

A Literature Review on The Evaluation and Improvement of The International Competitiveness of China's Aerospace Manufacturing Industry

Hengzi Qu^{1, a}

¹School of Business, Hunan University of Science and Technology, Xiangtan 411201, China

^a2546922109@qq.com

Abstract: Taking the international competitiveness of China's aerospace manufacturing industry as the research object (Hereinafter abbreviated as IC-AMI), this paper classifies and sorts out the relevant literature of industrial international competitiveness, China's aerospace manufacturing industry and China's IC-AMI, and believes that the existing research still has expandable potential. First of all, no scholar has yet conducted a quantitative analysis of the factors influencing China's IC-AMI. Second, there is a lack of international competitiveness assessment of China's aerospace manufacturing industry based on horizontal comparison. Finally, no scholars have used data from 2008 to study China's IC-AMI.

Keywords: Aerospace manufacturing, International competitiveness of the industry, Literature review.

1. Research on the International Competitiveness of The Industry

Since the 80s of the 20th century, especially since the 90s, economic globalization has entered a stage of all-round development, and many developed and developing countries have enjoyed the dividends of globalization development, but at the same time, economic globalization has also made industries and enterprises in various countries around the world face an unprecedented international competition pattern. In the following three decades, many outstanding theories and views emerged from governments and related scholars, among which the research on international competitiveness assessment indicators and influencing factors was the most concentrated.

1.1. Research on evaluation indicators

The most famous indicators are the World Economic Forum (WEF) and the International Institute for Management Development (IMD) in Lausanne, Switzerland. In 1986, the WEF issued the Report on International Competitiveness, which initially formed an independent theoretical concept and statistical system in terms of international competitiveness; In 1989, WEF cooperated with IMD to carry out international competitiveness research and regularly published the World Competitiveness Yearbook every year. WEF and the IMD in Lausanne, Switzerland, have given a large number of evaluation indicators, and the study of international competitiveness has made significant progress in collaboration between the two sides.

There are three main types of evaluation indicators for the evaluation of the international competitiveness of domestic and foreign industries: the first type is indicative indicators. It mainly includes the indicative comparative advantage index RCA, trade competitiveness index TCI, international share, price ratio, and indicative competitive advantage. The index of indicative comparative advantage is the most widely used, first proposed by Liesner (1958), and developed and perfected by Michaely (1962), Balassa (1965), Rooyen et al. (2000)[1-4]. It reflects the actual results of a country's comparative

advantage and competitive advantage of a certain type of product or service (Zhang Xiaodi and Sun Jingwei, 2006), and is widely used in the primary industry (Matkovski et al., 2021; Chen Zhiheng and Sun Tongtong, 2019; Gao Haixiu and Wang Mingli, 2018; Liu et al., 2018), the secondary industry (Wang Houshuang and Sheng Xinyu, 2020; Wang Jiexiang et al., 2019) and the tertiary industry (Yin Feng and Chen Xian, 2009; Zhang Tiemo, 2021; Guo Mingying, 2019; Xuan Shanwen, 2019) in the study of international competitiveness [5-15]. The second category is analytical indicators. There are two main methods of specific operation, one is the "production method" proposed by the ICOP (International Comparison of Output and Productivity) project team of the University of Gringen in the Netherlands, represented by Vanark (1996), Wagner and Ark (1996) [16-17]. The other is the "expenditure method" represented by Jorgenson and Kuroda (1991), which is more complex and can also calculate the purchasing power assessment of input factors[18]. Domestic scholars mainly apply analytical indicators to the study of the international competitiveness of the manufacturing industry (Ren Ruoen, 1998; Chen Limin and Tan Liwen, 2004; Liu Lei and Guan Quan, 2019; He Zhengchu et al., 2018) [19-22]. The third category is comprehensive assessment indicators. It is a weighted assessment of a variety of factors affecting the international competitiveness of the industry. Jin Bei et al. (2006) used the proportion of exports of various industries to the country's total exports, export growth rate advantage index, indicative comparative interest index, international market share of various industrial products, market penetration, trade competition index and other indicators to study China's IC-AMI[23]. Zhou Xing and Fu Ying (2000) analyze and evaluate the overall international competitiveness of a country's industry from four aspects: the quality of the industry, the current situation and development trend of the industrial structure, the environmental system factors of industrial development, and the degree and ability of industrial internationalization[24]. Some scholars used the comprehensive assessment method to evaluate the international competitiveness of the primary industry (Gan

Lin et al., 2022; Liu Chenyang et al., 2022; Zhao Xia et al., 2021; Xiong Sihao et al., 2020; Song Xinyang et al., 2020), the secondary industry (Tang Hongxiang et al., 2019; Yang Chengyu, 2018), and the tertiary industry (Wan Xucai et al., 2001; Sun Shaoyan et al., 2020; Wu Xiaoyi and Zhang Yajing, 2020), and improved the comprehensive assessment method. The application of the integrated valuation method in international competitiveness assessment has been promoted [25-34].

1.2. Research on influencing factors

Michael Porter's diamond model theory is one of the most basic theories in international competitiveness research. Porter (1990) proposed the "4+2" diamond model in the study of the formation of the international competitive advantage of a country's industry, taking the four basic elements and two key elements as the basis for the formation of the international competitive advantage of the industry, and comprehensively and systematically studying the conditions for the formation of the international competitiveness of the industry [35]. Since then, many scholars have continued to improve diamond models, and diamond models have also developed, including the "multi-factor diamond model" (Cartwright, 1993), the "international diamond model" (Dunning, 1993), the "double diamond model" (Rugman and D'cruz, 1993), the "nine-factor model" (Cho, 1994), the "generalized diamond model" (Moon et al., 1998), and the "new diamond model" (Rui Mingjie, 2006). It improves the applicability of diamond models and promotes the rapid development of research related to the international competitiveness of the industry [36-41].

Diamond models are widely used in the analysis of factors influencing the international competitiveness of various industries. It mainly includes three categories: the first category is agricultural products. Chen Weiping (2003) divided agricultural production factors into traditional agricultural production factors and modern agricultural production factors, and with the development of economy and the improvement of productivity level, modern agricultural production factors will play a decisive role in the international competitiveness of agriculture [42]. The slow progress of China's agricultural modernization has led to the decline in the international competitiveness of China's agricultural products (Yao Wen, 2014), and the construction of agricultural product supply chains is conducive to eliminating the shortcoming effect of industrial development and improving the international competitiveness of agricultural products (Ji Lianggang and Mi Xinli, 2017) [43-44]. Huang Zuhui et al. (2010), Fu Juan (2008), Wang Xi and Lan Yong (2007) discussed the scheme of improving the international competitiveness of regional agricultural products based on the research on the international competitiveness of agricultural products in specific regions [45-47]. Some scholars have studied the factors influencing the international competitiveness of agricultural products from a specific industry, including citrus products (Tao Yanhong and Xiong Wei, 2016), pig breeding (Yin Zhiyang et al., 2016), corn (Zhao Honglei and Jia Jinrong, 2010), tea (Xu Guoquan and Chen Chungun, 2007), etc. [48-51]. The above studies analyze the influencing factors of agricultural international competitiveness from different perspectives, and promote the development of agricultural international competitiveness. The second category is manufacturing. Manufacturing is one of the important indicators to measure the comprehensive strength and competitiveness of the country, the comparative

advantage of China's manufacturing labor cost tends to weaken, increase human capital investment, actively cultivate innovative talents, improve labor productivity is the fundamental way to maintain the competitiveness of China's manufacturing industry (Chen Fenglan and Huang Meibo, 2018) [52]. As far as technology-intensive industries are concerned, the focus of enhancing their international competitiveness is to continuously increase R&D and innovation investment (Yu Bo and Pan Aimin, 2021) [53]. At the same time, in the information age, the substitution of information factors for labor, land, capital and other factors can save resources, reduce costs and improve efficiency (Ge Jiping, 2010), the limitations of primary production factors are relatively amplified, while the importance of advanced production factors is accelerating (Lin Li et al., 2012) [54-55]. The measures to develop a low-carbon economy can make up for the shortcomings of the low labor quality and knowledge intensity of China's manufacturing industry (Zhao Hao and Liu Hang, 2010), and the industrial agglomeration of China's manufacturing industry has promoted the improvement of international competitiveness as a whole, especially on technology-intensive industries (Du Qinghua, 2010), and the rapid development of related industries and supporting industries is an important measure to enhance the international competitiveness of China's advanced manufacturing industry (Chen Hong and Li Zhiqian, 2019) [56-58]. In short, increasing investment in human capital and R&D innovation is the only way to enhance the international competitiveness of China's manufacturing industry. Some scholars have further confirmed the above view through research on the international competitiveness of industries such as the paper industry (Qiu Xiaolan et al., 2015), the sporting goods manufacturing industry (Li Bifang and Cao Guannan, 2010), and the textile industry (Tong Xia and Gao Shenrong, 2013; Cheng Xin, 2009) [59-62]. The third category is trade in services. The openness of service trade has a great impact on the competitiveness of China's service trade (Chen Hong and Zhang Guorong, 2010), Lan Qingxin and Dou Kai (2019) verified this conclusion through research on the digital cultural industry [63-64]. To accelerate the development of China's service industry, we must further improve the level of opening up of the service industry, and the government needs to further formulate relevant incentive policies in the field of modern productive services with high technological level (Xiaode and Ye Maosheng, 2010) [65]. In addition, more scientific and technological content should be added to labor-intensive service industries with comparative advantages or vigorously develop knowledge, technology and capital-intensive service industries (Wan Hongxian, 2005) [66]. China's cultural and creative industries lack senior human resources (Yang Li and Wang Xiaoxiao, 2018; Qu Guoming, 2012; Yang Xiuyun and Guo Yong, 2010), and some scholars have confirmed this view through research on the animation industry (Zhang Xiao and Wei Ting, 2009), the TV drama industry (Zhu Chunyang and Ma Haijiao, 2022), and the publishing industry (Huang Xianrong and Tian Changqing, 2012; Zhao Liang and Lv Jing, 2012) [67-73]. The role of government (Huang Manying and Deng Xiaohong, 2011) and the development of related industries (Zhang Wukang, 2017) also affect the improvement of international competitiveness of trade in services [74-75].

2. China's Aerospace Manufacturing Related Research

Research on China's aerospace manufacturing industry focuses on two aspects: technological innovation and industrial agglomeration.

2.1. Research on technological innovation in China's aerospace manufacturing industry

The most prominent feature of the development of China's aviation industry in the production function is that the huge growth of the industrial economy is driven by greater economic input, while the growth brought about by technological progress is not obvious enough (Yang Bei and Shi Zhanzhong, 2012) [76]. Zhang Jinle and Liu Tian (2012) believe that the technological efficiency of China's aerospace manufacturing industry is still at a low level, and it should continue to increase the intensity of scientific and technological innovation, should focus on improving the allocation efficiency of high-quality personnel, and should encourage the aerospace manufacturing industry to actively develop an export-oriented economy, expand the international market, and take into account the improvement of management level [77]. There is a significant interactive symbiotic relationship between the innovation process and the growth of aerospace manufacturing, and basic innovation, product innovation, and process innovation have a significant role in promoting the growth of strategic emerging industries (Liu Chunhui and Zhao Yulin, 2016), and the national technology policy is closely related to the technology leading strategy committed to independent innovation (Hong Jin et al., 2015) [78-79]. The improvement of the independent innovation capability of the western aviation industry depends on the innovation environment and innovation base (Xu Lihua et al., 2006) [80]. The improvement of innovation capability depends on the government's scientific research investment and the risk sharing mechanism of enterprises (Liu Penglin, 2013), market environment and economic environment (Zhang Jinle and Zhao Juan, 2014), and internal knowledge management (Yang Tong et al., 2008) [81-83]. Collaborative innovation is an important way of scientific and technological innovation in aerospace manufacturing, but the overall degree of technological innovation system coordination in China's aerospace manufacturing industry is at a low level, and the degree of technological innovation system coordination in aerospace manufacturing should be improved by building a technological innovation collaboration platform and supporting the cultivation of science and technology enterprises (Fang Wei and Zhao Jianjian, 2021) [84]. The depth of collaborative innovation is the degree of collaborative innovation in technological innovation, and the intensity of government R&D investment and enterprise development speed are positively correlated with the depth of collaborative innovation (Yu Liping, 2017) [85]. Zhang Jinle and Zhang Ke (2020) proposed that the positive correlation between government support and system coordination is the most obvious [86].

2.2. Research on China's aerospace manufacturing agglomeration

The agglomeration phenomenon of China's aerospace manufacturing industry is relatively significant (Xu Yingzhi and Zhu Yixi, 2010), and the agglomeration level shows a "W" shaped trend, and its agglomeration degree has a

significant positive effect on the growth of industrial output and industrial total factor productivity (Zhao Yulin and Liao Mingyao, 2017)[87-88]. The agglomeration and social welfare development level of China's aerospace manufacturing industry mostly change in the same direction (Lu Jianfeng et al., 2014), and the improvement of agglomeration level depends on institutional innovation, scientific research mechanism and management system (Hu Hongan and Li Yang, 2014) [89-90]. At the same time, increasing investment in universities and research institutes (Zhang Jinle and Yi Chenchen, 2014) and strengthening the construction of industrial service platforms (Zhang Jinle et al., 2015) are conducive to improving the level of agglomeration[91-92].

3. Research on China's IC-AMI

Mu Rongping (2003) analyzed China's IC-AMI from three aspects: competitive strength, competitive potential and competitive environment, and believed that China's IC-AMI is low and it is difficult to participate in international competition [93]. Through empirical analysis of China's IC-AMI, some scholars have confirmed the view that China's IC-AMI is low, but the profit margin and labor productivity of China's aerospace manufacturing industry have grown rapidly, indicating that China's IC-AMI is rapidly improving (Qin Zhen and Qin Yonghe, 2007; Qin Zhen and Ni Yan, 2006) [94-95]. The ability of independent innovation has a decisive impact on China's IC-AMI (Dong Jie and You Yanan, 2012) [96].

4. Literature review

Academic research on China's aerospace manufacturing industry and international competitiveness is very rich, especially the international competitiveness of the industry, whether it is the selection of evaluation indicators or the analysis of influencing factors, it is very comprehensive, and it is applied to many industries, but the existing research is still expandable. First of all, no scholar has yet conducted a quantitative analysis of the factors influencing China's IC-AMI. Second, there is a lack of international competitiveness assessment of China's aerospace manufacturing industry based on horizontal comparison. Finally, no scholars have used data from 2008 to study China's IC-AMI.

References

- [1] Liesner H H. The European Common Market and British Industry [J]. *The Economic Journal*, 1958(68): 302-316.
- [2] Michael Michaely. Concentration in International Trade [J]. North-Holland Pub. Co., Ltd. 1962.
- [3] Balassa. Trade Liberalization and Revealed Comparative Advantage [J]. *Manchester School*, 1965, 33.
- [4] Van Rooyen C J, Esterhuizen D, Doyer O T. How Competitive is Agribusiness in the South African Food Commodity Chain? [R]. 1999.
- [5] ZHANG Xiaoti, SUN Jingwei. Analysis of the International Competitiveness of Chinese Industry Based on Vertical Specialization and Division of Labor[J]. *World Economy*, 2006(05): 12-21.
- [6] Matkovski B, Zekić S, Đokić D, et al. Export Competitiveness of Agri-food Sector During the EU Integration Process: Evidence from the Western Balkans[J]. *Foods*, 2021, 11(1): 10.

- [7] CHEN Zhiheng, SUN Tongtong. Analysis of influencing factors of international competitiveness of US agricultural products[J]. Academic Exchanges, 2019(10): 99-113+192.
- [8] GAO Haixiu, WANG Mingli. Research on production cost and international competitiveness of beef cattle in China[J]. Price Theory & Practice, 2018(03): 75-78.
- [9] LIU Yun, CHEN Qijun, LI Da. Analysis of China's vegetable export trade and international competitiveness[J]. Economic Issues, 2018(05): 64-67.
- [10] WANG Houshuang, SHENG Xinyu. A comparative study on the international competitiveness of China's high-end equipment manufacturing industry[J]. Journal of Dalian University of Technology (Social Science Edition), 2020, 41(01): 8-18.
- [11] WANG Jiexiang, WANG Yamin, LI Chunyou, et al. Comparative Study on the International Competitiveness of China's CNC Machine Tool Industry—A Discussion on the Value Chain Path and Platform Path of Industrial Competitiveness Improvement[J]. Economic Geography, 2019, 39(07): 106-118.
- [12] Yin Feng, Chen Xian. Research on influencing factors of international trade in services and the international competitiveness of China's trade in services[J]. Journal of International Trade, 2009(02): 61-69.
- [13] ZHANG Tiemo. Research on the International Competitiveness of China's Digital Publishing Industry[J]. China Press, 2021(22): 60-64.
- [14] GUO Mingying. Comparison of International Competitiveness of China's Tourism Service Trade: An Empirical Study Based on Extended Gravity Model[J]. Research World, 2019(09): 61-65.
- [15] Xuan Shanwen. Analysis of the international competitiveness of China's transportation service trade[J]. Economic Issues, 2019(02): 109-115.
- [16] Vanark B. Productivity and Competitiveness in Manufacturing: A Comparison of Europe, Japan and the United State[M]. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1996.
- [17] Wagner K, Ark B V. International Productivity Differences: Measurement and Explanations[M]. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1996.
- [18] Jorgenson D W, Kuroda M. Productivity and International Competitiveness in Japan and the United States, 1960-1985[J]. Economic Studies Quarterly, 1991, 43(11): 2237 - 2246.
- [19] Ren Ruoen. Further research on the international competitiveness of China's manufacturing industry[J]. Economic Research Journal, 1998(02): 3-13.
- [20] Chen Limin, Tan Liwen. An Empirical Method for Evaluating the International Competitiveness of China's Manufacturing Industry: A Comparison with Porter Index and Industrial Classification [J]. China Industrial Economics, 2004(05): 30-37.
- [21] LIU Lei, GUAN Quan. Comparison of International Competitiveness of Manufacturing Industry in China, Japan and South Korea: Based on the Perspective of Domestic Technology Content[J]. Modern Japanese Economy, 2019(05): 55-69.
- [22] HE Zhengchu, CAO De, WU Yan. The interaction path between the development quality and international competitiveness of China's manufacturing industry[J]. Contemporary Finance and Economics, 2018(11): 88-99.
- [23] Jin Bei, Li Gang, Chen Zhi. An empirical analysis of the international competitiveness of China's manufacturing industry since China's accession to WTO[J]. China Industrial Economics, 2006(10): 5-14.
- [24] ZHOU Xing, FU Ying. Study on the evaluation index system of industrial international competitiveness[J]. Journal of Research Management, 2000(03): 29-34+22.
- [25] Gan L, Chen X, Zhong Yu. A comparative study on the international competitiveness of grain in China[J]. Rural Economy, 2022(01): 30-38.
- [26] LIU Chenyang, WANG Jimin, WANG Zuli, et al. Research on the Evaluation of International Competitiveness of China's Pig Industry: A Comparative Analysis Based on the Perspective of Trade and Industrial Development[J]. Chinese Journal of Agricultural Resources and Regional Planning, 2022, 43(01): 29-39.
- [27] ZHAO Xia, TAO Yaping, HU Di. Strategies for enhancing the international competitiveness of China's grain industry from the perspective of food security[J]. Journal of Agricultural Economics, 2021(05): 107-119.
- [28] XIONG Sihao, WANG Dongyang, HU Zhiquan. Research on beef supply and demand and international competitiveness in China[J]. Chinese Journal of Agricultural Resources and Regional Planning, 2020, 41(10): 89-100.
- [29] SONG Xinyang, YANG Hongguang, YANG Jieru. Research on the strategy of improving the international competitiveness of China's traditional Chinese medicine industry[J]. International Economic Cooperation, 2020(02): 44-50.
- [30] Tang Hongxiang. Research on the development quality and international competitiveness of China's manufacturing industry[J]. China Soft Science, 2019(02): 128-142.
- [31] Yang Chengyu. A Comparative Study on the International Competitiveness of High-end Manufacturing Industry in China and Europe: An Empirical Analysis Based on the Level of Listed Companies[J]. European Studies, 2018, 36(03): 61-86+6.
- [32] WAN Xucui, LI Gang, ZHANG An. Theory and Practice of Quantitative Evaluation of International Competitiveness of Regional Tourism: Case Analysis of Various Cities in Jiangsu Province[J]. Economic Geography, 2001(03): 355-358.
- [33] SUN Shaoyan, WANG Yixuan, WANG Xiaoyin. Analysis of influencing factors of international competitiveness of China's transportation services[J]. Social Science Front, 2020(11): 240-244.
- [34] WU Xiaoyi, ZHANG Yajing. Current situation and international competitiveness of China's digital economy[J]. Research Management, 2020, 41(05): 250-258.
- [35] Poter M F. The Competitive Advantages of Nations[M]. New York: The Free Press, 1990.
- [36] Cartwright W R. Multiple Linked" Diamonds" and the International Competitiveness of Export-dependent Industries: The New Zealand Experience[J]. MIR: Management International Review, 1993: 55-70.
- [37] Dunning J H. Internationalizing Porter's Diamond[J]. MIR: Management International Review, 1993: 7-15.
- [38] Rugman A M, D'cruz J R. The" Double Diamond" Dodel of International Competitiveness: The Canadian Experience[J]. MIR: Management International Review, 1993: 17-39.
- [39] Cho D S. A Dynamic Approach to International Competitiveness: The Case of Korea[J]. Asia Pacific Business Review, 1994, 1(1): 17-36.
- [40] Moon H C, Rugman A M, Verbekke A. A Generalized Double Diamond Approach to the Global Competitiveness of Korea and Singapore[J]. International Business Review, 1998(2): 135-150.
- [41] Rui Mingjie. A new diamond model of industrial competitiveness[J]. Social Sciences, 2006(4): 68+73.

- [42] CHEN Weiping. A Preliminary Study on the Theory of International Competitiveness of Agriculture[J]. *Journal of Financial and Economic Issues*, 2003(01): 66-69.
- [43] Yao Wen. The Impact of Agricultural Modernization, Population Growth and Trade Policy on the International Competitiveness of Agricultural Products: An Empirical Analysis Based on Cointegration and VEC Model[J]. *Economic Latitude*, 2014, 31(02): 50-56.
- [44] JI Lianggang, MI Xinli. Research on the International Competitiveness of Agricultural Products: Based on the Perspective of Agricultural Product Supply Chain[J]. *Journal of Hebei University of Economics and Business*, 2017, 38(06): 49-54.
- [45] HUANG Zuhui, WANG Xinxin, SONG Haiying. Influencing Factors of International Competitiveness of Agricultural Products in Zhejiang Province: A Comparative Analysis Based on Double Diamond Model [J]. *Zhejiang Social Sciences*, 2010(09): 19-27+125-126.
- [46] Fu Juan. Analysis of Influencing Factors of Liaoning Agricultural International Competitiveness Based on Diamond Model—On the Impact of Regional Agricultural Cooperation with Japan and South Korea on the International Competitiveness of Liaoning Agriculture[J]. *Journal of Business Research*, 2008(04): 53-56.
- [47] Wang Xi, Lan Yong. Research on the International Competitiveness of Regional Agriculture Based on Diamond Model:A Case Study of Hunan Province[J]. *Journal of International Trade*, 2007(03): 53-58.
- [48] Tao Yanhong, Xiong Wei. Analysis of international competitiveness of citrus products in China[J]. *Agrotechnical Economics*, 2016(03): 85-92.
- [49] Yin Zhiyang, Wang Kai, Isabel de Felipe. Analysis of the International Competitiveness and Influencing Factors of China's Pig Breeding Industry: An Empirical Study Based on the Perspective of Industrial Chain and Diamond Model[J]. *Journal of Hunan Agricultural University (Social Science Edition)*, 2016, 17(01): 26-33.
- [50] ZHAO Honglei, JIA Jinrong. Research on the international competitiveness of Chinese maize based on national "diamond" model[J]. *Rural Economy*, 2010(07): 31-35.
- [51] Xu Guoquan, Chen Chungun. Analysis of the international competitiveness of tea in China based on RCA and "national diamond" model[J]. *Journal of International Trade*, 2007(11): 38-43.
- [52] CHEN Fenglan, HUANG Meibo. Comparative analysis of international competitiveness of manufacturing industry in China and India[J]. *Asia-Pacific Economy*, 2018(03): 87-96+151.
- [53] Yu Bo, Pan Aimin. Research on the international competitiveness and promotion mechanism of China's intelligent manufacturing equipment industry[J]. *Journal of Xiangtan University (Philosophy and Social Sciences)*, 2021, 45(04): 74-79.
- [54] GE Jiping, LIN Li, HUANG Ming. Research on the mechanism and path of informatization to enhance the international competitiveness of China's equipment manufacturing industry[J]. *Industrial Technological Economics*, 2010, 29(06): 43-46.
- [55] LIN Li, HUANG Ming, GE Jiping. Analysis of the internal mechanism of the integration of industrialization and industrialization to promote the improvement of international competitiveness of China's advanced rail transit equipment manufacturing industry[J]. *Science and Technology Management Research*, 2012, 32(22): 39-42.
- [56] ZHAO Hao, LIU Hang. The relationship between developing low-carbon economy and enhancing the international competitiveness of China's manufacturing industry[J]. *Productivity Research*, 2010(12): 32-34+303.
- [57] Du Qinghua. Empirical Analysis of Industrial Agglomeration and International Competitiveness: A Study on Panel Data Based on China's Manufacturing Industry[J]. *Journal of International Trade*, 2010(06): 87-93.
- [58] CHEN Hong, LI Zhiquan. An empirical analysis of the international competitiveness of China's advanced manufacturing industry[J]. *Statistics and Decision*, 2019, 35(07): 154-157.
- [59] QIU Xiaolan, YU Jianhui, DAI Yongwu. Analysis of influencing factors of international competitiveness of paper industry[J]. *Economic Issues*, 2015(07): 81-84.
- [60] Li Bifang, Cao Guannan. An Empirical Study on the International Competitiveness of Sporting Goods Manufacturing Based on Diamond Model[J]. *Statistics and Decision*, 2010(07): 124-126.
- [61] Tong Xia, Gao Shenrong. Research on the International Competitiveness of Nantong Textiles Based on the "Diamond Model"[J]. *Journal of Nantong University (Social Science Edition)*, 2013, 29(03): 21-27.
- [62] CHENG Xin. Research on the International Competitiveness of China's Textile and Garment Industry Based on Diamond Model and RCA Index[J]. *Journal of International Business Research*, 2009, 29(01): 23-28.
- [63] CHEN Hong, ZHANG Guorong. An empirical study on the international competitiveness of China's service trade[J]. *Management World*, 2010(10): 13-23.
- [64] Lan Qingxin, Dou Kai. Research on the influencing factors of international competitiveness of China's digital culture industry[J]. *Guangdong Social Sciences*, 2019(04): 12-22+254.
- [65] Xiao De, Ye Maosheng. An empirical study on the evaluation and influencing factors of the competitiveness of China's service trade[J]. *Journal of International Business (Journal of University of International Business and Economics)*, 2010(06): 81-87.
- [66] Wan Hongxian. Analysis of changes in the international competitiveness of China's service trade since WTO accession[J]. *Journal of International Trade*, 2005(05): 43-47.
- [67] Yang Li, Wang Xiaoxiao. Comparative analysis of the international competitiveness of cultural industries in China and Central and Eastern European countries under the background of "Belt and Road"[J]. *Review of Economics & Management*, 2018, 34(04): 149-161.
- [68] Qu Guoming. Comparison of International Competitiveness of Creative Industries in China and the United States: An Analysis Based on RCA, TC and "Diamond" Model[J]. *Journal of International Trade*, 2012(03): 79-89.
- [69] Yang Xiuyun, Guo Yong. Research on the International Competitiveness of China's Creative Industry Based on Diamond Model[J]. *Contemporary Economic Science*, 2010, 32(01): 90-97+127.
- [70] Zhang Xiao, Wei Ting. Analysis of the international competitiveness of China's animation industry[J]. *Exploration of International Economics and Trade*, 2009, 25(03): 29-34.
- [71] ZHU Chunyang, MA Haijiao. Research on the influencing factors of international trade competitiveness of China's TV drama industry[J]. *Modern Communication (Journal of Communication University of China)*, 2022, 44(05): 1-9.
- [72] HUANG Xianrong, TIAN Changqing. Analysis of the factors of international competitiveness of China's publishing

- industry[J]. Journal of Wuhan University (Humanities Edition), 2012, 65(06): 121-127.
- [73] ZHAO Liang, LV Jing. Construction of a strong country in the press and publishing industry under the "diamond model"[J]. Press, 2012(04): 41-43.
- [74] HUANG Manying, DENG Xiaohong. Influencing Factors of International Competitiveness of China's Financial Services Trade: An Empirical Analysis Based on the "Diamond Model"[J]. Journal of World Economy, 2011(07): 3-9+87.
- [75] Zhang Wukang. Evaluation of the evolution of international competitiveness of Shaanxi cultural tourism industry under the background of "Silk Road"[J]. Journal of Xi'an University of Finance and Economics, 2017, 30(05): 63-71.
- [76] Yang Bei, Shi Zhanzhong. Comparison of production function characteristics of aviation industry in China and the United States[J]. China Science and Technology Forum, 2012(02): 147-151.
- [77] ZHANG Jinle, LIU Tian. Technical efficiency analysis of China's aerospace manufacturing industry based on SFA[J]. Journal of Finance & Economics Theory & Practice, 2012, 33(01): 95-97+103.
- [78] LIU Chunhui, ZHAO Yulin. Innovation-driven spatial evolution of aerospace equipment manufacturing industry: An empirical analysis based on evolutionary econometrics[J]. Macroeconomic Research, 2016(05): 87-98+138.
- [79] HONG Jin, HONG Song, ZHAO Dingtao. Research on Technology Policy, Technology Strategy and Innovation Performance: A Case Study of China's Aerospace Manufacturing Industry[J]. Studies in Science of Science, 2015, 33(02): 195-204+241.
- [80] XU Lihua, FENG Zongxian, YANG Yi, et al. Analysis and suggestions on the role of improving the independent innovation capability of aviation industry in accelerating the adjustment of industrial structure in western China[J]. Science and Technology Management Research, 2006(07): 7-10.
- [81] LIU Penglin. Empirical Analysis of Innovation Efficiency in Aerospace Manufacturing—Based on Stochastic Frontier Analysis Method[J]. Journal of Xi'an University of Finance and Economics, 2013, 26(02): 93-97.
- [82] ZHANG Jinle, ZHAO Juan. Research on Environmental Impact Factors of Scientific and Technological Innovation Capability in China's Aerospace Manufacturing—Path Analysis Based on Structural Equation Model[J]. Transactions of Beijing Institute of Technology (Social Science Edition), 2014, 16(03): 100-106.
- [83] YANG Tong, ZHU Youlin, WANG Nengmin. Research on knowledge management strategy to support independent innovation in China's aviation industry[J]. Science and Technology Management Research, 2008(09): 246-249.
- [84] FANG Wei, ZHAO Jianjian. Research on the Synergy of Technology Innovation System in China's Aerospace Manufacturing Industry from the Perspective of Innovation Value Chain[J]. Science and Technology Management Research, 2021, 41(07): 15-22.
- [85] Yu Liping, Fang Jianxin, Wang Zuogong. Influencing factors of collaborative innovation depth in high-tech industry and its industry comparison: A case study of aerospace and computer and office industry[J]. Journal of Guangdong University of Finance and Economics, 2017, 32(05): 12-21.
- [86] ZHANG Jinle, ZHANG Ke. Research on the Synergy of Civil-Military Integration Science and Technology Innovation System—An Empirical Analysis Based on Aerospace Manufacturing Industry in Provinces and Cities in Qinba Mountain Range[J]. Journal of Finance & Economics & Practice, 2020, 41(02): 115-120.
- [87] Xu Yingzhi, Zhu Yixi. Regionalization or urbanization of the economic effect of industrial agglomeration?—Based on the research of China's aerospace manufacturing industry[J]. Studies in Science of Science, 2010, 28(05): 719-726.
- [88] ZHAO Yulin, LIAO Mingyao. Agglomeration effect and agglomeration influencing factors of aerospace equipment manufacturing industry in China[J]. Science and Technology Management Research, 2017, 37(07): 115-122.
- [89] LU Jianfeng, DANG Yaoguo, CAO Mingxia. The agglomeration effect and social welfare of entering regulated industries: An empirical study based on aerospace manufacturing industry[J]. Journal of Technology Economics and Management, 2014(11): 66-71.
- [90] HU Hong'an, LI Yang. Analysis of Factors Improving the Competitiveness of China's Civil-Military Integration Industrial Cluster: A Case Study of Western Aerospace Manufacturing Cluster[J]. Science and Technology Progress and Policy, 2014, 31(08): 120-125.
- [91] ZHANG Jinle, YI Chenchen. Comparative Contribution Analysis of Aerospace Manufacturing Industry in Shaanxi Province from a Comparative Perspective: Based on Location Quotient and VAR Model[J]. Science and Technology Progress and Policy, 2014, 31(09): 44-50.
- [92] ZHANG Jinle, YI Chenchen, GE Jing. Research on countermeasures for the development of aerospace manufacturing industry in western China: Based on entropy weight-grey correlation model[J]. Science and Technology Progress and Policy, 2015, 32(17): 77-81.
- [93] Mu Rongping. Evaluation of international competitiveness of China's aerospace manufacturing industry[J]. Research Management, 2003(06): 60-65.
- [94] Qin Zhen, Qin Yonghe. Analysis of International Competitiveness of China's High-tech Industry: A Case Study of Aerospace Vehicle Manufacturing Industry[J]. China Soft Science, 2007(04): 102-108.
- [95] QIN Zhen, NI Yan. Empirical measurement of the international competitiveness of China's aerospace manufacturing industry[J]. Journal of World Economy, 2006(06): 39-47.
- [96] DONG Jie, YOU Yanan. Empirical analysis of the international competitiveness of China's aerospace manufacturing industry[J]. Science and Technology Progress and Policy, 2012, 29(02): 55-58.