Application of Artificial Intelligence in Bridge Health Monitoring

Yanzu Tang *

DeDepartment of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kow Long, 999077, Hong Kong, China

* Corresponding Author Email: 23097105D@connect.polyu.hk

Abstract. The global challenge of aging bridge infrastructure, combined with the significant limitations of conventional manual inspection methods—including their labor-intensive nature, subjective outcomes, and inability to provide continuous assessment—has created an urgent need for advanced monitoring solutions. This context establishes Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a fundamentally important technological innovation for modern bridge engineering. This paper provides a comprehensive examination of AI applications in structural health monitoring, with specific focus on three critical domains: vibration-based global damage detection, data-driven correlation modeling for deflection analysis, and automated fault detection in monitoring systems. The research methodology involves detailed analysis of the operational mechanisms underlying each application area, investigating how machine learning algorithms process complex vibration data to identify damage patterns, how correlation models establish relationships between environmental factors and structural behavior, and how anomaly detection techniques ensure data reliability. The findings demonstrate that AI technologies substantially enhance monitoring capabilities across multiple dimensions. These systems enable automated processing of complex structural data, facilitate early detection of potential damage through pattern recognition, and provide predictive insights into structural behavior under varying operational conditions. The implementation of AI-driven approaches represents a paradigm shift from reactive to proactive infrastructure management, allowing for more informed decision-making regarding maintenance scheduling and resource allocation. However, several challenges require attention, particularly concerning the scalability of these systems across diverse bridge types and the computational demands of real-time data processing. This research concludes that AI technologies hold transformative potential for advancing bridge health monitoring practices. Future developments should focus on enhancing system interoperability, improving algorithmic efficiency, and developing standardized implementation frameworks. The continued integration of AI into structural monitoring represents a crucial advancement toward creating more resilient, sustainable, and safely managed transportation infrastructure systems, ultimately contributing to improved public safety and optimized lifecycle management of critical bridge assets.

Keywords: Global damage detection, Correlation model, Faulty data detection and classification.

1. Introduction

The rapid aging of global bridge infrastructure, coupled with the inherent limitations of conventional manual inspection methods—such as inefficiency, subjectivity, and the inability to provide real-time monitoring—has highlighted the urgent need for intelligent and automated structural health monitoring (SHM) solutions. In recent years, advancements in artificial intelligence (AI), particularly in deep learning and computer vision, have introduced transformative approaches to bridge engineering. These technologies enable the mining of large-scale monitoring data for accurate damage diagnosis, early warning systems, and predictive maintenance. As a result, the integration of AI into SHM has become essential for enhancing the safety, sustainability, and lifecycle management of critical transportation infrastructure.

Internationally, significant progress has been made in applying AI to bridge health monitoring. Research teams in the United States and Europe have pioneered the use of convolutional neural networks (CNNs) for vibration-based damage detection and recurrent neural networks (RNNs) for time-dependent behavior modeling. For instance, projects such as the German-led "IntelliBridge" have demonstrated the effectiveness of hybrid data-physics models in predicting structural

degradation under environmental variability. In China, leading academic institutions have developed vision-based inspection systems capable of automatically identifying surface defects, such as cracks and corrosion, using unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) and deep learning algorithms. Additionally, data-driven correlation models have been widely adopted to interpret deflection behavior under combined traffic and temperature loads. However, existing approaches often face challenges such as poor generalization across different bridge types, high computational demands, and a lack of integrated frameworks that combine data preprocessing, feature extraction, and decision-making.

This paper proposes three key innovations to address existing gaps in AI-driven bridge health monitoring. First, a novel distributional change-point detection method based on the Wasserstein metric and Fréchet statistics is introduced, enhancing the accuracy of identifying early-stage damage under noisy operational conditions. Second, an adaptive multi-source data fusion framework is developed, incorporating attention mechanisms to dynamically integrate inputs from accelerometers, strain gauges, and computer vision systems. This allows for improved feature representation and damage-sensitive indicator extraction. Finally, an end-to-end intelligent monitoring framework is established, unifying fault detection, correlation analysis, and condition assessment into a cohesive system. Validated using real-world monitoring data from a long-span cable-stayed bridge, this framework offers a scalable and practical reference for the digital and intelligent transformation of infrastructure maintenance.

2. Global damage detection

Vibration-based structural health monitoring (SHM) serves as a crucial methodology for assessing the global integrity of civil infrastructures, such as bridges and buildings. The fundamental principle involves translating a structure's dynamic response, typically captured by a network of accelerometers, into meaningful indicators of its condition. The ultimate objective of these methods is not merely to detect the presence of damage but to precisely locate it and quantify its severity. A fundamental dichotomy within this field separates techniques into two categories: parametric (model-based) and nonparametric (signal-based) approaches. Parametric techniques rely on system identification to determine key modal parameters, such as natural frequencies, damping ratios, and mode shapes. Damage is subsequently inferred by observing deviations in these parameters from a baseline model representing the structure's undamaged state. This approach provides a physics-based interpretation of structural changes but is often computationally intensive and relies on the accuracy of the initial model. Conversely, nonparametric techniques bypass the need for a high-fidelity physical model. Instead, they leverage advanced signal processing and statistical tools to identify damage-sensitive features directly from the raw or pre-processed vibration signals. These data-driven methods are particularly valuable for complex structures where creating an accurate analytical model is challenging.

The evolution of both parametric and nonparametric approaches has been profoundly shaped over the past two decades by the adoption of machine learning (ML). ML algorithms have become instrumental in enhancing the automation, accuracy, and robustness of damage detection systems. The majority of these ML-driven methodologies adhere to a consistent two-stage framework: feature extraction followed by feature classification. In parametric ML methods, the feature extraction phase focuses on accurately identifying the structure's dynamic characteristics (the modal parameters) from vibration data collected under various structural states. In contrast, parametric ML methods employ a wider array of techniques for feature extraction, including time-series analysis, frequency-domain transformations, and statistical moment calculations, to derive damage-sensitive indicators directly from the signal stream. Following feature extraction, both paradigms utilize classifiers—such as support vector machines, artificial neural networks, or decision trees—to categorize the features into distinct labels corresponding to different structural health states (e.g., "healthy," "damaged at location X," "severity level Y") [1, 2].

This process outlines the conventional signal-based damage detection pipeline, which consists of three core steps: continuous signal acquisition, the extraction of often hand-crafted features, and final classification. The overall effectiveness of this pipeline is critically dependent on two interrelated factors. First, the extracted features must be highly sensitive to damage and exhibit a clear correlation with its progression. Second, the classifier must be meticulously configured and trained to discriminate between these features with high accuracy. This dual dependency means that optimizing a damage detection system for a specific structure often involves a significant degree of trial and error. Practitioners must experiment with different feature sets and classifier types to find the most effective combination for their particular application. This necessity for customization is a primary reason for the significant variation in techniques and methodologies documented in scientific literature, as there is rarely a universal solution applicable to all structural forms and damage scenarios [3].

3. Correlation model

Bridge deflection, the vertical displacement under load, is a fundamental engineering parameter critical at every stage of a bridge's life cycle. It is a primary consideration in structural design to ensure serviceability and safety, and it becomes an equally vital metric for the health monitoring of in-service bridges. Over recent decades, the technologies and methodologies for monitoring and assessing deflection in operational bridges have seen substantial advancement, moving from periodic manual measurements to continuous, automated systems. Analysis of the vast datasets collected from these monitoring systems has consistently revealed that the vertical deflection of a bridge is predominantly induced by two key variable actions: live loads from vehicular traffic and environmental effects, primarily temperature fluctuations. This understanding confirms that a strong, quantifiable correlation exists between the measured deflection and these site-specific conditions. By leveraging the historical database collected by a SHM system, it is possible to establish a robust predictive correlation model that quantitatively relates to vehicle load magnitude, temperature variation, and the resulting bridge deflection [4, 5].

The development of such a correlation model is not merely an academic exercise; it is a practical tool that serves three essential functions in modern bridge management. Firstly, the model acts as a powerful mechanism for data quality control and cleansing. SHM systems, despite their sophistication, are susceptible to external electromagnetic interference, sensor drift, and occasional hardware instability, which can introduce anomalous data points into the dataset. A correlation model, calibrated during a period of known normal structural behavior, provides a statistically sound benchmark to automatically identify and flag these outliers. This process helps prevent false alarms that could lead to unnecessary and costly inspections. Moreover, once an anomalous reading is identified, the model can be used to reconstruct a realistic, estimated value for the missing or discarded data point, thereby preserving the integrity of the long-term dataset for trend analysis [6,7].

Secondly, this data-driven model offers a highly practical and often more reliable alternative to complex finite element (FE) simulations. While FE models are valuable design tools, accurately calibrating them to replicate the nuanced behavior of an in-service bridge—with its complex boundary conditions, material aging, and real-world loading patterns—is exceptionally challenging. A high-precision correlation model, derived directly from measured data, can more reliably predict deflection responses under specific, critical scenarios that are of concern to engineers. For instance, it can forecast the deflection expected when an overweight vehicle permits to cross the bridge or during a period of extreme air temperature. These predictions provide crucial, evidence-based support for decision-making regarding traffic management and proactive maintenance scheduling [8].

Thirdly, and most significantly, the correlation model establishes a definitive baseline for structural damage detection. The ultimate objective of monitoring deflection is to infer changes in the bridge's global stiffness, which is a key indicator of potential damage, such as concrete cracking, tendon corrosion, or section loss. By establishing a "normal" expected range of deflection for given loading and temperature conditions, any significant and persistent deviation of real-time monitoring

data from this baseline can be flagged as a potential symptom of structural deterioration. This shift away from the model's prediction provides an early warning, enabling engineers to diagnose issues before they compromise the bridge's safety or serviceability, thereby transforming raw data into actionable intelligence for asset management [9, 10].

4. Faulty data collection and classification

The initial and critical step in the data cleansing pipeline for any SHM system is faulty data detection. This process, also known as sensor validation or faulty sensor detection, was originally developed within industrial engineering to monitor complex processes and ensure productivity and quality control. For example, pioneering work by Ricardo et al. demonstrated the use of Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to identify malfunctioning sensors in chemical processing plants. The methodology was later adapted for smart structures by researchers like Friswell and Inman, who applied similar principles to systems integrated with actuators and sensors. This introduction sparked a wave of subsequent research, establishing faulty data detection as a fundamental concern within the SHM community. The methodologies developed for this purpose can be broadly classified into two distinct categories: the model-based approach and the data-based approach, with the primary distinction being the reliance on a physical model of the structure [11].

The model-based approach necessitates the creation of a mathematical representation of the structure, such as a dynamic equation with known parameters, to facilitate fault detection. In this framework, once a theoretical or experimentally identified input-output model of the structure is established, faulty data are identified by computing the residual—the difference—between the measured sensor data and the fault-free data predicted by the model under the same theoretical inputs. This approach featured prominently in early SHM research. However, its application to large-scale bridge structures presents significant challenges. Developing an accurate mathematical model for a complex bridge is exceedingly difficult due to the vast number of undetermined parameters and the intricate nature of bridge dynamics. Furthermore, even if a sophisticated model, such as one based on an artificial neural network (ANN), is developed, the approach remains largely impracticable for real-world Bridge Structural Health Monitoring (BSHM). The fundamental obstacle is that the inputs to an in-service bridge—namely, the live loads from traffic and environmental forces—are typically unmeasurable in practice. Without known inputs, the model cannot generate a reliable prediction of fault-free data for comparison, rendering the residual calculation impossible. Additionally, the model-based approach lacks generalizability; since each bridge is a unique structure, a model developed for one cannot be readily applied to another, leading to significant and repeated computational overhead [12,13].

In contrast, the data-based approach detects anomalies directly within the data space, entirely bypassing the need for a mathematical model of physical structure. These methods leverage statistical and machine learning techniques to analyze the relationships and patterns among the sensor readings themselves. By establishing a baseline of "normal" sensor behavior from historical data collected when the system is known to be functioning correctly, data-based algorithms can identify significant deviations that indicate sensor fault or failure. This inherent characteristic makes data-based methods particularly well-suited for complex systems like large-scale bridges, which are often too difficult and costly to model with high precision. Their model-free nature offers a more flexible, scalable, and practical solution for the continuous monitoring demands of modern infrastructure, allowing engineers to ensure data reliability without the constraints of physical modeling [14,15].

5. Conclusions

This paper has systematically explored the transformative role of AI in advancing SHM for bridge infrastructure, with a specific focus on three critical applications: vibration-based global damage detection, correlation model learning for deflection analysis, and faulty data detection and

classification. A comparative analysis reveals a common AI paradigm—the shift from traditional, often subjective methods to data-driven, automated intelligence—yet each application addresses a distinct layer of the SHM problem. Vibration-based damage detection leverages AI, particularly deep learning, to automate the intricate process of feature extraction and classification from complex dynamic signals, moving beyond simplistic threshold alarms to sophisticated condition assessment. Correlation model learning employs AI to establish robust, non-physical relationships between loads (e.g., traffic, temperature) and structural responses (e.g., deflection), creating a powerful baseline for anomaly detection and prediction. Finally, faulty data detection utilizes AI-based, data-driven methods to ensure the integrity of the monitoring system itself, a foundational step that guarantees the reliability of all subsequent analyses.

Despite significant progress, challenges remain that chart the course for future research. A primary limitation across all applications is the dependency on large volumes of high-quality, labeled data for training, which is particularly scarce for damaged states or rare fault conditions in civil infrastructure. Furthermore, many AI models operate as "black boxes," lacking interpretability, which hinders their adoption by engineers who require understandable evidence for critical maintenance decisions.

Therefore, future work should prioritize several key directions. First, research into explainable AI (XAI) and physics-informed neural networks (PINNs) is crucial to bridge the gap between data-driven predictions and physical understanding, making AI outcomes more trustworthy and actionable. Second, developing advanced techniques for semi-supervised and transfer learning will be essential to overcome data scarcity, allowing models trained on one structure or under limited conditions to be effectively adapted to others. Finally, the integration of these disparate AI applications into a unified, digital twin framework represents the ultimate goal. Such a framework would synergistically combine real-time data validation, continuous baseline updating, and automated damage diagnosis, creating a truly intelligent and predictive SHM system that ensures the long-term safety and sustainability of our critical bridge infrastructure.

References

[1] M. Mansouri, O. Avci, H. Nounou, M. Nounou, Iterated square root unscented Kalman filter for nonlinear states and parameters estimation: three DOF damped system, *J. Civil. Struct. Health Monit*., 5 (2015), pp. 493-508

[2] M. Mansouri, O. Avci, H. Nounou, M. Nounou, A comparative assessment of nonlinear state estimation methods for structural health monitoring, in: Proceedings of IMAC XXXIII Conference and Exposition on Structural Dynamics, Orlando, FL, USA, 2015.

[3] O. Abdeljaber, O. Avci, S. Kiranyaz, M. Gabbouj, and D. J. Inman, Real-time vibration-based structural damage detection using one-dimensional convolutional neural networks, *Journal of sound and vibration*, vol. 388, pp. 154–170, 2017.

[4] Martinez D, Malekjafarian A, OBrien E. Bridge health monitoring using deflection measurements under random traffic. *Struct Control Health Monit*. 2020; 27(9): e2593.

[5] Liu Y, Deng Y, Cai CS. Deflection monitoring and assessment for a suspension bridge using a connected pipe system: a case study in China. *Struct Control Health Monit*. 2015; 22(12): 1408-1425.

[6] Tang ZY, Chen ZC, Bao YQ, Li H. Convolutional neural network-based data anomaly detection method using multiple information for structural health monitoring. *Struct Control Health Monit*. 2019; 26(1): e2296.

[7] Ye XJ, Chen BC. Condition assessment of bridge structures based on a liquid level sensing system: theory, verification and application. *Arab J Sci Eng*. 2019; 44(5): 4405-4424.

[8] Zhou Y, Sun LM, Fu ZH, Jiang Z, Ren PJ. General formulas for estimating temperature-induced mid-span vertical displacement of cable-stay bridges. *Eng Struct*. 2020; 221:111012.

[9] Yue ZX, Ding YL, Zhao HW. Deep learning-based minute-scale digital prediction model of temperature-induced deflection of a cable-stayed bridge: case study. *J Bridge Eng*. 2021; 26(6):05021004.

[10] Y. Deng, H. Ju, W. Zhai, A. Li, and Y. Ding, Correlation model of deflection, vehicle load, and temperature for in-service bridge using deep learning and structural health monitoring, *Structural control and health monitoring*, vol. 29, no. 12, Art. no. e3113, 2022.

[11] Ricardo D, Joe QS, Edgar Thomas F, McAvoy Thomas J. Identification of faulty sensors using principal component analysis. *AIChE J*. 1996; 42(10): 2797-2812.

[12] Maher A, Friswell Michael I. Sensor validation for structural systems with additive sensor faults. *Struct Health Monit*. 2004; 3(3): 265-275.

[13] Chun L, Lynch Jerome P, Mingyan L. Distributed model-based nonlinear sensor fault diagnosis in wireless sensor networks. *Mech Syst* Signal *Process*. 2016; 66: 470-484.

[14] Ka-Veng Y, Ortiz Gilberto A. Outlier detection and robust regression for correlated data. *Comput Methods Appl Mech Eng*.2017; 313: 632-646.

[15] X. Jian, H. Zhong, Y. Xia, and L. Sun, Faulty data detection and classification for bridge structural health monitoring via statistical and deep-learning approach, *Structural control and health monitoring*, vol. 28, no. 11, Art. no. e2824, 2021.