Analysis Of Factors Affecting Bird Identification Mechanisms and Suggestions for Environmental Protection

Xike Liu

Portsmouth Abbey School, Portsmouth, United States

* Corresponding Author Email: xike_liu@portsmouthabbey.org

Abstract. Recognition, happening between species, kin, neighbors, and mates, is the basis of most animal interactions such as mating, food provisioning, and territory protection. The identification accuracy of conspecifics is facilitated by some factors but impeded by others, such as the cost of acquiring and memorizing social information. Avians primarily use vocal and visual identity signals to recognize other individuals, such as the general song characteristics or spot patterns on the feathers. By analyzing the identification context, signature production, and signal perception and response, the article reviews recent works to recommend environmental conservation approaches from the perspective of bird identification. Factors including sex, behavioral context, vocalization types, distance, and cognitive cost are demonstrated in their influence on recognition, and the lack of research on visual recognition does not indicate the more adaptive and complex nature of vocal recognition. Furthermore, the review encourages reducing anthropogenic effects on noise, light, and climate; avoiding behavioral disturbance; and restoring natural habitats. The review provides insights into the current state of individual animal recognition research by organizing the main findings of recent studies.

Keywords: Individual recognition, Visual signaling, Acoustic communication, Environmental protection.

1. Introduction

Recognition is the basis of most animal interactions including but not limited to mating, food provisioning, and territory protection. Recognition between animals of different species inevitably occurs, for example, during competition for resources, habitat selection, and the establishment and maintenance of brood parasitism. Discrimination between conspecifics and heterospecifics is also necessary, especially when closely resembling species have shared mating time. Conspecific recognition, or the classification of conspecifics based on their sensory input and the association of classification with different responses, is also crucial.

The classification of conspecifics can be categorical or non-categorical. There are objective categories like sex and age as well as subjective categories such as mate, offspring, or neighbor. In contrast, non-categorical classification (also called social classification) ranks individuals by the level of relatedness or dominance [1].

The identification accuracy is not only influenced by the benefits of correct identification. Some factors facilitate recognition. A species develops recognition when providing persistent individual information and basing social decisions on such information to improve fitness [2]. Furthermore, recognition is favored when individuality pre-exists in detectable features [3] such as acoustic quality and beak shape, though the pre-existence does not ensure the development of perception abilities [1,4].

On the other hand, some factors impede identification. Firstly, conflicting interests between the signaler and the receiver force the signaler to give vague information. For example, American crows' calls do not indicate their age, maybe because younger individuals are less desirable as mates [5]. Besides, recognition is hindered if the cost of information acquisition outweighs the benefit of informed decisions. Although information distribution attracts predation, receivers pay the most cost since learning identities and matching new information with characteristics associated with the identities require more energy and brain capacity [3].

Despite being predominantly vocal recognition studies in songbirds, earlier research on conspecific recognition has conclusively shown that individuals from different species emit distinctive signals. Signatures released in different modalities have varied signaling qualities: acoustic signatures are useful in long-distance contacts, whereas visual signatures might be useful in close-range exchanges [6]. In the area of vocal recognition, most studies examined identity expression through unique song repertoires, song types, or syllables. However, not many studies have focused on general song characteristics or context-independent information, which can potentially be universally applied. Moreover, only a few studies are in the area of visual recognition despite its importance. Research has shown that animals can distinguish between signatures produced by various individuals, and, by altering signal characteristics, some study has discovered the precise traits that is distinguished between.

Understanding the process, benefits, and limitations of avian conspecific recognition provides evidence for the evolution of bird behavior and physiology. A systematic comprehension also promotes environmental protection, which is especially urgent since the expanding range of human activities has endangered many species. This article reviews recent works investigating individually specific recognition in order to provide an updated understanding of the status quo of the research field. The article analyzes two behavioral mechanisms for categorizing individuals by the way in which they emit their traits, acoustically and visually, and makes recommendations for environmental conservation from the perspective of bird identification.

2. Current problems

Complexities in morphology and sensory systems leave mysteries concerning bird individual recognition even in relatively well-studied species such as zebra finches. Zebra finches are vocal social songbirds that transmit behavioral states or demands using 11 different call forms [4]. Even while certain call types of identity coding have been demonstrated independently, it is still unclear if all call types have unique signatures [4]. Moreover, since call types are selected for different sender-receiver distances and relationships, they may emphasize identity information differently [7]. For example, signature detection could be affected by environmental conditions, such as humidity and the distribution of obstacles; males and females of the same species may also differ in individual signatures and identification accuracy.

3. Recognition mechanisms of different modalities

In avians, two modalities—vocal and visual—are primarily used for sending individual signatures to a conspecific. Recent research on auditory and visual identifications will be analyzed from aspects including the context of identification, signature production, and signal perception and response.

3.1. Vocal

3.1.1 Behavioral context

Several behavioral contexts have been covered. One of the contexts is partner recognition. The study about “major call,” the call for mate reunion near or within the nesting site at night, is done on Scopoli’s shearwaters [8]; recognition for when the male and the female are at a distance is studied in the distance call in zebra finches [7]. The other context of identification—territory—primarily happens among males, such as in the territorial defense and neighbor-stranger discrimination of little owls [9]. Furthermore, an individual can show its identity in multiple contexts, using one [5, 10] or all call types [4, 11]. Using the call type generated in situations ranging from mobbing predators to defensing the territory, birds can identify callers despite the considerable variation in duration, timbre, and pitch caused by contexts [5].

3.1.2 Signal production

Various features encode identity information. The vocalization of different individuals can be distinctive in temporal features, fundamental features, and spectral features [4, 8, 10]. An example of spectral features is the way energy is distributed in the low-frequency region of the spectrum [10]. Individuals without stable general song characteristics may discriminate individuals by voice quality, song types, or homologous syllable types [11]. Additionally, it is feasible to combine several signaling techniques [4].

Though individual differences in morphology result in identity cues, research in recent years speculates that sometimes positive spectral shaping encodes more individuality. Small body size, such as the size of a zebra finch, restricts the bandwidth of song frequency. When frequency variation is outweighed by the variation across call types, socio-ecological pressures make neural control the primary source of individual signatures [4].

The sex of the vocalizer affects the identity signal, especially in partner recognition. Although some species share the coding process of vocal identity in both sexes [8], in zebra finches, males' faster and more predominant down sweep leads to their greater discriminability at long distances than females [7]. Sexual dimorphism or monomorphism in non-vocal aspects does not seem to be associated with vocal differences between sexes. For example, though American crows are monomorphic in behaviors and appearance, male crows produce higher-pitched signals than females.

Behavioral contexts, which affect vocalization types, influence vocal individuality as well. Birds could possess consistent signatures of varied strengths across cell types [4, 5]: contact calls are the most individualized, whereas calls used during aggressive encounters bear weak individual signatures [4]. There is also inconsistent inter-individual variation between call types, which impacts the length and pitch of calls of American crows and could be the primary source of variation, even more prominent than identity and sex [5,11]. Hence, contextual information can significantly improve recognition accuracy [5, 10].

Though vocal signatures can function in long-distance communication, they are susceptible to environmental effects [6]. The distance over which a signal is intended to spread affects individual characteristics of the call, though only one study concerns this factor [7]. Firstly, using multiple acoustical features, identity information is carried redundantly in distance calls. Features that provide the optimal long-distance discrimination differ from those that provide information at close ranges. Secondly, the spectrum of the ambient noise is different from the information frequency channel of calls adaptive to long distances. Therefore, the ideal call should be brief and feature a high-frequency modulation rate, or the rate of sound frequency variations.

3.1.3 Signal perception and response

Because of the cognitive cost relative to small body size, signal perception and processing require specific strategies. Birds that encode individuality in different call types need to memorize all signatures [4]. However, when a call type, such as the distance call, contains multiple copies of identity signatures, birds do not simply memorize multiple distance-dependent sets of features. Instead, they employ one set of characteristics that increases the average recognition performance over the range of distance that behaviorally relevant [7]. Neuron performance matches behavioral performance in strategically reducing the effect of distance on auditory discrimination [12]. For example, auditory neurons are invariant to background noise, intensity changes, and spectral and temporal sharpness. Some neurons can even simultaneously encode caller identity and distance, improving the coding capacity of the system and, further, producing stable sensory representations.

The sex of the receiver is also a factor in recognition. A disturbance of the auditory signals supporting individual signatures can affect both sexes equally, although males and females may decode signals in different ways [8]. When the vocalizations of one sex are better at propagation, partner recognition relies more on that sex [7]. Despite the lack of research, behavioral context is another factor. While knowing the context improves recognition since much of the vocal variations result from the context, recognition can happen across contexts [4, 5].

Environmental conditions, such as humidity, noise level, and population density, also interfere with information reception [13]. For example, the colonial life of African penguins weakens their visual recognition ability, resulting in auditory-visual cross-modal recognition.

3.2. Visual

3.2.1 Identification context

Since visual cues are less likely to change between contexts than vocal cues, the context in which visual cues are used is often unclear, especially in studies that used photographs of avian heads to stimulate individual recognition [1, 14]. The study which regards the recognition of partners and colony-mates has a relatively clear context because it also involves auditory recognition [13].

3.2.2 Signal production

Visual cues can be processed separately or holistically, unlike acoustic signals. The identity information in birds can be as simple as a distinctive pattern of black dots on their breast, which appears early in life and persists throughout [13]. More complicated cues are also present. For example, faces can have special relevance: improved individuality can be reflected in a combination of facial features such as comb and beak [1]. Conversely, birds that do not process patterns and are immune to facial inversion effects probably identify the whole body or the ultraviolet difference in plumage [14].

The definition of visual identity information is limited to the neighbor distance (i.e., the distance between the sender and the receiver). This is because identity is only necessary for decision-making in close proximity. Less sophisticated signals, including sex and rank signals, could be accessible from a distance. These regions might serve as trustworthy individual recognition signals at a distance since the density of characteristics that are resistant to transformation and rescaling declines at different rates in various parts of their heads [2].

3.2.3 Signal perception and response

Birds employ strategies that improve the efficiency of visual recognition rather than perceiving all details and processing in all possible combinations. For example, corvids could lack facial inversion effect despite their complex socio-cognitive abilities and accurate conspecific recognition using visual cues alone [14]. This may be because crows use face-specific responses instead of holistic facial processing. Additionally, visual constraints such as color vision, visual activity, and pattern processing determine how far birds can recognize, resolve, and process identify information [1]. If the neighbor distance is longer than the signal perception threshold, the conspecific may be difficult for the recipient to identify. This threshold impacts social behaviors such as dominance hierarchy formation and spacing behaviors, which are the main methods of individual recognition in chickens.

Visual recognition is preferable in contexts that weaken the signatures of another modality. For instance, in a species that nests in colonies, the perception of visual cues improves recognition accuracy when environmental noise covers vocal cues sent by the partner [13].

4. Suggestions on environmental conservation

Based on the analysis above, three suggestions are given on what humans can contribute to protect birds in terms of their individual recognition.

4.1. Reduce noise pollution

Artificial noise may mask individual signatures. The resistance to environmental degradation may be specific to regional conditions [7]. Thus, anthropogenic noise, such as that produced by the activities of felling machines and car engines, does not necessarily overlap with the original environmental noise spectrum, which may lead birds to perceive it as part of their signature and prevent effective communication. Although birds may adapt, such as by recognizing more accurately their mate’s degraded calls with exposure, the natural process of evolution is slower than human

development, making it crucial for humans to consider the impact of their actions on the environment [6].

By creating quieter environments, birds can more easily perceive visual cues from conspecifics. For example, sound barriers and quiet zones in urban areas and along highways and railways can control sound propagation; regulations on vehicle noise limits and muffler requirements can reduce noise production from the source.

4.2. Minimize changes in lighting

Though there is a lack of research on how environmental conditions influence conspecific recognition in modalities other than the auditory sense, human influence on lighting conditions such as brightness and color impair the visual ability of recognition [8]. Excessive artificial lighting at night can disrupt the vision of nocturnal birds, and reduced lighting levels can also cause difficulty in detecting visual cues. Furthermore, the light color could affect the hue and contrast of visual marks, which could be crucial to differentiation.

The mitigation of light pollution can be achieved by implementing outdoor lighting regulations, including restrictions on light intensity, direction, and spectral characteristics. Specifically, people can put up downward-facing lighting fixtures that are suitable for gloomy skies. and reduce outdoor lighting during off-peak hours such as late-night and early-morning hours.

4.3. Control Climate Change

Climate change increases the average global temperatures, alters precipitation patterns, and increases the possibility of harsh weather occurrences like hurricanes, heat waves, and droughts. Since signal propagation depends on environmental conditions, climate change influences animal identification as well. Whether climate change is anthropogenic or natural, birds cannot adapt as fast as environmental changes. Thus, to make up for humans' contribution to climate change, humans should try to slow down the changes.

To slow down climate change from its source, fossil fuel usage, deforestation, and industrial processes should be reduced; the major energy source should be transitioned Sustainable land-use techniques should be used, and the main energy source should be switched to renewable sources. In order to lessen the effects of climate change, climate adaptation strategies can be incorporated into habitat restoration plans to ensure that ecosystems can withstand changing environmental conditions.

4.4. Avoid behavioral disturbance

Since human disturbances cause stress and disrupt visual recognition efforts, behavioral guidelines should be implemented in natural areas, especially during breeding seasons. For example, people spending time outdoors should stay on designated trails, keep a distance away from the birds, and avoid sudden movements or loud noises that could startle birds. Furthermore, the government should establish buffer zones or restricted access areas that provide safe potential nesting sites.

4.5. Habitat restoration instead of ex-situ conservation

Last but not least, since recognition abilities are specialized to the habitat, transferring the population to a different area may impair their social interactions. Even if the new habitat provides suitable conditions including adequate vegetation cover, nesting sites, and food sources, the environment could be different from their previous location. While ex-situ conservation efforts provide birds with resources necessary for survival, they may not replicate the crucial but less tangible aspects of their original habitat.

Therefore, replication of natural processes and structures should be prioritized over ex-situ conservation. For example, besides invasive species management and sustainable land use planning, people can reintroduce native species to the protected area and establish monitoring programs to assess the restoration efforts.

5. Conclusion

Based on the existing literature from a bird-specific perspective, this paper provides insights into the current state of individual animal recognition research by organizing the main findings of recent studies. Avian conspecific recognition, elaborate in vocal and visual modalities, is under the influence of sex, behavioral context, vocalization types, distance, and cognitive cost. The lack of research on visual recognition does not indicate the more adaptive and complex nature of vocal recognition. Based on the analysis of two ways of recognition, the review encourages ecological conservation by reducing anthropogenic effects on noise levels, light conditions, and climate; avoiding behavioral disturbance; and restoring natural habitats. Future research should focus on the investigation of signal reception and visual recognition. Nevertheless, this paper cannot give a comprehensive view of the research field since its establishment because of the limited coverage of studies on neural mechanisms and previous studies. Such limitation makes it impossible to analyze all factors in individual recognition and in the potential connections between factors.

References

[1] Trapp, R., & Fernández-Juricic, E. (2022). How visual system configuration can play a role in individual recognition: A visual modeling study. Animal Cognition, 25(1), 205–216.

[2] Tumulty, J. P., & Sheehan, M. J. (2020). What Drives Diversity in Social Recognition Mechanisms? Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 7.

[3] Sheehan, M. J., & Bergman, T. J. (2016). Is there an evolutionary trade-off between quality signaling and social recognition? Behavioral Ecology, 27(1), 2–13.

[4] Elie, J. E., & Theunissen, F. E. (2018). Zebra finches identify individuals using vocal signatures unique to each call type. Nature Communications, 9(1), Article 1.

[5] Mates, E. A., Tarter, R. R., Ha, J. C., Clark, A. B., & McGowan, K. J. (2015). Acoustic profiling in a complexly social species, the American crow: Caws encode information on caller sex, identity and behavioural context. Bioacoustics, 24(1), 63–80.

[6] Yorzinski, J. L. (2017). The cognitive basis of individual recognition. Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences, 16, 53–57.

[7] Mouterde, S. C., Theunissen, F. E., Elie, J. E., Vignal, C., & Mathevon, N. (2014). Acoustic communication and sound degradation: How do the individual signatures of male and female zebra finch calls transmit over distance? PloS One, 9(7), e102842.

[8] Curé, C., Mathevon, N., & Aubin, T. (2016). Mate vocal recognition in the Scopoli's shearwater Calonectris diomedea: Do females and males share the same acoustic code? Behavioural Processes, 128, 96–102.

[9] Linhart, P., & Šálek, M. (2017). The assessment of biases in the acoustic discrimination of individuals. PLOS ONE, 12(5), e0177206.

[10] Benti, B., Curé, C., & Dufour, V. (2019). Individual signature in the most common and context-independent call of the Rook (Corvus frugilegus). The Wilson Journal of Ornithology, 131(2), 373–381.

[11] Průchová, A., Jaška, P., & Linhart, P. (2017). Cues to individual identity in songs of songbirds: Testing general song characteristics in Chiffchaffs Phylloscopus collybita. Journal of Ornithology, 158(4), 911–924.

[12] Mouterde, S. C., Elie, J. E., Mathevon, N., & Theunissen, F. E. (2017). Single Neurons in the Avian Auditory Cortex Encode Individual Identity and Propagation Distance in Naturally Degraded Communication Calls. The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 37(13), 3491–3510.

[13] Baciadonna, L., Solvi, C., La Cava, S., Pilenga, C., Gamba, M., & Favaro, L. (2021). Cross-modal individual recognition in the African penguin and the effect of partnership. Proceedings. Biological Sciences, 288(1960), 20211463.

[14] Brecht, K. F., Wagener, L., Ostojić, L., Clayton, N. S., & Nieder, A. (2017). Comparing the face inversion effect in crows and humans. Journal of Comparative Physiology A, 203(12), 1017–1027.