Analysis on the application of biosensor in forensic detection

Hanqin Yang *

Mapleleaf International School-CQ, Chongqin, 402100, China

* Corresponding author: 21040017@students.mapleleafedu.com

Abstract. This essay simple analysis the advantages and disadvantages of three various biosensor technologies: Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS), Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS), and Immunoassays. The rapid advancements in biosensing technology have revolutionized for the last few years, these biosensors have revolutionized forensic science by enabling analysis of various compounds, aiding in crime scene investigations, drug testing, and toxicological analysis. Firstly, GC-MS offers outstanding sensitivity and specificity. It allows for the detection of complex mixtures, providing valuable information for identifying substances such as drugs and explosives. However, GC-MS requires time-consuming sample preparation methods, limited applicability to volatile analytes. As well as advanced technical expertise, which can pose challenges for forensic laboratories. Second, LC-MS has shown advantages in analyzing a wide range of non-volatile and polar compounds, making it invaluable in forensic toxicology. LC-MS provides excellent sensitivity and selectivity. Promoting the detection and quantification of pharmaceuticals, illicit drugs, and their metabolites. Lastly, immunoassays provide a simpler and faster substitute for forensic analysis. These assays rely on specific antigen-antibody interactions and are capable of detecting a variety of analytes, such as drugs, hormones and toxins. They offer fast turnaround times, cost effectiveness, and compatibility with point-of-care diagnostics.

Keywords: biosensor, Forensic toxicology, GC-MS s.

1. Introduction

Forensic toxicology stands as a vital discipline within the expansive realm of forensic science, particularly when investigating the perplexing realm of noxious substances. This succinct exposition endeavors to illuminate the pivotal role and profound significance of forensic toxicology in the detection and meticulous examination of pernicious toxins and deleterious compounds. The primary objective lies in ascertaining the presence, concentration, and potential repercussions of toxic substances within the human organism. To attain this noble purpose, forensic toxicologists employ a multifaceted array of techniques to fastidiously analyze and quantitate pharmaceuticals, chemicals, and other hazardous agents. These ingenious methods encompass illustrious approaches such as gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry, and the venerable realm of immunological analysis. When grappling with suspected cases of poisoning, forensic toxicologists meticulously gather and scrutinize samples derived from both the departed and the living, encompassing vital fluids like blood, urine, tissues, and strands of hair. Through unwavering commitment and rigorous scientific scrutiny, these distinguished experts proficiently discern specific compounds, including the malevolent employment of heavy metals, drugs, or volatile substances, thus unraveling the nefarious intent behind harm inflicted on subjects under investigation. In the noble pursuit of unwavering precision and unwavering trustworthiness, the realm of toxicological analysis within forensic toxicology adheres steadfastly to a stringent framework of scientific methodologies and quality control measures. The distinguished custodians of this discipline navigate with finesse, equipped with a profound comprehension of the intricate pathways through which substances traverse within the corporeal vessel, known as pharmacokinetics, as well as the discernment of their impact on the delicate tapestry of human physiology, aptly referred to as toxicokinetics. Moreover, they remain ever vigilant, cognizant of the potential metamorphosis of the distribution and breakdown mechanisms of toxic compounds following the cessation of vital functions. Beyond the mere identification of maleficent agents, the venerated figures known as forensic toxicologists assume a pivotal mantle—the interpreters of this symphony of toxicological revelations. They astutely

assimilate diverse factors into their cogitation, spanning the realms of environmental influences, plausible interplay between pharmaceutical agents, individual medical histories, or underlying conditions that may cast an indelible shadow upon the tapestry of toxicological findings.

2. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS)

Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS), a veritable gem within the realm of analytical techniques, assumes a commanding presence in the hallowed domain of forensic toxicology, fostering the revelation and identification of an extensive spectrum of pernicious agents. In essence, this mighty technique unfurls its prowess through a captivating duet of harmonious processes: the ethereal dance of gas chromatography and the captivating symphony of mass spectrometry. Behold, for each process plays a distinct role, synergizing to illuminate the enigmatic tapestry of complex mixtures with utmost brilliance [1]. Adhering to the quintessence of gas chromatography, the intricate mingling and separation of volatile compounds, woven intricately within the sample, come to pass. Witness the metamorphosis, as the sample gracefully vaporizes and, with seamless precision, takes flight to be injected into the realm of the gas chromatograph [2]. Here, amidst the interplay of a stationary phase and the ethereal embrace of a mobile gas phase, the multifarious compounds engage in a ballet of sorts—indulging in a grand separation ritual, driven by the tenets of their physical and chemical properties. The fruits of this divine choreography manifest as resplendent peaks on the chromatogram, each a testament to the individuality and essence of its constituent compound.

Within the realm of intellectual pursuit lies the captivating domain known as forensic toxicology—a sacred sanctuary dedicating its endeavors to the meticulous analysis of vivified samples, poised to unearth the clandestine presence and exquisitely measure the weight of illegal agents, embroiled within the tapestry of legality [3]. Its significance illuminates vast investigations, transcending the ethereal boundaries of drug-related transgressions, insidious episodes of poisoning, instances of wayward motoring under the influence (DUI), and solemn post-mortem examinations [4]. Anchored upon an unwavering purpose, forensic toxicology weaves its tale—unleashing relentless determination to seize truth's essence in its grasp. Its noble directive—discerning, with remarkable precision, the ethereal visage, concentration, and potential ramifications of substances that reside within the sacred confines of the human vessel. Whether they be the tempestuous allure of pharmaceutical agents, the potent elixirs of inebriation, the insidious whispers of venomous elements, or the very essence of a toxic brew, forensic toxicology remains the sentinel, enmeshed in deciphering the enigmatic symphony of molecular traces that shape our existence [5].

Forensic toxicologists employ a diverse range of analytical techniques to identify and measure toxic substances present in biological samples. Among these techniques, Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) stands out as one of the most widely utilized methods. GC-MS combines the principles of gas chromatography, enabling the separation of analytes based on their volatility and affinity to the stationary phase, with mass spectrometry, which facilitates precise identification and quantification of the separated compounds [6]. This formidable technique empowers forensic toxicologists to detect and analyze a broad spectrum of substances, including drugs, metabolites, and other harmful compounds. By comparing the obtained mass spectral data with reference libraries, toxicologists can successfully pinpoint specific substances and accurately determine their concentrations.

Following the separation process, the compounds are directed into the mass spectrometer, where they undergo ionization and subsequent separation based on their mass-to-charge ratio. The mass spectrometer then generates valuable mass spectra, providing insights into the molecular weight and fragmentation patterns of the compounds. By comparing these spectra with a comprehensive database, toxicologists can effectively identify the specific substances present in the sample. GC-MS offers numerous advantages, including its exceptional sensitivity, specificity, and extensive compound coverage. It enables the detection and identification of both volatile and non-volatile compounds, rendering it suitable for a broad array of toxicological analyses. However, it is essential to note that

GC-MS does have certain limitations. These include the need for skilled operators, demanding sample preparation procedures, and its inability to analyze thermally labile substances. Despite these drawbacks, GC-MS remains an invaluable tool in forensic toxicology due to its remarkable separation capabilities and its ability to accurately identify a diverse range of toxic substances.

3. LC-MS

Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS) assumes a crucial role within the realm of forensic toxicology, particularly in the realm of identifying and quantifying toxic substances within biological samples [7]. Forensic toxicologists place their trust in LC-MS due to its exceptional sensitivity, selectivity, and capacity to analyze intricate matrices. Nevertheless, akin to any analytical technique, LC-MS exhibits both merits and drawbacks when employed in the field of forensic toxicology.

LC-MS in forensic toxicology possesses a notable superiority in terms of extraordinary sensitivity. It excels in the detection and quantification of toxic substances, encompassing drugs, metabolites, and poisons, which are frequently found in minuscule concentrations within biological samples [8]. Even at trace levels, LC-MS can discern and measure these substances, facilitating their identification and substantiating their existence during forensic inquiries. This heightened sensitivity assumes critical importance in scenarios where the minute presence of a toxic substance holds paramount significance in ascertaining the cause of death or evaluating the levels of drug intoxication.

Within the domain of forensic toxicology, LC-MS further bestows the virtue of elevated selectivity, constituting a substantial advantage. Given the intricate nature of biological samples, a myriad of endogenous and exogenous compounds may coexist within them concurrently [9]. LC-MS facilitates the segregation of these compounds through the mechanism of liquid chromatography, succeeded by the meticulous detection and recognition of desired compounds employing mass spectrometry. The confluence of chromatographic separation and precise mass detection empowers the demarcation of target analytes from obtrusive substances, thus diminishing the likelihood of erroneous positive or negative outcomes.

One more merit of LC-MS within the realm of forensic toxicology lies in its capacity to scrutinize an extensive array of analytes. Forensic toxicology encompasses a broad spectrum of substances, encompassing drugs of abuse, pharmaceuticals, natural toxins, and environmental pollutants. LC-MS can be tailored and fine-tuned to cater to the analysis of diverse classes of compounds, rendering it a versatile methodology for toxicological inquiries. The suppleness inherent to LC-MS empowers forensic toxicologists to broaden their scope of analysis and remain abreast of the ever-evolving panorama of toxic compounds [10].

LC-MS also boasts a reputation for expeditious analysis, a quality of utmost significance in the realm of forensic toxicology when confronted with cases that demand prompt attention. The rapidity with which LC-MS instruments process samples permits the analysis of substantial quantities within a comparably abbreviated duration. This attribute proves particularly advantageous for forensic laboratories tasked with managing a substantial caseload, encompassing endeavors such as post-mortem toxicology and drug screening for judicial objectives [11]. The efficacy and celerity inherent to LC-MS facilitate the punctual dissemination of toxicological findings, consequently assisting in legal proceedings and facilitating the decision-making course [12].

Notwithstanding its numerous merits, LC-MS encounters certain restrictions and hurdles within the purview of forensic toxicology. One drawback pertains to the financial implications linked to LC-MS instrumentation and upkeep. The initial capital outlay, coupled with the perpetual expenses for consumables, calibration standards, and instrument maintenance, can be substantial. Forensic laboratories must allocate resources to acquire and sustain LC-MS apparatuses, guaranteeing precise and dependable toxicological scrutiny.

Another formidable challenge lies in the intricacy of data analysis inherent to LC-MS. This technique yields copious volumes of data, encompassing mass spectra and chromatograms, entailing

the utilization of sophisticated software and the erudition of experts for accurate interpretation. Data processing, the discernment of peaks, and the identification of compounds constitute pivotal stages in forensic toxicology analysis. Proficient analysts possessing acumen in LC-MS and data analysis are imperative in extracting substantial insights from this profusion of generated data [13]. Sustained training and professional development of personnel remain essential to keep abreast of progressive advancements in LC-MS technology and data analysis methodologies.

Moreover, the presence of matrix effects can present formidable challenges in LC-MS analysis within the realm of forensic toxicology. Biological samples, including blood, urine, and tissues, frequently encompass endogenous compounds and matrix constituents that have the potential to impede analyte detection and quantification [14]. Matrix effects may give rise to ion suppression or enhancement, thereby influencing the accuracy and dependability of quantification. Prudent employment of sample preparation techniques in conjunction with matrix-matched calibration standards assumes critical significance in mitigating matrix effects and assuring the fidelity of results in LC-MS analysis.

4. Immunoassays

In the realm of forensic toxicology, immunoassays find extensive utility in the identification and quantification of drugs and their metabolites within biological samples [15]. This analytical technique capitalizes on the precise interaction between an antibody and its target analyte, enabling sensitive and discerning detection. Immunoassays proffer numerous benefits for forensic toxicity testing; nevertheless, certain limitations persist.

Principal among the advantages of immunoassays is their heightened sensitivity. They possess the capability to detect drugs and metabolites at minute concentrations, oftentimes within the nanogram or picogram range [16]. Such sensitivity is of paramount importance in forensic toxicology, wherein the discernment of minute drug traces assumes indispensability for determining drug exposure and establishing causation in mortality cases [17].

Furthermore, immunoassays proffer expeditious and straightforward testing procedures. Typically, they yield results within a concise period, affording prompt decision-making capabilities within forensic investigations. Immunoassays can be seamlessly executed utilizing automated platforms, thereby enhancing throughput and curtailing inter-operator disparities [18]. Consequently, these attributes render immunoassays well-suited for high-capacity testing settings, comprising medical examiner's offices and forensic laboratories [19].

An additional advantage inherent in immunoassays lies in their extensive applicability to a diverse array of drugs and metabolites. Immunoassays have been developed for diverse drug classes encompassing opioids, amphetamines, benzodiazepines, cannabinoids, and myriad others. This versatility engenders comprehensive toxicology screening, encompassing a wide gamut of frequently abused substances [20].

Moreover, immunoassays hold the capacity to analyze various biological specimens, including blood, urine, oral fluid, and hair. This adaptability across sample matrices permits the detection of drugs and their metabolites at distinct stages of pharmacokinetics, consequently furnishing invaluable insights pertaining to patterns of drug utilization and the timing of administration.

Despite their advantages, immunoassays do possess certain constraints within the realm of forensic toxicology. Notably, one prominent drawback pertains to their potential for cross-reactivity. Immunoassays rely on the discernment of antibodies, yet instances arise where these antibodies may cross-react with compounds of analogous structure, thereby yielding erroneous positives or negatives. This issue of cross-reactivity is particularly accentuated when scrutinizing intricate matrices comprising interfering substances, which wield the ability to impede the assay's efficacy.

Furthermore, immunoassays confront limitations in their capacity to furnish structural insights. Their purview is confined solely to detecting the presence of specific drugs or metabolites, lacking the capability to bestow information regarding their chemical composition. This deficiency imposes

restrictions on their efficacy when precise identification and confirmation of distinct compounds become indispensable requisites.

Additionally, it should be noted that immunoassays may exhibit diminished sensitivity and specificity in comparison to more sophisticated methodologies such as chromatography-mass spectrometry. Although immunoassays serve as expedient screening tools, their capabilities to detect certain drugs or accurately quantify substances at low concentrations may be compromised. In such instances, the adoption of more sensitive and specific techniques for confirmatory analysis becomes imperative.

The occurrence of false-positive or false-negative outcomes can be attributed to the presence of interfering substances within the biological sample. Factors encompassing sample preparation, matrix effects, and cross-reactivity contribute to these inconsistencies, thereby necessitating rigorous validation procedures and cautious interpretation of immunoassay findings.

References

[1] Brettell TA, Lum BJ. Analysis of Drugs of Abuse by Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS). Methods Mol Biol. 2018;1810: 29 - 42.

[2] Szeremeta M, Pietrowska K, Niemcunowicz-Janica A, Kretowski A, Ciborowski M. Applications of Metabolomics in Forensic Toxicology and Forensic Medicine. Int J Mol Sci. 2021 Mar 16; 22 (6): 3010.

[3] Mancini R, Fernadez-Lopez L, Falcon M, Pellegrini M, Luna A, Rotolo M. Postmortem Analysis of Benzodiazepines in Human Bone by Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry. J Anal Toxicol. 2021 Jan 21; 44 (9): 985 - 992.

[4] Baskal S, Bollenbach A, Mels C, Kruger R, Tsikas D. Development, validation of a GC-MS method for the simultaneous measurement of amino acids, their PTM metabolites and AGEs in human urine, and application to the bi-ethnic ASOS study with special emphasis to lysine. Amino Acids. 2022 Apr; 54 (4): 615 - 641.

[5] Valdez CA, Leif RN. Analysis of Organophosphorus-Based Nerve Agent Degradation Products by Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS): Current Derivatization Reactions in the Analytical Chemist's Toolbox. Molecules. 2021 Jul 30; 26 (15): 4631.

[6] Chen CJ, Lee DY, Yu J, Lin YN, Lin TM. Recent advances in LC-MS-based metabolomics for clinical biomarker discovery. Mass Spectrom Rev. 2022 May 29: e21785.

[7] Jaochico A, Sangaraju D, Shahidi-Latham SK. A rapid derivatization-based LC-MS/MS method for quantitation of short chain fatty acids in human plasma and urine. Bioanalysis. 2019 Apr; 11 (8): 741 - 753.

[8] Ciminiello P, Dell 'Aversano C, Dello Iacovo E, Fattorusso E, Forino M, Tartaglione L. LC-MS of palytoxin and its analogues: State of the art and future perspectives. Toxicon. 2011 Mar 1; 57 (3): 376 - 89.

[9] Chen C, Kim S. LC-MS-based Metabolomics of Xenobiotic-induced Toxicities. Comput Struct Biotechnol J. 2013 Mar 12; 4: e201301008.

[10] Henion J, Skrabalak D, Dewey E, Maylin G. Micro LC/MS in drug analysis and metabolism studies. Drug Metab Rev. 1983; 14 (5): 961 - 1003.

[11] John H, Worek F, Thiermann H. LC-MS-based procedures for monitoring of toxic organophosphorus compounds and verification of pesticide and nerve agent poisoning. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2008 May; 391 (1): 97 - 116.

[12] Jemal M. High-throughput quantitative bioanalysis by LC/MS/MS. Biomed Chromatogr. 2000 Oct; 14 (6): 422 - 9.

[13] Rodríguez-Morató J, Pozo ÓJ, Marcos J. Targeting human urinary metabolome by LC-MS/MS: a review. Bioanalysis. 2018 Apr 1; 10 (7): 489 - 516.

[14] Wauthier L, Plebani M, Favresse J. Interferences in immunoassays: review and practical algorithm. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2022 Mar 18; 60 (6): 808 - 820.

[15] Kochanowski M, Kała M. Tetrahydrocannabinols in clinical and forensic toxicology. Przegl Lek. 2005; 62 (6): 576 - 80.

[16] Flanagan RJ, Widdop B, Ramsey JD, Loveland M. Analytical toxicology. Hum Toxicol. 1988 Sep; 7 (5): 489 - 502.

[17] Baeyens WR, Schulman SG, Calokerinos AC, Zhao Y, García Campaña AM, Nakashima K, De Keukeleire D. Chemiluminescence-based detection: principles and analytical applications in flowing streams and in immunoassays. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 1998 Sep 1; 17 (6-7): 941 - 53.

[18] Hess C, Zeidler S, Roehrich J. Forensic application and evaluation of a commercially available pregabalin immunoassay test in serum on an Olympus AU480. Drug Test Anal. 2021 Jun; 13 (6): 1216 - 1218.

[19] Molina DK, Dimaio VJ. The reliability of immunoassay for determining the presence of opiates in the forensic setting. Am J Forensic Med Pathol. 2005 Dec; 26 (4): 303 - 4.

[20] Smith ML, Hughes RO, Levine B, Dickerson S, Darwin WD, Cone EJ. Forensic drug testing for opiates. VI. Urine testing for hydromorphone, hydrocodone, oxymorphone, and oxycodone with commercial opiate immunoassays and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. J Anal Toxicol. 1995 Jan-Feb; 19 (1): 18 - 26.