

Toward the Daily Sense of Referential and Meaning: Russell's Denoting Theory Revisited

Jiaqi Song *

Hamden Hall Country Day School, Fuzhou, Fujian Province, China

* Corresponding Author Email: csong@hamdenhall.org

Abstract. Russell's theory of descriptions was initially inspired by Frege's exploration of the significance of searching for the denotation of statements in his published paper *Sense and Reference*. In order to resolve the classic existential paradox - whether a propositional subject is still meaningful when it has no counterpart in the real world - Russell's theory of descriptions was proposed to provide a unique perspective and a solution using facsimiles to address such problems. In this article, we will explain how Russell's theory of facsimiles may be meaningfully applied to a relevant discussion of everyday occurrences and situations by using the fundamental notions offered in his theory. The first question that has to be answered is whether or not Russell's theory is successful in bridging the gap between fictional aspects and the subject of interpreting language used in daily life. This investigation makes an effort to shed light on this topic while also illuminating the wider link that exists between language, reference and meaning.

Keywords: Meaning theory; Denoting theory; Russell; Frege.

1. Introduction

Russell introduced his theory of descriptions in his paper *On Denoting*, in which the central idea is to rewrite the subject of a sentence to solve the problem of unclear reference that the original subject could not solve [1]. He replaced the subject with descriptions to express the meaning of the sentence, thus revealing the true logical structure of the original proposition, and the theory emphasized the importance of accuracy in logical language [2]. The emergence of the theory of descriptions contributed greatly to the construction and refinement of denotational theories and also had a great influence in the fields of linguistics and semantics, which is concerned with describing how the language structures including adjectives and adverbs convey the speaker's position by using descriptions [3-5].

In contrast to the language of philosophical logic, the language used in everyday communication between people tends to be relatively limited and narrow. For instance, there is semantic diversity, as well as message variability between the receiver and the sender of the language. The direct result of these problems is that the communicators cannot understand each other's expressions directly, completely, and quickly [6]. As Wittgenstein said in his discussion of metaphysics, "That the world is my world appears in the fact that the boundaries of language (the only language I understand) indicate the boundaries of my world. the boundaries of my world." Philosophers, such as Russell, who is the main subject of this paper, have provided innovative perspectives on the understanding of everyday language while solving the problem of denotation in logic. In this paper, we will mainly analyze the connection between Russell's theory of descriptions and the statements that people use every day, focusing on denotation and sentence connotation [7].

Notably, there are other philosophers who have questioned Russell's theory of descriptions, such as Strawson and Donnellan, presenting different views on denotation and on the significance of fictional things in everyday statements, which will also be referred to in this paper in order to provide more diverse and vital perspectives [8].

The issue that has to be addressed is whether or not Russell's theory is capable of effectively bridging the gap between imagined attributes and the challenge of comprehending language that is employed in day-to-day life. If this is the case, then the next thing that has to be determined is whether or not this is the case. If not, then go on to the following item. If this is not the case, the next thing

that needs to be determined is whether or not this is the case. If this is the case, the next thing that needs to be decided is whether or not this is the case. This investigation is being conducted with the intention of shedding light not just on the specific matter that is being addressed at this moment but also on the greater relationship that exists between language, reference, and the meaning of words. It has been suggested that, out of the three options, the one in which set theory has the potential to free the constraint of meaning to real referentials presents the most intriguing new choices for study. This notion is based on the idea that set theory can free the constraint of meaning to actual referentials. This is due to the fact that the potential of this happening gives the opportunity for meaning to be liberated from its grip on actual referentials.

2. Russell's Theory of Descriptions: Foundations and Key Points

In 1892, Frege distinguished meaning from reference in his paper *Sense and Reference*, which became a significant milestone in the history of philosophy. Famous philosophers such as Russell, Strawson, and others were strongly influenced by this theory, the theory of reference, and explored the logical analysis of conceptual words, sentences, and direct and indirect derivatives in a deeper way [9].

Frege argues that there is some relationship between the meaning and the denotation expressed by a sentence, which mirrors the question of whether the idea embedded in the statement is the meaning of the statement or the denotation of the statement [9]. He argues that words with the same referent, but different meanings shift the meaning of the statement. And since the meaning of the statement determines the judgment of the truth value of the statement, the search for the denotation of the statement is worthy of discussion and in-depth investigation. It should think that in the development of reference theory, Frege's contribution is undoubtedly enormous because he makes a clear distinction between the designation, the referent, and the meaning of the utterance. However, in his theory, the problem of the empty category of the non-referential is ignored and not convincingly explained, leading to later Russell's theory of descriptions. Russell's theory of descriptions was first introduced in his paper *On Denoting*. The theory was first devised as a response to the age-old existential problem of establishing whether or not a propositional subject may still be relevant in the lack of a matching concept in the physical world. The quandary of determining whether or not a propositional topic may still be significant in the absence of a corresponding concept in the physical world was known as the "It is very necessary to rewrite the issue in order to comprehend how Russell's theory of descriptions works. It is for the sake of conveying the meaning of the statement that he accomplishes this, and in so doing, he reveals the true structure of logic behind the original assumption. In order to do this, he uses a description in place of the topic. For example, he is attributed with making the now-famous allegation that the present King of France is bald in the book titled "On Denoting," which he authored. "On Denoting" If we were to break this sentence down into its component parts, according to the theory of descriptions, it would be possible to rephrase it as three separate statements:

1. There exists an x , x is the king of France today.
2. For any y , if y is the king of France today.
3. x is bald.

Since there is in fact no king of France today, proposition 1 is false, and consequently propositions 2 and 3 are also false. In this way, the statement does not admit that "the present King of France" exists while expressing and recognizing the meaning of "the present King of France" in the sentence. Therefore, in the case that the statement is false, it will not be understood as "there is a present King of France, and he is not bald".

3. Frege and His Successors Revisited

Frege differentiated between meaning and reference in an essay titled "Sense and Reference," which he wrote in 1892 and had published the following year [10]. This text went on to become an important landmark in the history of philosophy when it was first published. Well-known philosophers such as Russell and Strawson, along with other thinkers who were significantly influenced by this theory, which is referred to as the theory of reference, carried out additional research into the logical analysis of conceptual words, sentences, and direct and indirect derivatives [11-13].

Frege's argument that there is some relationship between the meaning and the denotation that are represented by a phrase is a reflection of the conundrum of determining whether the concept that is buried in the statement is the meaning of the statement or the denotation of the statement. The conundrum is whether the thought that is hidden in the statement is the meaning of the statement or the denotation of the statement. He claims that the meaning of a statement may be changed by the employment of words that refer to the same subject but have separate meanings, and he uses this argument to support his position. And since how the meaning of the statement is interpreted is what determines whether or not the statement can be regarded true, the search for the denotation of the statement is a significant issue that need to be studied and examined in more depth. It feels that Frege's contribution to the development of reference theory is without a doubt an enormous one. This is because he draws a separate line between the designation, the referent, and the meaning of the utterance.

However, in his theory, the problem of the empty category of the non-referential is ignored, and the problem is not adequately resolved. This is one of the factors that led to the development of Russell's theory of descriptions at a later date. His theory of descriptions was initially introduced to the general public for the first time in the essay "On Denoting" that was written by Russell. The theory was first devised as a response to the age-old existential problem of establishing whether or not a propositional subject may still be relevant in the lack of a matching concept in the physical world. The quandary of determining whether or not a propositional topic may still be significant in the absence of a corresponding concept in the physical world was known as the "It is very necessary to rewrite the issue in order to comprehend how Russell's theory of descriptions works. It is for the sake of conveying the meaning of the statement that he accomplishes this, and in so doing, he reveals the true structure of logic behind the original assumption. In order to do this, he uses a description in place of the topic. For example, he is attributed with making the now-famous allegation that the present King of France is bald in the book titled "On Denoting," which he authored. "On Denoting" According to the theory of descriptions, this one claim may be deconstructed into three separate assertions if one looks closely enough.

Russell's theory of descriptions drew its initial motivation from Frege's inquiry into the importance of seeking for the denotation of statements in his published book *Sense and Reference*. This served as the primary source of inspiration for Russell's work. The purpose of presenting Russell's theory of descriptions was to provide a novel vantage point as well as a solution that makes use of facsimiles to address such issues. This was done in order to solve the classic existential dilemma, which questions if a propositional issue is still relevant when it has no equivalent in the real world. This problem was solved by doing what was just described. It is necessary to find a solution to the existential conundrum before this can be accomplished. Making use of Russell's theory of facsimiles in order to have a discourse that is pertinent to day-to-day occurrences and situations by making use of the key conceptions that are offered in his theory is the purpose of this study. Specifically, the objective of this research is to apply Russell's theory of facsimiles. The very first thing that has to be decided is whether or not Russell's theory is successful in bridging the gap between imaginary characteristics and the issue of interpreting language that is used in day-to-day life. If it is, then the next thing that needs to be determined is whether or not it is, then the next thing that needs to be determined is whether or not it is. The objective of this investigation is to provide light not just on the specific topic at hand but also on the greater relationship that exists between language, reference, and

meaning. It has been suggested that, out of the three possibilities, the one in which set theory has the potential to release the constraint of meaning to real referentials presents the most fascinating new options for study.

4. From Mathematics to Philosophic Meaning Theory

Russell originally presented his theory of descriptions by providing an overview of it. The fundamental idea behind it is to recast the subject of a sentence in order to resolve the problem of ambiguous reference that the original subject was unable to solve. He removed the topic and replaced it with descriptors so that the meaning of the sentence could be expressed more clearly. This demonstrated the real logical structure of the first claim, and the theory highlighted the need of clarity when communicating using language appropriate for logic. The building and improvement of denotational theories were significantly aided by the growth of the theory of descriptions, which played an important role in both processes. It also had a huge effect on the areas of linguistics and semantics, which are concerned with articulating how the structures of language, such as adjectives and adverbs, express the position of the speaker via the use of descriptions. This event had a tremendous influence on both of these subjects.

When compared to the language that is used in philosophical reasoning, the vocabulary that is used in everyday communication between humans has a tendency to be relatively constrained and limited in scope. This is because philosophical reasoning requires a higher level of thought and analysis. In the case of a language, for instance, there is semantic diversity between the sender and the recipient of a message, in addition to heterogeneity in the meaning of the messages that are being traded. Because of these problems, persons who are attempting to communicate with one another are unable to immediately, completely, and quickly comprehend the expressions that are being used by the other person. Wittgenstein stated that philosophers like Russell, who is the major topic of this article, have provided unique points of view on the understanding of ordinary language. Russell is the subject of this article. In this piece of writing, we will focus on denotation and sentence connotation as our key topics of inquiry as we explore the link between Russell's theory of descriptions and the statements that people make on a daily basis. In doing so, we will investigate the relationship between Russell's theory of descriptions and the statements that people make on a daily basis [14].

Russell's theory of descriptions has been called into doubt by a number of other philosophers, including Strawson and Donnellan, who are notable for their contributions. These philosophers have offered a variety of perspectives, both on the meaning of denotation and on the role that fictitious items play in the meaning of daily assertions. In order to present a wider range of opinions that are equally important, this study will also make reference to the aforementioned philosophers.

5. Conclusion

The relevance of Frege's investigation into the significance of looking for the denotation of statements in his published work *Sense and Reference* served as the first inspiration for Russell's theory of descriptions. Russell's theory of descriptions was presented to give a unique viewpoint and a solution that uses facsimiles to handle such challenges. This was done in order to overcome the traditional existential conundrum, which asks whether a propositional topic is still meaningful when it has no counterpart in the actual world. In order to achieve this, the existential paradox must be resolved. The goal of this research is to make use of Russell's theory of facsimiles in order to have a conversation that is relevant to daily happenings and circumstances by making use of the essential notions that are presented in his theory. The very first thing that has to be determined is whether or not Russell's theory is effective in bridging the gap between fictitious features and the topic of interpreting language that is utilised in day-to-day life. The purpose of this inquiry is to shed light on this subject while simultaneously shedding light on the larger connection that exists between language, reference, and meaning. It has been proposed that, out of the three, the prospect that set theory could

relieve the restriction of meaning to actual referential is the one that gives the most interesting new opportunities for research.

References

- [1] Russell B. On denoting. *Mind*. 1905, 14(56): 479-93.
- [2] Hintikka J. On denoting what. *Synthese*. 1981, 167-83.
- [3] Parsons T. On denoting propositions and facts. *Philosophical Perspectives*. 1993, 7: 441-60.
- [4] Kremer M. The argument of "on denoting". *The Philosophical Review*. 1994, 103(2): 249-97.
- [5] Blackburn S, Code A. The Power of Russell's Criticism of Frege: 'On Denoting' pp. 48-50. *Analysis*. 1978, 38(2): 65-77.
- [6] McDowell J. On the sense and reference of a proper name. *Mind*. 1977, 86(342): 159-85.
- [7] Kripke SA. Frege's theory of sense and reference: Some exegetical notes 1. *Theoria*. 2008, 74(3):181-218.
- [8] Klement KC. *Frege and the Logic of Sense and Reference*. Routledge; 2017.
- [9] Simons P. Meinong's theory of sense and reference. *Grazer Philosophische Studien*. 1995, 50: 171.
- [10] Segal G. A preference for sense and reference. *The Journal of Philosophy*. 1989, 86(2): 73-89.
- [11] Lehrer A. A theory of meaning. *Philosophical studies*. 2012, 161:97-107.
- [12] Putnam H. Reference and understanding. In *Meaning and Use: Papers Presented at the Second Jerusalem Philosophical Encounter April 1976*. 1979, 199-217. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- [13] Overton WF. Contexts of meaning: The computational and the embodied mind. In *The nature and ontogenesis of meaning* 1994. Routledge.
- [14] Loar B. Two theories of meaning. *Evans and McDowell*. 1976, 138-61.