International Journal of Biology and Life Sciences
ISSN: 2957-9511 | Vol. 8, No. 2, 2024

Research Progress on the Application of Nanomaterials in Bone Defect Repair

Jianing Nie, Congcong Li, Lifang Feng *

North China University of Science and Technology, Tangshan Hebei, 063000, China

*** Corresponding author:** Lifang Feng

Abstract: Bone defects refer to the loss or damage of bone tissue, commonly occurring due to trauma, tumor resection, infection, and non-union fractures. Clinically, bone defects not only affect the patient's function and quality of life but may also lead to chronic pain, mobility impairment, and psychological issues. Repairing large bone defects remains challenging, and traditional treatment methods such as autologous and allogeneic bone grafting face difficulties related to donor site morbidity, postoperative complications, and poor bone healing. Therefore, the development of novel bone repair materials has become a research focus. Nanomaterials, due to their unique physicochemical properties, such as high specific surface area, excellent biocompatibility, and tunable mechanical properties, are increasingly being applied in the field of bone repair. Nanoparticles can enhance the mechanical properties of matrix materials, improving the strength and toughness of bone repair constructs and promoting the proliferation and differentiation of bone cells. Furthermore, nanomaterials can facilitate bone regeneration by releasing bioactive factors or modulating the microenvironment. Consequently, the application of nanomaterials in bone repair holds significant promise. This review aims to summarize the recent research on the application of nanomaterials in the field of bone defect repair.

Keywords: Nanomaterials; Bone Repair; Bone Defect; Bone Regeneration.

1. Introduction

Bone defects refer to partial or complete loss of bone tissue due to various causes, such as trauma, infection, tumors, and bone diseases. Based on the nature and extent of the defect, bone defects can be categorized into several types: acute bone defects, chronic bone defects, and functional bone defects.[1][2] The size and location of bone defects can significantly influence their healing capacity. Smaller bone defects typically have the ability to heal spontaneously, while larger or more complex defects may require surgical intervention and repair. Bone defects have a substantial impact on patients' quality of life and functionality, including aspects such as functional impairment, risk of complications, psychological effects, economic burden, and decreased quality of life.[3] Bone defects are not only a medical issue but also involve the overall health and well-being of patients. Therefore, it is crucial to find effective methods for bone repair, especially through the application of novel materials such as nanomaterials. Improving the healing of bone defects can effectively enhance patients' functional recovery, reduce the occurrence of complications, and improve their quality of life.

Traditional bone repair materials face several limitations in clinical applications, including poor biocompatibility, inadequate mechanical properties, limited bioactivity, poor absorbability, lack of adaptability, risk of infection, and cost issues.[4] These limitations have prompted researchers to explore new materials, particularly nanomaterials, in hopes of achieving better outcomes in the field of bone repair. Nanomaterials exhibit numerous advantages and potential in bone repair, including enhanced biocompatibility, superior mechanical properties,[5] promotion of bone regeneration,[6][7] controllable release characteristics,[8] high specific surface area, customizable design, facilitation of angiogenesis,[9] and antibacterial properties. The application of nanomaterials in bone repair holds great promise, effectively overcoming the limitations of traditional materials and providing improved biological repair outcomes.

2. Classification of Nanomaterials

Nanomaterials can be classified based on their morphology and structure into nanoparticles, nanofibers, and nanocomposites.

2.1. Nanoparticles

Due to their small size, nanoparticles possess a large specific surface area, which enhances their interaction with biological systems and promotes cell adhesion and proliferation.[10] Thomas et al [11] investigated the effects of nanoparticle size and surface charge on cellular uptake and cytotoxicity, finding that these factors facilitate cell adhesion and proliferation. Additionally, nanoparticles exhibit excellent biocompatibility, for instance, nano-hydroxyapatite (n-HAp) resembles bone tissue and effectively promotes the growth and mineralization of osteoblasts.[12] The tunable physicochemical properties of nanoparticles are another significant characteristic; by altering the size, shape, and surface modifications of the particles, their bioactivity and drug release characteristics can be adjusted. Ta et al [13] discussed the modulation of the physical and chemical properties of nanomaterials through various synthesis methods and post-treatment techniques to enhance their performance in catalysis. Qi et al [14] explored strategies to alter the optical properties of nanomaterials by adjusting their size and shape. Furthermore, nanoparticles can improve the mechanical properties of matrix materials, enhancing the strength and toughness of bone repair constructs. Ganesh et al [15] examined the mechanical performance of nanoparticle-reinforced composites and their applications in bone tissue engineering.

2.2. Nanofibers

Nanofibers possess an extremely high specific surface area and favorable porous structure, which facilitate cell infiltration and growth.[16] Mao et al [17] discussed the role of nanofiber scaffolds in promoting stem cell differentiation, emphasizing the impact of their excellent porous structure on cellular behaviors. Additionally, nanofibers exhibit outstanding biocompatibility; materials such as polylactic acid (PLA) and polycaprolactone (PCL) demonstrate good compatibility within biological systems and can be gradually absorbed by the body.[18] Furthermore, nanofiber materials typically possess good mechanical properties, allowing them to adapt to physiological loads while maintaining a certain degree of flexibility. Rahmani et al [19] investigated the potential of nanofibers with tunable mechanical properties in biomedical applications, highlighting their adaptability and flexibility under physiological loads. Moreover, various fabrication methods, such as electrospinning, can be employed to modify the diameter, alignment, and structure of the fibers to meet diverse application requirements.[20] [21]

2.3. Nanocomposites

Nanocomposites leverage the properties of different materials to achieve complementary advantages in biocompatibility, mechanical performance, and bioactivity. The composition and distribution of components in nanocomposites can be adjusted to design materials that meet specific requirements, such as enhanced antibacterial properties, mechanical strength, and bioactivity.[22] [23] Nanocomposites can simultaneously exhibit multiple functionalities, including drug release, antibacterial activity, and promotion of bone regeneration, making them suitable for complex biological environments.[24] Mao et al [25] explored a multifunctional nanocomposite that demonstrated significant advantages in controlling drug release, antibacterial properties, and promoting bone regeneration. The incorporation of nanofillers typically leads to a substantial enhancement in the mechanical properties of the matrix material, making it more suitable for bone repair applications.

The various classifications of nanomaterials each possess distinct characteristics that can provide diverse solutions in the field of bone repair. The combined application of nanoparticles, nanofibers, and nanocomposites holds promise for advancing the development of bone repair materials, improving repair outcomes, and broadening clinical applications.

3. Mechanisms of Nanomaterials in Bone Repair

3.1. Promotion of Osteoblast Proliferation and Differentiation

The microstructure and surface characteristics of nanomaterials can significantly influence the proliferation and differentiation of bone cells, such as osteoblasts and bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. The high specific surface area and suitable surface chemistry of nanoparticles and nanofibers facilitate enhanced cell adhesion and growth. Ribeiro et al [26] demonstrated that nanohydroxyapatite effectively promotes the proliferation and differentiation of osteoblasts, exhibiting superior bioactivity compared to conventional materials.

3.2. Regulation of the Osteogenic Microenvironment

Nanomaterials can modulate the microenvironment of the bone repair site, including anti-inflammatory effects and promotion of angiogenesis. By releasing anti-inflammatory factors or modulating cellular signaling pathways, nanomaterials can alleviate inflammatory responses and improve blood supply to the repair area by promoting endogenous angiogenesis. Xue et al [27] found that certain nanomaterials can exert anti-inflammatory effects by regulating the polarization state of macrophages and promoting the formation of new blood vessels, thereby enhancing bone healing.

3.3. Release of Bioactive Molecules

Nanomaterials can serve as carriers for the release of bioactive molecules, such as growth factors and drugs, enabling localized drug delivery. This sustained release characteristic can provide continuous bioactivity, thereby facilitating bone healing and regeneration. Liu et al [28] demonstrated that nanocomposites can effectively load drugs and achieve sustained release of growth factors, significantly enhancing the repair outcomes of bone defects.

4. Challenges and Future Directions

4.1. Long-term Biocompatibility Issues

The long-term biocompatibility of nanomaterials in bone repair remains an unresolved issue. Although studies have shown that nanomaterials possess good biocompatibility, their prolonged presence in vivo may trigger immune responses or cytotoxicity, particularly in the case of long-term implants.[29] Existing research primarily focuses on short-term biocompatibility assessments, lacking systematic studies on long-term effects, which limits the clinical application of nanomaterials [5][17][26].

4.2. Standardization and Scalability Issues

The production processes of nanomaterials are complex and diverse, leading to a lack of unified standards and regulations. This results in significant variations in properties and performance between different batches, affecting their reliability in clinical applications [30]. There is a need to establish standardized production processes and quality control systems to ensure the reproducibility and consistency of nanomaterials. Furthermore, the development of scalable production technologies is crucial for facilitating clinical applications.

4.3. Development of Multifunctional Nanomaterials

While multifunctional nanomaterials show promising prospects in drug delivery and bone repair, their design and synthesis processes are complex, potentially leading to interactions between different functionalities [31]. The development of multifunctional nanomaterials that integrate drug delivery, antibacterial properties, and bone regeneration capabilities can better meet clinical needs. This requires interdisciplinary collaboration among fields such as materials science, biomedical engineering, and pharmaceutical sciences.

5. Conclusion

The mechanisms by which nanomaterials contribute to bone repair are diverse, and ongoing research in this area provides a theoretical foundation and practical basis for clinical applications. The application of nanomaterials in the repair of bone defects primarily focuses on promoting bone regeneration, drug delivery, antibacterial properties, personalized treatment, long-term biocompatibility, and mechanical properties. As research on nanomaterials advances, their significance in the field of bone repair will become increasingly prominent. In the future, nanomaterials are expected to play a critical role in enhancing the safety and efficacy of clinical applications, advancing personalized medicine, and promoting the progress of regenerative medicine. Their importance in the field of bone repair will continue to grow.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Hebei Natural Science Foundation (grant number H2020209156);

and the North China University of Science and Technology Postgraduate Innovation Project (grant number 2024S33).

References

[1] Mattia L, Francesco M G, Marco di M, et al. Femoral bone defect classifications in revision total hip arthroplasty: a comprehensive review and proposal of a new algorithm of management. EFORT open reviews, 2024, 9(3): 160-172.

[2] Nicholas B J, Molly Fr, Zhenya W, et al. Validation of a proposed radiographic bone defect classification system. Injury, 2022, 53(10): 3282-3288.

[3] David D. Vu, Brian L. Schmidt. Quality of Life Evaluation for Patients Receiving Vascularized Versus Nonvascularized Bone Graft Reconstruction of Segmental Mandibular Defects. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 2008, 66(9): 1856-1863.

[4] Patrick GR, Geoffrey DA, Seth LS, et al. Autologous Bone Grafting. Operative Techniques in Sports Medicine, 2020,28 (4): 150780.

[5] Vaclav B, Phanindra BK, Petra C, et al. Nanomaterials in Bone Regeneration. Applied Sciences, 2022,12(13): 6793.

[6] Li PY, Li YF, Tszyung K, et al. A bi-layered membrane with micro-nano bioactive glass for guided bone regeneration. Colloids & Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 2021, 205: 111886.

[7] Ye G, Bao FY, Zhang XZ, et al. Nanomaterial-based scaffolds for bone tissue engineering and regeneration. Nanomedicine, 2020,15(10): 1995-2017.

[8] Mo XJ, Zhang DJ, Liu KD, et al. Nano-Hydroxyapatite Composite Scaffolds Loaded with Bioactive Factors and Drugs for Bone Tissue Engineering. 2023, 24(1291): 1291.

[9] Kim SK, Murugan SS, Dalavi PA, et al. Biomimetic chitosan with biocomposite nanomaterials for bone tissue repair and regeneration. Beilstein journal of nanotechnology, 2022, 13: 1051-1067.

[10] Tony M K, Soyeun P. Physical properties of nanoparticles do matter. Journal of Pharmaceutical Investigation, 2021, 51(1): 35-51.

[11] Thomas C, Aditya N, Matthias U. Nollert, et al. Modification of Single Walled Carbon Nanotube Surface Chemistry to Improve Aqueous Solubility and Enhance Cellular Interactions. Langmuir: the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 2008, 24(22): 13173-13181.

[12] Varun S, Lalit P, Tirumalai S. S. Nano Hydroxyapatite (nano-HAp): A Potential Bioceramic for Biomedical Applications. Current Nanomaterials, 2021, 6 (3): 207-221.

[13] Ta N, Liu JY, Shen WJ. Tuning the shape of ceria nanomaterials for catalytic applications. CHINESE JOURNAL OF CATALYSIS, 2013, 34(5): 838-850.

[14] Qi FL, Jeong K-J, Gong JX, et al. Modulation of Nano-superstructures and Their Optical Properties. Accounts of chemical research, 2022, 55(17): 2425-2438.

[15] Ganesh HI, Ramanathan B, Aravind S, et al. Nanoceramics-reinforced chitosan scaffolds in bone tissue engineering. Materials Advances, 2023, 4(18): 3907-392.

[16] Mohamadyar-Toupkanlou F, Vasheghani-Farahani E, Bakhshandeh B, et al. In Vitro and In Vivo investigations on fibronectin coated and hydroxyapatite incorporated scaffolds. Cellular and molecular biology (Noisy-le-Grand, France), 2015, 61(4): 1-7.

[17] Mao YJ, Chen Y, Li WF, et al. Physiology-Inspired Multilayer Nanofibrous Membranes Modulating Endogenous Stem Cell Recruitment and Osteo-Differentiation for Staged Bone Regeneration. Advanced healthcare materials, 2022,11(21): e2201457.

[18] Wang YN, Huang LJ, Wang XY, et al. Photoresponsive triple shape memory polymers with a self-healing function based on poly (lactic acid)/polycaprolactone blends. Polymer Testing, 2023,120: 107966.

[19] Mahya R, Reza FM, Khani MM, et al. Cross-linked PMS/PLA nanofibers with tunable mechanical properties and degradation rate for biomedical applications. European Polymer Journal, 2020, 130(0): 109633.

[20] He YW, Quan HY, Long P, et al. Staggered Nanofiber Scaffolds via Electric-Field-Controlled Assembly for Bone Tissue Regeneration, ACS Applied Nano Materials, 2022, 5(5): 6327-6339.

[21] Xie J, Shen HQ, Yuan GY, et al. The effects of alignment and diameter of electrospun fibers on the cellular behaviors and osteogenesis of BMSCs. Materials Science and Engineering: C, 2021, 120: 111787.

[22] Khoshaim Ahmed B, Moustafa Essam B, Youness Rasha A. Antibacterial, mechanical, and dielectric properties of hydroxyapatite cordierite/zirconia porous nanocomposites for use in bone tissue engineering applications. Nanotechnology Reviews, 2024, 13(1): 121539-121584.

[23] Arslan M E, Kurt M Ş, Aslan N, et al. Structural, biocompatibility, and antibacterial properties of Ge-DLC nanocomposite for biomedical applications. Journal of biomedical materials research. Part B, Applied biomaterials, 2022,110(7): 1667-1674.

[24] Hamidreza BR, Aliakbar N, Zhina H, et al. Characterization and biological properties of nanostructured clinoenstatite scaffolds for bone tissue engineering applications. Materials Chemistry and Physics,2021,259(0): 123969.

[25] Mao YJ, Zhang YW, Wang Y, et al. A multifunctional nanocomposite hydrogel with controllable release behavior enhances bone regeneration. Regenerative Biomaterials, 2023,10(1): rbad046.

[26] Ribeiro M, Fernandes MH, Beppu MM, et al. Silk fibroin/nanohydroxyapatite hydrogels for promoted bioactivity and osteoblastic proliferation and differentiation of human bone marrow stromal cells. Materials Science and Engineering: C (Materials for Biological Applications), 2018, 89(0): 336-345.

[27] Xue H, Zhang ZH, Lin Z, et al. Enhanced tissue regeneration through immunomodulation of angiogenesis and osteogenesis with a multifaceted nanohybrid modified bioactive scaffold. Bioactive Materials, 2022,18: 552-568.

[28] Liu JH, Liu ZT, Zhang X, et al. Nanocomposite hyaluronic acid adhesive hydrogel with controllable drug release for bone

regeneration. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 2024, 274(2): 133362.

[29] Marta MT, Santiago R, Carolina GM, et al. Nanosized UCMSC-derived extracellular vesicles but not conditioned medium exclusively inhibit the inflammatory response of stimulated T cells: Implications for nanomedicine. Theranostics, 2017, 7(2): 270-284.

[30] Li R, Fan HL, Chen YQ, et al. Application of nanoplasmonic biosensors based on nanoarrays in biological and chemical detection. Optics express, 2023, 31(13): 21586-21613.

[31] Wang FF, Zhai D, Wu CT, et al. Multifunctional mesoporous bioactive glass/upconversion nanoparticle nanocomposites with strong red emission to monitor drug delivery and stimulate osteogenic differentiation of stem cell. Nano Research, 2016, 9(4): 1193-1208.