Integrating Aerodynamics, Materials Science, and Energy Efficiency in Formula One: A Cross-Disciplinary Review

Zhongzhe Li *

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, United States

* Corresponding Author Email: zhongzhe@unc.edu

Abstract. Since F1 was held, its goal has transitioned from the mere chasing of extreme speed to a wider research platform. In general, researchers use it to test the latest achievements in aerodynamics, materials science, and energy efficiency. In this paper, the latest progress in these three fields is reviewed. Based on comprehensive evaluation, their interrelations and more in-depth significance are demonstrated. The front wing parts can contribute about one quarter of the vehicle's downforce. Nonetheless, the efficiency of the vehicle will be severely weakened under a yaw state. The wake effect is likely to decrease the downforce of the following vehicle by 23-62%, thus seriously restricting the overtaking capacity. Carbon fiber composites bring about 30-60% weight decrease, making their energy absorption ability improve to two or even three times the original level. Nevertheless, the high cost and poor recyclability are still the critical barriers. In terms of the power systems, since the hybrid power units and advanced energy recovery systems were introduced in 2014, the thermal efficiency has increased to over 50%. Battery life cycle and thermal management remain the performance restricting factors. In contrast to most of the current literature that investigates these topics separately, this paper strives to combine them and examine their respective maturity and correlations. Aerodynamic performance is influenced by wake flow, which is directly linked to energy consumption. With the advancement of materials, the structure becomes more lightweight, so that aerodynamic efficiency is strengthened and the energy efficiency of hybrid power system is improved.

Keywords: Aerodynamic Performance, Composite Materials, Energy Efficiency, Hybrid Powertrain, Sustainable Engineering.

1. Introduction

The F1 car has long been seen as a high-concentration test ground for science and technology, not only a famous sporting event but also an important platform for continuous innovation in aerodynamics, materials science, and energy efficiency. Indeed, every current F1 car integrates the very latest research findings in these areas. The scale of today's sport reflects its global impact: Formula One has over 826 million fans around the world, with nearly 90 million new fans gained in a single year. The first 14 races of the 2025 season attracted a record-breaking attendance of 3.9 million spectators, with viewership in the United States averaging over 1.3 million per race, and top-tier events such as the Miami Grand Prix drawing more than 3 million to live broadcasts. The financials are huge - the top teams have annual £350 million R&D budgets, and they make £700 million from technology sponsorship alone, which is the greatest evidence to prove the importance and the influence of this sport nowadays.

In the world of Formula One, aerodynamics is "the king." It keeps the car firm on the track at all speeds, from 0 to 1G. Materials science ensures that the car is very light but at the same time very strong and safe—almost as soft as paper yet as hard as steel. Meanwhile, developments in energy engineering are driving up for more power using less petrol each year: fuel consumption is falling [1, 2].

Recent research presents several perspectives on the development of F1 technologies. In terms of aerodynamics, Raheem et al. found that "the cascade elements of the front wing can contribute up to 25% of the total downforce, but their effectiveness diminishes significantly at higher yaw angles". However, their effectiveness diminishes dramatically with yaw angle, and at higher yaw angles, this means a real trade-off for F1 teams – how can they maximize speed down straightaways but still keep some corner grip going around corners? Another major factor involved here is the wake effect. Guerrero and Castilla observe that “modern F1 cars are designed and well optimised to run under free stream flows, but they experience drastic aerodynamic losses (ranging from −23% to 62% in downforce coefficients) when running under wake flows.” This aerodynamic deficit gravely hurts overtaking capability [3,4].

From a materials science perspective, Savage argues that the introduction of composites was something that changed the history of F1. As he notes, “The introduction of fibre reinforced composite chassis was one of the most significant developments in the history of Grand Prix motor racing. Technology advances gained from these advanced materials have produced cars that are lighter, faster and safer than ever before” [1].

In the area of energy efficiency, whereas Guerrero and Castilla indicated that hybrid power units and energy recovery systems (ERS) can serve to increase fuel efficiency, particularly under a wake effect, that vision can be seen as consistent with the promotion of basic sports environmentalism in Formula 1 today. However, much of what has been written on the subject in existing literature remains focused on individual disciplines like aerodynamics, materials, or energy, and the interrelationships between them are not systematically covered. So, a more comprehensive, integrative approach that crosses disciplinary boundaries is needed [4].

This article aims to show the development of Formula One in three fields: aerodynamics, materials science, and energy efficiency. By studying its historical background and current problems, the result particle tries to find trends among three fields involving integration. This article aims to provide a macro vision of 'F1 technology's integration networks Future'. The hybrid car and "sculpture" of such great aerodynamic performance have to have this freedom due to technical factors.

2. Aerodynaimcis in Formula One

2.1. Front Wing and Producing End Plates

Although in Formula 1 aerodynamics has always been most important, contributing directly to speed vis-a-vis anything else on a racing car. As F1 cars are nearly flying like airplanes just above ground, in contrast to normal cars which aim toward comfort and fuel efficiency, F1 cars are almost entirely art of the vehicle, from the front of the nose cone to the tip of the rear wing. Like an airplane, however, the way in which this variation is accomplished is as important as anything else. If it proves too difficult for an aircraft to control takeoff and landing, drag will accumulate quickly, leading to an eventual crash and death for all onboard. Therefore, engineers meant to balance between two concerns: how much downforce can we create on one hand (so as to make corners racier and go quicker), and on the other hand - how do we minimize air resistance? This has always been a challenge for today's aerodynamics. Solutions have one aim that consists in counteracting errors with advanced control technology, tracking errors in step. As well as making data available to the real-time driver [5].

Tunable vacuum cleaner: this step combines both the influence an element of driver-induced aerodynamics can have on any vehicle design and how individuals outside their field also manage to expand our understanding. Among the many components of an F1 car, the front wing is an important aerodynamic element. It not only predetermines the initial distribution of airflow over the car body but also generates considerable amounts of downforce. Raheem et al. sed CFD simulations to demonstrate that “the cascade elements of the front wing can contribute up to 25% of the total downforce, but their effectiveness diminishes significantly at higher yaw angles.” This shows why teams face a trade-off between straight-line speed and maintaining grip through corners. This conclusion is closely linked to life in the fast lane: one starts designing cars for straight speed but gets bitten in the corners. Therefore, teams must always take into account balance at both high speed and low speed, meaning that aerodynamic efficiency and maneuverability are two sides of the same coin for them [6].

2.2. Wake Effect and Racing Competitiveness

Adding to the autonomous performance of the front wing, the wake has now become one of those significant factors which are deciding who wins and loses a race. For instance, when you are close behind another car, the turbulence generated by that car obviously upsets the airflow to your car, destroying much of its downforce in the process. Guerrero and Castilla emphasized that “modern F1 cars are designed and well optimized to run under free stream flows, but they experience drastic aerodynamic losses (ranging from −23% to 62% in downforce coefficients) when running under wake flows.” Such losses gravely reduce grip and make overtaking far more difficult, the car in second place thus loses a lot of grip, and at the same time loses the opportunity to overtake. For this reason, in the 2022 Technical Regulations, the FIA has decided to drastically simplify the aerodynamic design of cars in order to reduce the impact of wake turbulence on racing competitiveness at all levels [4].

Aerodynamic complexity does not end with the front wing and wake: the rear wing, underbody, diffuser, and spoiler are all aerodynamic components that contribute to a car's overall overtone balance. Looking back, the ground-effect car revolution of the late 1970s and the prevalence of wind tunnels in the 1980s have greatly altered the whole acreage of aerodynamic theorizing. In more recent years, computational fluid dynamics (CFD) has become an essential tool in race car development, enabling accurate predictions of the airflow-car interaction. However, wind tunnel testing remains an important form of verification. The high complexity of the design, however, also creates an anomaly: while it maximizes downforce and delivers the best single-car results, an institution is undoubtedly formed that in races weakens the viewing experience of audiences.

2.3. Historical Evolution and Other Aerodynamic Components

Another type of innovation is active aerodynamic systems. The 2011 variable rear wing system is a prime example of this, allowing drivers to temporarily lower drag on straightaways in exchange for a slight increase in overtaking opportunities. Although DRS has reduced the wake problem, it has also drawn criticism for being "artificially created" overtaking techniques rather than assisting in a fundamental improvement in aerodynamic efficacy. More sophisticated flow control techniques, like active blowing or boundary layer adsorption technology, have also been targeted by academia and industry. However, no one has addressed additional implementations in this area at the top of Formula One thus far due to cost and equity considerations [7].

2.4. Technical Challenges and Future Directions

Simply put, there are three primary technical issues in aerodynamic design that need to be resolved. The first is that balancing drag and downforce necessitates frequent compromises and trade-offs. Second, direct combat is impossible due to turbulent wakes, which makes the event dull. Moreover, these undermine the competition's objectives. Thirdly, teams are still compelled to look for small enhancements that adhere to the strict FIA standards for vehicle safety. These difficulties make R&D even more difficult, especially when combined with the high expense of wind tunnel testing and high-performance CFD computing.

There are a number of noteworthy areas of concern in aerodynamic development going forward. First, an effort to balance performance and racing fairness is reflected in the introduction of underbody Venturi tunnels and the simplification of front and rear wing designs starting in 2022. Second, it is anticipated that digital tools like digital twin simulation and machine learning-based design optimization will lower R&D expenses and accelerate iteration. Thirdly, the findings of racing aerodynamics research are slowly but surely making their way into the general auto industry, where they significantly contribute to increased energy efficiency and decreased emissions.

Briefly, in Formula One, aerodynamics means not just lap time but has a lot of influence over how much fun people have at races. By looking at the cascading front wing phenomenon that was studied in Raheem et al.'s research papers and by analyzing wake effects as Guerrero and Castilla do here, it is all about combining aerodynamics with speed, curves on race tracks during the 20th century did not look much like those you see today. However, these findings also illustrate the perennial tension between sport integrity and performance optimization. Future development depends not only on continuing to advance air-hydrodynamic science and simulation techniques but also on striking a balance in regulation that enables creativity while still being fair to everyone involved or watching. Future developments will depend not only on the continued progress of aerodynamic science and simulation methods but also on how the rules are written in order to treat all drivers equally [4].

3. Material Science Applications in Formula One

3.1. Materials Evolution and Historical Milestones

Materials within the history of Formula One have always been the underpinning advancement that has forced the performance envelope. From the onset of steel and aluminum alloy to the advancement of carbon fiber reinforced polymers (CFRP), the weight, strength, and safety of race cars have been utterly transformed. The introduction of fibre reinforced composite chassis was one of the most significant developments in the history of Grand Prix motor racing; not only did it dramatically reduce the overall weight of the car, but it also introduced new safety and aerodynamic opportunities.

Traditionally, steel space frames weighed over 100 kg, whereas carbon-modulized monocoque structures achieved approximately 35–45 kg for a weight reduction of nearly 60%. The performance benefits of this weight loss were direct: The mass of 20kg above the weight limit equates to a loss of 0.4 seconds in lap time. Furthermore, the specific strength of CFRP is significantly greater than steel and aluminum, typically achieving tensile strength values between 800 and 1,500 MPa, while being just 1.5 to 1.6 g/cm³ in density, this would be in comparison to 7.8 g/cm³ density for steel. As Savage highlights, “carbon fibre composites now make up almost 85% of the volume of a contemporary Formula 1 car whilst accounting for less than 25% of its mass.” This difference underlines the efficiency of composites for lightweight construction [1].

Additionally, according to IJIRT, carbon fiber monocoques significantly increased the torsional stiffness-to-weight ratio and reduced structural weight by roughly 30–40% for the Formula Student race car chassis. This improved handling performance was a result of the suspension maintaining contact during high-speed corners. Furthermore, sandwich (carbon fiber face sheets bonded with aluminum honeycomb cores) composite structures provided specific stiffness and strength that were 2-3 times greater while adding less than 15% extra weight, demonstrating the great potential of composites in performance enhancement [5].

3.2. Lightweight Design and Safety Balance

When it comes to Formula One, we’ve always tried to make cars as light as possible in order to make them faster and more efficient, but not at the cost of ultimate safety at high speeds. Savage observed that current composite technologies not only contributed to the reduction of weight of the vehicles but also had a major role in crashworthiness. The carbon-fiber 'survival cell', for example, weighs as little as 35 to 45 kg, yet it can withstand more than 40 kN of compressive load in FIA-mandated crash tests, significantly outperforming typical steel or aluminum frame structures [3].

The FIA frontal crash test is a deceleration test in which, in 40 milliseconds, the energy-absorbing barrier must be uniformly impacted at 15 m/s (54 km/h) with the cockpit being structurally undamaged while over 150 kJ of energy is absorbed. The multi-layered laminated composites allow the energy in the impact to dissipate in terms of a progressive delamination and fiber breaking of the multi-layer making vehicle and aircraft damage less fatal for their occupants. For instance, when Robert Kubica crashed at high speed at the 2007 Canadian Grand Prix, he actually hit a wall so hard his vehicle was destroyed, but his carbon fiber cockpit actually saved him from serious injury.

In addition, studies found that CFRP has an energy absorption capacity during crashes between 25 and 35 kJ/kg, which is 2–3 times higher than that of aluminum alloys with absorbed energy between 10 and 15 kJ/kg. Because of their greatly improved ability to crash, the FIA stipulates the use of composite construction for survival cells, killing two birds with one stone in ensuring the driver is as well protected as possible should a high-speed accident occur [3, 8].

3.3. Composites Used in Main Components

Composites are also inserted in fundamental components of the Formula One vehicles. For example, composite gearboxes are significantly lighter than traditional alloy boxes, up to 25% stiffer, can be operated at higher temperatures and are easy to modify and repair. CCCs, possessing stability at high temperatures, are extensively employed in braking systems. Savage found that carbon–carbon brakes operate between 400 °C and 1000 °C, with peak values exceeding 1200 °C, while retaining stable friction coefficients. In contrast, typical steel discs would be subject to significant fade beyond 600 °C [1].

Plasma suspension and protection systems also use high-performance fibers, such as Kevlar and Zylon. For example, Zylon has a tensile strength of greater than 5.8 GPa, compared to steel of a comparable weight. As a result, Zylon side panels in cockpits have been mandatory since 2007 to prevent debris penetration at high speeds.

3.4. Design Optimization of Composite Monocoque Chassis

IJIRT asserted that weight and torsional stiffness are important quantities in the design of composite chassis for FSAE and F1 cars. Studies indicate that replacing steel tube space frame structures with carbon fiber monocoque structures can deliver a 30-40% reduction in chassis weight and a 50% increase in torsional stiffness (i.e., 400-600 N.m/deg versus 200-250 N.m/deg for more conventional designs). Furthermore, sandwich laminates (carbon fiber facings with aluminum honeycomb cores) can additionally increase specific stiffness and strengths by a factor of 2–3 [2, 5, 6].

Sparse FEA and CFD are used to verify structural performance. In practice, torsional stiffnesses the simulation of which are 10–15% higher than the measurements can be due to extra flexibility at suspension attachments, which highlights the importance of the prototype validation of theoretical models.

3.5. Fabrication Techniques and Quality Control

In F1, the way composites work depends very much on how they are made. Prepreg lay-up processes are usually cured in autoclaves at 120–180 °C and 0.6–0.8 MPa, resulting in a high fiber volume fraction of 60–65%, which leads to superior mechanical properties.

However, incomplete cures during processing may cause delamination or voids and decrease the strength by 10 to 20%. Other forms of imperfections are due to the drug itself as well as to processing. Accordingly, non-destructive testing (NDT) is the key technology, such as ultrasonic and X-ray inspections, in order to make reliable systems. The history of research also shows that for AFP, layup deviations may be limited to between ±2 mm, added to them the development of a consistent AFP process.

3.6. Trends and Challenges

Composites have, however, produced phenomenal results for Formula One, but there are still challenges in relation to cost and sustainability. Savage also mentioned that CFRPs cost 20-30 times more than steel and the processing of CFRPs needs very complex and time-consuming manners. In addition, recycling rates are moderate, meaning today’s recycling processes result in only 50–60% fiber recovery, and the mechanical properties go down by about 10–20% compared to virgin fibers [1, 3, 9].

The next generation should therefore not only improve performance but also be cost-effective and have a small impact on the environment. New studies are even looking at bio-based composites and recyclable resins as alternatives, as the journey continues to lessen Formula One’s environmental impact without compromising the performance edge needed by teams.

4. Energy Efficiency and Powertrain

4.1. Background and Motivation

In the new millennium, you could even argue that F1 is moving increasingly towards becoming more of an efficiency exercise than a pursuit of ultimate speed. FIA introduced in 2014, in order to support the global concern over the energy crisis and environmental issues, hybrid power units (HPUs) and corresponding strict fuel flow and consumption regulations have been regulated to promote team performance focused on energy usage. Traditional and naturally aspirated V8 engines used to have an efficiency of around 30%, whereas the current V6 turbo-hybrid powertrains reach a thermal efficiency above 50%. Guerrero and Castilla (2020) emphasized that “the hybrid V6 turbo power units currently achieve thermal efficiencies exceeding 50%, making them benchmarks in automotive engineering” [5, 8].

4.2. Hybrid Power Unit (HPU)

The contemporary HPU is essentially a six-pack composed of three constituent parts: 1.6-liter turbocharged V-6 internal combustion engine, motor generator units (MGU-K and MGU-H), and high-energy lithium-ion battery packs. The MGU-K can collect and use 120 kW (about 20–25% of total power) per lap by recovering kinetic energy while braking. The MGU-H recovers energy from the exhaust and delivers electrical power, eliminating turbo lag and 'turbo hole,' making the power delivery more precise. This design permits maintaining the high-performance level of Formula One cars, but with a dramatic decrease in fuel consumption.

4.3. ERS: Challenges and Applications

That marked another step along the road of a Formula One that has become so efficient. This was a big jump from the 60 kW for 6.7 s = 400 kJ of energy that the first Kinetic Energy Recovery System (KERS) used from 2009 to 2013, which was almost ten times better. Nevertheless, the ERS also brought new obstacles, specifically in terms of thermal management and battery life. High power operation frequently results in overheating, with teams employing conservative deployment tactics to avoid suffering performance loss as a result of thermal constriction [5].

4.4. Gains in Efficiency and Strategy for the Race

While some teams used more than 200 kg of fuel in the 1990s, current FIA regulations limit the amount of fuel that can be used in a race to 110 kg (as of 2022). This rule forces teams to make engines, aerodynamics, and weight savings that are more efficient. Because of this, energy strategy has been very important in race tactics. A common use of ERS energy is to use it freely to try to get a temporary power advantage over an opponent, and to save it when defending or going through long straights. It's a smart way to use energy that shows how much competition these days is about being strong and being efficient [9].

4.5. Electrification and Sustainable Fuels – Future Trends

In the future, Formula One's powertrain will also change to make it more environmentally friendly and use more electricity. “The maximum MGU-K output will be increased to 350 kW, with all cars required to run on 100% sustainable fuels,” the FIA (2026) states. The ICE will still be in the package, but the fuel will now be 100% sustainable, like e-fuels and biofuels. This is similar to how the global automotive sector is moving toward carbon neutrality and makes Formula One a testing ground for new technologies that can generate sustainable forms of power [10].

5. Integrated Discussion and Conclusion

5.1. Cross-Domain Synthesis

The previous sections have explained how changes in aerodynamics, materials, and energy efficiency have affected the future of Formula One. For many years, aerodynamics has been the most crucial component; we have been able to create a great deal of downforce and maintain a car's stability at speeds above 300 km/h, but this achievement has drawbacks of its own, not the least of which is the issue of turbulent wake and how it affects overtaking.

Meanwhile, in materials science, carbon fiber composites have revolutionized the safety and structural design of Formula One cars, providing previously unattainable weight savings and crash safety. Historically and prospectively, with regard to sophisticated engine technology, equalization regulations have imposed the creation of a single criterion of energy preservation (after 2008, active systems were outlawed) to resolve one of the most challenging issues in the development of an environmentally acceptable car: how to operate on an increasingly strict usage restriction of petroleum.

In combination, these three domains expose the degree to which F1 is no longer simply a sporting contest, but an applied laboratory for interdisciplinary technological innovation. Thanks to Web 2.0 and SOA, each of these areas has witnessed considerable advancement on its own; yet, the interconnected nature of these areas means that future advances will require more integrated methods.

5.2. Comparing Maturity and Issues to be Tackled

These domains are not all equally mature, even with this excellent progress being made. Aerodynamics, which is backed up by decades of wind-tunnel work and computational fluid dynamics, is possibly the most developed. Now, complex flow interactions can be accurately simulated by teams. The wake turbulence problem remains unsolved, as it frequently decreases downforce for the following cars by 23-62%, thereby limiting overtaking opportunities.[5]

Materials science has made it possible to produce light yet strong monocoque chassis, which are 30–60% lighter than steel structures and have energy absorption rates that are 2–3 times larger than aluminum. However, energy consumption and costs are still too high—carbon fiber composites are 20–30 times more expensive than steel and available recycling technologies can recover only 50–60% of the fibers with degraded mechanical properties. [1, 3, 11]

Energy Efficiency: Even though energy efficiency is improving quickly, it still has problems that are built into the system. Hybrid powertrains now have thermal efficiencies of more than 50%, which is the best in the automotive industry. But there are still problems with thermal control, battery life, and the complexity of hybrid systems. Furthermore, even though recoverable energy has increased by almost ten times with ERS compared to KERS days, complex management is still necessary to get the most out of the system during a race.

5.3. Complications and Interactions Between Aerodynamics, Materials, and Energy Systems

The three areas are not separate; they depend on each other a lot. Aerodynamics and material properties come together to make structures that are light, drag through the air, and block flow. Composite suspension parts, for instance, show how structural materials affect the aerodynamics directly.

The two are also connected in terms of materials and energy efficiency: a lighter chassis will help save fuel and battery load, which will directly improve the performance of the hybrid powertrains. Aerodynamics and energy efficiency are ultimately linked: a turbulent wake not only makes it harder to pass other cars, but it also increases drag, which means more fuel is used. These dependencies show that Formula One technologies need to be managed as a single system instead of separate, disconnected systems.

5.4. Regulation and Cost Restrictions

In other words, it's important to think about how Formula One innovation will change over time, not just in terms of engineering but also in terms of rule books and checkbooks. FIA rules are both good and bad: they made hybrid powertrains and sustainable fuels mandatory, but they also put limits on active aerodynamics and the types of materials that can be used. Cap costs also limit how far teams can go in their search for new materials or designs that haven't been tried before. So, the two waves of renewable energy technologies are linked to each other and shaped by competition, goals of sustainable development, and how they are governed.

5.5. Future Directions

There are several themes that I believe will influence the next phase of Formula One technology. Perhaps, by utilizing real-time control technologies, aerodynamics could test active apparatus further to better balance drag and downforce. Sustainability will become a bigger part of materials science, with a focus on bio-based alternatives, recyclable carbon fiber, and thermoplastic composites. The issue is keeping the strength-to-weight ratio high while also lowering costs and environmental impact.

The electrification of energy systems will move forward even more. By 2026, ERS will rise to 350 kW as 100% sustainable fuels are introduced. The changes make Formula One more in line with the world's goal of becoming carbon neutral [12].

5.6. Concluding Remarks

The three areas are not separate; they depend on each other a lot. Aerodynamics and material properties come together to make structures that are light, drag through the air, and block flow. Composite suspension parts, for instance, show how structural materials affect the aerodynamics directly.

The two are also connected in terms of materials and energy efficiency: a lighter chassis will help save fuel and battery load, which will directly improve the performance of the hybrid powertrains. Aerodynamics and energy efficiency are ultimately linked: a turbulent wake not only makes it harder to pass other cars, but it also increases drag, which means more fuel is used. These dependencies show that Formula One technologies need to be managed as a single system instead of separate, disconnected systems.

6. Conclusion

In summary, Formula One has evolved into a multidisciplinary research facility for creating and evaluating novel materials science and aerodynamics technologies in energy-efficient settings. Each of these fields has accomplished remarkable achievements: materials science has redefined lightweight safety with carbon composites, aerodynamics has maximized downforce and combated wake turbulence, and powertrains have established thermal efficiency as a new metric.

Moreover, progress will increasingly depend on integrated solutions across these domains. Most importantly, putting these fields together will require sophisticated computational optimization, which could involve using AI to design aerodynamics, structures, and powertrains simultaneously. Such integration, therefore, has the potential to deliver advances that isolated efforts in individual fields cannot achieve.

Ultimately, Formula One is more than just a sport; it is a preview of some of the most advanced technology in modern engineering. Its ongoing development influences racetrack competitive performance and could alter the direction of vehicle manufacturing in the future — promoting advancements in energy solutions, sustainable materials, and aerodynamic performance. In this sense, as sport enters a new era of electrification and sustainable fuels, its value as a laboratory for cross-disciplinary innovation will only continue to grow.

References

[1] Savage G. Composite materials technology in Formula 1 motor racing. SAE Technical Paper 2008-01-2984. Warrendale (PA): SAE International; 2008.

[2] Tavares SS, Caillet-Bois N, Michaud V, Månson JAE. Non-autoclave processing of honeycomb sandwich structures: Skin through-thickness air permeability during cure. Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf. 2010;41(5):646-52.

[3] Savage G. Formula 1 composites engineering. Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf. 2010;41(6):804-18.

[4] Guerrero JE, Castilla R. Aerodynamic study of the wake effects on a Formula 1 car. Energies. 2020;13(21):5183.

[5] International Journal of Innovative Research in Technology (IJIRT). Design and fabrication of composite monocoque chassis using carbon fiber. Int J Innov Res Technol. 2025;11(1):108-13.

[6] Fédération Internationale de l'Automobile. Formula One Technical Regulations 2026. Geneva: FIA; 2026.

[7] Pegrum CM, Richards PJ, Sharma RN. Vortex system produced by the front wing endplate of a racing car. J Fluids Eng. 2007;129(3):364-71.

[8] Diasinos S, Barber TJ, Doig G, Neely AJ. The interaction between a Formula One front wing and exposed wheels. J Fluids Eng. 2014;136(6):061101.

[9] Oliveux G, Dandy LO, Leeke GA. Current status of recycling of fibre reinforced polymers: Review of technologies, reuse and resulting properties. Prog Mater Sci. 2015; 72:61-99.

[10] Raheem A, Sajid M, Khan A, Asghar W. Aerodynamics of a Formula One car front cascade wing during cornering. Int J Automot Mech Eng. 2019;16(4):7230-46.

[11] Toet W. Aerodynamics and aerodynamic research in Formula 1. Aeronaut J. 2013;117(1187):717-47.

[12] Fédération Internationale de l'Automobile. Formula One Technical Regulations 2014. Geneva: FIA; 2014.