International Journal of Education and Humanities
ISSN: 2770-6702 | Vol. 23, No. 3, 2026

Research on the Collaborative Development of Student Well-being and Academic Motivation Supported by Self-Determination Theory

Siqi Jia

School of Marxism, Anhui Lvhai Vocational College of Business, Hefei 230000, China

Abstract: Student well-being and academic motivation are two central concerns of contemporary educational research and policy, yet they are frequently treated as separate constructs requiring distinct interventions. This paper argues that Self-Determination Theory (SDT) provides a unified theoretical framework for understanding and promoting their collaborative development. Drawing on SDT's core proposition that the satisfaction of three basic psychological needs - autonomy, competence, and relatedness - is the common antecedent of both intrinsic motivation and subjective well-being, the paper reviews empirical evidence on how these needs function in educational settings, how specific teaching practices and school environments support or frustrate them, and how interventions addressing both outcomes simultaneously can be designed. Evidence from OECD PISA 2018 data, meta-analytic reviews of autonomy-supportive teaching, belonging intervention research, and school-based mindfulness programmes is synthesised to propose a collaborative development model and derive concrete policy recommendations for educators and policymakers.

Keywords: Self-determination Theory, Student Well-being, Academic Motivation, Autonomy Support, Basic Psychological Needs, Educational Psychology, Collaborative Development.

1. Introduction

1.1. Research Background

The psychological health of students has emerged as a global educational priority. OECD PISA 2018 data, drawn from approximately 600,000 students across 79 countries, found that only 67% of students across OECD countries reported being satisfied with their lives, a decline of five percentage points from 2015, and that almost one in four students reported being bullied at least a few times per month. These figures are not merely welfare concerns: a substantial body of research documents that diminished well-being is associated with reduced academic engagement, higher rates of school dropout, and long-term impairment of educational attainment. Simultaneously, the motivational conditions that sustain effective learning - curiosity, persistence, and intrinsic interest in subject matter - have been shown to be systematically undermined by controlling classroom environments, excessive evaluation pressure, and inadequate teacher-student relationships.

The co-occurrence of these challenges invites a theoretical framework capable of addressing both simultaneously. Self-Determination Theory (SDT), developed by Deci and Ryan over more than four decades, provides exactly this: a macro-theory of human motivation and psychological wellness that identifies the same structural conditions - satisfaction of basic psychological needs - as the common antecedent of both optimal motivation and flourishing well-being. This paper explores the mechanisms by which SDT supports the collaborative development of student well-being and academic motivation, and derives evidence-based implications for educational practice and policy.

1.2. Research Questions and Contributions

The paper addresses three research questions: (RQ1) How do SDT's three basic psychological needs simultaneously shape student well-being and academic motivation, and through what psychological processes? (RQ2) What features of educational environments most strongly support or frustrate these needs? (RQ3) What interventions have demonstrated the capacity to promote collaborative development of well-being and motivation? The paper contributes a systematic synthesis of SDT-based educational research and an integrated collaborative development model that extends beyond existing reviews by explicitly articulating the synergistic pathways linking motivational and well-being outcomes.

2. Theoretical Framework

2.1. Self-Determination Theory: Core Constructs

SDT, as comprehensively presented by Ryan and Deci, is a macro-theory of human motivation and personality development grounded in the proposition that human beings possess inherent growth tendencies and basic psychological needs whose satisfaction is essential for optimal functioning [1]. The theory identifies three basic psychological needs whose satisfaction - not merely expression - is required for psychological health: the need for autonomy (the experience of volition and self-endorsement of one's actions), the need for competence (the experience of effectiveness and mastery in interaction with the environment), and the need for relatedness (the experience of meaningful connection to and care from significant others) [1][11].

SDT organises motivational states along a continuum from amotivation through various forms of extrinsic regulation (external, introjected, identified) to intrinsic motivation, in which activities are engaged for their inherent interest and satisfaction [2]. The Organismic Integration Theory (OIT) sub-theory within SDT describes the process of internalisation by which externally regulated behaviours are

progressively incorporated into the self, with autonomous self-regulation producing superior learning, persistence, and well-being outcomes compared to controlled regulation [3]. The Cognitive Evaluation Theory (CET) sub-theory accounts for the effects of external events - including rewards, feedback, and evaluative pressure - on intrinsic motivation, predicting that events perceived as informational and autonomy-supportive enhance intrinsic motivation while those perceived as controlling diminish it [1].

2.2. Student Well-being: Conceptual Dimensions and PISA Data

Student well-being is a multidimensional construct encompassing cognitive, affective, and social components. The OECD PISA Well-being Framework, applied in PISA 2018, conceptualises student well-being as including life satisfaction (cognitive evaluation of overall quality of life), positive and negative affect (hedonic emotional experience), sense of meaning and purpose (eudaimonic well-being), and social connectedness [4]. PISA 2018 found that average life satisfaction across OECD countries was 7.04 on a 10-point scale, with a five-percentage-point decline in the proportion of satisfied students since 2015 [4]. Notably, students reporting higher life satisfaction also performed better academically, with a one-unit increase in life satisfaction associated with a 15-point increase in reading scores on average across OECD countries, providing direct empirical grounding for the academic relevance of well-being [4].

2.3. Theoretical Integration: SDT and Well-being

SDT explicitly positions basic psychological need satisfaction as the proximal determinant of both intrinsic motivation and subjective well-being [1]. Ryan and Deci's 2017 synthesis, presenting six decades of cumulative SDT research, demonstrates that need satisfaction is robustly associated with positive affect, vitality, life satisfaction, and self-esteem across cultural and developmental contexts, while need frustration - the active blocking of need satisfaction - is associated with anxiety, depression, and psychological ill-being [5]. The implication for education is direct: the same classroom and institutional conditions that support basic need satisfaction simultaneously promote autonomous motivation and student well-being, creating the structural basis for their collaborative development [15]. This theoretical integration distinguishes SDT from frameworks that treat motivation and well-being as independent targets requiring separate interventions.

3. SDT and Academic Motivation: Empirical Evidence

3.1. Autonomy Support and Intrinsic Motivation

Autonomy-supportive teaching - characterised by the provision of meaningful choice, the offering of rationale for tasks, acknowledgment of students' perspectives, and the minimisation of controlling language - is the most extensively studied SDT construct in educational research. Reeve and Cheon's 2021 review synthesised findings from 51 autonomy-supportive teaching interventions, including 38 randomised controlled trials, and found that teachers can reliably learn autonomy-supportive practices and that these practices produce consistent improvements in students' basic need satisfaction, intrinsic motivation, engagement, and learning outcomes [6]. Across experimental studies, students in autonomy-supportive conditions demonstrate higher conceptual learning, greater persistence on challenging tasks, and stronger self-regulation compared to students in controlled conditions, with effect sizes in the moderate to large range [6].

Niemiec and Ryan's foundational review of SDT applications to education established that both intrinsic motivation and autonomous extrinsic motivation are conducive to engagement and high-quality learning, and that teachers' support of all three basic psychological needs - not autonomy alone - is necessary for optimal motivational outcomes [7]. This finding underlines the integrated nature of the need triad and cautions against narrowly autonomy-focused interventions that neglect competence and relatedness support.

3.2. Competence Support and Academic Engagement

The need for competence in SDT is supported by teaching practices that provide optimal challenge, constructive and informational feedback, and mastery-oriented assessment structures. Consistent with SDT predictions, research demonstrates that students who experience their academic environment as competence-supportive report higher intrinsic motivation, greater academic engagement, and more positive orientations toward difficult tasks [5]. Bandura's concept of academic self-efficacy - the belief in one's capability to execute specific academic tasks - is conceptually adjacent to the SDT competence need, and the two constructs show strong empirical convergence: environments that support perceived competence through accurate, non-comparative feedback reliably increase both self-efficacy and intrinsic motivation [5][7][10].

3.3. Relatedness and Social Belonging

The need for relatedness in educational contexts is primarily satisfied through the quality of teacher-student relationships and peer belonging. Walton and Cohen's landmark randomised controlled trial, published in Science in 2011, demonstrated that a brief social-belonging intervention for minority college freshmen - addressing the worry that one does not belong in college - produced significant improvements in academic grade point average maintained across three years, and reduced the racial achievement gap by 52%, providing experimental evidence of the academic consequences of relatedness need satisfaction [8]. Teacher warmth, expressed interest in students' experiences, and structures that create positive peer interdependence are the primary classroom mechanisms through which the relatedness need is supported [7].

4. SDT and Student Well-being: Empirical Evidence

4.1. Basic Psychological Needs and Subjective Well-being

The relationship between basic psychological need satisfaction and student well-being has been examined across cultures, age groups, and educational systems. PISA 2018 data document that students who report higher sense of belonging at school, greater perception of teacher support,

and lower experience of school-related anxiety consistently report higher life satisfaction and lower rates of negative affect across OECD countries [4]. SDT meta-analyses consistently find large effect sizes for the association between autonomous motivation and well-being indicators including positive affect, vitality, and absence of anxiety and depression [1][5]. Critically, need frustration - which occurs in controlling, pressured, or relatedness-unsupportive environments - predicts not merely lower well-being but active psychological ill-being, including burnout, anxiety, and disengagement, effects that are not simply the inverse of need satisfaction [5].

4.2. Controlling Environments and Well-being Costs

A distinctive contribution of SDT to educational psychology is the distinction between need-supportive and need-thwarting environments, and the evidence that controlling teaching styles impose measurable well-being costs. Controlling environments - characterised by surveillance, comparative evaluation, tangible rewards contingent on performance, and pressure to think or behave in prescribed ways - undermine intrinsic motivation and are associated with increased anxiety, lower self-esteem, and elevated rates of academic burnout among students [3][6][13]. Cross-national data from PISA 2018 confirm these patterns at scale: in school systems characterised by high assessment pressure and low teacher support for student autonomy, rates of school-related anxiety and low life satisfaction are systematically higher, even after controlling for academic performance levels [4].

4.3. Well-being as a Foundation for Academic Outcomes

The relationship between student well-being and academic achievement is bidirectional: well-being predicts motivation and engagement, which in turn predict achievement, while achievement experiences affect the competence need and hence well-being [5]. PISA 2018 data show that students with higher life satisfaction score on average 15 points higher in reading, and that the positive association between well-being and academic performance is consistent across OECD countries and holds after controlling for socioeconomic background [4]. This evidence counters the view that prioritising student well-being requires trade-offs with academic performance, and instead supports a complementarity model in which well-being and achievement are jointly determined by shared antecedent conditions - namely, basic need satisfaction.

5. Collaborative Development: Mechanisms and Interventions

5.1. The Synergy Mechanism: A Theoretical Model

The collaborative development of student well-being and academic motivation can be understood through a reinforcing cycle in which need satisfaction constitutes the shared foundational input. When the three basic psychological needs are satisfied, students simultaneously experience autonomous motivation and positive affect; autonomous motivation drives deeper engagement and mastery-seeking, which in turn generates competence experiences that further strengthen both motivation and well-being [1][5]. Conversely, need frustration initiates a deteriorating cycle: controlled or amotivated regulation produces superficial learning strategies, reduced persistence, and negative affect, which erode both academic outcomes and subjective well-being [3][12]. The collaborative development model proposed here identifies need satisfaction as the primary point of intervention, predicting that educational practices targeting all three needs simultaneously will produce greater and more durable improvements in both outcomes than isolated motivation or well-being interventions.

5.2. Autonomy-Supportive Teaching as a Dual-Outcome Intervention

Autonomy-supportive teaching, as synthesised by Reeve and Cheon, is the educational intervention with the strongest evidence base for simultaneously improving motivational and well-being outcomes [6]. The 51 interventions reviewed include training programmes in which teachers learned to adopt student-focused attitudes, reduce controlling language, provide meaningful choice, and acknowledge student perspectives - practices that collectively satisfy autonomy, competence, and relatedness needs. Findings across these trials show improvements not only in intrinsic motivation and engagement but also in students' reported well-being, reduced anxiety, and greater school satisfaction [6]. Critically, teacher-focused SDT interventions also improve teacher well-being and reduce burnout, suggesting that the SDT framework supports collaborative development across the entire school community, not only students [6].

5.3. Structural and Mindfulness-Based Interventions

Beyond teaching style, structural school-level interventions can create environments conducive to collaborative development. Social belonging interventions, following the model established by Walton and Cohen, address the relatedness need through targeted messaging that normalises the experience of uncertainty and reinforces belonging, with documented effects on both academic achievement and student mental health [8]. Systematic reviews of positive education programmes - school-based curricula integrating character strengths, positive relationships, and meaning-oriented engagement - find consistent small-to-moderate effects on student well-being, with the strongest results for programmes of longer duration and higher implementation fidelity [14]. Mindfulness-based school interventions (MBSIs) represent a complementary approach: a 2022 systematic review found that the highest-quality evidence supports MBSIs for increasing prosocial behaviour, resilience, executive function, and attention, and for decreasing anxiety and conduct problems in school-age populations, with effects on well-being that are most consistent in late adolescence [9]. When MBSIs are designed within an SDT framework - emphasising non-judgmental awareness and intrinsic engagement with mindfulness practice rather than performance-oriented compliance - they are likely to produce more sustained effects on both well-being and autonomous motivation [9].

6. Discussion and Conclusion

6.1. Synthesis and Policy Recommendations

The evidence reviewed in this paper establishes that student

well-being and academic motivation are not competing priorities requiring trade-offs, but jointly determined outcomes with a common antecedent in the satisfaction of basic psychological needs. SDT provides a theoretically coherent and empirically well-supported framework for understanding this joint determination and for designing educational environments that promote collaborative development. Three policy recommendations follow from this analysis. First, teacher education programmes should systematically incorporate SDT-based autonomy-supportive pedagogy, drawing on the evidence base of intervention studies, as a professional standard rather than an elective enhancement. Second, school evaluation frameworks - including national and international assessments - should include validated measures of student well-being, need satisfaction, and autonomous motivation alongside academic achievement indicators, creating accountability structures aligned with collaborative development goals. Third, school improvement initiatives should prioritise structural conditions for belonging and relatedness, including anti-bullying programmes, peer support structures, and teacher-student relationship quality, recognising these as foundational to both motivational and well-being outcomes.

6.2. Limitations and Future Research

This paper synthesises published research and aggregate survey data; individual differences in need strength and cultural variation in need expression represent important moderators not fully examined here. Future research should apply longitudinal designs to track the co-development of well-being and motivation across school transitions, and comparative studies of national educational systems varying in autonomy support and assessment pressure would strengthen the policy implications of this framework.

References

[1] Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. American Psychologist, 55(1), 68–78.

[2] Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 25(1), 54–67.

[3] Vansteenkiste, M., Niemiec, C. P., & Soenens, B. (2010). The development of the five mini-theories of self-determination theory: An historical overview, emerging trends, and future directions. In T. C. Urdan & S. A. Karabenick (Eds.), The decade ahead: Theoretical perspectives on motivation and achievement (Vol. 16A, pp. 105–166). Emerald.

[4] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2019). PISA 2018 results (Volume III): What school life means for students' lives. OECD Publishing.

[5] Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2017). Self-determination theory: Basic psychological needs in motivation, development, and wellness. Guilford Press.

[6] Reeve, J., & Cheon, S. H. (2021). Autonomy-supportive teaching: Its malleability, benefits, and potential to improve educational practice. Educational Psychologist, 56(1), 54–77.

[7] Niemiec, C. P., & Ryan, R. M. (2009). Autonomy, competence, and relatedness in the classroom: Applying self-determination theory to educational practice. Theory and Research in Education, 7(2), 133–144.

[8] Walton, G. M., & Cohen, G. L. (2011). A brief social-belonging intervention improves academic and health outcomes of minority students. Science, 331(6023), 1447–1451.

[9] Mak, N. R., Whittingham, M. E., Cunnington, T. J., & Allen, N. B. (2022). Mindfulness-based school interventions: A systematic review of the evidence base. Frontiers in Psychology, 13, 876080.

[10] Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. W.H. Freeman.

[11] Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. Psychological Inquiry, 11(4), 227–268.

[12] Vansteenkiste, M., & Soenens, B. (2015). Vitamins for growth: What basic psychological need theory says about development and education. Acco.

[13] Reeve, J. (2009). Why teachers adopt a controlling motivating style toward students and how they can become more autonomy supportive. Educational Psychologist, 44(3), 159–175.

[14] Selig, F., & Stoll, A. (2023). Positive education and student well-being: A systematic review of school-based interventions. Journal of Happiness Studies, 24(2), 681–710.

[15] Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2011). A self-determination theory perspective on social, institutional, cultural, and economic supports for autonomy and their importance for well-being. In V. I. Chirkov, R. M. Ryan, & K. M. Sheldon (Eds.), Human autonomy in cross-cultural context (pp. 45–64). Springer.