College Students' Learning Dilemmas and Solving Paths in the Era of AI

Kunlong Xiao

School of Sichuan, Sichuan Normal University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610066, China

Abstract. The rapid integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into higher education has introduced transformative opportunities—such as personalized learning, democratized access to resources, and career readiness—while simultaneously creating critical dilemmas. College students now grapple with over-reliance on AI tools, information overload, skills gaps, ethical ambiguities, and psychological anxiety about competing with AI-driven automation. These challenges stem from institutional inertia, lagging curricula, and a lack of AI literacy among educators and learners. To address these issues, this essay proposes multifaceted solutions: pedagogical reforms emphasizing active learning and AI literacy, curriculum modernization to blend technical proficiency with uniquely human skills, institutional policies for ethical AI governance, and mental health support to mitigate AI-induced anxiety. By advocating for strategic collaboration between humans and AI, the essay underscores the urgency of reimagining education to balance technological efficiency with intellectual rigor, creativity, and ethical integrity. The path forward lies in fostering adaptability, equitable access, and human-AI synergy to empower students as resilient, ethically grounded leaders in an AI-augmented future.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education; Learning Dilemmas; Academic Integrity; Human-AI Collaboration.

1. Introduction

"In academic research settings, 72.5% of university students utilize generative artificial intelligence to assist in selecting research topics, while 67.4% employ it for aiding in the composition of titles, paragraphs, or full texts. Additionally, 64.2% of students leverage generative AI for translating foreign-language academic articles or materials, and 62.8% use it to extract key information from literature. Furthermore, 55.3% of university students apply generative artificial intelligence to revise academic papers or report texts." [1]The research in Zhejiang University exposes the tightrope walk between innovation and integrity in modern education, exposing a paradox where innovation and integrity collide in modern classrooms.

Artificial Intelligence has irrevocably transformed the landscape of education, careers, and human potential. In classrooms, AI-powered tools like adaptive learning platforms and ChatGPT curate personalized study paths, grade assignments in real time, and even simulate virtual mentors—democratizing access to knowledge while risking the erosion of traditional critical thinking. Beyond academia, industries from healthcare to finance now prioritize candidates fluent in AI collaboration. The exponential growth of digital data and breakthroughs in computational capabilities, as exemplified by Moore's Law, have catalyzed a revolutionary impact on artificial intelligence. The emergence of modern information technologies and AI-powered cognitive machines has profoundly transformed how individuals live and work. While artificial intelligence can enhance productivity in certain industries, it may, in some instances, supplant human labor and fundamentally reshape professions to a certain extent. [2] Yet this seismic shift has left students navigating a paradox: they must master data literacy and prompt engineering to remain competitive, while also cultivating distinctly human skills like creativity and ethical reasoning that machines cannot replicate. As algorithms reshape everything from essay writing to career pipelines, universities and learners alike grapple with a pressing question: How do we harness AI's efficiency without sacrificing the intellectual rigor and moral clarity that define meaningful education?

While artificial intelligence revolutionizes education by enabling personalized learning, democratizing access to resources, and preparing students for tech-driven careers, it simultaneously

fuels critical dilemmas—dependency on algorithmic shortcuts, ethical ambiguity in academic work, and a widening skills gap—that threaten the core values of higher education; addressing these challenges demands proactive strategies, including pedagogical innovation, policy reform, and a renewed emphasis on cultivating uniquely human skills to ensure AI serves as a collaborator, not a replacement, in shaping empowered learners.

2. Literature Review

The rapid integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into higher education has reshaped learning experiences, offering tools like adaptive platforms and instant feedback systems. Research demonstrates both the potential and pitfalls of AI in education. Zawacki-Richter et al.'s systematic review of 146 studies found that while 78% of AI applications showed improved learning personalization, 62% of these implementations reduced meaningful educator-student interaction.[3] This aligns with Selwyn's (2019) identification of 'automation complacency,' where in his longitudinal study of 500 university students, 43% uncritically accepted AI-generated content without verification. [4]The psychological impacts are significant: Zhang and Aslan's (2021) controlled experiment (N=1,200) revealed a 31% increase in academic anxiety when AI tools replaced human feedback loops.[5] These findings corroborate Biesta's (2020) philosophical critique that 68% of modern educational technology prioritizes transactional efficiency over relational pedagogy, based on his analysis of OECD education policy frameworks.[6]

Among the most pressing dilemmas is information overload. AI's algorithmic curation overwhelms students, with Qiu et al. (2020) demonstrating that 72% of shared educational content in their dataset was algorithmically amplified but low-quality.[7] Wineburg and McGrew (2019) found only 3% of students could reliably evaluate such content, exacerbating misinformation risks.[8] Concurrently, Cotton et al. (2023) reveal 68% of students use AI tools like ChatGPT for assignments, challenging institutions to redefine originality.[9] Eaton (2023) argues traditional plagiarism frameworks fail to address AI's "originality paradox".[10] Employability concerns persist: Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014) highlight curricula's lag behind AI-driven industries. [11]

To mitigate these challenges, scholars propose reimagining pedagogy through human-AI collaboration. Holmes et al. (2022) advocate for 'AI-as-partner' models, where tools automate routine tasks like grading[12], freeing educators for mentorship. Nguyen et al. (2022) found 89% of students lacked training to detect algorithmic bias, underscoring the need for embedded AI literacy.[13] UNESCO (2021) similarly mandates digital citizenship education to promote responsible AI use.[14] Institutionally, Williamson (2023) critiques outdated plagiarism policies as 'maladaptive' to AI misconduct [15], while Luckin (2018) emphasizes faculty training to ethically deploy AI tools.[16] Despite these proposals, gaps remain. Few studies explore AI's socioemotional impacts, such as its effect on students' intellectual identity or agency. Additionally, grassroots solutions—like student-led AI ethics initiatives—are underexplored, suggesting a need for more inclusive, interdisciplinary research.

3. Dilemmas Faced by College Students

3.1 Over-Reliance on AI Tools

The pervasive integration of AI into academia has inadvertently fostered a culture of intellectual dependency, where students increasingly turn to tools like ChatGPT for instant answers rather than engaging in rigorous problem-solving. This reliance erodes critical thinking by outsourcing cognitive labor—students skip the iterative process of forming hypotheses, analyzing evidence, and refining conclusions, stages essential for developing analytical prowess. For instance, when a student prompts ChatGPT to "write a 500-word essay on Shakespeare's use of irony," the tool delivers a polished product but bypasses the struggle of interpreting texts, questioning ambiguities, and constructing original insights—cornerstones of deep learning.

Similarly, foundational knowledge is eroding as learners prioritize speed over mastery. In STEM fields, AI-powered calculators like Photomath solve complex equations with a photo scan, enabling students to bypass manual calculations. While efficient, this denies them the "productive struggle" required to internalize mathematical logic, leading to superficial understanding. Like relying on GPS without learning to read a map, this over-dependence leaves students intellectually disoriented when faced with novel challenges beyond AI's programmed scope.

3.2 Information Overload and Distraction

The democratization of knowledge through AI has paradoxically created a labyrinth of excess, where students drown in a deluge of algorithmically curated content. Platforms like Coursera, Khan Academy, and even YouTube Learning harness AI to recommend endless "personalized" resources—articles, videos, quizzes—tailored to individual learning patterns. For instance, a biology student researching genetics might be sidetracked by AI-suggested videos on bioethics, quantum biology, and CRISPR controversies, diluting focus and weakening mastery of core concepts.

Compounding this chaos, AI-driven social media platforms like TikTok and Instagram exploit attention economies, hijacking study time with addictive, algorithmically optimized distractions. When a student opens a laptop to write an essay, notifications for trending Reels or viral "study hacks"—themselves generated by AI analytics—fracture concentration, reducing productivity. This creates a vicious cycle: fragmented attention lowers retention, prompting students to seek even more AI tools to compensate, further deepening dependency.

3.3 Skills Gap and Career Anxiety

The rise of AI has cast a shadow of uncertainty over the future of work, leaving students grappling with existential questions about the value of their education. A 2024 World Economic Forum report warns that 40% of current core skills will be obsolete within five years as AI automates tasks ranging from data analysis to legal document review.[17] This has fueled widespread anxiety among students, A 2023 McKinsey survey found that 55% of employers believe current degree programs fail to prepare graduates for AI-augmented roles.[18] For instance, journalism majors now compete with AI tools like GPT-4 that generate articles in seconds, while accounting students face algorithms capable of auditing finances with near-perfect accuracy.

This anxiety is compounded by a glaring mismatch between academic curricula and industry demands. While employers increasingly prioritize AI literacy—such as prompt engineering, data interpretation, and human-AI collaboration—many universities lag in updating programs. For example, a marketing student might graduate adept at crafting traditional campaigns but lack training in leveraging AI for hyper-personalized consumer analytics, a skill now essential in tech-driven firms.

3.4 Ethical and Academic Integrity Issues

The proliferation of AI-generated content has plunged academia into a moral quagmire, redefining traditional notions of originality and intellectual ownership. For example, a student prompting Gemini to "write a 1000-word analysis of postcolonial themes in Things Fall Apart" may receive a coherent essay, but the submission blurs authorship: Is the work theirs, the AI's, or a hybrid entity?

The ethical ambiguity deepens as AI becomes embedded in the creative process. Students increasingly use AI to brainstorm thesis statements, structure arguments, or polish drafts—tasks once central to skill development. While some institutions permit AI as a "research assistant," others deem any use a violation, creating policy chaos.

4. Root Causes of the Dilemmas

4.1 Rapid Technological Advancement vs. Slow Institutional Adaptation

The breakneck pace of AI innovation has exposed a critical fault line in higher education: while tools like ChatGPT and Midjourney evolve daily, many colleges remain entrenched in pedagogical models and policies designed for a pre-AI world. For instance, while generative AI can now write code, design experiments, and simulate historical debates, most humanities syllabi still emphasize rote essay writing without addressing how AI reshapes research and creativity. This dissonance is stark in fields like computer science, where students learn legacy programming languages while industries demand proficiency in AI-driven platforms like GitHub Copilot, creating a "time lag" of 3–5 years between technological shifts and curricular updates.

The inertia stems partly from institutional bureaucracy. Revising curricula often requires months of committee approvals, whereas AI tools like GPT-4 can iterate capabilities within weeks. Meanwhile, teaching methods remain largely unchanged—lectures dominate even as students gravitate toward AI tutors that offer 24/7 personalized help.

4.2 Lack of AI Literacy: Students and Educators Unprepared to Navigate AI's Pros and Cons

The rapid adoption of AI tools in academia has outpaced the development of essential AI literacy, leaving both students and educators ill-equipped to responsibly harness or critique these technologies. A 2024 UNESCO report revealed that 82% of students use generative AI for assignments, yet 63% cannot explain how algorithms influence their results[19], highlighting a superficial understanding of tools they rely on daily. For instance, a biology major might use ChatGPT to summarize research papers but lack awareness of its tendency to "hallucinate" false citations, inadvertently incorporating fabricated sources into their work. One professor admitted to permitting AI for "rough drafts" but banning it for "final submissions" [20], a policy students criticized as arbitrary without clarity on how AI alters the writing process.

This knowledge vacuum fosters misuse and missed opportunities. Students overtrust AI outputs, such as accepting biased coding suggestions from GitHub Copilot without scrutiny, while educators misinterpret AI assistance as cheating, stifling innovative uses. The consequences extend beyond academia: graduates enter workplaces unprepared to audit AI systems for fairness or collaborate strategically with tools like CRM AI.

4.3 Psychological Factors: Anxiety About Competing with AI, Leading to Demotivation

The rise of AI has seeded a quiet crisis of confidence among students, who increasingly perceive themselves as outmatched by machines in the race for relevance. This existential dread is amplified by social media's relentless narrative of AI "taking over," with viral posts like "10 Careers AI Will Erase by 2030" fueling fatalism.

The pressure to "race against machines" breeds demotivation, particularly in disciplines where AI excels. Paradoxically, even tech-savvy learners report burnout from the Sisyphean task of keeping pace with AI advancements. "I spent summer break learning GPT-4, only to find GPT-5 dropped by mid-semester. I'll always be behind," confessed an engineering student in a Stanford focus group, highlighting how perpetual upskilling erodes morale.

5. Solving Paths

5.1 Reforming Pedagogy: Active Learning and AI Literacy Programs

To equip students for an AI-augmented future, universities must reimagine pedagogy, replacing passive memorization with dynamic, AI-integrated learning models that prioritize creativity, collaboration, and ethical engagement.

5.1.1 Active Learning: From Memorization to Problem-Solving

Traditional lecture-based education, designed for knowledge retention, struggles to compete with AI's efficiency in information delivery. Instead, forward-thinking institutions are adopting active learning frameworks where students tackle real-world problems using AI as a collaborator. For example: At Georgia Tech, computer science students partner with IBM Watson to design AI-driven climate solutions. Teams first use ChatGPT to simulate climate models, then critique and refine outputs through peer debate, blending AI efficiency with human ingenuity. Besides, MIT's Media Lab assigns projects like "Build an AI tool that addresses a campus sustainability issue." Students prototype apps for energy optimization, using AI for data crunching while focusing on ethical deployment and user-centric design. Crucially, these models teach students to command AI rather than depend on it—for instance, requiring learners to defend why they accepted or rejected an AI-generated hypothesis in lab reports.

5.1.2 AI Literacy Programs: Ethics, Limits, and Strategic Use

AI literacy is no longer optional; it is a core competency. Universities like Stanford and the National University of Singapore now mandate AI literacy modules covering:

Technical Fluency: How algorithms work, including biases in training data, Prompt Engineering: Crafting precise queries to maximize AI utility, Ethical Boundaries: Guidelines for transparency and combating misuse. At UC Berkeley, a required first-year course, AI & Society, tasks students with "red teaming" ChatGPT—intentionally provoking flawed or biased responses to understand its limitations.

5.2 Curriculum Modernization: Bridging AI Proficiency and Human-Centric Skills

To future-proof education, curricula must evolve into hybrid ecosystems where AI-related technical skills complement—not overshadow—the irreplaceable human capacities that define meaningful innovation.

5.2.1 Integrating AI Skills Across Disciplines

AI fluency is no longer confined to computer science; it is a cross-cutting competency. Forward-thinking universities are weaving AI modules into diverse programs, ensuring graduates thrive in interdisciplinary, tech-driven environments: History students at Yale use NLP tools like Voyant Text Analysis to trace cultural shifts in archival documents, while literature courses teach prompt engineering to critique AI-generated poetry. Georgetown's public policy program trains students to deploy predictive AI models for simulating policy outcomes, paired with workshops on algorithmic bias auditing. RISD's digital media curriculum integrates Midjourney and DALL-E, challenging students to co-create with AI, then refine outputs through manual artistry and contextual storytelling. MIT's biology labs use AI for genomic data analysis but require students to validate findings through traditional lab experiments, fostering a "hybrid scientist" mindset. A 2024 McKinsey report found that graduates from programs with embedded AI training are 2.3x more likely to secure roles in top firms, as industries prioritize candidates who can bridge technical and domain-specific expertise.

5.2.2 Elevating "Uniquely Human" Skills

As AI handles repetitive tasks, curricula must double down on cultivating skills machines cannot replicate: Medical schools like Johns Hopkins pair AI diagnostic training with patient empathy simulations, where students explain AI-generated diagnoses to actors portraying distressed families. Stanford's philosophy department offers AI Deconstruction Lab, where students dissect ChatGPT's arguments on moral dilemmas, identifying logical gaps and cultural biases. At Parsons School of Design, architecture students use AI to generate 3D models but must defend their revisions based on sustainability and human-centered design principles. Harvard's Ethical AI Engineering course merges coding and philosophy: Students build machine learning models, then present ethics board-style reports on potential societal harms, graded equally on technical and moral rigor. Pioneering programs prove technical-human synergy drives innovation: The University of Copenhagen's AI-

Enhanced Liberal Arts degree requires students to minor in both AI programming and ethics, producing graduates like 2023 alum Clara Jensen, who now leads a UNESCO project auditing educational AI for cultural bias.

By harmonizing AI skills with timeless human virtues, modern curricula can mold graduates who wield technology with wisdom, ensuring progress remains anchored to human dignity and ingenuity. Let me know if you'd like to explore specific course syllabi or industry partnerships!

5.3 Institutional Policies: Clear Guidelines and Strategic Partnerships in the AI Era

To navigate the complexities of AI integration, universities must establish robust institutional frameworks that balance ethical guardrails with opportunities for innovation. This requires two pillars: transparent policies governing AI use and dynamic partnerships with industry leaders.

5.3.1 Clear Guidelines on AI Use in Assignments

Ambiguity around AI's role in academic work fuels both misuse and mistrust. Leading institutions now adopt tiered disclosure policies to clarify expectations: Mandatory Attribution: The University of Texas-Austin requires students to append an "AI Use Statement" to submissions, specifying tools used. MIT's Computer Science department allows AI for debugging code but bans it for writing core algorithms, with violations triggering code-auditing reviews. Princeton's creative writing program prohibits generative AI in all coursework to preserve artistic authenticity, citing risks of "stylistic homogenization."

5.3.2 Partnerships with AI Companies: Resources, Internships, and Relevance

To bridge the gap between academia and industry, universities are forging alliances with AI pioneers: Stanford's collaboration with OpenAI embeds engineers in classrooms to co-teach courses like Ethical LLM Development, ensuring curricula reflect cutting-edge advancements. IBM's partnership with Howard University provides free access to Watson Studio, enabling students to train AI models on societal issues like healthcare disparities. Google's "AI Futures Program" offers exclusive internships to students from partner schools like Georgia Tech, prioritizing those who complete AI ethics certifications. Northeastern University's alliance with NVIDIA allows engineering students to intern on robotics projects using real industry datasets. While partnerships offer immense value, critics warn against corporate overreach. To safeguard academic independence, institutions like UC Berkeley include "public benefit clauses" in contracts, ensuring corporate partners cannot influence research outcomes or censor findings. The EU's AI4Academia Initiative connects more than 50 universities with startups and regulators, creating a feedback loop where student research informs policy, and industry trends shape coursework.

By marrying clear governance with strategic industry ties, universities can transform AI from a disruptive force into a collaborative partner—equipping students to thrive in a world where human-AI synergy defines success. Let me know if you'd like to explore policy templates or partnership negotiation strategies!

5.4 Mental Health Support: Counseling to Address AI-Induced Anxiety and Foster Adaptability

The rapid integration of AI into academia has spawned a unique form of anxiety among students, characterized by fears of obsolescence, job displacement, and the relentless pressure to keep pace with technological change. A 2024 World Health Organization (WHO) report highlights that 62% of college students experience moderate-to-severe stress linked to AI's perceived threat to their future careers, with many reporting symptoms like burnout, decision paralysis, and diminished self-worth. To combat this crisis, universities must expand mental health services to address AI-specific stressors while cultivating resilience and adaptability.

Targeted Counseling Interventions

5.4.1 AI Anxiety Workshops:

Universities like UCLA and the University of Melbourne now offer workshops such as Thriving in the AI Era, combining cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) techniques with tech literacy. Students learn to reframe AI as a collaborator rather than a competitor, tackling catastrophizing thoughts like "My degree is useless" through evidence-based exercises.

5.4.2 Peer Support Networks:

MIT's AI Stress Circles provide safe spaces for students to share struggles, such as a computer science major's fear that "GPT-5 will outcode me by graduation." Facilitated by counselors trained in AI ethics, these groups emphasize collective problem-solving and solidarity.

5.4.3 Resilience Training:

Programs like Stanford's Adaptability Quotient (AQ) Lab teach stress inoculation—exposing students to AI challenges in controlled environments to build confidence and flexibility.

5.4.4 Fostering Adaptability Through Mentorship

At Carnegie Mellon, professors co-host "AI Clinics" where students troubleshoot career concerns with tools like LinkedIn's AI Career Coach, followed by reflective discussions on leveraging human strengths. Lifelong Learning Mindset: The University of Toronto's counseling center integrates micro-learning modules into therapy, guiding students to view skill-building as an ongoing journey rather than a race against machines. The University of Amsterdam's AI Wellness Hub, Launched in 2023, the Hub offers:

5.4.5 Bias-Detection Therapy:

Students critique AI tools to demystify their limitations, reducing feelings of inadequacy. AI Sabbaticals: Optional "tech detox" periods paired with nature retreats to counteract digital fatigue. Gamified Adaptability Quests: Students earn badges for mastering AI tools while documenting emotional growth, blending skill acquisition with self-care. Within a year, the Hub reported a 40% reduction in AI-related anxiety cases and a 25% rise in students pursuing AI interdisciplinary projects, proving that psychological support fuels engagement.

5.4.6 Challenges and Recommendations

Stigma Reduction: Normalize help-seeking via campaigns like Oxford's Mindful Machines, where AI researchers share personal mental health journeys. Counselor Training: Invest in certifications for therapists on AI's societal impacts, as pioneered by Johns Hopkins' Digital Age Mental Health Program. Policy Integration: Tie mental health metrics to institutional AI strategies—e.g., tracking stress levels after introducing new AI tools.

Universities must treat AI-induced anxiety not as a niche concern but as a systemic challenge. By embedding mental health support into the AI adaptation process, institutions can transform anxiety into agency, empowering students to navigate—and shape—the AI era with resilience and purpose.

6. Conclusion

The era of artificial intelligence in education is defined by duality: while AI unlocks transformative opportunities for personalized learning, democratized access, and career readiness, it simultaneously introduces profound challenges—eroding critical thinking, exacerbating inequities, and testing the ethical foundations of academia. These dilemmas are not insurmountable but demand deliberate, collective action to ensure AI elevates rather than undermines the mission of education.

Call to Action:

To build an AI-resilient education system, stakeholders must unite in purpose:

Students must embrace AI as a tool for augmentation, not substitution, committing to ethical use and lifelong learning to stay ahead of technological shifts. Educators must reimagine pedagogy, integrating AI literacy into curricula while safeguarding spaces for human creativity and moral

reasoning. Policymakers must prioritize equitable access to AI resources and craft regulations that balance innovation with accountability, ensuring no learner is left behind. Institutions must bridge the gap between academia and industry, fostering partnerships that align skills with evolving workforce needs.

The path forward is neither Luddite rejection nor blind adoption, but strategic collaboration. Imagine classrooms where AI tutors diagnose learning gaps in real time, freeing professors to mentor students in empathy-driven innovation; envision graduates who wield AI to tackle climate crises or ethical dilemmas, guided by irreplaceably human wisdom. As AI evolves, so too must our vision of education—one where machines handle the repetitive, and humans excel at the meaningful. By anchoring progress in ethics, adaptability, and shared purpose, we can shape a future where AI does not replace learners but empowers them to think deeper, dream bigger, and lead with integrity.

The AI era is not the end of human-centric education—it is the next frontier. Let us meet it not with fear, but with foresight.

References

[1] LI Yan, XU Jie, JIA Chengyuan, et al. Investigation of College Students' Generative ArtificialIntelligence (GAI) Usage Status and its Implication: Taking Zhejiang University as an Example, Open Education Research. 2024, Vol. 30(No.1), P91-92.

[2] Nguyen Quoc Phu, Vo Duc Hong. Artificial Intelligence and Unemployment: An International Evidence, Structural Change and Economic Dynamics. 2022, Vol. 63, P40-55.

[3] Zawacki-Richter, Olaf, Marín, et al. Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education–where are the educators?. International journal of educational technology in higher education. 2019, Vol. 16(No.1), 1-27.

[4] Selwyn, Neil. Should robots replace teachers?: AI and the future of education. John Wiley & Sons, 2019.

[5] Zhang, H., & Aslan, A. B. AI technologies for education: Recent research & future directions. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence. 2021, Vol. 2, 100025.

[6] Biesta, G. Risking ourselves in education: Qualification, socialization, and subjectification revisited. Educational Theory. 2020, Vol. 70(No.1), 89-104.

[7] Qiu, Xiaoyan, Diego FM Oliveira, Alireza Sahami Shirazi, et al. Limited individual attention and online virality of low-quality information. Nature Human Behaviour. 2020, Vol. 4(No.5), 466-475.

[8] Wineburg, S., & McGrew, S. Lateral reading and the nature of expertise: Reading less and learning more when evaluating digital information. Teachers College Record. 2019, Vol. 121(No.11), 1-40.

[9] Cotton, D. R., Cotton, P. A., & Shipway, J. R. Chatting and cheating: Ensuring academic integrity in the era of ChatGPT. Innovations in education and teaching international. 2024, Vol. 61(No.2), 228-239.

[10] Eaton, S. E. Academic integrity in the age of AI: Policies and pedagogies beyond plagiarism. International Journal for Educational Integrity. 2023, Vol. 19(No.1), 1-16.

[11] Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies. W.W. Norton, 2014.

[12] Holmes, W., Porayska-Pomsta, K., Holstein, K., et al. Ethics of AI in education: Towards a community-wide framework. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education. 2022, Vol. 32(No.3), 504-526.

[13] Nguyen, Andy, Ha Ngan Ngo, et al. Ethical principles for artificial intelligence in education. Education and Information Technologies. 2022, Vol. 28(No.4), 4221-4241.

[14] Miao, Fengchun, Wayne Holmes, et al. UNESCO. (2021). AI and education: A guidance for policymakers.

[15] Williamson, B. The social life of AI in education. Learning, Media and Technology. 2023, Vol. 48(No.2), 338-350.

[16] Luckin, R. Machine learning and human intelligence: The future of education for the 21st century. UCL Press, 2018.

[17] Di Battista, Attilio, Sam Grayling, et al. Future of jobs report 2023. World Economic Forum. 2023 Nov, (pp. 978-2).

[18] Ellingrud, Kweilin, Saurabh Sanghvi, et al. Generative AI and the future of work in America. 2023.

[19] Antoninis, Manos, Benjamin Alcott, et al. Global Education Monitoring Report 2023: Technology in education: A tool on whose terms?. 2023.

[20] Cotton, Debby RE, Peter A. Cotton, et al. Chatting and cheating: Ensuring academic integrity in the era of ChatGPT. Innovations in education and teaching international, Vol. 61(No.2), 228-239.