

Research on Cultural Capital Empowering International Education and Cross-border Humanistic Exchange in the Digital Era

Rina Su

Master of Arts in New Media and Social Media, The Education University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

Abstract: With the advent of the digital age, cultural capital is increasingly being produced, disseminated and recognised on online platforms, digital infrastructure and networked learning environments. Therefore, significant changes have occurred in international education and cross-border humanistic exchange, and both are now more reliant on digital communication and knowledge sharing. Culture can also support international education through old ways or new ones; these include promoting participation, fostering cross-cultural understanding, and cultivating new forms of cross-border academic and cultural cooperation. It is proposed that, at present, digital cultural capital is an important determining factor in whether individuals can participate in global opportunities, how learners engage in international educational activities, and whether such participation is inclusive or exclusive. Based on a conceptual analysis of classical sociology and recent studies in higher education, this paper proposes that digital technology can promote the democratization of international education only when institutions actively promote equitable access, multilingual communication, digital literacy and dialogic intercultural learning. Based on the above analysis, it is proposed that cultural capital in the digital age should be viewed as a type of educational resource that can be redistributed through changes in curriculum and policy, as well as through institutional reforms.

Keywords: Cultural Capital, Digital Capital, International Education, Virtual Exchange, Intercultural Competence, Cross-Border Humanistic Exchange.

1. Introduction

A new stage of international education has developed, in which mobility, communication and knowledge creation are no longer limited to physical travel. The spread of digital platforms has enabled students and teachers to participate in cross-border learning through virtual classrooms, joint online courses, digital archives and cultural exchanges at a distance. As a result, the scope of educational internationalisation has expanded, and cross-border humanistic exchange is being carried out more broadly through digital technology.

Expansion of the range of overseas Internet applications does not guarantee uniform coverage. Students have different levels of language ability, digital confidence, and cross-cultural awareness, and they are familiar with the communication conventions of the global academic community to varying degrees. The above reasons indicate who can benefit from digital international education, who feels acknowledged in cross-border spaces, and how international learning can be transformed into educational and social progress. Thus, the concept of cultural capital is still very much in use today.

This paper examines how cultural capital enhances international education and cross-border humanistic exchange in the digital age. First, it constructs an intellectual link between cultural capital and digital capital; then, investigate how both types of capital are expressed in virtual exchange, internationalization at home, and in digitally mediated intercultural communication. Based on the above, this paper will explore both the empowering effect and the inequality issues in digital international education, and put forward some corresponding suggestions for institutions that wish to promote more inclusive and stable cross-border humanistic exchange.

2. Cultural Capital and Digital-era Transformation

2.1. Classical Cultural Capital and Educational Advantage

Bourdieu's idea of cultural capital generally refers to non-material resources such as linguistic skills, cultural literacy, habits of behaviour and learning styles, etc., that serve as assets in the educational systems and broader social structures [1]. These resources are not neutral. They determine whose forms of expression are deemed legitimate, whose abilities will be recognised, and which learners will be able to study better in school [1]. Cultural capital in higher education affects students' self-confidence and sense of belonging, as well as their capacity to convert learning opportunities into credentials and recognition.

Cultural capital in the context of international education has traditionally been associated with foreign language proficiency, familiarity with other societies, cosmopolitan attitudes and the confidence to live abroad or adapt to different areas. Students who already have such resources are generally more likely to participate in exchange programmes, global classrooms and international networks. In other words, the internationalisation of education has not been purely about migration, and unevenly distributed symbolic and communicative advantages have always been present.

2.2. From Cultural Capital to Digital Cultural Capital

Many of the resources that enable participation in education are now accessed and mediated through digital technologies. Recent research on digital capital has shown that a set of resources, including devices, digital infrastructure

and technological literacy, are all relatively essential for students to be included in education [2]. Digital Capital should not be viewed only as a problem of technology. It falls under cultural capital because participation in online spaces requires devices and network access, but also other factors such as communication patterns, interpretative abilities and self-efficacy for learning in a digital environment [2].

Pitzalis and Porcu have shown through their research on digital capital and cultural capital in education that the educational value of digital resources does not simply stem from their acquisition but rather from the way students use them in culturally structured contexts [2]. This provides a basis for the foreign language curriculum. Conditions for success in a virtual global classroom include language skills, digital literacy, cross-cultural awareness, self-presentation skills, knowledge of transnational academic norms, and so on. The above overlapping capabilities can be considered digital cultural capital, which serves as a form of resource for participating in the new age of global learning.

3. International Education in the Digital Era

3.1. Internationalization Beyond Physical Mobility

Knight's widely cited definition of internationalisation refers to the extension of the aims, applications and services of higher education in an international, cross-cultural or global manner [3]. This definition is still highly applicable in the digital age because it does not require internationalisation to be achieved through studying abroad. Institutional strategies, curricula, pedagogy, partnerships and the whole educational culture that promote global engagement are included [3].

Digitalisation has expanded the scope of this initiative by creating alternatives to physical mobility. Online collaborative learning, virtual classrooms, globally networked seminars and cross-border academic communities can be used to internationalise teaching and learning for all students without requiring them to travel abroad. Students who face financial constraints, visa restrictions, caregiving responsibilities, disabilities, or geographic isolation often encounter significant barriers to participation. In this way, digital platforms can contribute to a more open and inclusive global education environment for students.

3.2. Virtual Exchange and Intercultural Competence

Virtual exchange is now one of the outstanding models of digitally mediated international learning. The EVOLVE project report on virtual exchange in higher education found that students who took part in structured cross-border online collaboration showed gains in intercultural competence, critical digital literacy, language learning and disciplinary understanding [4, 5]. Therefore, virtual exchange can serve as a valuable form of learning and does not need to be a replacement for in-person interaction [11].

Newer studies in higher education have provided further evidence for this conclusion. A study in the Journal of Comparative and International Higher Education found that asynchronous video-based virtual exchanges improved several indicators of cross-cultural literacy for undergraduate students [6]. These findings indicate that, when students engage in extended interaction and guided tasks under the

support of digital technology, reflective cross-cultural learning will occur. Cultural capital is required at this time to learn about other cultures and their expressions; otherwise, it would be difficult to communicate across cultures.

3.3. Internationalization at Home and Access Expansion

In the digital age, models of internationalisation at home have gained increasing attention to provide international and cross-cultural learning opportunities for students who cannot travel abroad. Based on research by international education organisations, virtual exchanges and other online forms of practice are in line with this objective because they offer a wider scope for digital technology to spread and facilitate sustained intercultural engagement [7]. Integration with the mainstream curriculum can make the global study experience available to all students at the university, rather than just a privilege for a small mobile minority.

From the perspective of cultural capital, internationalisation at home is to expand opportunities for students to acquire international knowledge, experiences, and competencies. Students who were previously unable to afford or access the materials and opportunities for studying abroad can acquire new forms of cultural capital through digital means. In this process, institutions play a crucial enabling role. Without support structures, digital internationalisation may only benefit students who are already proficient in language and technology, and familiar with the system of global academic communication.

4. Cultural Capital Empowering Cross-border Humanistic Exchange

4.1. Humanistic Exchange as more than Information Transfer

Cross-border humanistic exchange does not refer only to the movement of information. It involves the development of shared understanding, interpretive communication, moral sense and awareness across different societies and cultures. Educationally, it is also about sharing stories, values, historical memories and traditions of knowledge. Digital technology can help us connect with people we are far from at present, but authentic humanistic communication is still required.

Cultural capital serves to empower individuals in this way. Students and teachers who possess strong interpretive skills, reflective communication habits, and awareness of cultural differences will be able to take part more actively in cross-border communication. These abilities can help students consume information from all over the world and then learn to analyze this information independently and participate in global education. Cultural capital provides the foundation for the humanistic value of international education in this way.

4.2. Intercultural Communication in Digitally Mediated Environments

Recently, research on intercultural communication in virtual exchange has demonstrated that digital platforms are not neutral channels. They structure turn-taking, visibility, attention and modes of self-presentation to shape the form of intercultural dialogue [8]. Given the above circumstances, students need to manage textual, audiovisual communication effectively; they should be able to handle linguistic ambiguity

and learn that cultural meaning differs between synchronous and asynchronous contexts [8].

This has a relatively high educational value. Digital cultural capital refers to the capacity to communicate across cultures in mediated spaces, interpretation of silence and response patterns, selection of appropriate registers, and collaboration with partners who have different academic expectations. The above qualities are very suitable for cross-border cultural exchange and can turn digital contact into real interactions rather than shallow connections. Without the above capabilities, the digital platform will only increase contact without deepening understanding.

4.3. Knowledge Diplomacy and Networked Collaboration

Digital platforms also promote cross-border dissemination of humanistic culture through long-term academic and cultural networks. Virtual conferences, online workshops, collaborative publications and transnational classrooms can all help people and institutions keep communicating from afar. These activities are in line with what policy discussions are increasingly referring to as "knowledge diplomacy", helping to build trust, cooperation and mutual recognition among different regions in higher education and culture [9].

Therefore, cultural capital can serve individuals and institutions at different times. Experience with international online cooperation can be used by individuals as academic materials. Institutions will create all-inclusive digital partnerships to boost their global influence as first-class universities by jointly generating knowledge and fostering cross-cultural interaction. Therefore, digital cultural capital serves to help students and influences how universities position themselves in the network of transnational education and culture.

5. Unequal Empowerment: Digital Divides and Recognition Gaps

5.1. Infrastructure, Skills, and Participation Inequality

Digital technologies have expanded access to overseas study and have also been distributed unevenly. UNESCO's reports on the digital transformation of higher education indicate that there are still considerable differences in connectivity, digital skills, policy support and institutional readiness among institutions and learners [10, 12]. These differences will not allow the students to participate in virtual exchanges and use global resources effectively for learning [10].

Therefore, the digital divide also has a cultural component in addition to a technical one. Although students have devices, they may lack platform literacy, self-confidence or communicative repertoires necessary for participating in the global digital classroom. In this way, digital exclusion is frequently a form of cultural exclusion. If institutions do not recognise this, then internationalisation by means of technology may reproduce forms of inequality similar to those historically associated with physical mobility.

5.2. Language Hierarchy and Symbolic Recognition

The second inequality relates to language and symbolic recognition. Much of the digital international education still

favours the dominant language and communication patterns, especially English in higher education. Students who are less confident in these dominant forms may be reluctant to participate, reduce their contribution, or feel that their knowledge is less legitimate. Therefore, cross-border humanistic exchange may unintentionally recreate symbolic hierarchies in the name of openness and inclusion.

From the perspective of cultural capital, resources and competencies acquire value only when they are recognised and legitimised by institutions. If the system of digital international education promotes only one form of language or culture, then students from different backgrounds will be obliged to conform to the prevailing norm instead of participating in exchange. More inclusive models need to be multilingual and flexible, explicitly scaffold intercultural experiences, and allow various forms of expression to count as valid academic participation.

5.3. The Risk of Superficial Internationalization

Another challenge is the risk of superficial internationalisation. Classrooms across borders are not necessarily about deep integration. Without appropriate pedagogical design, reflection, and sustained interaction, digital encounters may remain superficial, instrumental, or unevenly experienced by participants. Research on virtual exchange has consistently shown that intercultural benefits are more likely when the programmes are well-designed, facilitated and linked to the learning goals [4-6, 8].

This is because digital cultural capital is not obtained by passively being exposed to it. Rather, it develops through guided reflection, repeated intercultural engagement, and sustained encounters with cultural difference. Institutions that reduce digital internationalisation to a single event or a symbol may gain attention, but they will not create conditions for long-term humanistic exchange.

6. Practical Paths for Empowering International Education and Humanistic Exchange

6.1. Curriculum Design and Pedagogical Support

To give cultural capital more practical value in the digital age, universities need to integrate intercultural and digital education into the regular course of study rather than just adding them as electives. Courses can organise virtual exchange projects, collaborative global case studies, multilingual discussion tasks and reflective assignments to help students learn about different cultures. Such curricular designs can gradually and collectively foster digital cultural capital rather than leaving it as a private advantage for already privileged students.

Teacher preparation and professional development are also essential. Educators need to be trained on how to use the platform and how to promote cross-cultural interaction, manage power imbalances in discussions, and design assessments that recognise cooperative and communicative learning. If teachers do not have these competencies, although digital tools can be used effectively, they may not foster deep cross-border humanistic exchange.

6.2. Institutional Policy and Inclusive Infrastructure

Institutions should strengthen infrastructure and access, recognise diverse forms of student participation, and provide systematic support for inclusive engagement. Provide a stable digital platform, technical support, flexible time-zone-independent scheduling, and accessible learning formats for students with different requirements. They should also recognise virtual exchanges, online collaborations and intercultural project work in formal assessment and credentialing systems. If the institutions deem the cases worthy of academic study, they will be promoted and circulated more widely as digital cultural capital.

Inclusive policies should also tackle symbolic inequalities. Universities should foster multilingual learning, expand the scope of geographic and cultural resources available in the teaching materials, and establish mutual-benefit partnerships rather than top-down distribution.

6.3. From Inherited Capital to Cultivated Capacity

Therefore, the above analysis suggests that the new type of cultural capital for the digital age should no longer be viewed as a gift. Although the students in higher education come from different resource conditions, the institution can organise new forms of digital cultural capital through organised experiences, supportive teaching, and inclusive recognition practices. Therefore, the educational goal has changed from determining which students already have international literacy to promoting the widespread development of these competencies in higher education.

In this context, international education will be more than a choice of mobility. It represents a comprehensive approach to supporting students' intellectual, social, and intercultural development. Cultural capital is thus an enabling resource for humanistic interaction, not simply a mode of social reproduction.

7. Conclusion

In the digital era, the development of international education and cross-border humanistic exchange has been promoted by cultural capital and digital infrastructure. Cultural capital will still determine who can move freely across educational and cultural boundaries, but the digital age has added new kinds of skills, opportunities and symbols that have changed the conditions of participation. Digital cultural capital can now help learners take part in virtual exchanges, global classrooms and cross-border knowledge networks.

At the same time, the enabling prospects of digital international education is not distributed equally. Technology can increase accessibility; however, it will also reproduce the hierarchy of language, platform differences and recognition

gaps. Therefore, the university should view digital internationalisation as a pedagogical and ethical project rather than just a technical one. By integrating inclusive curriculum design, institutional support, intercultural facilitation and equitable recognition practices, higher education can employ cultural capital to explain inequality as well as to extend the conditions for more inclusive and sustainable cross-border humanistic exchange.

References

- [1] Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. G. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education* (pp. 241–258). Greenwood Press.
- [2] Pitzalis, M., & Porcu, M. (2024). Digital capital and cultural capital in education: Unravelling intersections and distinctions that shape social differentiation. *British Educational Research Journal*, 50(6), 2753–2776. <https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.4050>
- [3] Knight, J. (2004). Internationalization remodeled: Definition, approaches, and rationales. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 8(1), 5–31. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315303260832>
- [4] O'Dowd, R. (2020). The impact of virtual exchange on student learning in higher education: EVOLVE project report. University of Groningen / Erasmus+ KA3 EVOLVE Project.
- [5] European Commission. (2021). *Erasmus+ virtual exchange: Intercultural learning experiences: 2020 impact report*. Publications Office of the European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2797/870428>
- [6] Chu, C. C., Hooper, T., Takahashi, M., & Herke, M. (2024). The effect of asynchronous virtual exchange on intercultural competence among undergraduate students. *Journal of Comparative & International Higher Education*, 16(2). <https://doi.org/10.32674/jcihe.v16i2.5229>
- [7] European Association for International Education. (2020). *Virtual exchange and Internationalisation at Home: The perfect pairing*. EAIE.
- [8] Deardorff, D. K., & Durocher, D. (2024). *Intercultural communication in virtual exchange*. Cambridge University Press.
- [9] British Council. (2026). Knowledge diplomacy in context: The British Council, a legacy of building connections. British Council Humanities Blog.
- [10] UNESCO. (2025). *Report on digital transformation in higher education in South Asia*. UNESCO Office Bangkok.
- [11] European Commission. (2020). *Erasmus+ virtual exchange impact report 2019*. Publications Office of the European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2797/786285>
- [12] Cortoni, I. (2025). Analysis of digital capital for social inclusion in educational context. *British Educational Research Journal*, 51(2), 533–553. <https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.4087>