

Discussion on the Integration of Employability Training and Education Policy in the Implementation of Creative Education

Haoyu Li

School of Culture & Creative Arts, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

Abstract: With the change in world economy to an information society, education has also changed. Creative education has gradually been introduced in China to cultivate young children's creative thinking ability. Theoretically sound creative pedagogy is not directly applicable to employment in practice. This paper studies the integration of employability training and education policy in the implementation of creative education. The school's idea of employment is shifting from a job to all kinds of life experiences and learning. Based on the above research, new liberal education policies have adjusted university curricula to better align with labor market demands; however, pedagogical soundness and economic viability remain in conflict. In this paper, practical teaching ideas for integrating career-management abilities into creative classes are also put forward. In the end, this paper believes that for creative education to be carried out sustainably, supportive policy systems need to be built for long-term employment training; thus, we must help young people develop both creativity and strong professional skills to adapt to changes in society.

Keywords: Creative Education, Employability Training, Education Policy, Higher Education, Graduate Attributes, Pedagogy.

1. Introduction

In the 21st century, there have been many technological developments and economic changes recently. As automation and artificial intelligence are increasingly applied to perform routine, algorithmic work, there is now a high demand for human resources in the world's labour market. The current economy no longer requires the memorisation of rote learning or standardised procedures. It will help the students learn in a different way, better adapt to life, be able to handle various problems, and constantly learn. In light of these changes in the economy, many schools around the world have begun to move away from the traditional industrial model of education and promoted what is often called "creative education". The goal of this pedagogical paradigm is to create a learning environment that motivates students to think in a different way than traditionally done, integrate knowledge from all areas, and provide new solutions to serious problems in the world today.

Although there are high expectations for new kinds of teaching, most schools still do not meet the needs of the job market for graduates with creative skills. Universities are facing rising demands from students, employers and the government for tangible returns on education investment. The company has raised a long-standing problem: Although the graduates are theoretically creative and have accumulated rich knowledge in their fields of study, they often lack essential professional adaptability, communication skills and a sense of business required for actual application in modern enterprises. This difference shows that the structure for turning creative ability into real-world work skills is not working. Therefore, the idea of graduate employability has gradually moved from the margins to the centre of global higher education discussions. Cultivating employability is no longer viewed as an optional extra-curricular activity organised by the university's career centre; instead, it has gradually been incorporated into the structure of the main

academic curriculum as a fundamental requirement.

Not only is there a problem of teaching in its implementation, but it has also become one of the priorities for education policy at present. Government and institutional policies allocate funds, set quality-assurance indicators, and structure the curriculum. When the policy calls for standardised tests or focuses too much on narrow economic indicators, it will be difficult to foster all-around creative abilities. Therefore, in order to achieve the two goals of promoting creativity and enhancing the practical employability of graduates, education policy has become a very difficult problem with deep ideological connotations. Policymakers and educators need to address the problem of inconsistency between the humanistic aims of intellectual liberation and the instrumental requirements of the capitalist labour market.

This paper will systematically explore the integration of employability training and educational policy in the implementation of creative education. After the introduction above, Section 2 will present the theoretical and conceptual basis of creative education and employability and explore the intersection of the two. Section 3 will investigate the mediating effect of education policy in this integration and examine how neoliberal economic discourse specifically affects university governance. Section 4 will present practical teaching strategies for integrating employment ability education into the creative curriculum without violating the basic spirit of new-generation instruction. Finally, Section 5 will present the main contents of this paper and offer a concluding thought on the demand for a coherent and structurally sound educational system that can truly prepare students for a complex future. The problem of the gap is urgent, and many recent graduates are economically anxious; some of them have high levels of education but are underemployed. This shows that a significant number of people have been lost, and it also poses a serious risk to the economic and social stability of countries that need many

high-skilled workers to compete in the world. Therefore, the discourse of educational reform should move away from theoretical discussions of pedagogical philosophy and address the structural mechanisms that promote or hinder the transition from the classroom to professional life directly. If the higher education institutions fail to adjust in light of the above pressures, they may be left behind by employers as other high-quality, targeted vocational credentialing programmes gain popularity among employers. To keep pace with the times, the university needs to align the spirit of exploration with career preparation more effectively and comprehensively.

2. Conceptualizing Creative Education and Employability

First, it is necessary to establish the criteria for both types of training to integrate the teaching of creativity with the cultivation of students' all-round development. Historically, creativity has been confined to the fine arts and has thus been excluded from the wider academic curriculum. However, educational philosophy at present understands creativity as an all-encompassing, cross-curricular cognitive ability [1]. The generation of good original ideas includes the ability to think critically and take risks; one also needs to be willing to face the unknown [3]. Creative education in higher education is not a specific course or module, but rather an all-encompassing educational philosophy. It does not need to be in the form of teaching, but rather needs to be an experience-based, project-based form that has given students a voice in creating knowledge. The new culture of the institution should be conducive to intellectual exploration and accept failure as an integral part of learning rather than a sign of academic deficiency.

At the same time, the idea of employability has also been developing theoretically. The first models generally did not distinguish between "employability" and "getting a job after graduation". However, the above indicators are not the whole story. Employability can be described as the collection of achievements, understandings and other personal characteristics of college graduates that enhance their chances of employment and success in life [4]. It is an ongoing process of life development and not a fixed collection of technical skills [2]. Therefore, this definition meets the requirements of creative education by prioritizing the goals of adaptation and continuous learning over proficiency in obsolete procedural skills. If they are to be employed, the graduates should have the mind set to change professional positions as required by changes in the market.

At the intersection of the two areas is a problem of career management skills. Although the university has promoted the cultivation of creative thinking, it has not yet taught students how to recognise and use this creativity in the business world. Good employment training needs to help students plan their own careers, learn about the economy, and build professional networks actively [6]. According to the above research, the concept of graduate employability has been enriched through several dimensions, and individual agency is influenced by the environment of social capital and labour market regulations [10]. Therefore, creative education should purposefully provide opportunities for students to connect their imaginative academic projects with real-world economic applications and ensure that their creative works are contextually relevant and professionally feasible. Integration

of these concepts will also require a serious re-examination of what has been counted as success and reward in education. Standardised tests and highly structured grading rubrics, which have been the norm in education for a long time, are inherently unable to assess the multi-faceted and subtle characteristics of both creativity and all-round ability required for employment. The existing assessment models are generally in favour of convergent thinking, which aims to find a single correct answer, and thus do not fully promote the divergent and exploratory thinking that underpins true innovation. As a result, students are often led to avoid intellectual risk and instead choose the safety of procedural compliance. To break the bad cycle, the school will introduce an all-encompassing evaluation system. These protocols should also evaluate the end product of a student's creative work, as well as the various cognitive processes, collaborative negotiations, and strategic problem-solving approaches used in the course of creation. Only when the evaluation modes are in line with the necessary abilities for employment in the present day will higher education institutions form good-for-hire platforms that cultivate both scholarly prowess and actual employment prospects.

3. The Role of Education Policy in Fostering Integration

At the top level of education policy, structural coordination for creative education and employability training has been gradually strengthened. In recent years, many high school systems around the world have been reformed under neoliberalism. Recently, these policies have begun to treat universities less as independent spaces for pure intellectual exploration and more as essential drivers of the national economy. Education policy has therefore been oriented towards mass production of a high-quality, flexible workforce to meet the demand for the creative economy [8]. Therefore, government funds, institutional ranks and academic prestige are gradually being correlated with quantitative indicators, such as graduate employment rates and starting salaries. Therefore, the university will have to change its curriculum to show more immediate and practical economic benefits.

However, the policy of expanding employment prospects has also led to some ideological differences among the students at the university. Critical discourse indicates that government policies frequently require a highly instrumentalised, human-capital approach to education, thus subtly compelling universities to cultivate obedient and market-oriented talents rather than independent-thinking, critical individuals [7]. If the new educational policy requires all academic modules to have direct commercial applications, there will be a serious loss of the emancipatory effect of creative education. Authentic Creativity needs to explore freely, take intellectual risks, and seek knowledge without the pressure of immediate commercial applications. If the policy system demands uniform learning results under the guise of 'quality assurance' and 'employability', it will inadvertently suppress the divergent thinking that contemporary society urgently needs.

Therefore, the good education policy should not only meet the quantitative requirements. Build a good policy system that can meet the economic demands for a qualified workforce while still allowing space for creative exploration in education. The policy will promote the construction of genuine cooperation platforms among universities and

enterprises and other organisations, and guarantee academic freedom. Funding channels can support cross-disciplinary innovation bases and extend the duration of student training to have learners apply their creative ideas to real-world industrial problems. By changing the policy talk from "short-term job placement" to "long-term all-round development of students' professional identity", the government can build an institutional system that supports both creativity and employability simultaneously. At the same time, we must be aware of the deep sociological connotations of the aforementioned educational policy discussions. When employability is viewed solely from the perspective of individual responsibility, and graduates fail to find jobs due to structural problems in the world of work or other reasons, such issues are conveniently ignored. Students from low-income families generally lack the social connections and professional networks that can be built by others; they may be good learners but do not have the institutional recognition of high-achieving students. Address the problems that structural disequilibrium causes in the policies for progressive education. Instead of using a general model of employability training, target resources to help vulnerable student groups in the institutional framework. Provide reasonable access to good, paid industry internships and set up strong mentorship programs to connect students with experienced professionals in the creative industry. By adding a strong social justice goal to employment policies, universities can promote an all-round and inclusive transfer to the professional world for all graduates and fulfill their expanded civic duties of advancing social mobility.

4. Strategies for Implementing Employability Training in Creative Curricula

To translate the above policies and theoretical frameworks into practice in the classroom, many new teaching methods have been introduced. The old model of separate academics and careers, often organised as job-hunting workshops or optional resume services, has been found to be inadequate. To cultivate the creative workforce of the future, universities should add employment skills education to the core curriculum in higher education and equip all students with a sense of professional responsibility early on [5]. The above embedded form will require a modification of teaching methods and the overall structure of instruction.

The model construction should be based on a concrete problem in daily life. Pedagogy for employability believes that a learning environment should be created that is similar to the problems, uncertainties and teamwork in modern society [9]. Instead of relying solely on traditional essays and individual tests, the new curriculum should organize all-encompassing projects for students that require them to respond to real-life problems put forward by outside enterprises. Given the above reasons, students need to use their creativity to develop a solution; however, they are also limited by practical constraints, such as budget cuts, difficulties in communicating with clients, issues among team members, etc. Thus, through this experience-based learning, students will learn some general skills that employers need to find in their new employees.

In addition, the above strategies will require us to change our understanding of the professional identity of graduates. Employability is still controversial, and many scholars have

divided it into categories such as skills, social status and development in [11]. In light of the process-oriented view, creative curricula need to add compulsory reflection in learning. Students need to create their own professional portfolios independently and, at regular intervals, evaluate how the creative works in the portfolios can be used during job interviews. Scaffolding for this metacognitive reflection can help students establish a sense of purpose and motivation in learning. This pedagogical alignment will enable the graduates to have creative abilities; at the same time, they will be able to convey the value of their work, move through the organization, and fit in well with the complicated social and economic structure of modern society.

5. Conclusion

One of the most pressing problems in the implementation of creative education at present is how to integrate employability training and education policy. As shown in the above discussion, the economy of the twenty-first century requires a type of workforce that is technologically proficient, highly innovative, adaptable and intellectually flexible. Therefore, we must move away from a division-of-labour, industrial model of education. Creative education should not be limited to the school, and its content should also align with the actual requirements of the labour market for graduates. True employability cultivation does not mean teaching students how to get their first job. It should foster the sense of a professional identity among people and give them a sense of self-management in terms of their careers and study.

The first step in the implementation of this joint system is at the national level. Policymakers and institutional leaders need to build good regulatory and funding systems that align the goals of the economic workforce with the basic educational requirement of open-ended, risk-taking intellectual exploration. Policies based only on narrow neoliberal indicators and standardised compliance will inevitably suppress genuine creativity and reduce higher education to a mechanical process of human capital production. Therefore, the whole-in-one policy should focus more on authentic, process-oriented teaching rather than on achievement indicators alone. It should support embedded problem-based learning systems and promote the voluntary cooperation of universities and enterprises.

In the end, the future of education around the world will be an integration of ideas and practice. Align the new educational policies with strong employability training and achieve the two-in-one goal of the school. They will be able to foster dynamic, creative thinkers and at the same time ensure that these graduates have strong, practical abilities to secure good jobs and drive economic development and social progress.

References

- [1] Robinson, K. (2001). *Out of our minds: Learning to be creative*. Capstone Publishing.
- [2] Harvey, L. (2001). Defining and measuring employability. *Quality in Higher Education*, 7(2), 97–109. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13538320120059990>
- [3] Craft, A. (2005). *Creativity in schools: Tensions and dilemmas*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203357965>
- [4] Yorke, M. (2006). *Employability in higher education: What it is - what it is not*. Higher Education Academy.

- [5] McWilliam, E., & Haukka, S. (2008). Educating the creative workforce: New directions for twenty-first century schooling. *British Educational Research Journal*, 34(5), 651–666. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01411920802224204>
- [6] Bridgstock, R. (2009). The graduate attributes we've overlooked: Enhancing graduate employability through career management skills. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 28(1), 31–44. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360802444347>
- [7] Boden, R., & Nedeva, M. (2010). Employing discourse: Universities and graduate employability. *Journal of Education Policy*, 25(1), 37–54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02680930903349489>
- [8] Peters, M. A., & Besley, T. (2008). Academic entrepreneurship and the creative economy. *Thesis Eleven*, 94(1), 88–105. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0725513608093278>
- [9] Pegg, A., Waldock, J., Hendy-Isaac, S., & Lawton, R. (2012). Pedagogy for employability. Higher Education Academy.
- [10] Tomlinson, M. (2012). Graduate employability: A review of conceptual and empirical themes. *Higher Education Policy*, 25(4), 407–431. <https://doi.org/10.1057/hep.2011.26>
- [11] Holmes, L. (2013). Competing perspectives on graduate employability: Possession, position or process? *Studies in Higher Education*, 38(4), 538–554. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2011.587140>