Analysis of Application Status of Bayesian Decision

Tianyu Yang*

Vanke Meisha Academy, Shenzhen, China

* Corresponding Author Email: yangtianyu@stu.vma.edu.cn

Abstract. There are many applications of Bayesian decision theory in computer science and mathematical modeling. Because of how it works, the algorithm can evaluate possible outcomes and choose a course of action. Decisions are made in the face of ambiguity and incomplete information in every area of human activity. When making a choice, it is common for the outcomes and their worth to the decision maker to rely on factors outside their control. Bayesian decision theory is devoted to solving these sorts of decision-making difficulties. Using Bayesian decision theory has benefited engineering, economics, business, public policy, and even AI. Examining recent literature in the field, this study delves into how Bayesian decision theory might be used for tasks including mistake detection, risk assessment, and route planning. The study's findings demonstrate the value and utility of using Bayesian decision theory, and the authors hope that its presentation will stimulate the use of a similar approach in the future.

Keywords: Bayesian decision theory; Error detection; Risk Assessment; Route Planning.

1. Introduction

Bayesian decision theory essentially applies Bayes' theorem in a decision process to obtain the posterior probability by using the evidence and likelihood to update prior probability. This returned probability then assists the program in deciding which reaction is in favor. The benefit of utilizing this in a decision model is that instead of assigning a discrete value when facing choices, computers can make the decision based on a more precise system that assigns them probabilities. Bayesian decision theory has become a popular method that researchers rely on to analyze or organize statistics. It could also be applied in everyday life in the future. This essay navigates through current research papers to investigate the widespread applications of Bayesian decision theory and further suggests a potential field in which it could be applied.

2. Benefits of Applying Bayesian Decision Theory

The bayesian technique for interpreting epidemiologic data has come a long way in the previous decade and offers numerous real-world benefits. In the face of diagnostic mistakes, unobserved variables, such as a patient's illness, may be included in Bayesian models. Prior probability distributions are an effective tool for reducing bias and combining data from related investigations. Bayesian analyses may now be applied to complicated data sets, including missing observations and variable outcomes [1]. The tools for epidemiologists to use this robust method for evaluating exposure disease associations are now readily accessible. The Bayesian approach is advantageous because of its adaptability. Model fitting for complicated data sets with estimation errors, censored observations, serial correlation structures, and numerous endpoints is a breeze using Bayesian decision theory [2]. In most cases, it is far more challenging to create and provide theoretical justification for the features of frequentist algorithms used to fit such models. The epidemiological field has found many uses for Bayesian hierarchical and latent variable models, including assessments of the natural history of disease using interval-censored data, spectral disease rates, error estimation, high-dimensional gene regulation arrays, and breast cancer susceptibility, among others [1].

One of the most challenging jobs for sponsors, regulators, payers, doctors, and patients is weighing the benefits and risks of a new medical product or intervention. Therefore, it is crucial to convey the trade-off of advantages and hazards clearly and openly, using all available facts. Many quantitative approaches have been developed to shed light on this problematic issue in recent years. Regression,

with its linkages to optimum decision theory and its consistent practice of integrating many data sources and uncertainty, offers a suitable framework for quantitative evaluations of the advantage trade-off [3]. This article provides an overview of state of the art in Bayesian approaches for quantitative believed to benefit and how they may be used throughout the drug development and lifecycle to supplement and assist clinical judgment and subjective benefit-risk evaluations. As well as highlighting the present state of play, the authors also point out areas where more investigation is needed.

As the driving force behind economic growth and survival in every country, innovation can never be depleted. One facet of the invention is the creation of new products. Nonetheless, the nature of product innovation activities is inherently risky. Business organizations must use careful and efficient new product development risk management [4]. Following a brief overview of Bayesian Decision Theory, the author investigated how businesses develop new products. The process of bayesian risk decision-making in innovative products based on the definition of three factors for product innovation risk management is described. This will serve as a resource for businesses when making innovation decisions based on complex data.

3. Improving Uncertainty-Induced Decisions with Bayesian Updating

Managers must continually assess the likelihood of various outcomes and revise their assessments in light of new information to make sound decisions. Better probability estimations allow for more informed decision-making when the Bayesian technique is well understood and used. Taking even a rudimentary Bayesian approach can enhance management analysis, judgment, and decision-making [3]. Management choices generally include allocating resources in the face of future uncertainty, which is one of the essential difficulties of decision-making. There are many new goods that product managers must pick from, many new enterprises that venture capitalists must decide whether or not to fund, and many new candidates for open positions that recruiters must determine which will be the most successful [5]. However, it is tough to tell in advance if a given option will be a smashing success, a mediocre performance, or a catastrophic disaster. How to approach this kind of forecasting difficulty is laid out in detail in decision analysis textbooks. When choosing conditions of ambiguity, a rational actor would first identify the range of possibilities, assigning values and probabilities to each. The individual then picks the option that results in the highest projected value.

4. Alerts Based On Bayesian Decision Theory Applied To Natural Disasters

Alerts before natural disasters are an excellent illustration of making decisions in the face of uncertainty and increase society's ability to bounce back quickly. For a warning system to be effective, its parameters must be well specified and communicated to all parties involved. Most operational warning systems, however, are heuristic, meaning they are not explicitly or visibly specified [6]. Bayesian decision theory has not been effectively used, although providing a paradigm for a warning under uncertainty. A mathematical approach for building the necessary loss functions for both general and specialized end-users is provided. The framework may accommodate an arbitrary number of warning levels and future states of nature. A comparison between the method and the present decision tool used by the UK Met Office is provided using daily severe precipitation alerts. We present a probability model for precipitation forecasting based on ensemble forecast information. We also build loss functions for an end-user and a forecaster as two general stakeholders [7]. Compared to our solution, the Met Office tool offers fewer high-level warnings for the general end-user, indicating it may not be appropriate for risk-averse individuals

When issuing alerts for potentially life-threatening situations like severe weather or volcanic eruptions. Immediate action is required despite significant uncertainty. To anticipate the incidence and severity of a future danger requires sophisticated and flawed models, which adds to the uncertainty. Thus, EWSs depend on foresight information like projections from numerical models

and observable antecedents like earthquake size to anticipate the arrival of tsunamis [8]. Predictive data is defined as Y, where y is a specific value inside this collection. The potential importance of nature's state, denoted by X, and the set of all actions, represented by A, are both defined. Activity, in this context, refers to the forecaster's determination of which warning to send on behalf of the agent. In the context of the end user, it refers to the preventative measures performed in response to a notice. The conditional probability p (x | y) measures how confident one may be in predicting the future value of x. For example, if you do the action a, you will incur losses of length L(a,x)=la,x, and this loss function captures the range.

Prediction, where the best guess of the future value x is often sought, uses the same action and state space as any other estimation. This allows the employment of elementary loss functions L(a,x), such as a 0/1 loss where l=0 if and only if the prediction is correct [1]. The Bayes rule demonstrates that the best course of action in such a situation is the prediction of x with the greatest p(x | y) [9]. The loss function in a warning issue cannot be that simple. It will likely vary depending on the perspective of the various parties involved. Despite this, it is essential to note that the action set in the warning problem can be a lot more helpful to stakeholders than the state space since the action space will be considerably smaller in practice. A finite set of warning levels compared to an infinite set of extreme wind gust values. For this reason, a reliable warning system may be considered the medium via which forecasters and end-users exchange information, a task made more challenging by the forecast's inherent uncertainty.

5. Using Bayesian analysis of probabilities to decide between several methods of diagnosis and prognosis

Numerous medical conditions have recently seen advances in diagnosis and prognostic indicators. As a result, healthcare facilities often must decide whether or not to adopt a novel technique. The trade-off between predictive accuracy and expenditure is a significant factor in choosing a new approach. Still, it is seldom treated in a formal decision-theoretic framework [8]. Diagnostic judgments are often based on thresholding one or more continuous variables, which adds an extra layer of complexity to the decision-making process. Therefore, uncertainty in the best threshold value for each diagnostic technique should be included in a formal decision process. Here, we provide a Bayesian decision strategy that simultaneously optimizes the diagnostic process and the threshold level in terms of predicted utility. An application evaluating the efficacy of various bone mineral density (BMD) measures in deciding whether or not older patients require prophylactic therapy for osteoporotic hip fracture exemplifies the Bayesian decision technique [10].

It has been previously examined from a Bayesian viewpoint how to judge a diagnostic procedure when no optimization is needed for the processes, such as when they give direct diagnostics or when threshold values have already been set. Propose a Bayesian analytic method for assessing a diagnostic procedure's worth in light of its sensitivity to detecting illness at a particular prevalence: the change in the posterior likelihood of developing the disease if the diagnosis was positive instead of negative [9]. Maximizing predicted utility overall potential branches in a decision tree is the goal of this utility-based approach to making medical diagnoses and selecting appropriate therapies. In addition, several publications have recently examined how Bayesian decision analysis may be used for variable selection in GLMMs.

One of their proposed approaches to determining which subset of variables to evaluate health care is to include explicit consideration of costs, benefits, and predicted precision into their utility function. By regularly splitting the data into modeling and verification subsets for cross-validation, they may build proxy sets of future patients to evaluate the model's prediction accuracy [11]. Each partition's modeling dataset is used to construct a logistic regression model, which is then tested against the validation set. To simulate the discrete choice of whether or not to conduct a process audit, we apply a threshold to the validation set's fitted posterior probabilities; this threshold is selected to optimize

prediction accuracy. The next step is to maximize the predicted utility for each unique combination of factors.

6. The Application of Bayesian Decision Theory in Error Detection

Applying Bayesian decision theory may be shown as a novel statistical test that uses the Bayesian method to discover significant inaccuracies in data from chemical processes [12]. An abundance of random and even more egregious mistakes may be introduced into the process data collected in a chemical plant. Nonrandom occurrences, including instrument biases, broken measuring instruments, and leaks or deposits in process units, are the root causes of the latter kind of mistake [13]. The existence of such errors renders the foundation for future treatment of the information and the techniques for monitoring performance suspect. It is crucial to have effective methods for detecting and removing such errors.

The primary challenge in gross detecting errors is to increase the proportion of accurate detections while decreasing the proportion of false positives. This improvement may be achieved in two ways: first, by including as much relevant data as possible in the identification and detection process, and second, by making the best possible use of the data collected [14]. Conservation constraints, a covariance matrix of error margins, variable boundaries, and measured process of the data have traditionally formed the backbone of most statistical approaches to gross error detection [14]. With the proliferation of online-accessible computerized data accrual and management systems, it is possible to utilize data about previous failures of measuring devices to guide current efforts to improve the test procedure's output.

7. The Application of Bayesian Decision Theory in Risk Assessment

When it comes to building a decision framework, estimating a risk distribution, and parameterizing a model, Bayesian techniques in risk analysis provide greater flexibility and adaptability than more conventional approaches. The study of indecision shows that robust Bayesian methods may provide more reliable inference in the lack of extensive statistical information, which is why they compensate for the shortcomings of Bayesian methods [12]. Bayesian methods can be applied in risk assessment in three ways: to build a decision framework, to approximate the spread of risk, and to parameterize the moderator of interest.

Due to the subjective nature of probability in Bayesian theory, the Bayesian approach may provide a credible interval to the error of parameter estimate rather than using the standard measurement of the limited frequency. A credible interval is more practical and convenient to work with than the normal Neyman-Pearson confidence interval regarding the last stages of feasible calculus [13]. In Bayesian theory, the probability distribution may represent a model's parameters, while the frequency technique only allows a constant to be used in its place [15]. It is not necessary to determine the sample size in advance when using Bayesian techniques, data collection may be halted at any point, and the experimental method of the launching program has no impact on the computation and interpretation of findings. This implies that the Bayesian approach is more flexible and may provide a more precise conclusion when dealing with potentially catastrophic occurrences in risk assessment.

The Bayesian approach is well-suited to decision analysis because it manifests uncertainty via probability, allowing analysts and decision-makers to construct a coherent set of strategies for handling risks. Based on the data set, the frequency technique has traditionally been able to conclude whether or not to reject the null hypothesis [5]. It only regulates the first type of mistake that rejects the correct null hypothesis without balancing the cost of two types of errors. In practice, decision-makers must weigh the relative importance of two different prices before arriving at a sound conclusion [13]. As the foundational principle of decision analysis, the Bayesian method adequately conveys the significance of risk management activity. Risk analysts need not be experts in Bayesian

theory, but the method's scope does include the framework of knowledge upon which their analytical work is based.

8. The Application of Bayesian Decision Theory in Route Planning

Practical systems dealing with uncertain information have significantly advanced thanks to the introduction of the Bayesian network framework. The development of digital microfluidic chips has been the subject of many studies due to the widespread use and expansion of digital microfluidic technology. The concepts of Bayesian decision theory have significantly been utilized in the route planning of this technology. While module-based chip design substantially streamlines the design process, it wastes materials by misusing electrodes and guard cells [15]. A routing-based synthesis approach is given to solve this challenge using a digital microfluidic biochip (DMFB) system [5]. By eliminating the requirement for guard cells and virtual modules on the chip, routing-based DMFBs guarantee a much better chip utilization factor.

Many prior publications concentrated exclusively on the difficulties of the synthesis production schedule, bioassay completion times, and electrode consumption rates. However, the dependability of chips has not been thoroughly researched, and this issue is incredibly significant since malfunctioning chips impair the test findings [15]. Therefore, the impact of chip dependability has to be considered ultimately. Simulated experimental results revealed that the strategy could handle the dependability difficulties of semiconductors. The efficiency and resolution performance of the method were highly excellent. The suggested technique can significantly reduce the average time required to complete an experiment compared to module syntheses (MLS), and modified MLS (MMLS) approaches [14].

Bayesian networks' primary strength is that they give a means of breaking down a probabilistic model into a collection of smaller, more manageable distributions. There are three significant ramifications of the network's topology's independence semantics dictating how to integrate these local distributions to create the whole joint-probability distribution across all the random variables indicated by the nodes in the network [12]. To begin, several values exponentially in the number of variables are needed to describe a joint-probability distribution using a table naively. The number of possible values in a system may be significantly reduced using Bayesian networks if the interactions between the stochastic process are weak [2]. Second, it is possible to deal with the local distributions individually rather than the complete joint distribution, thanks to efficient inference techniques. As a third benefit, knowledge engineering primarily benefits from not relying on numerical measurement of the intensities of interactions but rather on qualitative representations of the interactions between variables. One must first characterize the domain's qualitative structure to construct a Bayesian network before quantifying the effects. Upon completion, one can confidently have a complete description of the linear combination.

9. Conclusion

Bayesian Decision Theory provides a simple yet essential method when applied to various issues, such as pattern categorization. The whole point of Bayes's Decision Theory is to assist us in making choices that involve the least amount of uncertainty or risk. Any action we do carries with it an element of danger. From the application of Bayesian decision theory, it is clear that one always goes for the safest option, whether one is making judgments in daily life. Instinctively, humans will adopt whatever measure improves the chances of survival, but when it comes to training machines, this is not considered. These algorithms must be taught to make the safest and most optimal decisions possible. The central tenet of Bayesian statistics is the idea that every parameter with unknown values should be treated as a statistical variable, the form of which may be characterized by a probability distribution called a prior distribution.

References

[1] Kochenderfer, M. J., Wheeler, T. A., & Wray, K. H. (2022). Algorithms for decision making. MIT press.

[2] Cui, Y., Quddus, N., & Mashuga, C. V. (2020). Bayesian network and game theory risk assessment model for third-party damage to oil and gas pipelines. Process Safety and Environmental Protection, 134, 178-188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2019.11.038

[3] Chen, H., Jiang, B., Ding, S. X., Lu, N., & Chen, W. (2018). Probability-relevant incipient fault detection and diagnosis methodology with applications to electric drive systems. IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology, 27(6), 2766-2773. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCST.2018.2866976

[4] Arora, P., Boyne, D., Slater, J. J., Gupta, A., Brenner, D. R., & Druzdzel, M. J. (2019). Bayesian networks for risk prediction using real-world data: a tool for precision medicine. Value in Health, 22(4), 439-445. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2019.01.006

[5] Wei, L. (2022). Application of Bayesian Algorithm in Risk Quantification for Network Security. Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/7512289

[6] Gualdani, C., & Sinha, S. (2019). Identification and inference in discrete choice models with imperfect information. arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.04529. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1911.04529

[7] Zhang, D., Lu, L., Guo, L., & Karniadakis, G. E. (2019). Quantifying total uncertainty in physics-informed neural networks for solving forward and inverse stochastic problems. Journal of Computational Physics, 397, 108850. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2019.07.048

[8] Majidi, M., Mohammadi-Ivatloo, B., & Soroudi, A. (2019). Application of information gap decision theory in practical energy problems: A comprehensive review. Applied Energy, 249, 157-165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.04.144

[9] Mitros, J., & Mac Namee, B. (2019). On the validity of Bayesian neural networks for uncertainty estimation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.01530. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1912.01530

[10] Pan, Y., Zhang, L., Li, Z., & Ding, L. (2019). Improved fuzzy Bayesian network-based risk analysis with interval-valued fuzzy sets and D–S evidence theory. IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems, 28(9), 2063-2077. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/8770291

[11] Giacomini, R., Skreta, V., & Turen, J. (2020). Heterogeneity, inattention, and bayesian updates. American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics, 12(1), 282-309. https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/mac.20180235

[12] Xiang, Y., Dong, L. L., Song, H., & Yu, K. J. (2020). Application of Bayesian Decision Tree Algorithm in Breast Cancer Prediction. Journal of Vibration Testing and System Dynamics, 4(1), 43-49. DOI-10.5890-JVTSD.2020.03.002 (lhscientificpublishing.com)

[13] Sierra, L. A., Yepes, V., García-Segura, T., & Pellicer, E. (2018). Bayesian network method for decision-making about the social sustainability of infrastructure projects. Journal of Cleaner Production, 176, 521-534. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.140

[14] Jahangiri, M., Rahim, F., Saki, N., & Saki Malehi, A. (2021). Application of Bayesian Decision Tree in Hematology Research: Differential Diagnosis of β-Thalassemia Trait from Iron Deficiency Anemia. Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6401105

[15] Hang, P., Lv, C., Huang, C., Cai, J., Hu, Z., & Xing, Y. (2020). An integrated framework of decision making and motion planning for autonomous vehicles considering social behaviors. IEEE transactions on vehicular technology, 69(12), 14458-14469. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2020.3040398