

Unilateral Sanctions Based on Human Rights Grounds in The Xinjiang Cotton Case: Challenges and Prospects for Balancing Human Rights and International Trade

Shuang Song *

Department of Sociology, New York University, New York, U.S.

* Corresponding Author Email: ss14725@nyu.edu

Abstract. This study delves into the intricate interplay between international trade and human rights, using the case of the Xinjiang cotton controversy as a lens to examine the complexities involved. The research dissects the motivations, implications, and challenges of using trade measures as tools to address human rights concerns. It explores the economic and trade impacts of unilateral sanctions, scrutinizes the rationality and sustainability of using human rights as pretexts, and probes into the political motivations behind such actions. The study further navigates the complex ethical and moral issues entailed in trade-based human rights enforcement. It concludes by emphasizing the importance of bilateral and multilateral dialogue, sustainable development, and supply chain transparency in harmonizing human rights with international trade.

Keywords: Human rights; international trade; uyghur forced labors; Xinjiang cotton case.

1. Introduction

The intertwining of international trade and human rights stands as a defining hallmark of our globalized world. In this era of heightened connectivity, the implications of trade actions reverberate far beyond economic considerations, often intersecting with the foundational principles of human rights. Nowhere is this intersection more pronounced than in the case of the Xinjiang cotton controversy. With the imposition of unilateral sanctions and trade restrictions on Chinese cotton products by several nations, ostensibly in response to alleged human rights abuses, a dynamic and intricate landscape emerges for analysis.

Against the backdrop of the Xinjiang cotton case, it becomes clear that this issue is not just a singular event but a poignant reflection of broader questions surrounding the balance between economic interests and human rights obligations. The significance of dissecting this intricate nexus cannot be overstated. At its core, this inquiry delves into how nations navigate their roles as economic actors while respecting their commitments to upholding human rights, reflecting the complexities of international relations in our modern world.

This study aims to analyze the relationship between international trade and human rights through the Xinjiang cotton case. It will explore human rights controversies, examine trade barrier effects, assess the rationality of using human rights as a pretext, and consider the legal and ethical dimensions. By evaluating resolution approaches and development prospects, the study emphasizes the importance of dialogue, cooperation, and supply chain transparency. In conclusion, it underlines the need to balance human rights and international trade, offering recommendations for future trade decisions concerning human rights.

2. Human Rights Controversies in the Xinjiang Cotton Case

In the modern era of globalization, the intricate interweaving of international trade and human rights has emerged as a defining characteristic of our global landscape. This chapter embarks on a focused exploration of a quintessential case that vividly illustrates this intersection – the Xinjiang cotton controversy. This controversy has thrust into the limelight the complex dynamics between nations' pursuit of economic interests and their commitment to upholding human rights principles. Delving into the depths of this case provides a unique vantage point to understand how the realm of

international trade is entwined with the ethical imperative of safeguarding human rights. By peeling back, the layers of motivations, implications, and challenges that underlie this complex interplay, this chapter endeavors to shed light on the multifaceted dimensions of the Xinjiang cotton controversy and its broader significance in the global discourse on human rights and trade.

2.1. Background and Motives of Unilateral Sanctions

2.1.1 Concerns over human rights situation in China

In the complex realm of international trade, the interplay between economic considerations and human rights concerns has become increasingly evident. Many nations have recognized the potential efficacy of leveraging trade as a tool to influence foreign regimes, with the goal of addressing not only economic issues but also pressing human rights violations. This recognition has spurred actions like sanctions and unilateral trade deals, a trend that has gained substantial momentum since the aftermath of World War II, particularly within influential players like the United States and the European Union [1].

Within this complex landscape of international trade and human rights, the attention often turns to the human rights situation in China, a nation marked by its intricate socio-political fabric. Various factors, including perceived constraints on civil liberties, limitations on freedom of expression, and the treatment of minority communities, particularly the Uyghur population in Xinjiang, have garnered global scrutiny. These concerns have ignited impassioned debates on the ethical dimensions of trade interactions with nations navigating such challenges. The discussions on China's human rights record have not only resonated on a global scale but have also prompted the international community to consider measures aimed at encouraging the Chinese government to address these issues constructively.

2.1.2 Utilization of human rights as trade barriers

Amid these growing concerns, the utilization of human rights considerations as trade barriers has emerged as a distinct strategy in international relations. The WTO promotes free trade but allows trade to address non-trade goals, like human rights concerns. In response to rights violations or tensions, trade can be used coercively to prompt better rights conditions through unilateral deals. There are two types: those protecting rights within a country and those addressing violations in another. Usually, this means sanctions – penalties for unlawful acts often using trade [2]. They can focus on a country or specific products, like the Uyghur Forced Labor Prevention Act (UFLPA) targeting goods made with forced labor. This approach aligns with the broader concept of using trade as a punitive tool against human rights violations, a practice that has been evident in the history of the United States since the 1930s.

Amid these broader dynamics, it is noteworthy to critically examine the UFLPA. This Act has faced scrutiny for its implications and effectiveness, prompting questions about its overarching impact on both human rights and international trade. While it seeks to establish stringent regulations and promote transparency in global supply chains, critics argue that it might inadvertently affect legitimate producers in Xinjiang and hinder economic opportunities for the region. Moreover, the Act's unilateral nature could potentially lead to counterproductive outcomes, triggering a cascade of retaliatory measures and impeding opportunities for constructive dialogue.

Against this backdrop, the exploration of the Uyghur Forced Labor Prevention Act and its implications segues into a deeper investigation of the actual circumstances surrounding the Xinjiang cotton industry. By delving into the intricate realities of the industry, it becomes possible to unravel the intricate tapestry of human rights concerns and economic interests, shedding light on the complex interplay between international trade and ethical considerations.

2.2. Reality of the Xinjiang Cotton Industry

2.2.1 Development history, structure, and export trade situation of the Xinjiang cotton industry

Understanding the Xinjiang cotton controversy requires delving into the complexities of the Xinjiang cotton industry. Rooted in the region's economic and social fabric, this industry has evolved significantly over time. Xinjiang has become a major player in the global cotton market, marked by growth and challenges.

The history of Xinjiang's cotton industry reflects its adaptability to global changes. Initially favored due to its climate and land, the industry gained traction in the latter 20th century. Government initiatives aimed at boosting productivity and economic growth transformed Xinjiang into a cotton-producing hub. Starting with military-run farms in the 1950s, Xinjiang's cotton production surged in the 1990s, capitalizing on a pest-free environment. China's overall cotton production surged in the early 2000s, with Xinjiang's contribution rising. In contrast, production in traditional cotton regions peaked in 2006, while Xinjiang's ascended [3]. Factors like rising labor costs and government incentives for alternative crops contributed to the decline in traditional regions, while Xinjiang's large mechanizable farms thrived.

Structurally, the Xinjiang cotton industry involves stakeholders from farmers to exporters, adapting to market demands. This complexity shapes its role in regional development and international trade.

2.2.2 Examination of human rights criticisms and factual considerations

A nuanced perspective on the allegations of forced labor in the Xinjiang cotton industry is essential to comprehensively understand this complex issue. While the United States has raised concerns about forced labor, it's important to recognize that these allegations might contain elements of exaggeration. The intricacies of the situation necessitate a balanced examination.

While the U.S. presents its sanctions as a demonstration of global responsibility and national security, it's crucial to delve deeper into the underlying motives. Beneath the stated justifications lies a fundamental pursuit of American national interests. On one hand, the U.S. employs sanctions on entities like the Xinjiang Production and Construction Corps and Xinjiang cotton to foster favorable conditions for American cotton and related industries, enhancing their competitiveness on the international stage. On the other hand, the U.S. seeks to reshape the global supply chain, including both upstream and downstream sectors, to solidify its dominance in global politics and legal matters [4].

Such actions reveal the multi-faceted objectives behind the U.S. measures. While the forced labor concern might be part of the rationale, economic and strategic interests are inextricably intertwined. By targeting the Xinjiang cotton industry, the U.S. aims not only to create advantages for its own cotton-related enterprises but also to reshape the entire global industry chain to safeguard its hegemonic position in international politics and law.

3. Evaluation of the Trade Barrier Effects of Unilateral Sanctions

As we delve into the intricate interplay between international trade and human rights, the Xinjiang cotton controversy stands as a poignant reminder of the complex dynamics that often underlie such intersections. The imposition of unilateral sanctions and trade restrictions on Chinese cotton products by various nations has created a dynamic landscape for analysis. Building upon our understanding of the Xinjiang cotton case, we now transition into a comprehensive examination of the trade barrier effects arising from the utilization of human rights as coercive instruments in international relations. This chapter will delve into the multifaceted impacts of these actions, both in terms of economic and trade consequences and the broader implications for the delicate balance between global trade and the protection of human rights.

3.1. Economic and Trade Impacts

3.1.1 Impediments to export and economic losses for sanctioned countries

Unilateral sanctions, particularly those grounded in human rights concerns, can significantly hinder a sanctioned country's ability to engage in international trade. The impediments to exporting goods and services result in tangible economic losses that resonate across various sectors. Industries reliant on exports are particularly vulnerable, as the sanctions disrupt established trade relationships and market access.

These economic losses are two-fold. First, the immediate decline in exports curtails the sanctioned country's revenue stream, straining its financial stability and hindering economic growth. Second, the disruption of trade networks and the tarnishing of its international image can lead to a long-term erosion of business relationships, hampering the country's trade potential even after sanctions are lifted. The consequences extend beyond economic realms, affecting the livelihoods of individuals and communities dependent on industries impacted by sanctions. This includes workers in the Xinjiang cotton industry who may suffer from reduced demand and economic instability. Therefore, while the intention behind such sanctions might be to address human rights concerns, the broader socio-economic impact warrants careful consideration.

3.1.2 Supply chain and trade restructuring by other countries

The imposition of unilateral sanctions on goods due to human rights concerns triggered a significant ripple effect across the global trade network, prompting various countries to reconsider their trade dynamics and supply chain configurations. This transformative shift in trade relations is a direct response to the ethical quandaries posed by engaging with products associated with alleged human rights violations, but it also underscores the pragmatic necessity of ensuring a sustainable and conscientious trade ecosystem [5].

In response to concerns over forced labor in the Xinjiang cotton industry, several prominent brands and corporations have opted to distance themselves from the region. For example, brands under the Fast-Retailing Co., Ltd, such as Uniqlo and GU, and those under INdustrias de DIseño TEXtil, S.A., including Zara, Pull&Bear, and Bershka, have reassessed their sourcing strategies to avoid any potential link to human rights violations. Similarly, companies like H&M and VF Group, which encompasses brands like The North Face, Vans, and Timberland, have undertaken measures to ensure the integrity of their supply chains. These actions include meticulous reviews of sourcing networks and collaborations with suppliers who uphold ethical labor practices.

3.2. Rational Examination of Human Rights as a Pretext

3.2.1 Actual impact of sanctions on improving human rights issues

The utilization of human rights concerns as a pretext for trade measures raises critical questions about the efficacy and implications of such actions in addressing the underlying human rights issues. While these sanctions are often portrayed as proactive steps towards rectifying human rights violations, a comprehensive assessment of their actual impact reveals a complex landscape that warrants careful consideration.

At first glance, the imposition of sanctions appears to send a resounding message to violating nations that their transgressions will not go unnoticed or unchecked [6]. However, the tangible effects of these sanctions on improving human rights conditions are subject to scrutiny. Critics argue that while sanctions may exert short-term economic pressure on targeted countries, they often fail to yield substantive change in the behavior of governments perpetrating human rights abuses. Instead, these measures can inadvertently exacerbate the plight of marginalized populations by limiting economic opportunities and further isolating nations, potentially escalating political tensions. Furthermore, the sustainability of using human rights concerns as a trade barrier requires a nuanced assessment. Critics argue that while such actions may garner short-term attention, they might lack the potency to drive systemic change in nations with deeply rooted human rights issues. To effect lasting transformation,

alternative approaches such as diplomatic dialogue, engagement, and capacity-building might prove more efficacious in fostering change from within.

It is crucial to acknowledge that the question of whether human rights sanctions lead to genuine human rights improvements is a contentious one. Advocates contend that the imposition of sanctions serves as an essential mechanism for international pressure, offering a platform for the international community to voice its disapproval of gross human rights violations. The threat of economic consequences can potentially incentivize nations to initiate reforms to alleviate human rights abuses. Real-world instances, however, paint a more complex picture. While sanctions may prompt symbolic changes or superficial reforms, they often fall short of engendering sustainable, substantial shifts in human rights practices. The absence of consistent, objective data that definitively links the imposition of sanctions to improved human rights conditions further compounds the difficulty of assessing their true impact.

3.2.2 Political motivations behind human rights sanctions

Examining the realm of human rights sanctions reveals a complex interplay between ethical concerns, international politics, and economic interests. While the stated purpose of such sanctions often revolves around upholding human rights values, a closer look frequently uncovers underlying political motivations.

Human rights sanctions, presented as a mechanism to address violations, can serve as a powerful tool for countries to advance their political agendas under the guise of moral responsibility. It's essential to recognize that these sanctions may not always be solely driven by genuine concerns for human rights. Instead, they can be strategically employed to achieve broader geopolitical objectives. For instance, some countries might use human rights sanctions to exert pressure on perceived adversaries or to align with allies in international disputes. By targeting another nation's economic interests, these sanctions can create leverage in negotiations, shape diplomatic relations, or even influence domestic political landscapes. Consequently, while the public discourse surrounding human rights sanctions may emphasize ethical intentions, the geopolitical context and strategic interests cannot be overlooked.

Moreover, the inconsistency in applying human rights sanctions raises questions about their impartiality. While some countries face severe sanctions for alleged human rights violations, others engaged in similar or even more severe actions might escape comparable consequences. This asymmetry can be attributed to varying political considerations, leading to accusations of double standards and undermining the perceived legitimacy of such sanctions.

3.2.3 Complex ethical and moral issues involved in sanctions.

The utilization of sanctions as a means to address human rights concerns gives rise to a web of intricate ethical and moral considerations. While these measures may seem straightforward in their intention to promote human rights values, a deeper analysis reveals a complex landscape where competing principles and potential unintended consequences intersect.

One of the primary ethical concerns is the potential impact of sanctions on vulnerable populations within the targeted country. While the intention might be to pressure governments into rectifying human rights abuses, the reality is that sanctions can often exacerbate the suffering of ordinary citizens. Economic hardships, reduced access to essential goods and services, and increased poverty levels can ensue, further eroding the living conditions of those already facing human rights violations [7].

Another dimension involves the potential for sanctions to hinder diplomatic avenues for resolving conflicts. While sanctions are often implemented to compel governments to change their behavior, they might inadvertently close the door to dialogue and negotiation. Diplomatic engagement can play a pivotal role in addressing human rights concerns by fostering communication, understanding, and collaborative solutions. Overreliance on sanctions could undermine these diplomatic efforts, leading to prolonged tensions and a missed opportunity for constructive change.

Ethical questions also arise concerning the potential inconsistency and selectiveness in sanction implementation. The world is rife with human rights violations, yet not all instances lead to the imposition of sanctions. This inconsistency can raise doubts about the impartiality and credibility of those applying sanctions and may even lead to allegations of ulterior motives.

4. Resolution Approaches and Development Prospects of Human Rights Sanctions

As the international community grapples with the delicate balance between economic interests and ethical imperatives, this chapter explores various approaches that could lead to meaningful resolutions. By scrutinizing the potential for sustainable development and increased transparency within global supply chains, this section probes the viability of harmonizing trade practices with human rights concerns. Moreover, this chapter examines the broader implications of the current trajectory of human rights sanctions, offering insights into the evolving landscape of international trade decisions. Through this analysis, we uncover a tapestry of potential pathways that could redefine the relationship between trade and human rights in our interconnected world.

4.1. Importance of Dialogue and Cooperation

While unilateral sanctions do provide a means of expressing disapproval and exerting pressure, their effectiveness is often limited, emphasizing the need for a more comprehensive approach centered around dialogue and cooperation at both bilateral and multilateral levels.

Bilateral discussions between nations involved in human rights-related trade disputes serve as a critical avenue for open communication. Such dialogues create an opportunity for parties to share their perspectives, express concerns, and seek common ground. By facilitating nuanced understanding of underlying issues and the motives behind trade actions, bilateral engagements can potentially pave the way for pragmatic solutions that balance both trade interests and human rights considerations.

In the broader spectrum, multilateral cooperation assumes a paramount role in this intricate landscape of global trade. International entities like the United Nations and the World Trade Organization, along with regional organizations, provide neutral platforms for collective discussions. These spaces allow nations to collaboratively address the intricate interplay between human rights and trade. Multilateral cooperation amplifies the impact of concerns and encourages nations to adopt a holistic view of the challenges at hand.

The essence of bilateral and multilateral approaches lies in shared responsibility and mutual benefits. Collaborative efforts hold the potential to establish sustainable solutions rooted in a comprehensive comprehension of the issues. By transcending the limitations of unilateral sanctions, dialogue and cooperation can foster the development of mechanisms that address human rights concerns while avoiding disproportionate economic consequences. Striking the right balance between human rights and trade interests requires intricate negotiation. Bilateral and multilateral dialogues ensure the inclusion of both perspectives, increasing the likelihood of finding middle ground that respects human rights without compromising economic stability. Constructive discussions also enable nations to explore alternative measures that encourage positive change while maintaining open lines of communication.

4.2. Sustainable Development and Supply Chain Transparency

Amidst the intricate interplay between human rights and international trade, the pursuit of sustainable development and transparent supply chains emerges as a pivotal aspect that underscores the need for a balanced and holistic approach.

Sustainable development, a cornerstone of responsible global governance, calls for economic growth that takes into account social, environmental, and ethical considerations. As trade measures are employed to address human rights concerns, it becomes essential to ensure that these actions do

not inadvertently hinder sustainable development goals [8]. Sanctions, while aiming to rectify human rights abuses, can sometimes result in economic turmoil that impacts broader social and environmental dimensions. Therefore, any trade-related actions taken under the pretext of human rights must be evaluated through the lens of their alignment with sustainable development objectives.

Supply chain transparency, on the other hand, forms a linchpin in ensuring ethical trade practices. The complex web of global supply chains can obscure the origins of products and make it challenging to trace the involvement of forced labor or other human rights violations. Promoting transparency across supply chains not only aids in preventing such violations but also builds consumer trust, empowering individuals to make informed choices based on ethical considerations. Implementing mechanisms that encourage businesses to disclose their supply chain practices and adhere to internationally recognized labor standards can go a long way in fostering a trade environment that respects human rights.

Moreover, the linkage between supply chain transparency and sustainable development is symbiotic. Transparent supply chains enable the identification and mitigation of unsustainable practices, ranging from environmental degradation to labor exploitation. By integrating human rights considerations within the broader framework of sustainable development, trade policies can create a positive feedback loop wherein adherence to ethical practices contributes to both human well-being and the planet's health.

4.3. Impact and Prospects for Future Decision-making

Analyzing the intricate interplay between human rights and international trade unveils the profound impact of unilateral sanctions on both the global trade landscape and the pursuit of human rights. As nations grapple with the complexities of utilizing trade measures to address human rights concerns, it becomes evident that such actions hold far-reaching consequences that extend beyond their immediate intentions.

The impact of unilateral sanctions on international trade dynamics cannot be underestimated. While these measures might be designed to pressure violating countries into rectifying human rights abuses, they often lead to a cascade of economic repercussions that affect not only the targeted nations but also their trade partners [9]. Supply chains intertwine countries in intricate ways, making sanctions a double-edged sword, potentially causing harm to innocent economic players while aiming to penalize human rights violators.

Furthermore, the prospects for future decision-making regarding the nexus of human rights and international trade stand at a crossroads. The utilization of human rights as a pretext for trade measures necessitates careful consideration of the underlying motivations, ethical implications, and long-term sustainability. As the international community navigates this complex terrain, fostering dialogue and cooperation emerges as a promising avenue. Engaging in open discussions allows nations to share concerns, understand varying perspectives, and collectively seek solutions that harmonize human rights with trade objectives. Multilateral organizations, such as the World Trade Organization, play a vital role in facilitating such discussions and establishing frameworks that balance both human rights and trade imperatives.

In charting the future course, it is imperative to strike a delicate equilibrium that respects human rights while upholding the principles of international trade. The experiences of past unilateral sanctions highlight the need for a comprehensive approach that integrates sustainable development, transparent supply chains, and multilateral cooperation. By doing so, the global community can move towards a trade environment that not only promotes economic growth but also safeguards human rights and nurtures a just and equitable world.

5. Conclusion

The intricate dance between international trade and human rights stands as a testament to the complexity of our interconnected world [10]. The Xinjiang cotton case exemplifies how unilateral

sanctions have woven together these two domains, unraveling a tapestry of insights into their interrelationship. This investigation has navigated the motivations behind these actions, their repercussions, and the challenges posed by employing trade as a means to address human rights concerns.

From the spotlight on human rights issues in China, particularly in Xinjiang, to the strategic deployment of human rights as trade barriers, this study has illuminated diverse facets. The exploration of Xinjiang's cotton industry and its trade dynamics offered a window into its global significance. Delving into the economic and trade consequences of sanctions has unveiled the wide-reaching effects of utilizing trade for human rights objectives. The examination of the reasoning and sustainability of using human rights as a pretext has laid bare both potential benefits and pitfalls. Moreover, uncovering the political motivations underpinning these sanctions has underscored the fusion of trade considerations with broader political interests. These measures often pursue not only human rights goals but also national advantages, reshaping the contours of the global stage. The contemplation of ethical intricacies has underscored the nuanced choices confronting nations as they navigate the realm of trade-based human rights enforcement.

In essence, the path towards harmonizing international trade and human rights hinges on collaborative efforts, transparent supply chains, and sustainable growth. This study underscores the imperative of dialogue and collective action to cultivate a trade landscape that upholds human rights while fostering economic advancement. As the world traverses this intricate landscape, a deep understanding of its multifaceted dimensions becomes paramount for a just and prosperous global future.

References

- [1] Schultz J, Ball R. Trade as a weapon? The WTO and human rights-based trade measures. *Deakin Law Review*, 2007, 12(1):41-80.
- [2] Ahmad Z. Unilateral Trade Sanctions to Secure Compliance with the Common Concern Doctrine. In *WTO Law and Trade Policy Reform for Low-Carbon Technology Diffusion*, 2021, 27: 240-274.
- [3] Gale F, Davis E. Chinese Cotton: Textiles, Imports, and Xinjiang, 2022, No. ERR-307: 50.
- [4] Liu Lingyun, Yu Xiaoling. The Influence of U.S. Sanctions against Xinjiang on the Cotton Industry of XPCC. *Journal of XPCC Party School*, 2022(03):153-160.
- [5] NEMOTO T. Supply chains, international trade and human rights. *European University Institute*; 2022.
- [6] Cleveland SH. Human rights sanctions and international trade: A theory of compatibility. *Journal of International Economic Law*, 2002, 5(1):133-89.
- [7] Peksen D, Peterson TM, Drury AC. Media-driven humanitarianism? News media coverage of human rights abuses and the use of economic sanctions. *International Studies Quarterly*, 2014, 58(4):855-66.
- [8] Fabre C. *Economic statecraft: Human rights, sanctions, and conditionality*. Harvard University Press; 2018.
- [9] Chan S. Principle versus Profit: Debating human rights sanctions. *Human Rights Review*, 2018, 19(1):45-71.
- [10] Rodman KA. "Think globally, punish locally": nonstate actors, multinational corporations, and human rights sanctions. *Ethics & International Affairs*. 1998, 12:19-41.