

# Exploring Coach-Athlete Relationships in University Basketball and Their Influence on Athlete Growth and Success Towards Building Champions

Miao Wang\*

Graduate School, Adamson University, CO 1000, Manila, Philippines

\* Corresponding author Email: 825039626@qq.com

---

**Abstract:** In order to study the relationship between coaches and players in college basketball and its impact on the growth and success of athletes, this paper investigated all the players of the basketball team of Yulin University. The interviewed athletes identified the relationship between their coach and athlete relationship and athlete growth and success. In this study, a combination of face-to-face survey and quantitative analysis was used to collect and compare data. There are 34 basketball players in the school, all of them selected as the study sample. The results are as follows: 1). Based from the findings of the study, the researcher came up with the following conclusions: 2). Majority of the athletes are male of not more than twenty-two years of age from level 1 and have been playing basketball for few years. 3). The dynamic and interpersonal connection between the coach and the basketball athletes is highly evident among the teams. 4). Basketball athletes have relatively the same perceptions on the coach-athlete relationships regardless of their sex, age, year level, and years of basketball experience. 5). The growth and success of the basketball athletes is highly influenced by the coaching and the athletes' experiences in the field of basketball sports. 6). Athletes have relatively the same assessment on their growth and success regardless of their sex, age, year level, and years of experience in basketball. 7). The growth and success of the basketball athletes is highly influenced by how the coaches and the athletes are being connected which involves communication, trust, mutual respect, and the exchange of feedback. This paper provides valuable insights and evidence-based strategies for both coaches and athletes to refine their techniques and ultimately improve athlete performance and personal growth. The research can enhance the ability of coaches, inspire athletes, provide information for managers, and promote the research of sports psychology, and ultimately promote the overall growth and success of college basketball players.

**Keywords:** Coach-Athlete Relationship; University Basketball; Athlete Growth; Coaching Philosophy.

---

## 1. Introduction

The world of collegiate athletics is a stage where dreams of greatness and championship glory come to life. In this arena, young athletes, often hailed as the future stars of their respective sports, undergo a transformative journey both on and off the playing field. Within this landscape, university basketball stands as a prominent and fiercely competitive domain where the pursuit of excellence is a shared aspiration among athletes and coaches. Beyond the thrill of the game itself, the experiences and relationships cultivated within the confines of university basketball programs hold the power to shape lives and mold champions.

The role of coaches in this context is undeniably pivotal. Coaches are not merely instructors; they are mentors, motivators, and role models. Their influence extends far beyond developing basketball skills; they contribute to the holistic growth and development of their athletes. The coach-athlete relationship, characterized by its complexities, mutual trust, and shared objectives, emerges as a cornerstone of success in university basketball. However, while the significance of this relationship is widely acknowledged, it remains a subject ripe for exploration and in-depth analysis.

University basketball exists at the intersection of sports and academia, where young athletes balance the demands of rigorous coursework with the rigors of competitive athletics. This unique blend of intellectual and physical challenges creates a dynamic environment in which athlete growth extends beyond the court, into the realms of personal

development, leadership, and life skills. Understanding how the coach-athlete relationship influences these multifaceted aspects of development is a compelling and timely pursuit.

University basketball, as a dynamic and intensely competitive arena, provides a platform for aspiring athletes to pursue excellence while simultaneously navigating the rigors of higher education. Within this captivating realm, the relationships that develop between coaches and athletes are of paramount importance. These connections transcend the traditional boundaries of mentorship; they are complex and multi-dimensional, extending far beyond the basketball court. In the quest for championship glory, these relationships play an instrumental role in shaping the personal and athletic growth of young athletes. This research embarks on an in-depth exploration of the coach-athlete dynamics inherent in university basketball programs, with a focus on uncovering their profound influence on athlete development and achievement.

At the heart of this exploration lies a fundamental inquiry: How do coach-athlete relationships within the context of university basketball programs contribute to the growth and success of athletes? This question resonates deeply within the realm of collegiate sports, where the pursuit of athletic excellence is interwoven with the pursuit of academic success. In this holistic journey, athletes face not only the pressures of competition but also the demands of higher education, personal growth, and the development of life skills. Consequently, the bonds formed with their coaches become central to their experiences and outcomes.

These relationships are characterized by a rich tapestry of interactions, encompassing trust, communication, mentorship, and shared objectives. Yet, while their significance is widely acknowledged, the nuances and intricate dynamics that underlie these connections remain an underexplored domain of research.

## 2. Significance of the Study

This paper is of importance to the recipients of the study in the following manner:

**Coaches.** This research study equips coaches with a comprehensive understanding of the pivotal role that coach-athlete relationships play in athlete development and success. It offers them valuable insights and evidence-based strategies to refine their coaching techniques, ultimately enhancing athlete performance and personal growth.

**Basketball Athletes.** Basketball athletes stand to benefit significantly as they gain insights into the profound impact of their relationship with their coach on their personal growth and athletic success. This knowledge empowers them to actively engage in this relationship, fostering their motivation, resilience, and conflict resolution skills.

**Administrators.** The study aids administrators in optimizing university basketball programs by highlighting the importance of nurturing coach-athlete relationships. It provides a research-based foundation for enhancing athlete development, recruitment, and retention strategies, ultimately elevating the quality of the sports program.

**Future Researchers.** This research contributes to the academic advancement of sports psychology literature by offering empirical insights into coach-athlete relationships in university basketball. It serves as a valuable steppingstone for future researchers interested in exploring various facets of this dynamic, such as its influence on coaching effectiveness or its application in different sports contexts.

This paper empowers coaches, enlightens athletes, informs administrators, and advances sports psychology research, ultimately contributing to the holistic growth and success of athletes in university basketball.

## 3. Definition of Terms

The following terms are defined by the researcher to help the readers understand its context in this study:

**Athlete Development**, the holistic progress of athletes in physical, mental, and emotional dimensions, encompassing skill acquisition, physical fitness, mental toughness, and personal growth, among other aspects.

**Athlete Growth**, the process of physical, mental, and emotional development experienced by athletes over time, which may include improvements in skills, fitness, resilience, and overall well-being. This growth is influenced by coaching and the athlete's experiences in their sport.

**Athlete Success**, the achievement and positive outcomes experienced by university basketball athletes, encompassing both on-court accomplishments (e.g., wins, scoring records) and off-court achievements (e.g., academic success, personal growth).

**Athlete Well-being**, the overall physical and mental health, as well as the quality of life, experienced by athletes. It involves considerations of physical fitness, mental health, injury prevention, and overall athlete welfare.

**Coach-Athlete Relationship**, The dynamic and interpersonal connection between a coach and an athlete in

the context of university basketball. It involves communication, trust, mutual respect, and the exchange of feedback, with the goal of enhancing the athlete's performance and personal development.

**Coaching Philosophy**, The set of fundamental beliefs, values, and principles that underpin a coach's approach to coaching and athlete development. It serves as a guiding framework for their decisions and actions as a coach.

**Coaching Strategies**, the specific plans, methods, and techniques that coaches use to instruct, train, and mentor their athletes in basketball. These strategies may include game tactics, skill development, and fitness training.

**Coaching Styles**, the various approaches, methods, and philosophies that basketball coaches employ when instructing, motivating, and guiding their athletes. Different coaching styles can have distinct impacts on athlete development and team dynamics.

**Leadership in Coaching**, the manner in which a coach exercises leadership within a team, including their leadership style, communication skills, and ability to inspire and guide athletes towards their goals.

**Performance Metrics**, Objective and quantifiable measures used to evaluate an athlete's performance, including statistics like points scored, win-loss records, and individual accomplishments within the sport.

**Sports Psychology**, the study of the psychological factors that influence athlete behavior and performance. It covers areas such as motivation, confidence, focus, and mental resilience in the context of sports.

**Sports Sociology**, the study of how sports, including university basketball, impact and are impacted by society and culture. This field examines issues related to identity, social norms, and the broader societal context of sports.

**Team Dynamics**, The interactions, relationships, and group processes among athletes within a basketball team. Team dynamics can significantly affect team cohesion, communication, and overall performance.

**University Basketball**, the level of basketball competition that takes place within a university or college setting, often associated with organized leagues like the NCAA or other collegiate sports associations.

## 4. Results And Analysis

The gathered data are presented here with the analysis and interpretation according to the statement of the problem. The profile of the basketball athlete respondents in terms of sex, age, grade level, and years of basketball experience, their assessments on the extent of the coach-athlete relationships, their growth and success as influenced by their training and coach experiences, differences in their assessments when profile is taken as test factor, and the relationship between the coach-player relationships and the athlete growth and success are hereby presented with the end view of the proposed athlete development program for basketball players.

### I. Profile of the Respondents

Table 1 presents the frequency distribution of the basketball athlete respondents' profile in terms of sex, age, year level, and years of basketball experience.

**Sex.** Twenty-two (22) or 64.7% of the basketball athlete respondents are male, while twelve (12) or 35.3% are female. This goes to show that majority of the basketball athlete respondents are male.

**Age.** Twenty-seven (27) or 79.4% of the basketball athlete respondents are within the age group of 21-22 years old, and

seven (7) or 20.6% are within 23-24 years old. This indicates that majority of the basketball athlete respondents are within the age group of 21-22 years old.

**Year Level.** Twenty-eight (28) or 82.4% of the basketball athlete respondents are from year level 1, four (4) or 11.8% from Year Level 2, and two (2) or 5.9% are from Year 4. The result shows that majority of the basketball athlete respondents are from year level 1.

**Years of Basketball Experience.** Nine (9) or 26.5% of the basketball athlete respondents have been playing basketball for about 1-3 years, eighteen (18) or 52.9% have had 4-6 years, six (6) or 17.6% for about 7-9 years, and only one (1) or 2.9% for about 10-12 years. The result indicates that more than half of the respondents have been playing basketball for not more than six years.

**Table 1.** Frequency Distribution of Respondents' Profile

	Frequency	Percentage
<b>Sex</b>		
Male	22	64.7%
Female	12	35.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Age</b>		
21-22 years old	27	79.4%
23-24 years old	7	20.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Year Level</b>		
Year 1	28	82.4%
Year 2	4	11.8%
Grade 4	2	5.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Years of Basketball Experience</b>		
1-3 years	9	26.5%
4-6 years	18	52.9%
7-9 years	6	17.6%
10-12 years	1	2.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>100%</b>

## 5. Conclusions

Based from the findings of the study, the researcher came up with the following conclusions:

1). Majority of the athletes are male of not more than twenty two years of age from level 1 and have been playing basketball for few years.

2). The dynamic and interpersonal connection between the coach and the basketball athletes is highly evident among the teams.

3). Basketball athletes have relatively the same perceptions on the coach-athlete relationships regardless of their sex, age, year level, and years of basketball experience.

4).The growth and success of the basketball athletes is highly influenced by the coaching and the athletes' experiences in the field of basketball sports.

5). Athletes have relatively the same assessment on their growth and success regardless of their sex, age, year level, and years of experience in basketball.

6). The growth and success of the basketball athletes is highly influenced by how the coaches and the athletes are being connected which involves communication, trust, mutual respect, and the exchange of feedback.

## 6. Recommendations

Based on the conclusions derived in this study, the following are the recommendations:

1). Coaches must always make themselves available to their athletes to pave way toward establishing and nurturing strong relationships and to have opportunity to positively influence them.

2). Coaches may consider exerting more efforts to invest in building good quality relationships with the athletes and to communicate competently to have long-lasting effects on coaches' and athletes' performance and well-being.

3). Ensure that there is an accurate and timely feedback to improve performance and to assess if there is a need to make changes on the communication style, behavior, or action and the need to individualize training programs.

4). Encourage coaches to improve in taking interest in their athletes' life outside of the sporting arena, and taking a holistic approach to the athletes' development and welfare.

## References

- [1] Arthur, C. A., Bastardo, N., & Eklund, R. (2017). Transformational leadership in sport: Current status and future directions. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 16, 78–83. doi:10.1016/j.copsyc.2017.04.001
- [2] Avcı, K. S., Çepikkurt, F., & Kale, E. K. (2018). Examination of the Relationship between Coach-Athlete Communication Levels and Perceived Motivational Climate for Volleyball Players. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 6(2), 346-353.
- [3] Bangsbo, J. (2015). Performance in sports - With specific emphasis on the effect of intensified training. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*, 25(c), 88–99. https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12605
- [4] Baretta, D., Greco, A., & Steca, P. (2017). Understanding performance in risky sport: The role of self-efficacy beliefs and sensation seeking in competitive freediving. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 117, 161-165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2017.06.006
- [5] Barling, J. (2014). *The science of leadership: Lessons from research for organizational leaders*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- [6] Bartholomew, K. J., Ntoumanis, N., & Thøgersen-Ntoumani, C. (2010). The controlling interpersonal style in a coaching context: Development and initial validation of a psychometric scale. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 32(2), 193–216. https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.32.2.193
- [7] Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership* (2nd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- [8] Bjornsen, A. L., & Dinkel, D. M. (2017). Transition experiences of Division-1 college student-athletes: Coach perspectives. *Journal of Sport Behavior*, 40(3), 245-268.
- [9] Buning, M. M., & Thompson, M. A. (2015). Coaching behaviors and athlete motivation: Female softball athletes' perspectives. *Sport Science Review*, 24(5-6), 345-370.
- [10] Callary, B., Rathwell, S., & Young, B. (2015). Insights on the process of using interpretive phenomenological analysis in a sport coaching research project. *The Qualitative Report*, 20(2), 63-75. Retrieved the 15, March, 2018, from: https://nsuworks.nova.edu/tqr/vol20/iss2/6
- [11] Camiré, M. (2016). Benefits, pressures, and challenges of leadership and captaincy in the National Hockey League. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*, 10(2), 118-136. doi: 10.1123/jcsp.2015-0033

- [12] Camiré, M., Forneris, T., Trudel, P., & Bernard, D. (2011). Strategies for helping coaches facilitate positive youth development through sport. *Journal of Sport Psychology in Action*, 2(2), 92–99. doi: 10.1080/21520704.2011.584246
- [13] Camiré, M., Trudel, P., & Forneris, T. (2009). High school athletes' perspectives on support, communication, negotiation and life skill development. *Qualitative Research in Sport and Exercise*, 1(1), 72–88. doi: 10.1080/19398440802673275
- [14] Camiré, M., Trudel, P., & Forneris, T. (2012). Coaching and transferring life skills: Philosophies and strategies used by model high school coaches. *The Sport Psychologist*, 26(2), 243–260. doi: 10.1123/tsp.26.2.243
- [15] Carlsson, A., & Lundqvist, C. (2016). The Coaching Behavior Scale for Sport (CBS-S): A psychometric evaluation of the Swedish version. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*, 26(1), 116–123. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12359>
- [16] Chase, M. A. (1995). Children's sources of self-efficacy, accuracy of appraisal and motivation in sport skills and physical activities [Doctoral dissertation, Michigan State University]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.
- [17] Chase, M. A., Feltz, D. L., Hayashi, S. W., & Hepler, T. J. (2005). Sources of coaching efficacy: The coaches' perspective. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 3(1), 27–40.
- [18] Chelladurai, P. (1990). Leadership in sports: A review. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 21, 328–354.
- [19] Chelladurai, P. (2007). Leadership in sports. *Handbook of sport psychology*, 3, 113–135.
- [20] Chelladurai, P., & Carron, A. V. (2016). Athletic Maturity and Preferred Leadership. *Journal of Sport Psychology*, 5(4), 371–380. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsp.5.4.371>
- [21] Chiu, L. K., Mahat, N. I., Marzuki, N. A., & Hua, K. P. (2014). Student-athletes' evaluation of coaches' coaching competencies and their sport achievement motivation. *Review of European Studies*, 6(2), 17–29. <https://doi.org/10.5539/res.v6n2p17>
- [22] Choi, J., Sagas, M., Park, S., & Cunningham, G. B. (2007). Transformational leadership in collegiate coaching: The effects of transformational leadership on job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and organizational citizenship behavior. *International Journal of Sport Management*, 8(4), 429–445.
- [23] Clark, R., Lisboa, T., & Williamon, A. (2014). An investigation into musicians' thoughts and perceptions during performance. *Research Studies in Music Education*, 36, 19–37. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1321103X14523531>
- [24] Cosh, S., & Tully, P. J. (2015). Stressors, coping, and support mechanisms for student athletes combining elite sport and tertiary education: Implications for practice. *The Sport Psychologist*, 29(2), 120–133.
- [25] Côté, J., & Gilbert, W. (2009). An integrative definition of coaching effectiveness and expertise. *International Journal of Sport Science and Coaching*, 4, 307–232.
- [26] Cummins, P., & Spencer, J. (2015). Sport coach leadership models. In *Leadership in sport*, 172–194
- [27] Daniel, R. (2001). Self-assessment in performance. *British Journal of Music Education*, 18(3), 251–259. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0265051701000341>
- [28] Davis, L., & Jowett, S. (2014). Coach–Athlete attachment and the quality of the coach-athlete relationship: Implications for athlete's well-being. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 32(15), 1454–1464.
- [29] Davis, L., Appleby, R., Davis, P., Wetherell, M., & Gustafsson, H. (2018). The role of coach-athlete relationship quality in team sport athletes' psychophysiological exhaustion: Implications for physical and cognitive performance. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 36(17), 1985–1992. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2018.1429176
- [30] Davis, R., & Pulman, M. (2001). Raising standards in performance. *British Journal of Music Education*, 18(3), 251–259. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0265051701000341>
- [31] Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2002). *Handbook of self-determination research*. Rochester, NY: University of Rochester Press.
- [32] DeFreese, J., & Smith, A. L. (2014). Athlete social support, negative social interactions, and psychological health across a competitive sport season. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 36(6), 619–630.
- [33] Dominteanu T. (2014). The Significance of The Coach–Athlete Relationship. *Indian Journal of Applied Research*, 4(7), 512–513.