Research on the Impact of Trade Wars and Protectionism on the Global Economy and South East Asia's Coping Strategy

Jianyang Ma

The School of Government, specifically within the Department of Politics and International Studies (POLSIS), University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT United Kingdom

JXM1507@student.bham.ac.uk

Abstract. This study looks at how the U.S.-China trade conflict is affecting Southeast Asia, a region that depends largely on international commerce. Since the start of the trade war in 2018, nations in Southeast Asia, such as Vietnam and Malaysia, have seen a change in foreign direct investment (FDI) and disruption of global supply networks. The region faced both possibilities and problems as a result of this transformation, with long-term worries about labour markets, environmental degradation, and sustainability offsetting short-term economic advantages. The study identifies three main problems: the FDI inflow, the deterioration of multilateral trade institutions, and the disruption of global supply networks. Various approaches are suggested in response, such as fortifying regional trade networks, encouraging sustainable foreign direct investment, and advocating for more robust international trade frameworks like the World Trade Organisation. The results highlight how important it is for Southeast Asia to create robust and sustainable economic policies in order to lessen the impact of future interruptions to global commerce. In order to further hone these techniques, future research should concentrate on gathering primary data through surveys and interviews.

Keywords: U.S.-China Trade War, Southeast Asia, Foreign Direct Investment, Protectionism, Global Supply Chains.

1. Introduction

1.1. Research Background

T. Protectionist measures have significantly impacted the global economy; one of the most important recent developments in this regard has been the trade war between the United States and China. With its heavy reliance on global trade, Southeast Asia was particularly vulnerable to the effects of the trade war. However, this region also found opportunities as manufacturers sought alternative production bases to avoid tariffs. Countries like Vietnam and Malaysia saw increased foreign direct investment (FDI) as companies relocated to circumvent the rising costs of Chinese exports to the United States. The trade war began in 2018 and saw the United States impose tariffs on Chinese goods, accusing China of unfair trade practices, including intellectual property theft, forced technology transfer, and market access barriers [1, 2]. In retaliation, China imposed its own tariffs on U.S. imports.

The trade war is a reflection of a larger change in international economic relations, where the multilateral trade system created by organizations such as the World Trade Organization is being challenged more and more by protectionism and unilateral trade activities [1]. The global economy is becoming more unpredictable due to the increasing prevalence of tariffs, quotas, and other trade obstacles. As a result, governments must modify their strategy to reduce the risks associated with these disruptions.

1.2. Literature Review

Many academics have looked at the many facets of the trade war between the United States and China. An in-depth study of the Trump administration's trade policy is provided by Chad Bown, who focuses on the tariffs put on Chinese imports and the Phase One Agreement that followed, which deescalated the conflict to some extent. The agreement, he contends, did nothing to address the underlying problems in U.S.-China economic relations, even while it temporarily reduced tensions [2]. The managed trade elements of the U.S.-China Phase One Deal are examined by Michael Funke and Adrian Wende, who also look at how its provisions changed bilateral trade patterns, especially in industrial and agricultural products [3]. They draw attention to the fact that although the deal met short-term economic objectives, it also led to market distortions worldwide.

The repercussions of the trade war outside of the United States and China have been the subject of several research. In 2020, Thorbecke et al. look into the effects of global protectionist policies, especially those imposed by the United States, on China's export potential and the value chains throughout the world that rely on Chinese manufacturing. Their research emphasizes how Southeast Asia benefits from trade diversification, especially in the textile and electronics industries [4]. Furthermore, in 2022, Kazunobu Hayakawa examines the effects of trade on the economies of Southeast Asia, emphasizing how these nations modified their trade policies in reaction to shifting international trade patterns [5].

1.3. Research Gap

Although the broad economic effects of the U.S.-China trade war have been thoroughly explored in the literature, particularly with regard to bilateral commerce between the two nations, there is a glaring void in our understanding of Southeast Asia's more subtle responses. The area is an important player in the global trade system because of its involvement in global value chains, although most studies only look at the macro-level effects without examining specific country policies. For example, although studies have been conducted on the rise in foreign direct investment (FDI) in nations such as Vietnam, nothing is known about whether these investments are long-term viable or just transitory changes brought about by tariff evasion. Furthermore, little is known about the social and environmental effects of Southeast Asia's fast industrialization brought on by trade diversification. The function of regional accords, like the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP), in protecting Southeast Asia from the detrimental consequences of protectionist policies is another area of missing information in the literature. Although it is not fully covered in current research, it is crucial to comprehend how regional integration impacts trade resilience in the face of global shocks.

1.4. Research Framework

This project will use a systematic research framework to investigate how the trade war between the United States and China is affecting Southeast Asia, utilizing both quantitative and qualitative methods. To analyze changes in trade flows, foreign direct investment, and tariffs throughout Southeast Asia prior to, during, and following the start of the trade war, a quantitative study of trade data from organizations including the WTO, IMF, and ASEAN will be carried out first. This will shed light on how changes in global protectionism affected trade policy and market dynamics [6]. Subsequently, case studies of certain Southeast Asian countries—such as Vietnam, Thailand, and Malaysia—will examine particular policy modifications, such as changes to tariffs, support for homegrown businesses, and changes to export destinations. Policy analysis, scholarly literature, and government papers will all be used while creating these case studies. Lastly, in light of the concerns surrounding global trade, the function of regional agreements—particularly the RCEP—will be assessed to ascertain their efficacy in ensuring economic stability for the area. By offering a thorough knowledge of how Southeast Asia handled the trade disruptions and by investigating resilience options for the future in a world that is becoming more and more protectionist, this study seeks to close the research gap.

2. Case Description

Global economic relations have been increasingly impacted in recent years by trade wars and protectionist policies. A trade war happens when nations place tariffs or other limitations on imports and exports in an effort to defend their own sectors. However, these measures frequently spark retaliation, escalating tensions between trading partners. The most notable instance in recent memory is the trade war between the United States and China, which started in 2018 during the Trump administration. The United States levied tariffs worth around $360 billion on products from China, claiming forced technology transfers, unfair trade practices, and intellectual property theft as major problems [7]. China responded by enacting retaliatory taxes on American exports, focusing on sectors including autos and agriculture.

Global supply chains were disrupted by the U.S. and China's increasing tariffs, which had an impact on many nations, particularly those in Southeast Asia. Due to its significant integration into global value chains, Southeast Asia saw a realignment of trade flows when global trade volumes declined. As an example, trade diversions helped Vietnam and Malaysia as manufacturing looked for other places to go in order to avoid the high tariffs between the United States and China. As businesses relocated their production outside of China to avoid tariffs, foreign direct investment surged in these countries in industries including electronics manufacturing [8]. Even while these countries had certain short-term advantages, long-term prosperity, and stability were hampered by the general unpredictability of international commerce.

Protectionist policies are not limited to tariffs. Economic policy has also made use of non-tariff trade obstacles, such as import quotas, export limitations, and subsidies to home sectors. Governments like the U.S. and China have utilized subsidies to support important industries like agriculture and technology, which can distort international markets by giving other countries an unfair advantage. Furthermore, as seen by the Phase One Agreement, which was reached in January 2020, the trade war between the United States and China also represented a trend towards bilateral and controlled trade accords. The agreement did not address the larger structural problems at the core of the dispute; rather, it attempted to defuse tensions.

Concerns over the future of multilateral trade accords, including those governed by the World Trade Organization (WTO), have been raised globally by the growth of protectionism. The current international economic system is facing new difficulties as nations use unilateral trade measures more frequently. In reaction, several countries have endeavored to enter into regional trade accords, such as the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP), in order to alleviate the consequences of worldwide trade ambiguities and guarantee uninterrupted entry to markets.

3. Analysis of the Problem

With an emphasis on the U.S.-China trade war and its wider implications for the global economy and Southeast Asia, this section explores the effects of trade wars and protectionist policies. Along with looking at the difficulties and problems these policies bring about, we'll also analyze the fundamental causes of these concerns.

3.1. Determining the Impact of Protectionism and Trade Wars

The U.S.-China trade war and the growth of protectionism have caused major disruptions to the world trading system. With an emphasis on Southeast Asia, we examine the precise effects these events have had on various industries and economies below.

3.1.1 Impact on international supply chains

Disruption of global supply chains is one of the most important effects of the U.S.-China trade war, particularly for multinational companies that rely on China as a manufacturing base. Many businesses were forced to either absorb the increased expenses or pass them on to consumers when tariffs were imposed on Chinese goods. Many firms started moving their output to neighboring nations, particularly Southeast Asia, to escape tariffs. Since these nations provided cost advantages comparable to China, Vietnam, Malaysia, and Thailand, they emerged as viable alternatives for the production of electronics, textiles, and automobiles [9]. Although there were short-term benefits to Southeast Asia from this change, it also put strain on their labor markets and infrastructure, raising questions about long-term sustainability.

3.1.2 Southeast Asia's impact on foreign direct investment (FDI)

Due to multinational corporations looking to move their manufacturing facilities to escape the tariffs between the United States and China, the trade war caused FDI to grow in Southeast Asia. For instance, FDI has increased in Vietnam, especially in the country's electronics manufacturing industry. To avoid the tariffs between the United States and China, businesses such as Samsung and L.G. increased their production capabilities in the area. The rapid industrialization spurred by FDI raises concerns about environmental degradation and the strain on local resources [10]. However, this sudden influx of FDI created economic imbalances in these countries, raising questions about whether the investments were a temporary response to the trade war or if they could lead to sustainable economic growth.

3.1.3 Impact on trade agreements at the regional level

The signing of the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) in 2020 by Southeast Asian nations and other regional powers, including China and Japan, reflects this trend. The goal of RCEP is to create the world's largest free-trade bloc, reducing tariffs and increasing market access for its members. For Southeast Asia, RCEP offers a buffer against the uncertainties of the U.S.-China trade war, providing an alternative avenue for export growth and economic stability. However, critics contend that while RCEP may offer short-term relief, it does little to address the deeper structural issues in the global trade system created by the rise of protectionism.

3.2. Issues with Trade Wars and Protectionism that Have Been Found

Trade wars and protectionism have changed international investment flows and trade patterns, but they have also brought about a number of new political and economic difficulties. Below, we go over the main issues that have arisen as a result of these policies.

3.2.1 Issue: growing uncertainty in the economy

Trade wars and protectionist policies have created a great deal of uncertainty in the economy, which makes businesses hesitant to commit to long-term projects because tariffs and other trade barriers are unpredictable. This uncertainty not only disrupts global supply chains but also stifles economic growth because businesses choose to "wait and see" rather than invest in new projects [11]. It can also harm Southeast Asian countries' potential as alternative manufacturing hubs because businesses may be reluctant to fully relocate production outside of China.

3.2.2 Issue: prolonged sustainability vs. short-term profits

Although the trade war has helped Southeast Asian nations by increasing foreign direct investment (FDI) and diverting trade, these benefits might not last long because of the abrupt surge in investment that has put pressure on labor markets, infrastructure, and natural resources in the region. Moreover, a lot of the new investments are focused on avoiding tariffs rather than developing long-term production capacities, which puts these nations at risk of becoming overly dependent on external economic conditions and making them more susceptible to future disruptions in global trade [12]. Finally, the environmental effects of rapid industrialization, like increased carbon emissions and deforestation, pose long-term sustainability challenges.

3.2.3 Issue: multilateral trade systems' erosion

A decline in multilateralism raises concerns about the future stability of global trade, as countries may prioritize their national interests over collective global economic goals. Southeast Asia, a region highly dependent on global trade, faces significant risks if the multilateral trade system continues to erode. The rise of protectionism and unilateral trade measures has weakened the global multilateral trade system, particularly institutions like the World Trade Organization (WTO). Countries like the U.S. have also challenged the WTO's ability to resolve trade disputes and enforce trade rules.

3.3. Examining the Causes of These Issues

3.3.1 Cause: modifications in worldwide power dynamics

Southeast Asia, caught between these two powers, must navigate a complex geopolitical landscape, balancing its economic ties with both the U.S. and China. The U.S.-China trade war is a reflection of broader shifts in global power dynamics as the U.S. has sought to curtail China's rise by challenging its trade practices and attempting to reassert its own economic dominance. This rivalry has manifested itself in the form of protectionist policies, as both countries seek to protect their domestic industries and gain leverage in global markets.

3.3.2 Cause: pressures from domestic politics and nationalism

Politicians have increasingly turned to protectionist policies as a way to address concerns about job losses and wage stagnation, particularly in traditional manufacturing sectors. This has led to a rise in tariffs and trade barriers as governments seek to protect domestic industries from foreign competition. However, these policies often have unintended consequences, such as higher consumer prices and retaliatory trade measures, which can harm the broader economy. Domestic political pressures have also contributed to the rise of protectionism. This is especially true in countries like the United States, where there is growing discontent with globalization.

4. Suggestions

This section provides specific ways to lessen the difficulties caused by trade wars and protectionist policies, especially for Southeast Asian nations, based on the problems mentioned in the preceding section. The objective is to provide long-term, sustainable solutions for the political, economic, and environmental problems included in the study.

4.1. Strategy: Strengthening Regional Trade Networks to Reduce Uncertainty in Trade Agreements

A noteworthy obstacle mentioned in section 3.2.1 is the increasing economic unpredictability brought about by trade disputes. By increasing their involvement in regional trade accords like the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP), Southeast Asian nations might lessen this uncertainty. These agreements help reduce the risks associated with abrupt tariff rises and changes in trade policy from large economies like the U.S. and China by establishing stable tariffs and trade conditions. As a result, trading conditions become more predictable.

Southeast Asian countries may reinforce their responsibilities in these accords and so increase their collective resilience to external shocks. To improve the efficiency of trade, these nations should also seek to modernize customs processes and harmonize regulatory standards with other RCEP members. Additionally, bilateral agreements provide extra security and market access, such as the one between Vietnam and the E.U.

4.2. Strengthening the Sustainability Strategy for Long-Term Foreign Direct Investment (FDI): Promoting Green and Sustainable FDI

The short- and long-term advantages of foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows to Southeast Asia were covered in section 3.1.2. Of particular note were the environmental damage and an excessive dependence on transient trade movements. Southeast Asian countries should prioritise luring "green" FDI that supports international environmental objectives and lessens the strain on regional ecosystems in order to secure sustainable growth.

This approach entails putting in place tax breaks for renewable energy projects and making investments in environmentally friendly infrastructure that promotes foreign businesses' long-term industrial expansion while causing the least amount of damage to the environment. Nations may also establish regulations mandating that multinational companies (MNCs) integrate eco-friendly practices into their supply chains.

4.3. Comparing Economic Gains in the Short Term with Sustainability in the Long Run

Approach: Harmonising Industrial Development with Ecological Issues

Southeast Asian nations ought to enact stricter environmental laws in order to solve the problem of striking a balance between rapid industrialisation and environmental sustainability (as covered in section 3.2.2), particularly for industries like electronics, textiles, and heavy manufacturing that have moved from China. The primary goal of governments should be to establish a system that links environmental preservation with economic prosperity.

Stricter environmental impact assessments (EIAs) on incoming foreign direct investment (FDI) projects, particularly those involving resource-intensive sectors, can help achieve this. In order to ensure that long-term ecological health is not compromised by fast expansion, Southeast Asia might also work with international organisations such as the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) to establish environmental standards for industrial activity.

4.4. Building a Stronger World Trade Organisation (WTO) and Strengthening the Multilateral Trade System Strategy

The stability of international commerce is seriously threatened by the breakdown of the multilateral trading system, as mentioned in section 3.2.3. In response, Southeast Asian nations ought to band together and push for World Trade Organisation (WTO) reforms that would fortify the organisation. These reforms should focus on improving the WTO's dispute resolution procedures and modernising its regulations better to tackle contemporary trade concerns like e-commerce and digital trade.

In order to preserve economic stability, nations should encourage regional cooperation while also pushing the WTO to be responsible and open in trade policies. Southeast Asia can guarantee a more equitable and stable trade system that fosters collective economic security by endorsing WTO reforms and international frameworks.

5. Conclusion

5.1. Conclusion of Key Findings

This study outlined the major disruptions brought about by the trade war between the United States and China, as well as the development of protectionism, with a focus on Southeast Asia. The disruption of global supply chains, the increase in foreign direct investment (FDI) in Southeast Asia, and the deterioration of multilateral trade systems were the three main challenges identified by the Analysis of the Problem. While there were immediate advantages to the quick movement of manufacturing activities to nations like Vietnam and Malaysia, there were also long-term concerns, such as environmental deterioration and an excessive reliance on external economic circumstances.

The Suggestions offered specific tactics for Southeast Asia to address these issues. Strengthening regional trade agreements like the RCEP to lower economic uncertainty, encouraging "green" FDI to guarantee sustainable development, striking a balance between industrial growth and environmental concerns, and pushing for improvements to the multilateral trade system—particularly through WTO reforms—are some of the main recommendations. The objective of these policies is to establish a sustainable and resilient economic environment within the area.

5.2. Research Significance

This study's importance stems from its examination of the wider effects of the trade conflict between the United States and China on Southeast Asia, a region that is intricately linked to international supply networks. Comprehending these factors is crucial for firms and politicians to navigate the intricacies of international commerce effectively. The results underscore the significance of sustainable investment and regional collaboration in mitigating potential disruptions to international trade. This knowledge may be used by Southeast Asian businesses to create plans that support long-term environmental and economic sustainability, fostering both corporate expansion and regional stability.

Furthermore, this study emphasizes the necessity of international trade reforms by addressing the deterioration of multilateral trading systems. Because of their heavy reliance on foreign commerce, Southeast Asian nations need to advocate for a more just and stable framework for international trade in order to protect their economic future.

5.3. Limitations and Future Studies

This study's primary drawback is its dependence on secondary data, including trade figures and case studies from earlier investigations. This material offers a thorough summary, but it is devoid of the complexity and depth seen in original sources. For instance, in-depth information about the efficacy of investments and policies in response to the trade war may be obtained through interviews with important stakeholders, such as Southeast Asian corporate executives and government representatives.

In order to get primary data, future research might use mixed-methods approaches that include surveys and interviews. This would make it possible to comprehend how companies in Southeast Asia are responding to changes in global commerce and how these responses affect their long-term strategy on a deeper level. Future studies may also look more closely at the social and environmental effects of fast industrialization, offering a more comprehensive understanding of the trade war's aftereffects.

References

[1] Bown, Chad P. The U.S.–China trade war and Phase One agreement. Journal of Policy Modeling, 2021, 43 (4), 805-843.

[2] Wang, Chenxi, and Weihuan Zhou. A political anatomy of China's compliance in WTO disputes. Journal of Contemporary China, 2022, 32 (143), 811-827.

[3] WTO, ITC. World tariff profiles, 2019.

[4] Funke, Michael, and Adrian Wende. The U.S.–China phase one trade deal: An economic analysis of the managed trade agreement, 2022.

[5] Thorbecke, Willem, Chen Chen, and Nimesh Salike. China's exports in a protectionist world. Journal of Asian Economics, 2021, 77: 101404.

[6] Hayakawa, Kazunobu. The trade impact of US-China conflict in Southeast Asia. No. 873. Institute of Developing Economies, Japan External Trade Organization (JETRO), 2022.

[7] Sukar, Abdulhamid, and Syed Ahmed. Rise of trade protectionism: the case of US-Sino trade war. Transnational Corporations Review, 2019, 11 (4), 279-289.

[8] Baldwin, Richard E. 2020. The Great Convergence: Information Technology and the New Globalization. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2020

[9] Zadek, Simon. 2021. Financing a Green Transition: The Role of Multilateral Development Banks. Journal of Environmental Economics, 2021, 12-25.

[10] Yeung, Henry Wai-chung. Transnational Corporations and Global Production Networks: Regional and Global Dynamics." Global Networks, 2020, 20 (3): 15-38.

[11] Wolfe, Robert. 2020. Reforming the World Trade Organization: Prospects and Challenges. International Trade Journal, 2020, 34 (2): 102-120.

[12] Evenett, Simon J. Protectionism, Trade, and the Global Economy: A Review of Recent Trends. Centre for Economic Policy Research, 2019.