Matching Students to Pre-primary Schools in India

Yinyao Zhang *

Jinhua number one high school, Jinhua, China

* Corresponding Author Email: 312140404@mail.mails.ccu.edu.cn

Abstract. This essay explores in depth the complexities of India's primary school selection system, with a particular focus on the application of matching market design principles, including delayed acceptance (DA) algorithms. The main aim of the study was to examine how these basic concepts might address common problems associated with the allocation of school seats to families, ensuring that the system is both inclusive and viable. Through this analysis, GGAL will create a mechanism that promotes equity and benefits all relevant stakeholders. The study, which extends to examining the potential impact of changing the current selection process, hypothesizes that the integration of DA algorithms could provide a comprehensive solution to the primary school enrolment needs of families across India, promoting a more equitable and efficient allocation of educational resources. By forecasting the potential outcomes and examining various case studies where similar algorithms have been implemented, the essay seeks to contribute to the ongoing discussion about educational equity and accessibility in the Indian context.

Keywords: Pre-primary Schools, matching market, delayed acceptance algorithms.

1. Introduction

The matching systems between pre-primary schools and parents with young kids in India are the lottery system and the point system, for which the former is for public government schools and the latter is for private schools. The pre-primary schools in India had a large market size. In 2021, 9.5 million students entered pre-primary schools. However, the non-negligible issues of gender inequality, ethnicity inequality and socioeconomic inequalities bring these two matching systems into problems.

The lottery system refers to the matching mechanism in which each child involved has an equal chance of being allocated to one school. Although it may achieve equality because of the same probability of being allocated to a certain school for each child, it considered neither the needs nor preferences of different families, leading to the phenomenon that an increasing number of parents prefer to send their children to preschool tuition centers rather than pre-primary schools. The point system works in the way that each child would gain points depending on their qualifications, families and other characteristics, schools will rank the children according to their points, the higher the points a child gains higher the possibility he or she can get into the school. The characteristics that may award a child point can be the alumni status of parents, parents' occupation and educational background, and the vaccination status against Covid-19 of the child. This can give clear advantage for children who meet the requirement of the schools. Nevertheless, the point system can result in an overemphasis on quantifiable achievements or outcomes, and overlooking those qualitative aspects of the child itself, such as flexibility, creativity, leadership etc. This could further worsen the current inequality in Indian society, as children who has less powerful family background have less probability to gain high points and get into a good school.

To optimize the current matching mechanism of Indian pre-primary schools, a Deferred Acceptance (DA) algorithm is proposed. The DA algorithm is a method of dealing with matching problems in-congruent to preferences between partners. As it considered preferences of both agents, and it may reduce the possibility of unsatisfaction to the allocated school of parents [1-3].

2. The Impact of Education

2.1. Economic Environment

In the context of Indian pre-primary school matching, the two economic actors being involved in the matching market are schools and parents, for which schools like to enroll students who suited their requirements the most and parents would seek for schools that meet their needs. The resources to be allocated is the seats of pre-primary schools. The matching mechanism would be focusing on allocating kids, represented by their parents, to the primary schools.

2.2. Agent Preference

Strict conditions affect the needs and tastes of families during the primary school selection process, and many factors influence them. As the Internet is loaded with various information with an evident reputation for academics, teaching, availability of extra-curricular activities, and the adequacy of resources, studies have consistently found that the parents' priority is the spectrum of aspects. Furthermore, tendencies for choice of child's future, whether it's a professional field or college, and based on their level of education also depict that culture also influences that much [4]. The concern of families in low-income brackets will likely lie in the cost and accessibility.
At the same time, a major among parents of high-income groups may be prestige and academic rigor.

The appreciation and management of these general differences are essential. They ought to be critical considerations in crafting suitable allocation mechanisms that acknowledge and strive for the satisfaction of the diverse needs of families together with a well-planned allocation process. If such systems are to succeed, they are to sort out and handle the complex interplay of all the elements that impact parental decision-making, including the alliance of social backgrounds and ethnic origin, so that every student gets an equal opportunity and qualifies education no matter their social background or ethnic origin.

2.3. Specification of the problem

In this sphere of primary school selection in India, the factors that schools and families prefer to see are significant parts to pay attention to. This essay on the topic discusses the concept of preference and allocation by providing detailed literature on preference and the technical challenges of the allocation process that are likely to affect the attainment of efficiency during the allocation process.

The whirlpool of parental tastes in primary school choice-making is sophisticated enough to sway by many elements. Numerous research always note that families tend to give a whole range of things like academic standards, the quality of education, availability of extracurricular activities, and others when it comes to speaking about a schooling option for their children. Even more, socio-economic status and culture are important factors for crafting parental preferences. Families of middle or low socio-economic status may give affordability and accessibility higher importance, whereas rich and more educated lower classes may pay more attention to competitive selection and high academic challenges.

Acknowledging diversification of these multilayered needs is critical to devising compounding approaches for allocation criteria that are not only in tune with the needs and wants of different family types but also fair and efficient. These institutions, otherwise termed mechanisms, ought to unravel the intricate network of constraints hindering parents from exercising their prerogatives as careers by providing quality education to students regardless of their social and cultural affiliations.

The ability Addressing all the concerns around the decentralized allocation process within the given school selection is one of the most challenging issues when designing an allocation system for primary school selection. The core issue is, on the one hand, the necessity of optimal distribution of resources among schools and, on the other, the need to find a solution that not only ensures a maximin of overall satisfaction but also individual welfare of families. In this respect, efficiency implies the reasonable distribution of school seats to result in the most suitable match possible between pupils and schools, with both parties' choices and priorities being considered when carrying out the plans.

Unfortunately, the inefficient allocation mechanisms prevailing in the confines of the Indian water distribution system are affected by these inherent delays. One could give, as an example, the provision of a based admission system that admits only the nearby residents; thus, a question of efficiency and social and economic segregation may result. For example, a problem associated with the lottery system is its attempt to deal with equity concerns; however, well-known integrity issues are still there, so the public cannot trust these systems, which causes unfair environments between families [5-8].

Dispatching these drawbacks implies a global reassessment of distribution methods, aiming at improving productive effectiveness while expanding fairness and equity simultaneously. the flaws undermining the existing allocation systems and finding a way out of them, the actors who select education policy and the people involved can look for mechanisms that simultaneously keep the principles of efficiency and the needs and aspirations of people. This can bring equality and fairness to the primary education system in India. Confronted with the issues of applying the mechanism of primary school selection academicians and policymakers become explicitly engaged in hunting for gainful allocation strategies that will meet society's requirements of effectiveness, fairness & equity. Included in these ways of solving the problem are the DA algorithm design principles at the centralized clearinghouses, which have been proven to meet approval. The DA offers a well-structured procedure for pairing students to schools according to their wishes and values. Looking beyond the varying choices of home and school adds to the allocation process's robustness and brings more efficiency into the mix of fairness in school-seat allocation by taking these things into account. This way guarantees that each student is allocated to their most preferred school in the survey for all parties considered.

In line with that, centralized clearinghouses rely on the market design principles and play the role of intermediary by bringing the school candidates' application process to a timely and fair end. The institutions of the clearinghouses reduce the complexity of the process by providing a forum whereby parents and schools can enter their desires and expectations, which then get combined into an algorithm, effectively matching the kids with the schools that are best suitable for them. Such an approach caters to all clarity in matchmaking students with schools. As a result, the allocation process is improved and can be considered fair.

Additionally, waning value in traditional skills such as reading is brought into the debate. It has resulted in the implementation of technological solutions like online platforms and algorithms being proposed to address choice issues and empower families educationally. The programs take on technology-based solutions that shed light on problems with existing allocation methods, like inefficiency and a lack of transparency. The web-based platforms offer a wide range of information to the families, allowing them to find out about different schools' academic programs, participation in extra-curricular activities and the admissions standards. The algorithms use this information to create personalized suggestions that lies in decision- making about respective schools by providing relevant information.

The actual situation ends up giving attention to the preferences of agents and designing a more efficient allocation mechanism to ameliorate the primary school selection in India. The effectiveness of the matching process lies in striking a balance among the criteria and expectations of students and schools while at the same time fulfilling everyone's aspirations and dreams. Innovative approaches to seat allocation, driven by efficient distribution and innovative technology Solutions, allow policymakers and stakeholders to address the challenges of this process. One of these solutions is to ensure efficiency, fairness, and accessibility in seat allocation, which eventually leads to improved educational outcomes for all students [8-10].

The fair allocation of school seats to students is characterized by an equitable distribution system. This system ensures that every student has equal chances to enter their selected school. Factors such as proximity to the student's residence, the quality of education, extracurricular activities available, and the student's reputation are key in influencing school selection.

Implementing a market-oriented approach can result in a fair allocation of educational institutions. For public schools, implementing a lottery system is a practical choice. This method involves

randomly choosing students to join from a pool of candidates. The lottery ensures fairness for all students by removing any bias or partiality.

'Stability in student-school matching' refers to the absence of incentive for students to switch schools and modify their admission decisions after the initial assignment. A steady alignment ensures satisfaction for both students and educational bodies in their initial assignments.

To ensure uniformity in coordinating students with educational institutions, one can create a framework that considers the students' choices and priorities. This can be achieved using the deferred acceptance algorithm. This algorithm allows students to express their preferences as schools decide on their priorities. Across several cycles, students are assigned to their preferred school, considering the school's specific preferences.

The fairness in school allocation and stability in student-school matching can be guaranteed by DA algorithm. As DA algorithm considers both schools and families. It provides maximum helps to parents to send their children to schools they want.

3. Iteration

Suppose there are three families, The Bob' site John's and the priority ranking for schools on kids may be according to their abilities: Bob>John>Smith. There are three schools and C, with just one place each.

According to DA algorithm, there will be two steps in this example.

Step 1: A school holds Bob on the list and rejects John and B school holds Smith on the list.

Step 2: As John has a higher priority than Smith, so Smith will be rejected by B and John will be put on the hold list. At last, Bob goes to A and John goes to B and Smith goes to C. It is obvious that according to DA algorithm, every kid can go to the school that matches their capacity. As every school only accept one kid, after the matching finishing, students have no choices if they want to switch schools, which means that the matching becomes stable.

Research confirms the Pareto efficiency of regularly aligning students with schools, indicating that enhancing a student's well-being inevitably impacts others negatively. An optimal distribution of students among schools, thus boosting overall well-being. The engagement of students with schools is crucial for the success of an educational that rely on academic bodies for a superior educational journey and a conductive learning atmosphere. As a result, these institutions require a diverse and population to meet their standards and attain their educational goals. Fair and uniform admissions system can result in a mutual benefit between students and schools.

4. Centralized Clearinghouses and Decentralized Systems

Some markets are more apt to be structured as centralized clearinghouses, thereby streamlining the matching procedure effectively. Regarding school admissions, a central hub can gather student applications and distributing seats according to set criteria, like the lottery system or the algorithm for deferred acceptance.

Conversely, markets with diverse preferences and greater customization needs might find decentralized systems more. A non-centralized framework, both students and educational institutions enjoy greater independence in decision- making and admission term are evident in private schools, where straightforward implementation and salary-oriented computations might be more suitable.

5. Conclusion

The study reveals advantages of the Deferred Acceptance Algorithm for both students and their parents. This approach enhances students' likelihood of acceptance into their chosen schools by considering their individual preferences and priorities. Moreover, steering clear of the unpredictability inherent in a lottery system diminishes the stress and anxiety felt by students and their families throughout the admissions phase. The algorithm guarantees an equitable and clear

admissions process for parents, eradicating any partiality or partiality. Furthermore, it offers a chance for them to devise strategies and organize their decisions, facilitating a more enlightened process of making choices. In summary, integrating the Deferred Acceptance Algorithm into the admissions system of Indian preschools could enhance existing procedures, create a more equitable and effective system, and address the worries of both students and parents. We have provided a set of justifications that are easier to explain than the entire allocation. These justifications can be used to survey students 'perceptions of a fair matching, which in turn could help inform which allocation process should be implemented.

References

[1] Pradeep Kumar Choudhury, et al. Regional and Socioeconomic Inequalities in Access to Pre- Primary Education in India: Evidence from a Recent Household Survey. no. 1, Apr.2023

[2] Educational Inequality. ScienceDirect, edited by Eric A. Hanushek et al., vol. 6, Elsevier, 1Jan. 2023, pp. 405–97

[3] Chen, Gaoyu, et al. "Parents' Educational Anxiety under the 'Double Reduction' PolicyBased on the Family and Students' Personal Factors." Psychology Research andBehavior Management, vol. 15, Aug. 2022, pp. 2067–82

[4] Merry, Michael S. "Educational Justice and Selection." Springer EBooks, Dec. 2019, pp.217–44

[5] Alcott, B., Banerji, M., Bhattacharjea, S., Nanda, M., & Ramanujan, P. (2018). One step forward, two steps back: Transitions between home, pre-primary and primary education in rural India. Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education.

[6] Kim, J. H. (2022). Preschool participation and students' learning outcomes in primary school: evidence from national reform of pre-primary education in Ethiopia. International Journal of Educational Development, 94, 102659.

[7] Aboud, F. E., & Hossain, K. (2011). The impact of preprimary school on primary school achievement in Bangladesh. Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 26(2), 237-246.

[8] Dean, J. T., & Jayachandran, S. (2020). Attending kindergarten improves cognitive development in India, but all kindergartens are not equal. Mimeo.

[9] Day, C., Kington, A., Stobart, G., & Sammons, P. (2006). The personal and professional selves of teachers: Stable and unstable identities. British educational research journal, 32(4), 601-616.

[10] Hakanen, J. J., Bakker, A. B., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2006). Burnout and work engagement among teachers. Journal of school psychology, 43(6), 495-513.