

The Influence of Organizational Culture on Corporate Internationalization

Hongyu Zhuang

College of Business, Shanghai University of Finance and Economics, Shanghai, China

RociZ5320@163.shufe.edu.cn

Abstract. International expansion is a complex process influenced not only by economic and strategic factors but also profoundly by culture. This literature review examines how organizational culture and broader cultural dimensions impact corporate internationalization strategies. We synthesize recent research (primarily 2019–2024) alongside classic theories to explore three key areas: the role of national cultural dimension frameworks (Hofstede, Trompenaars, GLOBE) in shaping internationalization strategies; the importance of multicultural management and a global organizational culture in facilitating overseas expansion; and the influence of cross-cultural communication on entry mode choices and performance outcomes. The review finds that cultural differences at the national level affect market entry decisions and require firms to adapt strategies for local contexts. At the organizational level, a strong yet adaptable corporate culture and diverse management teams can support successful internationalization, although diversity must be well-managed to avoid conflict. Effective cross-cultural communication—addressing language barriers and differing communication styles—is shown to be critical in managing foreign subsidiaries, international joint ventures, and global teams, directly impacting performance. We conclude with an outlook on future research, highlighting the need for deeper investigation into dynamic cultural capabilities and communication technologies to bridge cultures in international business.

Keywords: Organizational Culture; Internationalization; Cultural Dimensions; Multicultural Management; Cross-cultural Communication; Global Expansion.

1. Introduction

In an era of globalization, companies expanding across borders encounter not only new markets but also new cultural environments. Organizational culture, broadly defined as the values, norms, and practices within a company (Schein, 1985), can significantly influence how a firm approaches international expansion. Likewise, differences in national culture between a firm's home country and target foreign markets shape the strategy and success of internationalization. Research in international business has long recognized culture as a key factor in internationalization, from early work on psychic distance (Johanson & Vahlne, 1977) to contemporary studies of cross-cultural management. Yet, the interplay between culture and internationalization remains complex. Companies must navigate external cultural differences while fostering an internal culture that supports global operations.

This review focuses on three core aspects of how culture influences corporate internationalization. First, we examine cultural dimension theories – notably the frameworks of Hofstede, Trompenaars, and the GLOBE study – and discuss how national cultural traits can affect a firm's internationalization strategy. Second, we explore the role of multicultural management and organizational culture in supporting international expansion, including how diverse teams and inclusive corporate cultures can be leveraged to enhance global performance. Third, we consider the impact of cross-cultural communication on entry modes and outcomes, understanding how communication styles and language differences influence market entry decisions and the performance of international ventures. Throughout, the review is analytical, comparing findings across studies to identify patterns and divergences. We draw primarily on recent scholarly literature (last five years) with selective references to foundational works, ensuring both an updated and historically informed perspective. In the following sections, we discuss each focus area in turn, before concluding with insights and future outlook for research and practice in international management.

2. Cultural Dimension Theories and Internationalization Strategies

Understanding national cultural differences is often the first step for firms formulating internationalization strategies. Several theoretical frameworks have been developed to categorize and measure cultural dimensions across countries. The most widely cited include Hofstede's cultural dimensions theory, Trompenaars and Hampden-Turner's model, and the GLOBE (Global Leadership and Organizational Behavior Effectiveness) study. These frameworks provide lenses to anticipate how cultural values in a host country might affect business practices and consumer behavior, thereby informing market entry strategy, marketing, and management approaches.

Hofstede's (1980) dimensions initially identified four value dimensions – Power Distance, Individualism vs. Collectivism, Masculinity vs. Femininity, and Uncertainty Avoidance – later expanded to six with Long-Term Orientation and Indulgence vs. Restraint. Hofstede's model, based on large-scale surveys of IBM employees in dozens of countries, quantifies cultural values that influence workplace behaviors. For example, power distance (the acceptance of unequal power distribution) can shape organizational structure preferences; in high power-distance cultures, a hierarchical management style may be expected, affecting how a foreign subsidiary is structured and controlled (Hofstede, 1980). Uncertainty avoidance, the comfort with ambiguity, can affect risk propensity in strategy – firms from high uncertainty-avoidance countries may be more cautious in choosing entry modes, preferring more predictable arrangements. Individualism vs. collectivism influences whether businesses emphasize individual initiative or group consensus. This can translate into different partnership strategies: collectivist cultures might favor joint ventures or alliances that emphasize relationships and trust, whereas individualist cultures may prefer wholly owned subsidiaries for autonomy (Hofstede, 1980; Kogut & Singh, 1988). Indeed, Kogut and Singh (1988) introduced an index to measure cultural distance using Hofstede's dimensions, finding that greater cultural distance between home and host country often correlates with entry into foreign markets via joint ventures or acquisitions – strategies that can share risk or transfer local knowledge – though results have been mixed across contexts.

Trompenaars and Hampden-Turner's model (1997) offers seven cultural dimensions that overlap with but also extend beyond Hofstede's. These include value orientations such as Universalism vs. Particularism (whether societal rules or personal relationships take precedence), Neutral vs. Affective (emotional expressiveness), Specific vs. Diffuse (separation of work and personal life), and Achievement vs. Ascription (basis of status by performance or by title/age). For internationalizing firms, Trompenaars' dimensions highlight differences in how business negotiations and contracts are approached.

The GLOBE study (House et al., 2004) expanded on Hofstede by surveying managers across 62 societies and identifying nine cultural dimensions, including Power Distance and Uncertainty Avoidance (similar to Hofstede), as well as Institutional Collectivism, In-Group Collectivism, Gender Egalitarianism, Assertiveness, Future Orientation, Performance Orientation, and Humane Orientation. GLOBE not only measured cultural values but also practices, and linked these to preferred leadership styles in each culture. This has direct relevance for internationalization: the effectiveness of a corporate leadership style or management practice in a foreign subsidiary can depend on alignment with local cultural expectations (House et al., 2004).

These cultural models have been applied in numerous studies of international business strategy. A consistent theme is that cultural distance – differences on such dimensions between home and host country – creates additional complexity and cost for firms expanding abroad. Meta-analytic evidence (Beugelsdijk et al., 2018) indicates that firms are generally less likely to enter countries that are culturally distant from their home base. When they do enter culturally distant markets, they often adapt their strategy: for instance, one study finds that firms in culturally distant countries tend to favor greenfield investments (establishing a new subsidiary) over acquisitions, to avoid integration problems with an existing local company's culture (Beugelsdijk et al., 2018). Interestingly, Beugelsdijk et al. (2018) also report that while cultural distance significantly increases the challenges at the subsidiary level – often correlating with lower subsidiary performance – the overall

performance effect on the parent firm can be negligible. This suggests MNCs can sometimes offset a struggling unit in a far culture with stronger results elsewhere, but the cultural misfit at the local level is very real. Other recent research underscores that not all of Hofstede's dimensions are equally impactful on internationalization. For example, a study by Leonavičienė and Burinskienė (2022) of Scandinavian–Baltic multinational operations found that four out of Hofstede's six dimensions showed significant correlations with international business performance measures, while two dimensions did not – with power distance emerging as a particularly influential factor in how businesses operate internationally (Leonavičienė & Burinskienė, 2022).

Notably, national cultural tendencies can also influence the pace and pattern of internationalization. The Uppsala internationalization process model (Johanson & Vahlne, 1977) posited that firms gradually increase international commitment, often starting with psychically (culturally) closer countries.

3. Multicultural Management and Organizational Culture

A key aspect of multicultural management is leveraging diverse human resources. Top management team (TMT) composition and attitudes set the tone for internationalization. Upper echelons theory (Hambrick & Mason, 1984) suggests that executives' backgrounds influence strategic choices; thus, diversity in the TMT can broaden a firm's international vision. Recent research evidence supports this: a review by Cuypers et al. (2022) notes that culturally diverse TMTs tend to navigate international business environments more effectively, understanding different regulatory landscapes and stakeholder expectations across cultures. In practice, a diverse TMT – in terms of nationality, ethnicity, or international experience – brings multiple perspectives that can enhance decision-making for global expansion (Cuypers et al., 2022). Such teams are more likely to spot and mitigate culture-related risks and identify opportunities in foreign markets due to their collective cultural intelligence. Empirical studies on emerging-market firms show similar findings; for example, Bıçakcıoğlu-Peynirci (2023) finds that for emerging market multinationals, having executives with international experience correlates with more ambitious internationalization strategies.

However, diversity is a double-edged sword. While heterogeneity offers creativity and understanding, it can also introduce interpersonal conflict if not properly managed. Niñerola et al. (2022) studied Spanish companies expanding in China and found that TMT diversity's effect on international success was moderated by the level of conflict perceived within the team. In high-uncertainty environments, diverse teams experienced more frequent disagreements, and when these conflicts were not effectively managed, the benefits of diversity were undermined (Niñerola et al., 2022). A recent systematic review by Ketemaw et al. (2024) concludes that effective diversity management and multicultural collaboration enhance organizational outcomes, highlighting practices like mentorship programs for minority employees, cross-cultural team rotations, and diversity-sensitive HR policies as ways to harness the benefits of multicultural workforces.

Beyond the top team, a strong organizational culture that emphasizes global values can align geographically dispersed units. Classic work by Bartlett and Ghoshal (1989) on transnational companies emphasized developing a unifying corporate vision and values (for instance, a culture of innovation or customer-centricity that transcends borders) as a means to coordinate subsidiaries that operate in vastly different national cultures.

Multinational enterprises (MNEs) often create hybrid organizational cultures in their foreign subsidiaries, blending the parent company's culture with local elements. A case study in a recent paper by Wang (2023) describes how American companies operating in China strived to merge their home organizational culture (emphasizing individual initiative and low power distance) with Chinese cultural expectations (which may value hierarchical order and collective harmony). The result was companies adopting multicultural management strategies such as: (1) Strengthening cultural integration – e.g., communicating core corporate values in multiple languages and symbols familiar

to local staff; (2) Optimizing management practices – e.g., mixing management styles, where local managers are given authority (to respect local hierarchy norms) but within a framework of corporate policies that encourage open communication (to reflect the home culture's low power distance); (3) Cultural mentoring – assigning bicultural mentors or coaches to help bridge gaps between expatriate managers and local employees. These efforts can support the high-level operation of international business activities (Wang, 2023).

Another facet is how organizational culture impacts knowledge sharing and innovation in international operations. A culture that promotes knowledge sharing, learning, and openness will help a firm transfer best practices across borders (Srivastava et al., 2020). For instance, a Japanese multinational with a traditionally collectivist, seniority-based culture might need to encourage more open dissent and brainstorming in its Western subsidiaries to tap local innovative potential. Conversely, an American firm expanding to a high power-distance country might need to train its managers to solicit input from junior local staff who are not used to speaking up, otherwise valuable local insights could be lost. Thus, cultural intelligence and adaptability become important qualities for managers. Cultural intelligence (Earley & Ang, 2003) refers to a person's capability to function effectively in culturally diverse settings; companies are increasingly cultivating this in their leadership through training and international assignments.

Market entry modes – such as exporting, licensing, joint ventures, or wholly owned subsidiaries – involve varying degrees of interaction and integration with local partners or stakeholders. Communication plays a role in determining which mode is feasible or attractive. For example, if a firm lacks language skills or cultural know-how for a country, it might choose a local distributor or joint venture partner who can interface with customers and authorities on its behalf. A partnership mode can effectively outsource some of the cross-cultural communication tasks to the local partner. On the other hand, if trust or communication with potential local partners is difficult to establish (due to cultural distance or misunderstanding), a company might avoid joint ventures and instead enter via acquisition or greenfield subsidiary where it has more control. In high-context cultures (Hall, 1976) – where communication is indirect and relies heavily on context and unspoken cues – foreign firms often need to invest time in relationship-building and understanding implicit norms before business negotiations can succeed.

One clear manifestation of cross-cultural communication issues is in international negotiations and contracting. Research on cross-cultural negotiations (Gu, 2023) highlights that miscommunication or “cultural noise” can derail deal-making. Cultural differences in communication style – direct vs. indirect, formal vs. informal, emotionally expressive vs. restrained – mean that messages can be interpreted very differently across cultures. A study by Tenzer et al. (2014) found that language barriers in multinational teams can erode trust among team members. Team members who struggle to express themselves in the company's primary language may be perceived as less competent, leading to lower trust and cohesion, which negatively impacts team performance (Tenzer, Pudelko, & Harzing, 2014). By extension, a foreign subsidiary where the local team cannot communicate effectively with expatriate managers or HQ may underperform due to misalignment and frustration.

Apart from language, cultural communication styles can impact management effectiveness. Edward T. Hall's concept of high-context vs. low-context communication is pertinent (Hall, 1976). Empirical research corroborates that cross-cultural communication competence is linked to international venture success. A 2021 study by Chen et al. found that higher cultural intelligence in managers (which includes the ability to adapt communication appropriately across cultures) led to better performance of international projects (Chen, Li, & Song, 2023). Moreover, the way contracts and agreements are structured in international partnerships often reflects communication styles: Gao et al. (2022) observed that in international joint ventures, partners from cultures with very different communication styles tended to craft more detailed written contracts to compensate for lack of mutual understanding, whereas culturally closer partners were comfortable with simpler contracts and informal agreements. Cultural bridging is one such strategy – e.g., appointing bicultural managers or establishing cross-cultural committees. Li and Shen (2023) report that IJVs where a conscious cultural

bridging role was present (such as a manager who understood both parent cultures) saw improved collaboration and higher performance. This underscores that proactive communication management, not just initial cultural awareness, is required for sustained success.

To improve communication effectiveness, companies employ several tactics. Cross-cultural training before foreign assignments is a well-established practice: expatriate managers who undergo training in the local language and cultural etiquette adjust faster and communicate better with local employees (Black & Mendenhall, 1990). Research suggests that organizations with a strategic approach to cross-cultural communication (e.g., formal communication guidelines for global teams, continuous cultural learning programs) enjoy stronger international project outcomes and higher subsidiary performance, ultimately translating to a better overall return on international investments (Mandal, 2021).

4. Conclusion

Culture permeates every aspect of corporate internationalization. This review has highlighted that successful international expansion is not determined by economic calculations alone, but also by how well a firm understands and manages cultural factors. At the national level, classic cultural dimensions frameworks (Hofstede, Trompenaars, GLOBE) provide valuable insights into tailoring strategies for different cultural environments – affecting choices from market selection to mode of entry and local marketing. Companies that carefully consider cultural distance and local customs tend to craft more effective entry strategies and avoid blunders that can arise from ethnocentric assumptions. At the organizational level, a cosmopolitan and learning-oriented organizational culture can greatly facilitate internationalization. By embracing diversity and fostering a global mindset internally, companies build the agility to respond to varied markets. The role of multicultural management is crucial: diverse leadership teams and workforces can enhance innovation and decision quality for international operations, but they require inclusive management practices to prevent conflict and unlock their full potential. Finally, cross-cultural communication stands out as both a challenge and a differentiator. Miscommunication across cultures can undermine partnerships and operations, whereas firms that develop strong cross-cultural communication competencies (through training, language policies, and cultural bridging roles) are able to coordinate globally dispersed units and alliances more effectively, yielding better performance outcomes.

References

- [1] Adler, N. J. (1983). Cross-cultural management research: The ostrich and the trend. *Academy of Management Review*, 8(2), 226–232.
- [2] Bartlett, C. A., & Ghoshal, S. (1989). *Managing Across Borders: The Transnational Solution*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- [3] Beugelsdijk, S., Kostova, T., Kunst, V. E., Spadafora, E., & van Essen, M. (2018). Cultural distance and firm internationalization: A meta-analytical review and theoretical implications. *Journal of Management*, 44(1), 89–130.
- [4] Black, J. S., & Mendenhall, M. (1990). Cross-cultural training effectiveness: A review and a theoretical framework. *Academy of Management Review*, 15(1), 113–136.
- [5] Chen, Y., Li, R., & Song, T. (2023). Does TMT internationalization promote corporate digital transformation? A study based on the cognitive process mechanism. *Business Process Management Journal*, 29(2), 309–338.
- [6] Cuypers, I. R., Patel, C., Ertug, G., Li, J., & Cuypers, Y. (2022). Top management teams in international business research: A review and suggestions for future research. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 53(3), 481–515.
- [7] Earley, P. C., & Ang, S. (2003). *Cultural Intelligence: Individual Interactions Across Cultures*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

- [8] Gu, S. (2023). Impact of cross-cultural differences on international business negotiations and corresponding strategies. *International Journal of Frontiers in Sociology*, 5(10), 117–123.
- [9] Hall, E. T. (1976). *Beyond Culture*. New York: Anchor Press.
- [10] Hambrick, D. C., & Mason, P. A. (1984). Upper echelons: The organization as a reflection of its top managers. *Academy of Management Review*, 9(2), 193–206.
- [11] Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture's Consequences: International Differences in Work-Related Values*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications.
- [12] House, R. J., Hanges, P. J., Javidan, M., Dorfman, P. W., & Gupta, V. (Eds.). (2004). *Culture, Leadership, and Organizations: The GLOBE Study of 62 Societies*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- [13] Johanson, J., & Vahlne, J.-E. (1977). The internationalization process of the firm: A model of knowledge development and increasing foreign market commitments. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 8(1), 23–32.
- [14] Johanson, J., & Vahlne, J.-E. (2009). The Uppsala internationalization process model revisited: From liability of foreignness to liability of outsidership. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 40(9), 1411–1431.
- [15] Ketemaw, A., Ayenew, Z., & Zewde, S. (2024). Managing diversity and multi-cultural collaboration: A systematic review. *SAGE Open*, 14(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440241273933>
- [16] Kogut, B., & Singh, H. (1988). The effect of national culture on the choice of entry mode. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 19(3), 411–432.
- [17] Leonavičienė, E., & Burinskienė, A. (2022). Accelerating cultural dimensions at international companies in the evidence of internationalisation. *Sustainability*, 14(3), 1524.
- [18] Niñerola, A., Hernández-Lara, A. B., & Sánchez-Rebull, M. V. (2022). Top management team diversity and international expansion: Spanish companies in China. *SAGE Open*, 12(1), 21582440211068493. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211068493>
- [19] Schein, E. H. (1985). *Organizational Culture and Leadership*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- [20] Srivastava, S., Singh, S., & Dhir, S. (2020). Culture and international business research: A review and research agenda. *International Business Review*, 29(4), 101709.
- [21] Tenzer, H., Pudenko, M., & Harzing, A.-W. (2014). The impact of language barriers on trust formation in multinational teams. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 45(5), 508–535.
- [22] Trompenaars, F., & Hampden-Turner, C. (1998). *Riding the Waves of Culture: Understanding Cultural Diversity in Global Business* (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- [23] Wang, S. (2023). Research on cross-cultural management in international business activities. *Academic Journal of Business & Management*, 5(13), 91–95.