

Application of in Vitro Induced Stem Cell Differentiation Techniques

Tiancong Du *

Hong Kong Metropolitan University, Hong Kong, China

* Corresponding Author Email: s1337658@live.hkmu.edu.hk

Abstract. As an important breakthrough in the field of regenerative medicine, in vitro induced stem cell differentiation (ISCD) technology offers new possibilities for the treatment of intractable diseases. In this study, we investigated the application, advantages, limitations and future development of this technique through a systematic literature review. It was found that the main advantage of this technology is the avoidance of immune rejection, and it also shows great potential in improving the efficiency of treatment and expanding the therapeutic methods for difficult diseases. In the field of regenerative medicine, the technology has made significant progress in the application of hematopoietic stem cells, neural stem cells and mesenchymal stem cells. However, the current technology still faces challenges such as low yield, high cost and imperfect cell function. In addition, this study explores the potential of this technology for disease modelling, drug screening and personalized medicine. Despite the challenges, with the deepening of the molecular mechanism and the optimization of differentiation protocols, in vitro induced stem cell differentiation is expected to achieve wider clinical applications in the future, and to promote the development of precision medicine. Future research should focus on solving the existing technological bottlenecks and exploring a wider range of application scenarios in order to fully realize the potential of this revolutionary technology.

Keywords: In vitro induced stem cell differentiation, regenerative medicine, immune rejection, personalized medicine.

1. Introduction

Stem cell research has revolutionized the field of life sciences, not only deepened our understanding of cell growth and development, but also opened new prospects for regenerative medicine. With their unique self-renewal ability and multidirectional differentiation potential, stem cells offer new possibilities for the treatment of many difficult diseases. Research in this field not only promotes the development of basic biology, but also brings hope for the treatment of intractable diseases.

Stem cells can be classified into totipotent, pluripotent and omnipotent stem cells according to their differentiation potential. Totipotent stem cells have the ability to differentiate into all germ layers, whereas pluripotent and omnipotent stem cells have relatively limited differentiation potential. In practice, the main stem cell types include embryonic stem cells, induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) and adult stem cells. Although embryonic stem cells have the highest differentiation potential, their use has ethical implications. In contrast, iPSCs are reprogrammed from adult cells, which avoids ethical controversies and is easily accessible, thus attracting attention in research and clinical applications.

The differentiation process of stem cells is regulated by complex endogenous and exogenous factors, including selective gene expression and various environmental stimuli. Understanding these regulatory mechanisms is essential for the successful induction of stem cell differentiation. In vitro induced stem cell differentiation is the process of directing stem cells to differentiate into a target cell type under laboratory conditions using specific biological methods and environmental factors. The importance of this technique is demonstrated by the powerful tool it provides for regenerative medicine and personalized therapies. By using the patient's own stem cells, immune rejection can be minimized or avoided, opening new avenues for the treatment of difficult-to-treat diseases.

In this paper, we will discuss in detail the various methods of inducing stem cell differentiation in vitro, including chemical induction, biological induction, physical induction, genetic engineering methods, and environmental control. At the same time, we will examine the potential of these techniques in medical research and clinical applications, as well as the challenges and opportunities they may face in the future. Through a comprehensive literature review, this paper aims to provide readers with the latest advances and application prospects of in vitro induced stem cell differentiation techniques.

2. Methods of Inducing Stem Cell Differentiation in Vitro

There are various methods to induce stem cell differentiation in vitro, mainly including chemical induction, biological induction, physical induction, genetic engineering methods and environmental control. Chemical induction regulates cell differentiation by adding specific growth factors or chemicals. Biological induction uses co-culture systems to create specific microenvironments. Physical induction methods, such as the construction of three-dimensional scaffolds, provide cells with growth conditions that mimic the in vivo environment. Hydrogel scaffolds are one of them. According to Jia Sen et al., the mesh structure made of hydrogel has good biocompatibility, efficient transmission of nutrients and other advantages, and has a good prospect [1]. Hydrogel-loaded BMP-2 played a good induction effect in the differentiation of cultured dental pulp stem cells to osteoblasts. Genetic engineering methods directly manipulate gene expression to precisely regulate the differentiation process. According to Ma Shutao et al., induction of osteogenic differentiation of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells with the expression of the control gene NEAT1 inhibits cellular pyroptosis [2]. This leads to a direct and effective increase in differentiation efficiency. In addition, the direction of stem cell differentiation can be influenced by controlling environmental factors such as temperature and oxygen concentration. The selection and combination of these methods depends on the target cell type and specific application requirements, providing researchers with a rich toolbox for precise cell differentiation control.

3. Application of in Vitro Induced Stem Cell Differentiation Techniques

3.1. Regenerative Medicine

3.1.1. Induced Differentiation of Haematopoietic Stem Cells and Applications

According to the research done by Li Yanwei et al., hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) transplantation is widely used in clinical practice, but still faces many challenges [3]. Pluripotent stem cells (PSC) offer new possibilities for the treatment of hematological diseases due to their ability to differentiate into various cell types, including HSC. The induced differentiation of PSCs into HSCs is mainly achieved through both endogenous and exogenous regulation.

Endogenous regulation mainly involves the manipulation of regulatory factors and signaling pathways. Studies have shown that activation of the Notch signaling pathway significantly enhances hematopoiesis, and the Notch gene plays a key role in several processes of cell development. Similarly, activation of the Wnt signaling pathway promotes the hematopoietic differentiation of stem cells. In addition, the regulation of signaling pathways such as BMP4 and cAMP also plays an important role in the differentiation of PSC to HSC.

The exogenous regulation was mainly achieved through microenvironmental regulation, and PSC-derived hematopoietic precursor cells were the key intermediates in the differentiation of PSC to HSC. OP9 cell line was found to inhibit apoptosis of HSCs, and in combination with specific transcription factors and stromal cells, it could significantly promote the differentiation ability of PSC. Addition of R-vertebral protein 2 factor during PSC culture activated the downstream TGF- β signaling pathway, thereby enhancing the differentiation potential of PSCs. Certain biomaterials, such as fibrin scaffolds, have also been shown to promote PSC differentiation into vascular cells.

Despite the remarkable progress, the technology still faces some challenges, such as the limited source of PSCs and the problem of immune rejection that has not been completely solved. Overcoming these problems will be the focus of future research.

3.1.2. Induced Differentiation of Neural Stem Cells and Applications

According to the research done by Yu Qinghe et al., the induced differentiation of neural stem cells has shown great potential in neural repair, especially in the treatment of spinal cord injury [4]. This technique is mainly used to achieve therapeutic goals by promoting neuronal regeneration and re-establishing neural connections. Neural stem cells, as precursors that can differentiate into a variety of brain cells, are ideal therapeutic materials.

It has been found that under oxidative stress conditions, the efficiency of neural stem cell differentiation into neurons can be significantly improved by supplementation with heme oxygenase 1 (HO-1), which has multiple functions such as cytoprotection and antioxidant, and can reduce the level of reactive oxygen species and protect neural stem cells from oxidative damage during the culture process, thus ensuring the development of their differentiation potentials.

However, the current studies are mainly limited to *in vitro* experiments and lack the simulation of the complex environment in organisms. Therefore, further *in vivo* studies are needed to verify the efficacy and safety of these findings before clinical application.

3.1.3. Applications of Mesenchymal Stem Cells

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have shown promising applications in many fields, especially in the treatment of type 1 diabetes and tissue repair.

According to the research done by Zhu Mingde et al., in the treatment of type I diabetes mellitus, adipose-derived MSCs are ideal for induced differentiation into islet β -cells (IPCs) due to their strong proliferative and differentiation ability and ease of isolation and culture [5]. This process is mainly achieved by transgenic technology, which involves the regulation of key genes such as Hnf1b, Pdx1, Ngn3 and Nkx6.1. Among them, the Pdx1 gene plays a central role in pancreatic cell differentiation, while the Hnf1b gene promotes directional differentiation. By activating or silencing these genes, MSCs could be directed to differentiate into IPCs. In addition, miR-375-containing lentiviral infection of MSCs can increase their insulin secretion.

However, the current IPCs obtained by induced differentiation are still much lower than natural pancreatic β -cells in insulin secretion efficiency. Improving the function and efficiency of the target cells will be the focus of future research.

According to the research done by ZENG Wen et al., in the field of tissue repair, the differentiation of MSCs to fibroblasts (FBs) offers new therapeutic strategies for skin growth and wound healing [6]. The main methods for inducing differentiation include:

(1) Addition of cytokines: Addition of transforming growth factor (TGF- β), connective tissue growth factor (CTGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), etc. during the culture process can promote the expression of fibroblast-related genes (e.g. VIM, LN, FSP-1).

(2) Construction of specific stereoscopic scaffolds: these scaffolds can contain cytokines that provide an ideal microenvironment for cell differentiation, thus improving differentiation efficiency.

3.2. Disease Modelling and Drug Screening

In vitro induced stem cell differentiation plays an important role in disease modelling and drug screening. By simulating disease development, researchers can construct cell or tissue models of specific diseases, providing a valuable platform for pathological research and drug development. At the same time, this technology also provides a new approach to drug screening, by observing the effects of drugs on target cells, it is possible to more accurately predict their effects in the human body, thus accelerating the drug development process and improving safety.

3.3. Basic Research

In the field of basic research, *in vitro* induced stem cell differentiation provides a powerful research tool for cell biology and developmental biology. By simulating the process of cell growth, development and differentiation, researchers can gain insight into the molecular mechanisms underlying these complex processes. In addition, this technology provides an ideal platform for studying the effects of specific genes on cell growth, enabling single-gene functional studies and the exploration of multi-gene synergism.

3.4. Personalized Medicine

In vitro induced stem cell differentiation has shown great potential in the field of personalized medicine. By using the patient's own stem cells, the induced differentiated target cells can be used for transplantation, effectively avoiding the problem of immune rejection. This personalized treatment not only improves the effectiveness of treatment, but also greatly reduces the risk of complications, bringing new hope for the treatment of many intractable diseases.

4. Technical Advantages and Limitations

In vitro induced stem cell differentiation has shown great potential in the field of regenerative medicine and personalized therapies, but it also faces a number of challenges. The advantages of this technique and its current limitations are discussed in detail in this chapter.

4.1. Advantages

4.1.1. Reduced Immune Rejection

One of the main advantages of *in vitro* induced stem cell differentiation is the significant reduction of immune rejection. Since most of the cells used in the treatment are derived from the patient's own body, there is no immune rejection of these cells. This method of autologous cell therapy allows the cells and tissues induced *in vitro* to integrate and grow better when transplanted back into the patient, greatly improving the success and safety of the treatment. By using the patient's own cells, this technique effectively circumvents the immunocompatibility problems commonly associated with traditional organ transplants and opens new avenues for the treatment of difficult-to-treat diseases.

4.1.2. Improving the Efficiency of Treatment

In vitro induced stem cell differentiation can significantly improve the efficiency of treatment compared to traditional therapeutic methods. This improvement can be seen in the precision, scalability, customizability and sustainability of the treatment. By precisely controlling the differentiation process, researchers can obtain high-purity target cell types, thus improving the targeting of therapy. Under *in vitro* culture conditions, large numbers of target cells can be obtained to meet clinical needs. In addition, this technology allows differentiation strategies to be adapted to patient-specific conditions, enabling truly personalized treatments. As stem cell-derived tissues have a greater capacity for regeneration, this treatment may provide longer-lasting results and reduce the likelihood of disease recurrence.

4.1.3. Expanding Treatment of Difficult Diseases

In vitro induced stem cell technology offers new hope for many diseases that are difficult to treat with conventional methods. This technology makes it possible to regenerate diseased areas of the body, no longer limited to the use of exogenous tissues or organs for transplantation. This breakthrough has solved the long-standing problem of the lack of exogenous tissue and organ sources and the difficulty of matching them. For example, the technology has shown great potential in the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases, cardiovascular diseases and certain hereditary diseases. By inducing the differentiation of a patient's own stem cells into specific types of functional cells, damaged tissue can be repaired or replaced, providing a new treatment strategy for these difficult

diseases. This approach not only expands the range of diseases that can be treated, but also offers new hope for diseases that are considered 'incurable'.

4.1.4. Promoting Drug Discovery and Personalized Medicine

In vitro induced stem cell differentiation also provides a powerful tool for drug discovery and personalized medicine. In disease modelling, the technology allows for the creation of disease-specific cellular models, which can provide insight into disease mechanisms and the development of new therapeutic approaches. For drug screening, drug testing using patient-specific cells can more accurately predict the effects and potential side effects of drugs, thus facilitating the development of personalized medicine. In toxicological studies, this technology provides a cellular model closer to the human body for drug toxicity testing, which significantly improves the accuracy of drug safety assessment. Through these applications, in vitro induced stem cell differentiation is accelerating the process of new drug development and paving the way for the realization of precision medicine.

4.2. Current Limitations of the Technology

4.2.1. Low Productivity and Erratic Output

Despite the great potential of in vitro induced stem cell differentiation, there are still obvious shortcomings in terms of yield and stability. Firstly, there is still a lack of in-depth understanding of the specific molecular mechanisms of certain stem cell differentiation. For example, the specific mechanisms of the genes involved in the induction process and the synergistic regulatory network among the genes still need to be further elucidated. This uncertainty directly affects the controllability and reproducibility of the differentiation process. Secondly, how to improve the efficiency of stem cell differentiation to target cell types is a key issue in clinical applications. Currently, the efficiency of many differentiation protocols is still low, which is difficult to meet the needs of large-scale clinical applications. Finally, some of the target cells obtained through induced differentiation still have different functions compared with the original cells in the human body, and cannot fully replace the original cells. For example, pancreatic β -cells obtained by induced differentiation may not be as good as natural β -cells in insulin secretion. The solution to these problems requires more in-depth basic research and technology optimization.

4.2.2. High Cost

Induced stem cell differentiation technology faces the challenge of high cost for practical large-scale application. This high cost is mainly due to several aspects. Firstly, materials such as culture media and growth factors required to maintain stem cell culture and induced differentiation are expensive. Secondly, the technology requires specialized cell culture equipment and a sterile operating environment, which is a large initial investment. In addition, highly specialized technicians are required to operate these complex procedures, resulting in high labour costs. Finally, complex testing procedures are required to ensure the quality and safety of cellular products, which also adds to the cost. Reducing these costs is key to making the technology more accessible to patients. This will require breakthroughs in optimizing culture techniques, increasing automation and scaling up production. Only by dramatically reducing costs will this revolutionary technology be able to move towards widespread clinical use.

4.2.3. Challenges to Clinical Translation

The successful translation of in vitro induced stem cell differentiation techniques from the laboratory to clinical applications still faces many challenges. The first and foremost problem is the complexity of the human body environment. The internal environment is far more complex than the in vitro culture environment, and it is difficult to accurately predict the behavior and function of induced differentiated cells after transplantation into the body. This uncertainty increases the risk of treatment. Secondly, the long-term safety of induced differentiated cells, especially the risk of tumour formation, needs to be assessed by long-term follow-up studies. In addition, the establishment of uniform standards for cell preparation, quality control and clinical application is necessary to achieve

widespread clinical application, but this process is complex and time-consuming. Finally, research and applications involving embryonic stem cells, in particular, continue to face ethical controversies and regulatory challenges. The resolution of these issues requires the concerted efforts of the research community, the medical community and the regulatory authorities.

4.2.4. Technical Limitations

The *in vitro* induced stem cell differentiation technique also faces some inherent technical limitations. The first is the issue of epigenetic memory. Some induced pluripotent stem cells may retain the epigenetic characteristics of the original cells, which may affect their differentiation potential and limit their use in certain applications. Secondly, prolonged culture may lead to genomic instability, increasing the risk of mutation. This not only affects the function of the cells, but also poses a safety hazard. Another challenge is the heterogeneity of cell populations. Cell populations resulting from induced differentiation may have functional and phenotypic differences, and this heterogeneity may affect the consistency and predictability of therapeutic effects. Overcoming these technical limitations will require advances in multiple fields, including molecular biology, genetics and cell biology.

4.3. Future Research Directions

To overcome the current challenges, future research should focus on several key directions. Firstly, in-depth study of the differentiation mechanism is needed, using advanced technologies such as single-cell sequencing and spatial transcriptomics to deeply analyse the molecular mechanism of the differentiation process. Secondly, we need to optimise differentiation protocols and develop more efficient and stable differentiation methods to improve the yield and function of target cells. Furthermore, advanced three-dimensional culture systems and organoids should be developed to better simulate the human environment and improve the functional maturity of induced differentiated cells. In terms of safety, new gene editing technologies need to be developed to reduce the risk of tumour formation in induced pluripotent stem cells. Meanwhile, automated culture systems and large-scale production methods should be explored to reduce the cost of technology application. In terms of clinical translation, more clinical trials should be conducted to assess long-term safety and efficacy. Finally, the improvement of relevant laws and regulations should be promoted to create favorable conditions for the wide application of the technology. Through these efforts, *in vitro* induced stem cell differentiation technology is expected to achieve wider clinical applications in the future, bringing revolutionary progress in regenerative medicine and personalised treatment.

5. Conclusion

As a cutting-edge advancement in the field of regenerative medicine, *in vitro* induced stem cell differentiation technology has demonstrated great therapeutic potential and broad application prospects. In this study, the advantages, limitations and future development directions of this technology were analysed in depth through a systematic literature review. The study shows that the main advantage of this technology is the effective circumvention of immune rejection, a feature that stems from its unique approach of utilizing the patient's own cells. Through direct induction of differentiation or reprogramming followed by redifferentiation, target cells matching the patient's genome can be obtained, thus significantly reducing the risk of immune rejection. In addition, this approach opens new possibilities for personalized medicine and the treatment of difficult-to-treat diseases.

However, the current technology still faces many challenges. The main ones include high production costs, low cell yields, and imperfect function of cells resulting from induced differentiation. These constraints are not only technical, but also include ethical and regulatory considerations, which limit the widespread clinical application of the technology. Despite these challenges, the global research community has continued to make progress in the study of molecular mechanisms, optimization of differentiation protocols and scale-up production. These efforts provide

a solid foundation for overcoming existing barriers and advancing the technology. Looking ahead, in vitro induced stem cell differentiation technology is expected to play an important role in areas such as disease treatment, drug discovery and personalized medicine. However, the further development and application of the technology still requires multidisciplinary collaboration, the integration of industry, academia and research, as well as corresponding policy support.

In conclusion, in vitro induced stem cell differentiation technology represents an important trend in the development of medicine towards precision and personalization. Despite the challenges, its potential impact in the field of regenerative medicine cannot be ignored. Future research should focus on solving the existing technological bottlenecks while exploring a wider range of application scenarios to fully realise the potential of this revolutionary technology.

References

- [1] Israngeli M, Jia S, Liu J. Osteogenic differentiation of dental pulp stem cells induced by loaded bone morphogenetic protein 2 hydrogels. *Chinese Journal of Tissue Engineering Research*, 2025, 29 (16): 3301 - 3310.
- [2] Ma S T, Deng L J, Han Y J, et al. Inhibition of cellular pyroptosis promotes osteogenic differentiation of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. *Journal of Localized Surgery*, 2024, 33 (7): 623 - 629.
- [3] Li Y, Shan W, Liu L, et al. Advances in the Research on Induced Differentiation of Pluripotent Stem Cells into Hematopoietic Stem Progenitor Cells. *Chinese Journal of Biomedical Engineering*, 2023, 42 (4): 502 - 512.
- [4] Yu Q, Cai Z, Tian H, et al. Heme oxygenase 1 promotes differentiation of neural stem cells into neurons under oxidative stress condition. *Chinese Journal of Tissue Engineering Research*, 2025, 29 (23): 4931 - 4938.
- [5] Zhu M D, Chen Y J, Dai P X, et al. Reprogramming induces canine adipose mesenchymal stem cells towards insulin secretion cell differentiation. *Journal of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine*, 2024, 55 (7): 3205 - 3212.
- [6] Zeng W, Yin B L, Tan W, et al. Differentiation of Human Umbilical Cord Mesenchymal Stem Cells into Fibroblasts in vitro. *Journal of East China University of Science and Technology*, 2024, 50 (3): 383 - 390.