Frontiers in Business, Economics and Management
ISSN: 2766-824X | Vol. 23, No. 3, 2026

Practical Exploration of Consumer Behavior and International Marketing Strategy Under the Guidance of Brand Management

Yufei Chi

Renmin University of China, Sino-French Institute, Suzhou, 215123, China

Abstract: So brand management has grown as the integrative paradigm with which multinational corporations are dealing with the nexus between consumer behaviour and international marketing strategy. The review considers the practical implications of this intersection on three axes: the mechanisms through which consumer-based brand equity affects purchase behaviour; the cross-cultural modulation of these mechanisms by Hofstede's cultural dimensions; and the strategic implications for international marketing practice in digitally mediated brand environments. This review reports the consistent evidence that brand awareness, brand trust and perceived quality are the primary drivers of purchase intention across markets and that the relative salience of these drivers is systematically modulated by cultural context especially the individualism-collectivism and uncertainty avoidance dimensions, using the consumer-based brand equity model, cultural dimensions theory and the standardisation-adaptation literature. The paper considers the context of the digital transformation of brand-consumer interaction, expressed in the growth of the global influencer marketing market to USD 33 billion by 2025, which on the one hand enhances the mechanisms of brand equity, and on the other hand requires new strategic frameworks for international brand management. The study concludes with practical implications of the glocalization strategy as the dominant paradigm to balance brand consistency and cultural adaptability.

Keywords: Brand management; Consumer behavior; International marketing strategy; Consumer-based brand equity; Hofstede; Glocalization; Influencer marketing; Brand trust; Cross-cultural marketing.

1. Introduction

1.1. Research Background

In the international marketplaces, brand management has become one of the most strategically important functions of the modern firm. A brand is not just a product identifier, but an organised collection of consumer associations, perceived attributes and emotional pledges that form a sustainable competitive asset – what Keller conceptualises as consumer-based brand equity (CBBE): the differential effect that brand knowledge has on consumer response to the marketing of that brand. The strategic importance of brand equity lies in its empirically validated ability to translate brand investments into tangible consumer behaviour results, such as purchase intention, brand loyalty, word-of-mouth recommendations and willingness to pay premium prices in a wide range of product categories and market environments.

Managing brands in international markets is a special strategic problem, much different from managing brands in the domestic market. Cultural heterogeneity in global consumer marketplaces implies that the associations, values and behavioural norms that constitute brand equity in one cultural context may transfer imperfectly, require extensive adjustments, or elicit actively different responses in another. The key debate in international marketing between the globalisation position of Levitt (1983) that converging consumer preferences and scale economies imply marketing standardisation is the dominant optimum strategy and the adaptation view that cultural, regulatory and competitive heterogeneity imply market customisation is necessary has not been resolved but reframed. The dominating viewpoint in the contemporary literature is the glocalization model, which provides a globally consistent core brand identity with locally customised marketing implementation. Social media, influencer marketing and user-generated content have added a further layer of complexity to this calculation, creating internationally networked brand communities while amplifying local cultural expressions of brand identity.

1.2. Research Questions

The review is organised around three research questions. RQ1 How does brand management-guided CBBE transfer into consumer behavioural outcomes and what is the relative importance of its constituent dimensions? RQ2: What is the role of Hofstede's cultural factors in the relationship between brand equity aspects and customer behaviour in international markets? RQ3: Which strategy frameworks are most helpful for international marketing practice with brand management assistance in digitally altered consumer environments?

2. Theoretical Framework

2.1. Keller's Consumer-Based Brand Equity Model

The Keller's CBBE model first presented in the landmark 1993 paper and then developed in [10] is the primary model for understanding the relationship between investments in brand management and customer behavioural outcomes. [1] The central construct of the model is brand knowledge, which is conceptualised as the sum of consumer's brand associations held in memory. Keller organises this network into a hierarchy of brand awareness (recognition and recall) and brand image (the strength, favorability and uniqueness of brand associations). CBBE takes place when the customer is aware of the brand and hence responds more positively to the firm's marketing operations than if the same product did not

have the same brand name. The practical value of this model is to identify four successive stages of brand building – salience, performance/imagery, judgments/feelings and resonance – each of which is a different magnitude of brand equity and each of which predicts behavioural consequences. Empirical research has consistently identified that brand awareness and brand trust are the most important dimensions of CBBE for purchase intention, with brand loyalty, perceived quality and brand associations following in importance, and that this finding is replicated across a number of product categories and national markets [2]. The resonance stage (psychological connection, active involvement and community membership) is increasingly being implemented through digital consumer communities and social media engagement.

2.2. Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions and Cross-Cultural Consumer Behavior

The cultural dimensions hypothesis created by Hofstede, based on the IBM employee value survey across 40 countries and documented in Cultures and Organisations [11], is the most thoroughly validated framework for operationalising cultural variability in international marketing research [3]. The five main dimensions—individualism-collectivism (IDV), power distance (PDI), uncertainty avoidance (UAI), masculinity-femininity (MAS), and long-term-short term orientation (LTO)—each allow for systematic predictions of consumer behaviour. High-IDV cultures tend to respond more favourably to appeals to personal achievement and self-expression, whereas high collectivism cultures tend to respond more favourably to appeals to group belonging and social proof [3, 5]. The IDV dimension is particularly relevant to international brand management: in individualist markets such as the U.S. and Northern Europe, brand equity is primarily based on the communication of product performance and individual identity expression, whereas in collectivist markets such as East Asia and Latin America, mechanisms of social endorsement, family approval and membership in a community are more prominent drivers of brand loyalty and purchase intention [5]. The UAI dimension consistently predicts the weight consumers place on perceived quality and trust: high-UAI cultures create greater demand for quality certification, brand reputation, and risk-reducing warranties, which brand management can specifically target through product guarantee and transparency strategies.

2.3. The Standardisation-Adaptation Debate and Glocalization

In an essay in Harvard Business Review, Levitt (1983) laid the conceptual basis of the standardisation-adaptation thesis in worldwide marketing. He argued that the technology-driven convergence of client preferences made internationally standardised marketing an appropriate approach [4]. In reality, the comparative study of worldwide firms by Vrontis et al. (2011) showed that there is no dominant pure standardisation or pure adaptation, with hybrid solutions outperforming either extreme [12]. Later empirical and theoretical investigation, however, has demonstrated that Levitt greatly overestimated the convergence of consumer preferences and underestimated the continued influence of cultural, regulatory and competitive differences in shaping marketing effectiveness in different countries. A thorough evaluation of 79 articles published from 2017 to 2023 shows that the current consensus among international marketing scholars is to push a hybrid glocalization approach most broadly. This entails the standardisation of the basic brand identity and value proposition and adaptation of the marketing execution (such as messaging, channel selection, price and promotional techniques) to local cultural and competitive conditions [6]. It is generally agreed that brand management is the integrating function that ensures global brand consistency while permitting local marketing adaptation: the core identity of the brand – its visual identity, positioning and value architecture – is standardised, but its expression across markets is adapted to the cultural context.

3. Consumer Behavior Mechanisms and Brand Equity

3.1. Brand Trust, Loyalty, and Purchase Intention

Brand trust has been repeatedly found in the empirical research on CBBE to be the strongest proximal driver of brand loyalty and purchase intention across all market scenarios. Based on the PRISMA protocol, a systematic literature review of 34 peer-reviewed articles on brand trust in digital consumer behaviour, published until October 2023, highlights brand trust as a mediating construct connecting consumer engagement strategies with behavioural outcomes: antecedents such as content marketing quality, perceived brand authenticity, and social media interaction are factors that foster trust development, which, in turn, impacts loyalty and purchase intention [7]. The trust-loyalty path is especially strong in cultural contexts of high uncertainty avoidance. In these contexts, consumer risk aversion amplifies the behavioural outcomes of trust. For instance, the cost of brand switching is perceived psychologically higher, brand loyalty is more deeply rooted, and trust breaches lead to more drastic erosion of loyalty than in low-UAI markets [3, 5]. The digital environment has both increased the importance of brand trust and made it more complex to develop. Consumers shopping online rely more on a brand's reputation and social proof mechanisms – reviews, ratings, influencer endorsements – as trust surrogates when they cannot physically inspect the product [7].

3.2. Cross-Cultural Modulation of Brand Equity Mechanisms

Cross-cultural research on CBBE mechanisms demonstrates strong disparities in the relative efficiency of brand equity features across Hofstede's cultural profiles. A cross-national investigation of Hofstede's value dimensions among young consumers in Poland, South Korea and the United States validated that collectivism-individualism and uncertainty avoidance create unique patterns of brand responsiveness – with young South Korean consumers (high collectivism, high UAI) exhibiting significantly greater brand loyalty responses to social proof and quality certification mechanisms than their American counterparts [5]. The findings have real-world implications for international brand management strategy. Effective brand communications that foster brand equity in individualist, low-UAI markets by highlighting personal achievement and innovative differentiation may elicit weaker or negative responses in collectivist, high-UAI markets that value social approval and proven reliability.

In collectivist markets, the path from brand awareness to

purchase intention is amplified by a process termed "face consumption" (mianzi) in Chinese marketing scholarship: the consumption of globally recognised luxury and aspirational brands as social signalling devices whose value is derived from their recognisability to reference groups rather than their intrinsic performance characteristics. This mechanism explains the substantial market share of global standardised luxury brands in high-collectivism, high-PDI markets, and suggests that social visibility and status signalling efforts are more successful than performance-oriented initiatives in these markets [3, 6].

3.3. Digital Transformation and Influencer-Mediated Brand Equity

The digital change of the consumer-brand contact has created a new structural layer of brand equity building through social media and influencer marketing. The global influencer marketing market size grew threefold from approximately USD 9.7 billion in 2020 to an estimated USD 33 billion in 2025, with the United States accounting for USD 7.1 billion in the influencer marketing spend in 2024 [8]. Influencer marketing is a strategy for transferring trust. Brands may leverage the parasocial relationships that social media audiences have with artists to create awareness, associations and buy intent at scale, without the credibility limitations of traditional advertising. A systematic review of the impact of influencer marketing on CBBE dimensions affirms that influencer collaborations have a significant impact on all four dimensions of CBBE, i.e., brand awareness, brand image, perceived quality and brand loyalty and the magnitude of the impact is moderated by influencer credibility, content authenticity and the congruence between influencer personal brand and endorsed product [9].

The ramifications for international marketing within the framework of influencer-mediated brand equity are considerable. Whereas conventional international marketing required different investments in national media to target local consumer groups, social media platforms enable global brand campaigns to be localised simultaneously through country-specific influencer partnerships. This architecture is a direct operationalisation of the glocalization paradigm - globally consistent brand messaging delivered through locally entrenched, culturally resonant creative interactions [6, 8]. Platform variations undergird this architecture. Instagram continues to be dominant in aspirational and lifestyle brand equity in Western markets, while TikTok's algorithm-driven discovery engine and WeChat's social commerce integration require unique influencer strategies tailored to the social media ecologies of their core markets.

4. International Marketing Strategy Under Brand Management Guidance

4.1. Glocalization as the Dominant Strategic Framework

The glocalization framework that synthesises insights from the standardization-adaptation debate through the lens of brand management prescribes a two-level strategic architecture: global standardisation of brand equity elements that derive their value from consistency, such as visual identity, positioning statement, core value proposition and quality standards, and local adaptation of marketing execution elements that derive their effectiveness from cultural resonance, such as advertising appeals, channel mix, pricing strategy and promotional mechanics [4, 6]. Brand management is the governing function that preserves the integrity of the global brand architecture while allowing local changes within set borders. A global brand guideline with must-have and optional adaption zones allows local teams to create culturally relevant ads within the framework of global consistency.

4.2. Cultural Intelligence and Brand Portfolio Management

International brand management demands the integration of cultural intelligence, a systematic understanding of Hofstede's cultural characteristics and their behavioural implications, into brand portfolio decisions, positioning strategies, and campaign development processes. Premium brand positioning in high PDI, high collectivism markets leverages association with institutional authority, heritage and social endorsement, in low PDI high IDV markets the same brand may need to be repositioned around personal mastery, individuality and innovation to elicit equivalent brand equity responses [3, 5]. Moreover, the management of the brand portfolio in international markets has to take into account the interaction between global flagship brands and local brand acquisitions. The retention of acquired local brands with high brand equity in specific cultural markets – instead of merging them into the global flagship – reflects the cultural intelligence principle that some brand equity dimensions are culturally non-transferable and need to be locally anchored to be effective [1, 6].

Addressing RQ3: The most effective strategic framework for international marketing under brand management direction is the glocalisation model enacted through culturally intelligent brand portfolio management that is digitally operationalised through platform-specific influencer strategies that deliver global brand consistency through locally embedded social media relationships.

5. Discussion: Strategic Implications and Practical Pathways

The practical synthesis of the three analytical dimensions of this review (CBBE mechanisms, cultural modulation and digital transformation) implies a strategic management paradigm where brand management is the integrating function that orchestrates consumer insight, cultural adaptation and digital execution. RQ1: Brand trust is the most consistent strong driver of purchase intention across markets, with brand awareness and perceived quality mediating the relationship. The greatest phase of CBBE, brand resonance, is more and more being operationalised in engagement in digital communities. For RQ2, the individualism-collectivism and uncertainty avoidance dimensions are the most practically important cultural moderators of the effectiveness of brand equity, and they lead to systematic differences in the effectiveness of self-expression vs. social proof appeals and performance vs. trust-and-reputation positioning strategies. The practical implication is that international brand managers need to develop culturally differentiated brand equity measurement systems that weight CBBE dimensions according to the cultural profile of each market, rather than global uniform metrics that can mask performance patterns that are culturally specific.

6. Conclusion

The review has shown that the successful implementation of an international marketing strategy based on brand management requires the integration of three analytically distinct but operationally interdependent competencies. These are: the rigorous management of CBBE dimensions – in particular brand trust, awareness and perceived quality – as the prime drivers of consumer behavioural outcomes; the culturally intelligent calibration of brand equity mechanisms to the individualism, uncertainty avoidance and power distance profiles of target markets; and the strategic deployment of digital and influencer marketing channels as platforms for delivering globally consistent brand equity through locally resonant consumer relationships. The glocalization paradigm, an empirical validation of the dominant academic and practitioner consensus, provides the strategic architecture to reconcile the efficiency imperatives of global brand standardisation and the effectiveness imperatives of local culture adaptation. As digital platforms are changing the way brand equity is built, international brand managers need to build cultural and digital intelligence to maintain brand consistency while enabling authentic local expressions of brand identity that create long-lasting consumer loyalty across the world's culturally diverse markets.

References

[1] Keller, K. L. (1993). Conceptualizing, measuring, and managing customer-based brand equity. Journal of Marketing, 57(1), 1–22. https://doi.org/1177/0022242993057001

[2] Sasmita, S., & Suki, N. M. (2015). Young consumers' insights on brand equity. International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, 43(3), 276–292. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-02-2014-0025

[3] Soares, A. M., Farhangmehr, M., & Shoham, A. (2007). Hofstede's dimensions of culture in international marketing studies. Journal of Business Research, 60(3), 277–284. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2006.08.007

[4] Levitt, T. (1983). The globalization of markets. Harvard Business Review, 61(3), 92–102.

[5] Kobylarek, A., Jakubowska, M., & Maciolek, A. (2026). A cross-national study of Hofstede's value dimensions among young consumers. Entrepreneurship, Business and Economics Review, 14(1), 1–24.

[6] Nwachukwu, U., & Obiora, E. (2024). Standardization versus adaptation of marketing mix in international markets. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14614950

[7] Wahyuni, B., & Putra, D. (2025). Brand trust in driving brand loyalty and purchase intention: A systematic literature review. Journal of Commercium, 3(1), 1–18.

[8] Statista Research Department. (2025). Global Influencer Market Size 2025. Statista. https://www.statista.com/statistics/1092819/global-influencer-market-size/

[9] Avramidis, M. (2024). The effect of influencer marketing on brand equity [Master's thesis]. University of Macedonia.

[10] Keller, K. L. (2013). Strategic Brand Management: Building, Measuring, and Managing Brand Equity (4th ed.). Pearson.

[11] Hofstede, G., Hofstede, G. J., & Minkov, M. (2010). Cultures and Organizations: Software of the Mind (3rd ed.). McGraw-Hill.

[12] Vrontis, D., Thrassou, A., & Lamprianou, I. (2009). International marketing adaptation versus standardisation of multinational companies. International Marketing Review, 26(4/5), 477–500. https://doi.org/10.1108/0265133091339959