

Transcending Disciplinary Boundaries: The Advantages, Challenges, and Future Directions of STEM Education

Shuai Yang^{1, a}, Eunjoo Oh^{1, *}

¹Department of Education, Kyungil University, Gyeongsan 38428, Republic of Korea

^ayangsuEdu@outlook.com *Corresponding author email: eoh1@kiu.ac.kr

Abstract: STEM education (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics), as a key model in 21st-century global educational reform, has become a central focus due to its advantages in cultivating interdisciplinary skills, critical thinking, collaboration, communication abilities, and in stimulating students' interest in learning. By integrating interdisciplinary knowledge, STEM education not only equips students to address complex real-world problems but also significantly enhances their practical skills. However, the implementation of STEM education faces challenges such as the complexity of curriculum integration, insufficient teacher training, and the limitations of assessment tools, all of which hinder its broad adoption and effective implementation. This study aims to comprehensively analyze the advantages of STEM education, explore its key challenges, and propose future development directions. By offering theoretical support to education policymakers, school administrators, and frontline teachers, the study seeks to promote the sustainable development and innovation of STEM education.

Keywords: STEM education; advantages; challenges; future development.

1. Introduction

In the 21st century, marked by globalization and rapid technological advancements, the field of education is undergoing profound transformations. With the rapid development of artificial intelligence, big data, and automation technologies, and in the face of increasing global competition, the challenges confronting educational systems are no longer confined to cultivating professionals with specialized knowledge. There is now a pressing need to train multifaceted talents capable of addressing complex social issues, such as climate change and social inequality [1]. Against this backdrop, STEM education (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) has emerged as a multidisciplinary, cross-sectoral teaching model that is becoming a key focus of global educational reform [2]. STEM education not only facilitates the integration of interdisciplinary knowledge but also fosters students' critical thinking and innovation abilities, equipping them to tackle complex real-world problems and, in turn, promoting social innovation and economic growth [3]. The core advantage of STEM education lies in its close alignment of theory and practice, emphasizing the application of learned knowledge in real-world contexts [4]. STEM education focuses not only on students' academic proficiency in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics, but also on cultivating teamwork, communication skills, and adaptability through project-based learning and problem-solving [5]. By integrating multiple disciplinary perspectives, STEM education helps students understand the diversity of complex problems, thereby fostering systems thinking and laying a foundation for future career development [6].

Despite the theoretical and practical advantages demonstrated by STEM education, its implementation faces several challenges [7]. These challenges include difficulties in curriculum integration, outdated teacher training systems, and the complexity of assessment tools. The traditional

instructional model's separation of disciplines and entrenched teaching philosophies often hinder the effective advancement of interdisciplinary curriculum design, and teachers' pedagogical approaches may not be suited to the demands of interdisciplinary integration. Moreover, current assessment systems rely heavily on standardized testing, which fails to adequately capture students' innovative and practical application abilities in STEM education. Therefore, this study aims to deeply analyze the unique advantages of STEM education, its primary challenges, and explore its future development directions. By offering theoretical support to education policymakers, school administrators, and frontline teachers, the study seeks to promote the sustainable development and innovation of STEM education.

2. Advantages of STEM Education

2.1. Promoting Interdisciplinary Understanding

STEM education, as a key area of 21st-century educational reform, emphasizes the integration of disciplines and the practical application of knowledge. It aims to address the complex social and technological challenges posed by rapid globalization, fostering students' ability to solve complex problems and meet the demands of the global economic environment [8]. In traditional educational models, subjects are often compartmentalized, with students' learning confined to specific fields. This single-discipline approach is no longer sufficient for cultivating innovative talent. In contrast, STEM education seeks to develop students' interdisciplinary thinking and comprehensive problem-solving abilities by integrating knowledge from science, technology, engineering, and mathematics [6].

According to Sanders [9] STEM integration model, interdisciplinary integration is not merely the superficial combination of subjects, but rather the deep synthesis of core knowledge across disciplines, breaking down traditional

subject boundaries to enable students to apply multidisciplinary knowledge in complex, real-world contexts. STEM education encourages students to tackle complex tasks designed to require multiple disciplinary perspectives, enabling them to use knowledge from various fields to solve practical problems [3, 10]. The core advantage of STEM education lies in its unique interdisciplinary teaching approach, which allows students to transcend the limitations of individual disciplines and develop innovative and integrative thinking skills [11]. By designing projects based on multi-disciplinary tasks, STEM education effectively enhances students' ability to apply knowledge across fields [12].

2.2. Critical Thinking

Critical thinking is one of the core competencies emphasized in STEM education. It encourages students to make sound judgments and decisions through in-depth analysis, evaluation, and reflection on existing knowledge and information. Ennis [13] defines critical thinking as a crucial tool for cognitive development, enabling students not only to master disciplinary knowledge but also to build a foundation for independent thinking and problem-solving. Critical thinking fosters the ability to evaluate the validity of information, helping students make rational decisions in complex environments. Kuhn [14] further elaborates on a model for developing critical thinking, underscoring its role in optimizing judgment and decision-making throughout students' educational journeys. Facione [15] suggests that critical thinking is the ability to systematically reflect on one's thought processes, requiring students to rationally analyze and evaluate the value of information to make informed choices. This process involves several dimensions, such as analysis, reasoning, evaluation, and interpretation, which are especially critical in the context of a technology-driven and complex social environment.

Critical thinking is not just a theoretical skill but a practical tool for solving real-world problems. Paul and Elder [16] argue that through multidimensional thinking processes, critical thinking helps students understand problems from various perspectives and propose solutions. In STEM education, critical thinking is integrated into every stage of problem-solving and project design. Jonassen [17] points out that problem-solving in STEM education inherently involves complex design tasks that require students to employ critical thinking in reasoning, analysis, and evaluation when confronting real-world problems. Students must make rational decisions based on information and data from various sources. Kelley and Knowles [18] emphasize that STEM education significantly enhances students' critical thinking abilities. By embedding the development of critical thinking within STEM instruction, students not only learn to effectively filter and analyze key information amid information overload but also develop more systematic and logical thinking patterns in complex problem-solving contexts. This capacity for critical thinking is one of the distinct advantages of STEM education.

2.3. Collaboration and Communication

In STEM education, collaboration and communication are essential components of students' success. Collaboration emphasizes teamwork, where students work together to solve problems, while communication involves the sharing of ideas, knowledge, and reaching consensus in decision-making

processes—skills that are critical in any project. These abilities are effectively cultivated through team-based projects, helping students navigate complex interdisciplinary challenges. Johnson and Johnson [19] argue that collaborative learning not only enhances academic performance through knowledge sharing among team members but also fosters critical thinking and creativity through mutual assistance and feedback. Collaborative learning is not merely a method of knowledge transmission; it is an effective means of cultivating students' teamwork abilities and social skills. Slavin [20] suggests that collaborative learning provides students with opportunities to share skills and knowledge, improving their academic performance and problem-solving abilities. In addressing complex problems, Barron [21] notes that collaborative learning helps students integrate their individual knowledge, skills, and experiences to generate more creative and effective solutions. This collaboration extends beyond the academic realm and also plays a significant role in students' social and emotional development. Through collaboration, students learn to respect others' perspectives, develop empathy, and enhance cross-cultural communication skills, which are especially important in a globalized context [22].

2.4. Enhancing Student Interest and Motivation

In STEM education, interest and motivation are key factors driving students to actively engage in learning activities and achieve long-term success. Interest refers to students' curiosity and enthusiasm for science, technology, engineering, and mathematics, while motivation serves as the intrinsic force that propels them to continue learning and exploring. Through hands-on activities and project-driven learning, STEM education effectively sparks students' interest and enhances their motivation to learn [23]. Activities such as robotics competitions and scientific experiments allow students to experience the application of disciplinary knowledge, gradually cultivating a deep interest in the process [3]. By integrating knowledge from multiple disciplines, STEM education not only helps students understand the practical application of knowledge but also increases their interest and motivation in STEM fields [24].

STEM education has not only short-term effects on boosting student motivation but also long-term impacts on their development. Maltese and Tai [25] found that students who are exposed to science activities early, particularly through simple science experiments at home, develop a lasting interest in science. Additionally, STEM education fosters innovative thinking and practical skills by guiding students to solve real-world problems, which is crucial for career development in technology and engineering fields [26]. One of the key features of STEM education is its project-based tasks, which encourage students to creatively solve real-world problems. Through design and experimentation, STEM education not only improves students' cognitive abilities but also fosters continuous development of their learning motivation [3].

3. Challenges Facing STEM Education

3.1. Difficulty in Integrating STEM Curricula

The primary goal of integrating STEM curricula is to cultivate students' interdisciplinary thinking, enabling them to combine knowledge from science, technology, engineering,

and mathematics to address complex real-world problems [27]. However, there are several challenges associated with curriculum integration, the most critical being how to achieve a cohesive combination of content from different disciplines. Wang, Moore [28] noted that interdisciplinary curriculum design requires teachers not only to have a deep understanding of their own subject area but also to master and flexibly apply core concepts and methods from other disciplines. This demand for interdisciplinary competence among teachers significantly increases the complexity of curriculum design [7].

In addition to restructuring knowledge frameworks, integrating interdisciplinary curricula also involves aligning different educational goals, assessment standards, and teaching methods from various disciplines. For instance, science courses emphasize experiments and practical activities with a focus on observation and empirical evidence, while mathematics courses stress logical reasoning and abstract computation, and technology and engineering are more oriented toward design and implementation. The differing objectives of these disciplines require teachers to coordinate their distinctive features to achieve effective curriculum integration [29]. Improper coordination between disciplines may reduce the effectiveness of teaching and hinder students' deep understanding of different subject content. Moreover, successful implementation of interdisciplinary curricula places additional demands on teachers' time and energy. Teachers not only need to invest considerable time in designing these curricula but also must engage in continuous professional development to enhance their interdisciplinary teaching abilities [30]. This increased workload undoubtedly adds to teachers' stress. Without sufficient resources and a systematic teacher training program, teachers may struggle to meet these challenges, thereby affecting both the quality of instruction and students' learning experience [31].

3.2. Inadequate Teacher Training

With the rapid development of educational technologies, teachers must constantly update their technological skills to adapt to new teaching environments. However, the current teacher training system often falls short of meeting these demands, particularly in the field of STEM education. Many teachers feel overwhelmed by the new technologies they are expected to integrate into their teaching but lack sufficient training opportunities to effectively do so. This has hindered the successful integration of technology into STEM education. The introduction of modern technologies, such as virtual reality (VR) and artificial intelligence (AI), offers unprecedented opportunities for classroom instruction, yet many teachers struggle with insufficient skills and training, especially in combining these technologies with interdisciplinary teaching. The lack of technical support further limits the effective application of these technologies in the classroom [32]. Teachers need not only to understand how to operate these technologies but also to design appropriate teaching scenarios that tightly integrate technology with curriculum content to maximize the potential of these tools [33].

Furthermore, opportunities for ongoing professional development are relatively limited, especially in the areas of interdisciplinary and technology integration [34]. Current teacher training programs tend to focus more on the transmission of single-subject knowledge and less on

cultivating teachers' interdisciplinary integration skills. Although teachers need to continually improve their instructional methods and subject knowledge, existing professional development programs lack systematic approaches and foresight, particularly in terms of interdisciplinary curriculum design and the application of technology. This gap in training makes it difficult for teachers to deliver increasingly complex STEM courses, as they often lack confidence and resources to design technology-based interdisciplinary learning activities. Some countries have implemented systemic measures to address this issue. For example, Finland's teacher training programs have established teacher learning communities, provided continuous feedback, and offered personalized support to help teachers improve their interdisciplinary curriculum design and technology integration skills [35]. This training model, which incorporates continuous professional development and regular assessment mechanisms, not only enhances teachers' capacity for instructional innovation but also effectively addresses the challenges posed by emerging technologies [1].

3.3. STEM Curricula

With the rapid development of educational technologies, teachers must constantly update their technological skills to adapt to new teaching environments. However, the current teacher training system often falls short of meeting these demands, particularly in the field of STEM education. Many teachers feel overwhelmed by the new technologies they are expected to integrate into their teaching but lack sufficient training opportunities to effectively do so. This has hindered the successful integration of technology into STEM education. The introduction of modern technologies, such as virtual reality (VR) and artificial intelligence (AI), offers unprecedented opportunities for classroom instruction, yet many teachers struggle with insufficient skills and training, especially in combining these technologies with interdisciplinary teaching. The lack of technical support further limits the effective application of these technologies in the classroom [32]. Teachers need not only to understand how to operate these technologies but also to design appropriate teaching scenarios that tightly integrate technology with curriculum content to maximize the potential of these tools [33].

Furthermore, opportunities for ongoing professional development are relatively limited, especially in the areas of interdisciplinary and technology integration [34]. Current teacher training programs tend to focus more on the transmission of single-subject knowledge and less on cultivating teachers' interdisciplinary integration skills. Although teachers need to continually improve their instructional methods and subject knowledge, existing professional development programs lack systematic approaches and foresight, particularly in terms of interdisciplinary curriculum design and the application of technology. This gap in training makes it difficult for teachers to deliver increasingly complex STEM courses, as they often lack confidence and resources to design technology-based interdisciplinary learning activities. Some countries have implemented systemic measures to address this issue. For example, Finland's teacher training programs have established teacher learning communities, provided continuous feedback, and offered personalized support to help teachers improve their interdisciplinary curriculum design and technology integration skills [35]. This training model,

which incorporates continuous professional development and regular assessment mechanisms, not only enhances teachers' capacity for instructional innovation but also effectively addresses the challenges posed by emerging technologies [1].

4. Future Development Directions

4.1. Educational Policy and Systemic Support

The effective promotion of STEM education is closely tied to government support. In the future, education policies should ensure sufficient financial investment to provide schools with adequate resources, modernize teaching facilities, and support innovations in interdisciplinary teaching. Governments can establish dedicated education funds to ensure targeted support for schools in remote areas and low-income communities. These funds can be adjusted based on the specific needs of schools, such as the economic conditions of the region, student population density, and the current state of technological infrastructure. To reduce the disparity in educational resources between urban and rural or regional areas, the government can set up special funds to help rural and remote schools implement STEM education programs. This can be achieved through concrete measures such as providing technological equipment to remote schools, expanding the reach of remote teaching platforms, or offering specialized training for teachers.

Additionally, policies should include incentives to attract highly qualified teachers to under-resourced areas through subsidies and housing allowances, aiming to improve the quality of education in those regions. Moreover, policies should encourage partnerships between schools and businesses, providing students with practical experience through internships and industry collaboration projects. To foster such collaborations, the government can offer tax incentives, subsidies, or public-private partnership (PPP) support to encourage businesses to actively participate in internship programs, build innovation labs, and sponsor school technology competitions. Furthermore, the government can allocate specific funding to support companies that sponsor STEM-related competitions and workshops, enhancing students' hands-on experience. These policy initiatives will help strengthen students' practical skills while providing businesses with opportunities to engage with future talent in technology, thus fostering a deep integration of education and industry. In the long term, this integration will enhance a nation's overall technological competitiveness and support the development of an innovation-driven economy.

4.2. Interdisciplinary Teacher Training and Professional Development

STEM education places higher demands on teachers' interdisciplinary knowledge. Future teacher training should focus on developing interdisciplinary integration skills. Teachers need not only a strong foundation in multiple fields such as physics, mathematics, and engineering, but also the ability to design interdisciplinary tasks and guide students in solving complex problems. However, interdisciplinary teaching presents significant challenges for teachers, especially when navigating the differences between subject areas and integrating teaching objectives. Teachers need to understand how to balance the requirements of different disciplines and design effective teaching tasks that ensure students can apply knowledge flexibly across subjects. To

enhance interdisciplinary competence, teachers must continuously engage in professional development, further education, and industry collaboration to stay updated on their knowledge and skills. Continuing education can provide teachers with the latest teaching methods and technologies, while industry collaboration allows them to gain practical experience with cutting-edge technologies and real-world applications. Short-term internships or participation in interdisciplinary research projects can help teachers better understand the resolution of practical technological problems, which not only deepens their teaching but also offers students more realistic learning experiences.

Schools and educational institutions should also implement systematic professional development plans that provide teachers with clear career development paths, including regular technology training, interdisciplinary teaching competitions, and mentorship programs. These opportunities allow teachers to share successful teaching cases and exchange experiences in designing interdisciplinary projects. Additionally, schools should support teachers in pursuing further academic qualifications to enhance both their academic and teaching competencies. In summary, training and professional development for STEM teachers need to combine interdisciplinary knowledge, teaching strategies, industry collaboration, and technological integration to meet the demands of modern education. Through multi-level training and ongoing professional development, teachers will be better equipped to tackle increasingly complex teaching challenges and provide students with more innovative and practice-oriented learning experiences.

4.3. Deep Integration of Emerging Technologies and STEM Education

The future of STEM education will rely on the support of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI), virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and big data analytics. These technologies not only provide new interactive tools for education but also have the potential to transform traditional teaching models. For instance, AI can analyze student learning data to customize personalized learning paths for each student, optimizing teaching content and improving learning efficiency. Similarly, VR technology can immerse students in a biology lab, allowing them to observe cellular division, while AR can help students visualize geometric concepts in mathematics, aiding their understanding of abstract problems.

Online education platforms will play a critical role in advancing STEM education, especially in areas where educational resources are scarce. These platforms can overcome spatial and temporal limitations to provide diverse learning resources, such as online courses, virtual labs, and international teacher exchanges, thus promoting equitable distribution of educational resources. However, in some regions, online platforms may face infrastructure challenges, such as poor internet connectivity or a lack of devices. Additionally, ensuring the effective integration of technology with teaching content and avoiding over-reliance on technology that may undermine deep subject understanding remains a pressing issue. Excessive use of technology could lead students to depend on the tools themselves, neglecting the deeper understanding of core disciplinary knowledge. Therefore, teachers need not only to master modern technology but also to develop interdisciplinary integration

skills to ensure that technology meaningfully enhances teaching.

4.4. Development of Innovative Assessment Tools and Evaluation Systems

Future research in STEM education should focus on developing and evaluating new assessment tools to more comprehensively and accurately reflect students' performance in interdisciplinary integration, practical application, and creative thinking. Current assessment systems are primarily based on single-discipline standardized tests, which are inadequate for fully evaluating students' abilities to solve complex problems and apply interdisciplinary knowledge. Project-based learning (PBL) and performance-based assessments are increasingly becoming effective alternatives to traditional evaluation methods, as they assess students' practical application skills and teamwork through real-world tasks. However, the implementation of PBL and performance-based assessments still faces several challenges, such as standardizing evaluation criteria, ensuring the fairness of results, and increasing teachers' workload. Future research should focus on developing more efficient and simplified assessment tools, such as integrating digital technology and artificial intelligence (AI) to automatically analyze student project outcomes and provide real-time feedback, thereby reducing the burden on teachers. By leveraging AI technology, the assessment process can become more automated and data-driven, allowing teachers to focus on providing in-depth instruction and guidance to students rather than spending excessive time on assessment itself.

Furthermore, ensuring the consistency and fairness of evaluations remains a key focus for future research. Project-based assessments often involve evaluating both individual and group performance, and fairly assessing each student's contribution in a collaborative context is a major challenge. Future research should develop systematic standards to accurately measure both individual and team contributions, and through continuous calibration and testing, improve the reliability and validity of assessment tools. Another critical area for future exploration is the further integration of digital technology and AI into evaluation systems. By utilizing big data and AI, assessment systems can better capture students' progress in interdisciplinary integration and creative thinking, and provide personalized learning feedback tailored to each student's needs. This intelligent assessment approach not only improves the precision of evaluations but also, through large-scale data analysis, identifies individual differences among students, offering more targeted learning recommendations and resource support.

5. Conclusion

STEM education, as a key model of 21st-century global educational reform, offers numerous advantages, including interdisciplinary integration, critical thinking cultivation, collaboration and communication skills development, and enhanced student engagement. However, its implementation faces significant challenges, such as curriculum integration complexity, insufficient teacher training, and limitations in assessment tools, which impede its widespread adoption and effectiveness. To ensure the sustainable development and innovation of STEM education, future efforts must focus on addressing these challenges through robust educational policies, systemic support, and advanced teacher training.

Governments should increase resource allocation, reduce educational disparities between urban and rural areas, and foster partnerships between schools and businesses to provide students with more practical learning opportunities. In addition, the integration of cutting-edge technologies like artificial intelligence and big data will further enhance the effectiveness of STEM education, allowing students to apply interdisciplinary knowledge in real-world contexts. By developing innovative evaluation systems, educators can more accurately measure students' progress in interdisciplinary integration, practical application, and creative thinking. Ultimately, by addressing these challenges and capitalizing on emerging trends, educators and policymakers can create a more effective and sustainable STEM education system that prepares students for the complexities of the modern world.

References

- [1] Schleicher, A., *World class*. 2018: OECD Publishing, Paris.
- [2] Bybee, R.W., *Advancing STEM education: A 2020 vision*. *Technology and engineering teacher*, 2010. 70(1): 30.
- [3] Schweingruber, H., G. Pearson, and M. Honey, *STEM integration in K-12 education: Status, prospects, and an agenda for research*. 2014: National Academies Press.
- [4] Council, N.R., *A framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas*. National Academy of Sciences, 2012.
- [5] Capraro, R.M., M.M. Capraro, and J.R. Morgan, *STEM project-based learning: An integrated science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) approach*. 2013: Springer Science & Business Media.
- [6] Beers, S., *21st century skills: Preparing students for their future*. 2011.
- [7] Margot, K.C. and T. Kettler, *Teachers' perception of STEM integration and education: a systematic literature review*. *International Journal of STEM education*, 2019. 6(1): 1-16.
- [8] Bialik, M., et al., *Skills for the 21st century: What should students learn*. Center for Curriculum Redesign, 2015. 3(4): 29.
- [9] Sanders, M.E., *Stem, stem education, stemmania*. 2008.
- [10] Moore, T.J., et al., *Implementation and integration of engineering in K-12 STEM education, in Engineering in pre-college settings: Synthesizing research, policy, and practices*. 2014, Purdue University Press. 35-60.
- [11] Bybee, R.W., *The case for STEM education: Challenges and opportunities*. 2013.
- [12] Jolly, A., *STEM by design: Strategies and activities for grades 4-8*. 2016: Routledge.
- [13] Ennis, R.H., *Critical thinking assessment. Theory into practice*, 1993. 32(3): 179-186.
- [14] Kuhn, D., *A developmental model of critical thinking*. *Educational researcher*, 1999. 28(2): 16-46.
- [15] Facione, P.A., *Critical thinking: What it is and why it counts*. *Insight assessment*, 2011. 1(1): 1-23.
- [16] Paul, R. and L. Elder, *Critical Thinking: Strategies for Improving Student Learning, Part II*. *Journal of Developmental Education*, 2008. 32(2): 34-35.
- [17] Jonassen, D.H., *Toward a design theory of problem solving*. *Educational technology research and development*, 2000. 48(4): 63-85.

- [18] Kelley, T.R. and J.G. Knowles, A conceptual framework for integrated STEM education. *International Journal of STEM education*, 2016. 3: 1-11.
- [19] Johnson, D.W. and R.T. Johnson, Making cooperative learning work. *Theory into practice*, 1999. 38(2): 67-73.
- [20] Slavin, R.E., *Cooperative Learning and Intergroup Relations*. 1995.
- [21] Barron, B., Achieving coordination in collaborative problem-solving groups. *The journal of the learning sciences*, 2000. 9(4): 403-436.
- [22] Gillies, R.M. and A.F. Ashman, Cooperative learning. *Cooperative Learning: Integrating Theory and Practice*. Available online also at: <http://doi.org/10.4135/9781483329598> [accessed in Bandung, Indonesia: March 24, 2017], 2007.
- [23] Baran, E., et al., Moving STEM beyond schools: Students' perceptions about an out-of-school STEM education program. *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology*, 2016. 4(1): 9-19.
- [24] Becker, K.H. and K. Park, Integrative approaches among science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) subjects on students' learning: A meta-analysis. 2011.
- [25] Maltese, A.V. and R.H. Tai, Eyeballs in the fridge: Sources of early interest in science. *International Journal of Science Education*, 2010. 32(5): 669-685.
- [26] Council, N.R. *Successful K-12 STEM education: Identifying effective approaches in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics*. in National Academy of Science. 2011.
- [27] Roehrig, G.H., et al., Is adding the E enough? Investigating the impact of K-12 engineering standards on the implementation of STEM integration. *School science and mathematics*, 2012. 112(1): 31-44.
- [28] Wang, H.-H., et al., STEM integration: Teacher perceptions and practice. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER)*, 2011. 1(2): 2.
- [29] Fogarty, R.J. and B.M. Pete, *How to integrate the curricula*. 2009: Corwin Press.
- [30] Ritz, J.M. and S.-C. Fan, STEM and technology education: International state-of-the-art. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 2015. 25: 429-451.
- [31] Vasquez, J., C. Sneider, and M. Comer, *STEM lesson essentials, grades 3-8: Integrating science, technology, engineering, and mathematics*: Heinemann Portsmouth. 2013, NH.
- [32] Korucu-Kis, S. and K.S. Ozmen, Toward an Integrated Technology Integration Framework for Teacher Preparation: Instructional Technological Competence. *Journal on School Educational Technology*, 2018. 14(2): 31-50.
- [33] Johnson, L., *NMC horizon report: 2016 higher education edition*. 2016.
- [34] Darling-Hammond, L., M.E. Hyler, and M. Gardner, *Effective teacher professional development*. Learning policy institute, 2017.
- [35] Sahlberg, P., *Finnish lessons 3.0: What can the world learn from educational change in Finland?* 2021: Teachers College Press.