

Addressing Cultural Differences: Effective Communication Techniques in Complex Organization

Chu Zhang *

Faculty of Engineering, the University of Sydney, NSW, Australia

* Corresponding author Email: czha6415@uni.sydney.edu.au

Abstract: In a multicultural context, how to achieve effective communication, ensure accurate information transmission, and overcome communication obstacles caused by cultural differences have become pivotal issues faced by modern organizations. To delve into the role and impact of effective communication in complex organizations concerning cultural differences, this study employs a multifaceted research approach. Initially, through a literature review, we formulated research questions and sorted out relevant theories and findings from previous studies. Subsequently, this research qualitatively analyzes three effective communication techniques -- cross-cultural communication training, the establishment of effective feedback, and the use of remote virtual communication tools, exploring their efficacy in resolving communication barriers induced by cultural variances. Additionally, this study cites practical cases to substantiate the effectiveness of these communication techniques. By integrating these three techniques, this paper aims to offer organizations a practical communication strategy, assisting them in effectively tackling challenges posed by cultural differences in intricate environments.

Keywords: Cross-culture; Effective Communication; Cultural Differences; Multicultural Organization.

1. Literature Review

In the study by Daryani (2016), organizational complexity is defined as the combination of diversity and interactivity within an organization or system. In other words, as the components of an organization or system increase, its operational complexity also rises. Large organizations, in response to the combined interactions of multiple stakeholders with diverse objectives, inevitably face challenges arising from this complexity. An increase in stakeholders leads to an elevation in communication entry points. This elevation is not merely due to an increase in communication paths and channels, but also because the provision of information becomes more intricate (Hedieh, 2020). The unique perspectives, needs, and expectations brought by each stakeholder need to be harmoniously addressed in communications.

Delving further into the factors of communication complexity, Tannen (1983) highlighted that culture is an inseparable element closely connected with communication. Building on this, research by Hedieh and Mohammad (2020) revealed that cultural differences among different stakeholders are one of the primary factors affecting intricate communication management. In light of this, the present study seeks to address a pivotal question: In complex organizations, how can effective communication overcome challenges posed by cultural differences?

To deeply explore this question, it's first necessary to precisely define "effective communication." Munodawafa (2008) articulates it as ensuring the effective transfer and utilization of information, promoting mutual understanding between the sender and the receiver. Additionally, Tannen (1983) succinctly defines culture as the value system formed within a particular ethnic or social background, thereby categorizing cultural differences as differences in values. Further, research by Isern (2015) unveils that in a complex organizational setting, cultural differences can lead to a series of communication challenges such as stereotypes, language

barriers, misunderstandings in non-verbal communication, differences in thinking habits, and crises of trust. Consequently, this paper primarily investigates how three effective communication techniques address communication issues arising from cultural differences within organizations and integrates these techniques into a comprehensive methodological framework for practical application in complex organizations. These effective communication techniques encompass cross-cultural communication training, the establishment of effective feedback, and the use of remote virtual communication tools, thereby enhancing the benefits, efficiency, and effectiveness of information exchange, and fostering mutual understanding and trust.

2. Theoretical Lens

2.1. Cross-Cultural Communication Training

The theoretical basis of cross-cultural communication states that purposeful and comprehensive training can effectively promote cultural sensitivity and significantly reduce communication barriers. When exploring the influence of culture on communicative behavior, Hofstede's (1980) cultural dimension theory cannot be ignored, as it reveals how cultural elements such as individualism and collectivism, power distance, and uncertainty avoidance shape communication patterns. It provides theoretical tools to assess the barriers to cross-cultural communication that are often rooted in these deep cultural identities for individual.

Within this framework, Sue's (1991) research offers a comprehensive view for designing cross-cultural training courses, utilizing her innovative 3D training model that enhances awareness, augments knowledge, and cultivates skills. The model posits that authentic cultural sensitivity emanates from vigilantly recognizing prejudices and stereotypes, coupled with a profound understanding of the historical milieu and value systems of diverse cultures. The implementation of model, particularly in multicultural scenario, enables individuals to engage in respectful and

tolerant multicultural exchanges.

The Error Management (EM) training, as advocated by Ran & Huang (2019), serves to further enhance the conventional model. The implementation of EM provides a practical framework that encourages individuals to cultivate a profound understanding and sensitivity towards cross-cultural differences by experiencing actual misunderstandings induced by cultural disparities. Trainees can reflect on actions and learn from these experiences. The approach not only fosters a non-judgmental attitude towards cultural misconceptions but also emphasizes the significance of critical thinking. It support individuals for cross-cultural challenges in the future to prepare. In terms of training execution, role-playing and organizational analysis serve as crucial components of this training model. These activities simulate real-life cross-cultural communication scenarios, enabling participants to refine and enhance their communication skills within a controlled and reflective environment. Simulation-based training facilitates the translation of theoretical knowledge into practical skills that can be effectively employed in diverse cultural environments.

Hannigan (1990) refined the core characteristics of cross-cultural effectiveness to emphasize the importance of necessary attitudes and skills. It suggests that training should not merely focus on the transmission of cultural facts, but rather promote adaptable communication practices. Culture training accentuate the ability to perceive and respond to cultural cues. It develop individual competencies, such as stress management, adaptation to diverse socio-political systems, and setting realistic goals for language proficiency. It forms the foundation of an integrated culture and communication training approach. The approach encourages individuals to not only adapt to diverse cultural environments, but also grow and thrive in new cultural environments. It reflect the significance of a comprehensive developmental experience. Consequently, cross-cultural training is dedicated to providing knowledge and skills. Moreover, it also to fostering continuous progress, adaptation, and development of individuals in multicultural environments. The core of this process is the cultivation of cultural intelligence, which encompasses knowledge of multiculturalism, and the practical mastery. Finally, it emphasize the individual application of cultural sensitivity and cross-cultural communication skills.

2.2. The Role of Feedback in Clear Communication

Cultural differences, as an essential factor that cannot be ignored in the communication process, often cause communication barriers, affecting the accurate understanding of semantics and the effective transmission of messages, as language is the main means of expressing ideas and cultural values, words are the references of our concepts, feelings, values, etc. (Zhu, 2010). Consequently, in order to achieve effective communication in multicultural environments, the sender of the message should give special consideration to the choice and use of semantics (Erven, 2002), and inappropriate use of words, such as misinterpretation of the meaning of a specific word, may be a barrier to communication that can lead to misinterpretation.

Further, communication barriers at the semantic level are not only reflected in the literal meaning of words, it also maps the challenges of communicating sides in understanding each other's cultural context. Studies have shown that the

effectiveness of communication relies on the clarity of message transmission, and muddled message expression is one of the typical noises in the communication model (Fedaghi, 2002), which implies that the receiver is uncertain about the sender's intention. Building an efficient feedback mechanism is crucial in this situation, and Dr. Dixit (2008) also pointed out in his research how feedback can aid in both parties' cognitive adjustments and better understanding of the topic, enabling the sender to comprehend the recipient's response to the information and give the recipient a chance to confirm the interpretation, and It can aid in reducing misunderstandings, clarifying the meaning of the message, and fostering clear communication, all of which can assist the sender in deciding what actions to take next and in making the best decisions.

More broadly, the issues of cross-cultural communication continue to arise, with semantic ambiguity being a key issue created by cultural variations, and communication, as a constantly changing process, must rely on feedback to maintain its integrity (Erven, 2002). Through positive interactive feedback, communicating parties are able to reveal and overcome semantic ambiguities caused by cultural differences, thus providing an opportunity to clarify intentions and correct misunderstandings, in addition, evaluative feedback guides the sender of the message in a clear communication direction, while monitoring feedback helps to continuously optimize the communication strategy to ensure the accuracy of the message expressed and received (Dixit 2008). Through the analysis of the above empirical studies, we find that the continued pursuit and application of feedback techniques not only resolves immediate barriers to communication, but also promotes understanding and harmony in a broader cultural context, and improves the effectiveness of information exchange.

Thus, clear semantics and effective message delivery are vital for intercultural communication, and feedback techniques are an effective tool to clarify muddled messages and resolve semantic misunderstanding due to cultural differences (Erven, 2002), whereas in order to ensure the effectiveness of intercultural communication, the organization should pay attention to the role of feedback as a core part of the communication strategy.

2.3. The Use of Virtual Communication Tools

Virtual team collaboration tools refer to software or platforms designed to facilitate successful communication and collaboration among team members who are geographically dispersed. Examples of such tools are Zoom, Slack, and Microsoft Teams. The use of various technologies in cross-cultural communication interactions can effectively mitigate communication obstacles. These tools provide a range of communication techniques, including video conferencing, instant messaging, and shared documents, which ultimately enhance the clarity and timeliness of communication (Huang et al., 2010).

2.3.1. Virtual Team Collaboration Tool Can Reduces Contextual Differences

Hall (1976) proposed a categorization of cultural differences into high-context and low-context civilizations. This theory posits that individuals belonging to diverse cultural backgrounds exhibit variations in their communication styles and preferences, encompassing factors such as high and low context communication, direct or indirect communication, and individual or collective

communication orientations. Individuals originating from high-context cultures tend to rely on implicit, nonverbal clues to effectively communicate messages, whereas individuals from low-context cultures tend to rely on direct, explicit, and vocal cues for effective communication. According to Morrison-Smith and Ruiz (2020), virtual collaboration technologies offer a range of computer-mediated communication techniques (CMC) that facilitate cooperation within virtual teams, among other functionalities. These tools can assist individuals in facilitating communication between high-context and low-context cultures, thereby enhancing the depth and precision of their communications. By offering several channels of information, these tools effectively mitigate communication obstacles arising from contextual disparities. Hence, individuals engaged in communication have the ability to select suitable channels for conveying information based on their own cultural attributes and those of the recipient. This enables them to better comprehend the intended meaning and purpose behind the message being conveyed by the other party.

2.3.2. Virtual Team Collaboration Tool Can Reduce Language Barriers

The exchange and comprehension of information between individuals with diverse cultural backgrounds is known as intercultural communication, and it is a sophisticated and significant process of information interaction. The language barrier is one of the most prevalent and challenging obstacles in this procedure. The creation and use of virtual team collaboration platforms offers us practical answers to this problem, substantially improving the effectiveness and calibre of cross-cultural communication. Virtual team collaboration solutions come in a variety of formats, including video conferencing systems, multilingual chat platforms, online translation software, and more. Along with removing language barriers and enabling real-time language translation, these solutions also make it easier for team members from various cultural backgrounds to collaborate and communicate information in linguistically diverse contexts. Teams who used these collaboration tools outperformed teams that did not in terms of communication satisfaction, trust, and innovation, according to a study on global virtual teams (Huang et al., 2019). The study's findings provide additional evidence that virtual team collaboration tools can successfully lessen the negative effects of language barriers on intercultural communication while also boosting the effectiveness and calibre of team communications, which in turn boosts cohesiveness and competitiveness.

3. Empirical Illustration

By analyzing the case of A380 project, we find that the A380 project includes the cooperation of different stakeholders in Britain, France, Germany and other regions. Harzing(2014) pointed out that the language barrier in Europe is particularly serious in France. This reveals the differences in communication styles, working methods and languages between different teams, which, if not effectively managed and adapted, may lead to poor information transmission and communication barriers (Frank, 2014). Cross-cultural training plan is extremely critical, which can effectively improve the cross-cultural communication skills, interaction ability and mutual understanding among team members (Zakaria, 2000). Therefore, cross-cultural training is very important to strengthen the ability of team members to

understand and respect cultural differences. It not only improves their communication skills, but also reduces misunderstandings and conflicts that may be caused by cultural differences. Therefore, providing appropriate cultural training for multinational projects such as A380 and other similar multinational enterprises is effective in reducing conflicts caused by cultural differences.

There is no good feedback channel in a project organization like A380, which leads to communication barriers between employees and management (Baumann, 2014). The exchange of information requires the active participation of both parties to ensure that the information is accurately understood and responded. Interaction ensures the clarity and effectiveness of communication and reduces conflicts caused by misunderstanding (Oppong, 2014). Therefore, effective feedback can ensure smooth organizational communication, which helps to find problems in time, enhance the interaction between employees and management, and improve communication efficiency. To sum up, the feedback mechanism not only provides confirmation of information, but also an opportunity to gain new ideas and reflect. When communication is deviated, feedback can help the project adjust its direction in time. We also notice that the lack of effective feedback mechanism may lead to incomplete communication, because the lack of understanding between the sender and the receiver may cause communication obstacles (Dixit, 2008).

Virtual communication tool has gradually become one of the necessary tools for modern transnational cooperation, because it helps organizations overcome various obstacles including language and geography (Lipnack, 1999). Communication technology can help team members with different national boundaries and cultural backgrounds to communicate and reduce communication difficulties caused by cultural differences (Shachaf, 2008). Although there is no direct connection between virtual collaboration tools and A380 projects, A380 projects cooperate in different regions, so virtual collaboration tools can provide A380 teams with the ability to overcome geographical and language barriers and achieve effective cross-cultural collaboration. Virtual tools enable team members in different locations to work together, thus improving communication efficiency and productivity.

Cross-cultural training, effective feedback and virtual communication are aimed at overcoming communication barriers caused by cultural differences. Training makes team members aware of cultural differences, enhances communication skills and prevents unnecessary conflicts. The feedback mechanism ensures accurate information and effective communication. Virtual communication tools cross geographical and language barriers, enabling teams distributed around the world to cooperate efficiently in virtual space. Therefore, these three methods are built into a system, aiming at solving the communication barriers caused by cross-cultural differences and ensuring that organizations and multinational enterprises can achieve smooth and efficient collaborative work under the working background of cultural differences.

4. Conclusion

This study explores how to overcome challenges posed by cultural differences in complex organizations through effective communication and introduces three key communication techniques: cross-cultural communication training, the establishment of effective feedback mechanisms,

and the use of remote virtual communication tools. By integrating these techniques, we have constructed a comprehensive methodological framework, assisting organizations in achieving more efficient communication in practical operations and fostering mutual understanding and trust among the parties involved.

However, this study does have certain limitations. Firstly, we primarily rely on literature reviews and theoretical analysis, without conducting on-site investigations or experimental validations. Therefore, the proposed methodological framework needs further verification for its applicability and efficacy in real-world scenarios. Secondly, despite considering various communication techniques, it is still possible that we have overlooked some other effective strategies or tools.

As cultural diversity continues to grow within organizations, the practical value of this methodological framework will become increasingly apparent. We hope that future researchers can build upon this foundation, further exploring and refining strategies and methods for cross-cultural communication. At the same time, considering the limitations of this study, they can offer more guidance and support for organizations operating in a globalized context.

References

- [1] Adu-Oppong, A. A., & Agyin-Birikorang, E. (2014). Communication in the workplace: Guidelines for improving effectiveness. *Global journal of commerce & management perspective*, 3(5), 208-13.
- [2] Daryani, S. M., & Amini, A. (2016). Management and Organizational Complexity. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 230, 359–366. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.09.045>.
- [3] Dörfler, I., & Baumann, O. (2014). Learning from a Drastic Failure: The Case of the Airbus A380 Program. *Industry and Innovation*, 21(3), 197–214. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13662716.2014.910891>.
- [4] Frank Cervone, H. (2014). Effective communication for project success. *OCLC Systems & Services*, 30(2), 74–77. <https://doi.org/10.1108/OCLC-02-2014-0014>.
- [5] Hall, E. T. (1976). *Beyond Culture*. Anchor Books.
- [6] Hannigan, T. P. (1990). Traits, attitudes, and skills that are related to intercultural effectiveness and their implications for cross-cultural training: A review of the literature. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 14(1), 89–111. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0147-1767\(90\)90049-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0147-1767(90)90049-3).
- [7] Harzing, A.-W., & Pudelko, M. (2014). Hablas vielleicht un peu la mia language? A comprehensive overview of the role of language differences in headquarters-subsidiary communication. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 25(5), 696–717. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2013.809013>.
- [8] Hofstede, G. (1984). *Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values*. Sage Publications.
- [9] Huang, W. W., Wei, K.-K., Watson, R. T., & Tan, B. C. Y. (2003). Supporting virtual team-building with a GSS: an empirical investigation. *Decision Support Systems*, 34(4), 359–367. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-9236\(02\)00009-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-9236(02)00009-x)
- [10] Daryani, S. M., & Amini, A. (2016). Management and Organizational Complexity. *Procedia, Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 230, 359–366. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.09.045>.
- [11] Lipnack, J., & Stamps, J. (1999). Virtual teams: The new way to work. *Strategy & Leadership*, 27(1), 14–19. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb054625>.
- [12] Menin, B. (2021). Construction of a model as an information channel between the physical phenomenon and observer. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 72(9), 1198–1210. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.24473>.
- [13] Morrison-Smith, S., & Ruiz, J. (2020). Challenges and barriers in virtual teams: a literature review. *SN Applied Sciences*, 2(6). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-020-2801-5>.
- [14] Munodawafa, D. (2008). Communication: concepts, practice and challenges. *Health Education Research*, 23(3), 369–370. <https://doi.org/10.1093/her/cyn024>.
- [15] Muszyńska, K. (2018). Communication maturity model for organizations realizing EU projects. *Procedia Computer Science*, 126, 2184–2193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.07.230>.
- [16] Ran, S., & Huang, J. L. (2019). Enhancing adaptive transfer of cross-cultural training: Lessons learned from the broader training literature. *Human Resource Management Review*, 29(2), 239–252. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2017.08.004>.
- [17] Shachaf, P. (2008). Cultural diversity and information and communication technology impacts on global virtual teams: An exploratory study. *Information & Management*, 45(2), 131–142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2007.12.003>.
- [18] Shakeri, H., & Khalilzadeh, M. (2020). Analysis of factors affecting project communications with a hybrid DEMATEL-ISM approach (A case study in Iran). *Heliyon*, 6(8), e04430. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04430>.
- [19] SUE, D. W. (1991). A Model for Cultural Diversity Training. *Journal of Counseling & Development*, 70(1), 99–105. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1556-6676.1991.tb01568.x>.
- [20] Zakaria, N. (2000). The effects of cross-cultural training on the acculturation process of the global workforce. *International Journal of Manpower*, 21(6), 492–510. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01437720010377837>.
- [21] Zhu, P. (2010). Cross-Cultural Blunders in Professional Communication from a Semantic Perspective. *Journal of Technical Writing and Communication*, 40(2), 179–196. <https://doi.org/10.2190/TW.40.2.e>.