/blog/price-anchoring.

[9] Naceva, Nadica. "The Ultimate Guide to Amazon Dynamic Pricing Strategy in 2024." Influencer Marketing Hub, 23 Mar. 2023, influencermarketinghub.com/amazon-dynamic-pricing/#: ~:text=Amazon.

[10] Olitsky, Neal H., and Sarah B. Cosgrove. "Cutting Our Losses: The Effects of a Loss-Aversion Strategy on Student Learning Gains." Journal of Economic Education, vol. 54, no. 1, Jan. 2023, pp. 1 – 16. EBSCOhost, https: //doi.org/10.1080/00220485.2022.2144572.

[11] Owens, Jeremy C. "The iPhone Trade-in Program Is Booming, and Saving Apple's Earnings." MarketWatch, 2 Nov. 2019, www.marketwatch.com/story/the-iphone-trade-in-program-is-booming-and-saving-apples-earnings-2019 - 10 - 30.