

Morphology, Development, Diversity, Ecological Functions, and Threats to Moths

Kexin Li *

Sendelta International Academy Shenzhen, Shenzhen, China

* Corresponding Author Email: mothcindy@outlook.com

Abstract. Moths (*Lepidoptera: Heterocera*) represent a dominant group within the order Lepidoptera, playing indispensable roles in global ecosystems. This review synthesizes current knowledge on moth morphology, developmental processes, species diversity, ecological contributions, and the multifaceted threats they face. By examining their life cycle—encompassing egg, larva (caterpillar), pupa, and adult stages—we highlight their intricate physiological adaptations and evolutionary significance. With an estimated global diversity exceeding 255,000 species, moths surpass butterflies in ecological and taxonomic richness, contributing to nutrient cycling, energy transfer, pollination, and population regulation. However, anthropogenic pressures, including habitat destruction, light pollution, urban heat islands, and climate change, pose severe risks to moth populations, resulting in declines in species richness and abundance. Drawing on extensive literature, this study elucidates differential responses among moth families to environmental disturbances and proposes actionable conservation strategies, such as mitigating light pollution, restoring habitats, and enhancing monitoring programs. As ecological indicators, moths provide critical insights into environmental health. Future research should integrate interdisciplinary approaches to deepen our understanding of moth-ecosystem interactions, supporting biodiversity conservation efforts. This review aims to provide a scientific foundation for researchers and policymakers to advance moth conservation.

Keywords: Moths, Ecological Diversity, Urban Impacts.

1. Introduction

Moths constitute a major lineage within the order Lepidoptera, alongside butterflies (Papilionoidea), but their species diversity and ecological versatility far exceed those of their diurnal counterparts. Global estimates suggest Lepidoptera comprises approximately 160,000 species, with moths accounting for about 95% (over 150,000 described species), and potentially up to 255,000 species, including undiscovered taxa. Moths are descended from species present during the Mesozoic era, and present both morphological and physiological characteristics of an extended evolutionary history with different environmental pressures, including nocturnal behavior, mouthpart modifications, and complex life history traits. Therefore, moths serve various important functions in ecosystems, acting as primary consumers, pollinators, and prey in food webs.

The moth life cycle as an example of holometabolous insects includes the egg, larval (caterpillar), pupal, and adult stages. This complexity reinforces their biological sophistication as a group of organisms, and allows them to adapt to environmental challenges. Their indicators of environmental change and sensitivity to local environments make moth species suitable biological indicators of ecosystem health. Declines of global insect populations, including moth populations, have become concerning, and have prompted studies to investigate changes in global moth populations which have reported 40-50% loss of abundance in subregions particularly in Europe and North America. Habitat loss, agricultural intensification and climate change have been identified as potential drivers of declines.

Moth diversity is indicative of differences both morphologically and environmentally. Excluding butterflies, the majority of moths are nocturnal, and the majority have adapted to utilize the nighttime ecological niche. For example, moths as nocturnal pollinators assist plants that bloom at night, and moth-pollination networks are generally larger than their bee counterparts. Group members that have quantifiable herbivory in their larval life stage affect the dynamics of the host plant community,

contributing to ecological stability. However, certain moth species, such as those in the Noctuidae family, are agricultural pests, though others serve as biological control agents.

Human activities exacerbate threats to moths. Habitat fragmentation isolates populations, increasing extinction risks; light pollution disrupts life cycles, affecting diapause and eclosion; and nitrogen deposition alters host plant quality. Urban environments intensify these pressures through heat island effects and habitat loss, with urban moth diversity significantly lower than in rural areas.

This review consolidates knowledge on moth morphology, development, diversity, ecological roles, and threats, supplemented by additional literature to enhance academic rigor. It proposes conservation strategies and identifies research gaps, advocating for molecular and ecological studies to address global change impacts. The structure includes sections on morphology and development, diversity and ecological functions, classification and evolution, threats, and conclusions.

2. Morphology and Development: A Sophisticated Life Cycle

Moths are holometabolous insects and have life histories with four stages: egg, larva (caterpillar), pupa, and adult. Each of these life stages has different adaptations in morphology and physiology as a response to evolutionary pressures associated with their environment.

2.1. Egg Stage

Moth eggs are complex structures consisting of two layers; a tough outer layer called a chorion and a permeable inner layer called the vitelline layer. Moth eggs contain an individual oocyte where embryonic development occurs post-fertilization. While developing in an egg, a few structures are relevant to terrestrial adaptations, specifically the micropyle (the entrance for sperm) and the aeropyles (which provide gas exchange). Incubation periods are usually specific to species and temperature, with some species having a longer incubation period for the benefits of diapause and overwintering advantages. Diapausing eggs are complete with larvae that are fully developed and would only need the right environmental conditions to hatch. In Sphingidae (hawk moths), the time from egg to hatching of larvae can take 3-5 days in warm areas to months in cooler areas. Egg shape/morphology and color varies dramatically. Many species deposit their eggs only on the undersides of their host plant's leaves and deposit spherical eggs to protect the eggs from predators [1].

Embryonic development is highly regulated, with maternal effect genes dictating polarity and segmentation. Laboratory experiences have shown that just a couple of degrees of fluctuation from a standard rearing temperature can drop hatching success by 20-30%. As conditions change with climate change, with major alterations to habitat, the end result will be stresses on hatching success.

2.2. Larval Stage (Caterpillar)

In the larval stage, growth is achieved in a rapid manner, through feeding and development. Morphologies of caterpillars can vary greatly from small, to large; smooth to spiny; but all of them have efficient feeding methods and relatively fast growth rates. Most undergo multiple instars (typically 4–7), with each molt resulting in significant size and appearance changes. The head, encased in a chitinous capsule, features stemmata (simple eyes) and antennae for environmental perception [2]. Despite limited vision, caterpillars exhibit memory retention, avoiding trained odors, a behavior that persists into adulthood, influencing oviposition.

The digestive system is adapted for herbivory, with robust chitinous mandibles for chewing varied plant tissues. Growth rates depend on food quality and temperature; poor nutrition may trigger additional instars or result in undersized adults. For instance, Geometridae larvae, feeding on broadleaf plants, achieve daily weight gains of up to 10%. Defensive adaptations, such as toxic spines or mimicry, counter predation, though larval mortality can reach 90% due to natural enemies.

2.3. Pupal Stage

The pupal stage bridges larval and adult phases. Many moth larvae construct cocoons for protection, using silk or environmental materials, with forms varying from tree-suspended to leaf-litter-concealed [3]. Pupae anchor to cocoons via cremaster spines, aiding eclosion.

During the prepupal phase, larvae become inactive as internal organs reorganize into adult structures. In the prepupa, the old cuticle separates from the new pupal body, which emerges after cuticle rupture. The pupal cuticle hardens through sclerotization, providing a protective shell for tissue remodeling. Pupa duration varies, lasting 7–10 days in warm conditions or months in colder climates, regulated by hormones like ecdysone and juvenile hormone.

2.4. Adult Stage

Adult moths typically have short lifespans, prioritizing reproduction. Morphological adaptations, such as sensitive antennae for pheromone detection and a proboscis for nectar feeding, support this role. Wing scales aid camouflage and thermoregulation. Complex courtship behaviors, like those of the luna moth (*Actias luna*), occur within a one-week lifespan. Some species, such as the death's-head hawk moth (*Acherontia atropos*), exhibit long-distance migration.

3. Diversity and Ecological Functions

Moths dominate Lepidoptera in species richness, with estimates suggesting up to 255,000 species, far outnumbering butterflies. Their ecological roles are pivotal for biodiversity and ecosystem stability [4].

3.1. Sources and Distribution of Diversity

Moth diversity stems from evolutionary divergence and ecological specialization. Moths started to emerge roughly 200 million years ago, and the group includes superfamilies like Bombycoidea and Noctuoidea. Their richest diversity occurs in the tropics in places like the rainforests of Papua New Guinea, with areas supporting over 1000 species. Morphological differences also occur in the form of wing shape, color, and overall body size which all vary depending on the environmental habitat [5]. Moths are a large group with a wider range of body sizes, such as very small sized Tineidae to very large sized Sphingidae. In determining distribution patterns, climate and vegetation are also important. For example, Mediterranean moths are very sensitive to temperature changes primarily influenced by climate. Urbanization reduces biodiversity, but some moths, including adaptable families such as Pyralidae, do thrive.

3.2. Key Ecological Roles

Moths are an important component in a myriad of ecosystem processes. Moths can be involved in nutrient cycling, energy transfer, pollination and biocontrol. Moths and their actions also support biodiversity and the ecological stability of a range of environments, from forests to urban greenspaces.

3.2.1. Nutrient Cycling and Energy Flow

As primary consumers, moth larvae are essential to the conversion of plant-based energy into the energy contained in biomass. Caterpillars are able to convert foliage, stems, or roots into energy, thus converting plant material into energy for consumers at a higher trophic level, such as insectivorous birds, bats, amphibians, and spiders. Moth larvae account for a significant portion of the energy transferred to songbirds in temperate forests during their breeding seasons, a critical period for overall breeding success. As such, some studies suggest moth larvae, as a group of organisms, contribute as much as 10–20% of the energy-flow in terrestrial ecosystems [6].

Detritivores feed on decaying organic matter, such as decaying solid wood or fruit. Detritivores accelerate the rate of nutrient cycling where organic matter decomposes and compounds (for example, nitrogen and phosphorus) are released back to soils to support plant growth and microbial processes.

Detritivorous moths can bolster nutrient flux in soils that can increase the primary production of tropical ecosystems. Moths' role in organic matter decay as detritivores is essential, and is an important factor for fast cycling of nutrients in relatively unproductive ecosystems, like rainforests, where rapid cycling is essential for ecosystem stability.

3.2.2. Pollination

Moths are vital nocturnal pollinators, with pollinator networks that are often larger and more complex than those of diurnal pollinators like bees and butterflies, in that they pollinate plants with nocturnal flowers (e.g. some orchids, jasmine, and nightshades). Because moths can service plants that daytime pollinators cannot reach, their service in pollination networks is often larger and more complex. For example, hawk moths (Sphingidae) pollinate orchids such as the Madagascar star orchid (*Angraecum sesquipedale*), having long proboscis that fit the deep corollas of specific orchid flowers. Some research indicates that moths support pollination of up to 30% of plants in some ecosystems, demonstrating their ecological significance.

In urban environments, where diurnal pollinators may be scarce due to habitat fragmentation, moths play a disproportionate role in maintaining plant reproduction. Their ability to navigate low-light conditions ensures pollination of urban flora, supporting green spaces and biodiversity. However, light pollution disrupts these interactions by attracting moths away from flowers, reducing pollination efficiency. Conservation efforts to reduce artificial lighting could enhance moth pollination services, benefiting both urban and rural ecosystems [7].

3.2.3. Biological Control and Population Regulation

While some moth species, such as those in the Noctuidae family, are notorious agricultural pests, others contribute to biological control by preying on pest insects. Larvae of certain Pyralidae and Noctuidae species feed on aphids, leafhoppers, and other small herbivores, reducing crop damage naturally. For example, the larvae of some pyralid moths consume pest eggs, offering an eco-friendly alternative to chemical pesticides.

Moth larvae also regulate plant populations by selectively feeding on specific species, preventing overdominance and promoting plant diversity [8]. In grasslands, caterpillar herbivory limits the spread of invasive plants, maintaining community balance. Conversely, moth populations are regulated by natural enemies, including parasitic wasps, flies, and pathogens, which prevent outbreaks. This dynamic interplay ensures ecological stability, as unchecked moth populations could disrupt vegetation structure.

3.3. Ecological Indicator Function

Due to being particularly sensitive to changes in environmental conditions, moths are good bioindicators to use to assess habitat quality. Moth population sizes, species richness, and distribution pattern can display the quality and health status of an ecosystem. A stable moth community or a diverse moth community may reflect healthy habitats, while a decline in populations or a range shift may highlight significant stressors such as pollution, habitat loss, or climate change. In a Swedish study, moth diversity was used to assess forest ecosystem health, highlighting how species richness correlates with undisturbed habitats.

Moths are sensitive to host plant availability and host microclimate. Changes in temperature or rainfall may hinder larval development or adult reproduction; therefore, moths are potentially vulnerable for population decline. Declines of between 40–50% of moth populations occurring in parts of Europe are linked to agricultural intensification and changing climate; moths have reacted more rapidly than other organisms - signalling the decline of an entire ecosystem. Monitoring migration and population dynamics of moths as they play an important functional role for conservation planning provides scientists opportunities to monitor how the environment has changed over time. For example, citizen science projects, like moth trapping networks, have provided planners with information that documented population declines in urban areas, in turn guiding habitat restoration efforts [9].

The added benefit of moth monitoring, as part of ecological assessments, will enable researchers to create predictive models for biodiversity loss, and ultimately create policies intended to mitigate environmental consequences. Additionally, moths, as indicators of environmental change, lend value beyond their ecological function; they are also an indicator or sentinel of global change.

4. Threats to Moth Populations

Human activities pose a serious threat to moths, particularly with regard to their populations and community interactions. The threats to moths, which include habitat alteration, urbanization, climate change and chemical contaminants, have disrupted community-based interactions and contributes to observable declines in moth abundance and richness across all taxonomic scales, particularly in sensitive systems. The understanding of threats to moths, and how these pressures might vary by family, will assist in developing conservation plans to mitigate these pressures.

4.1. Anthropogenic Disturbance and Family-Specific Impacts

Anthropogenic disturbances, including deforestation, agricultural intensification, and plantation forestry, significantly alter moth communities and decrease taxonomic diversity, species richness, and abundance of individuals. Changes in moth communities are directly linked to changes in plant diversity. Most moths are herbivorous and, in turn, require particular host plants to survive. In mountainous regions, activities like monoculture plantations (e.g., conifers such as pines or non-native bamboo) alter vegetation composition, indirectly affecting moth populations by limiting suitable food sources and breeding habitats. For example, in the Himalayas, conversion of mixed forests to single-species plantations has reduced caterpillar host plants, leading to a 30% decline in moth species richness.

Declines in Species and Abundance: Families such as Geometridae (inchworms), Noctuidae (owlet moths), Lymantriidae (tussock moths), and Notodontidae (prominent moths) experience sharp declines in species and individual counts as disturbance intensity increases. These families often rely on diverse, native vegetation, making them vulnerable to habitat simplification [10].

Disturbance Intensity Effects: Pyralidae (snout moths) and Sphingidae (hawk moths) may show temporary increases in species richness or abundance under mild to moderate disturbances, such as selective logging, due to their adaptability to early-successional plants. However, under severe disturbances, like clear-cutting or heavy urbanization, these families also decline significantly.

Adaptive Families: Pyralidae and Tineidae (clothes moths) demonstrate resilience, often thriving in disturbed habitats. Their generalist feeding habits, including detritivory, enable them to exploit altered environments, such as urban gardens or agricultural fields.

Regional variations highlight the complexity of these responses. In the U.S. Midwest, studies show that Geometridae, Notodontidae, and Pyralidae diversity is lower in forest clearings compared to mixed forests, where structural complexity supports greater species richness. In Andean rainforests, Pyralidae diversity peaks in late-successional forests, reflecting their preference for stable, diverse habitats. Australian research indicates that Lymantriidae and Geometridae populations decline with increasing disturbance, while Pyralidae and Noctuidae may increase in moderately disturbed areas due to opportunistic feeding. In Korea, larger moth species, particularly Saturniidae, face accelerated declines due to habitat loss, with populations dropping by up to 50% in fragmented landscapes [11].

4.2. Urban Impacts on Moth Diversity

Urbanization poses unique challenges to moth diversity through light pollution, heat island effects, and habitat fragmentation. Light pollution, from streetlights and buildings, disrupts moth behavior, particularly diapause—a critical survival mechanism for overwintering—leading to population declines [12]. Artificial light attracts moths, increasing predation and disrupting reproductive cycles, with studies estimating a 20–30% reduction in urban moth populations due to this factor. Mitigating

light pollution, such as using shielded or low-wavelength lighting, is a priority, as its impacts extend beyond cities, affecting rural moth communities.

In Europe, high nitrogen deposition from agricultural and industrial emissions degrades nutrient-poor habitats like heathlands and grasslands, critical for specialist moth species. Active management, such as controlled burns or selective grazing, can reduce nitrogen levels but risks harming moth populations by removing eggs, larvae, or pupae. Intensive practices, like frequent mowing, also reduce nectar availability, impacting adult moths. In contrast, heterogeneous vegetation management (i.e. hedgerows, flower strips, native plant patches) improves habitat suitability for moths and other invertebrates. Urban heat islands increase temperatures in urban centers, and increase moth metabolic rates and decrease moth lifespans. Fragmentation isolates populations, decreases connections between those populations, and reduces genetic diversity and resilience. Monitoring across multiple habitats (e.g. wetlands, grasslands, woodlands) ensures that any population declines can be detected early, informing conservation efforts.

4.3. Temporal Dynamics of Anthropogenic Impacts

Seasonal variation in moth communities can be affected by anthropogenic disturbances, and their consequences can have a greater influence in the late-summer (August) than in the early-summer (June). Mild to moderate disturbances (i.e., selective logging) should not significantly impact seasonal patterns in moth communities. However, severe anthropogenic disturbances (e.g., clearcutting or urbanization) will severely alter the structure of moth communities. Diversity indices, such as Shannon's index, show minimal seasonal variation, but evenness (Pielou's index) is lowest under moderate to severe disturbance in June, indicating dominance by a few species. The Berger-Parker dominance index exhibits greater monthly fluctuations in disturbed sites, with extreme variations in heavily impacted areas like Luofu, China, where industrial activities exacerbate habitat loss. Climate change, particularly summer droughts, intensifies larval mortality, reducing population recruitment by up to 40% in arid regions [13].

4.4. Additional Threats and Conservation Strategies

Beyond habitat alteration, invasive species and pesticide use pose significant risks. Non-native plants displace moth host species, while pesticides, including neonicotinoids, reduce larval survival by 25–50% in agricultural landscapes. Conservation strategies include restoring grasslands with native plants, minimizing pesticide application, and establishing long-term monitoring networks to track population trends. Citizen science initiatives, such as “moth walls” or light-trap surveys, enhance data collection, engaging communities in biodiversity monitoring. These efforts, combined with policy measures like protected areas and light pollution regulations, are essential for moth conservation [14].

5. Conclusion

Biodiversity conservation is a cornerstone of ecology and environmental science. Insects, particularly moths, are ideal bioindicators due to their sensitivity to environmental changes. Herbivorous insects are categorized as monophagous or polyphagous, with monophagous species often more prevalent due to higher host specificity and lower predation pressure. Vegetation fundamentally influences moth community composition and abundance.

This study reveals that mild disturbances have limited impacts on moth diversity, while moderate to severe disturbances reduce species richness, Shannon diversity, and Pielou evenness, increasing dominance. Family-specific responses reflect dietary and habitat preferences. Future research should integrate macroecological and molecular approaches to explore moth-vegetation interactions, informing conservation models for forest ecosystems.

Global cooperation is essential, prioritizing light pollution reduction and habitat restoration. Public engagement through education and citizen science can bolster sustainable practices.

References

- [1] Rodríguez-Loeches, Laura, and Alejandro Barro. "Life cycle and immature stages of the arctiid moth, *Phoenicoprocta capistrata*." *Journal of insect science* 2008, 8 (5): 5-5.
- [2] Jiménez-Guri Eva, Wotton K R ,Gavilán Brenda,et al.A Staging Scheme for the Development of the Moth Midge *Clogmia albipunctata* [J].*Plos One*, 2014, 9 (1):e84422.
- [3] Kavalerskaia A, Kazachkova E, Nikoli-Lukovska N, et al. Moth Luna (*Actias luna*) [J]. *AIDASCO Reviews*, 2023..
- [4] Kirkpatrick L, Mitchell S N, Park K J. The metric matters when assessing diversity: Assessing lepidopteran species richness and diversity in two habitats under different disturbance regimes [J].*Ecology & Evolution*, 2018, 8 (22).
- [5] Piccini I, Depetris M, Paradiso F, Cochis F, Audisio M, Artioli P, Smargiassi S, Bonifacino M, Giuliano D, La Cava S, et al. Macro-moth (Lepidoptera) Diversity of a Newly Shaped Ecological Corridor and the Surrounding Forest Area in the Western Italian Alps. *Diversity*. 2023; 15 (1):95.
- [6] Basset Y, Cizek L, Cuénoud P, et al. Arthropod diversity in a tropical forest. *Science*, 2012, 338 (6113): 1481-1484.
- [7] Macgregor C J, Pocock M J O, Fox R, et al. Pollination by nocturnal Lepidoptera, and the effects of light pollution: a review [J]. *Ecological Entomology*, 2015, 40.
- [8] Edwards C A. The impact of pesticides on the environment [M]//*The pesticide question: Environment, economics, and ethics*. Boston, MA: Springer US, 1993: 13-46.
- [9] Losey J E, Vaughan M. The economic value of ecological services provided by insects [J]. *Bioscience*, 2006, 56 (4): 311-323.
- [10] Summerville K S, Ritter L M, Crist T O. Forest moth taxa as indicators of lepidopteran richness and habitat disturbance: a preliminary assessment. *Biological Conservation*, 2004, 116 (1): 9-18.
- [11] Uhl B, Pouska V, Červenka J, et al. Response of moth communities (Lepidoptera) to forest management strategies after disturbance [J]. *European Journal of Entomology*, 2023, 120.
- [12] Knop E, Zoller L, Ryser R, et al. Artificial light at night as a new threat to pollination [J]. *Nature*, 2017, 548 (7666): 206-209.
- [13] Ma Kunlong. Short term distributed load forecasting method based on big data. Changsha: Hunan University, 2014.
- [14] Conrad K F, Woiwod I P, Parsons M, et al. Long-term population trends in widespread British moths [J]. *Journal of Insect Conservation*, 2004, 8 (2): 119-136.