Persistence and Decline of Political Dynasticism: Structural Advantage, Social Change, and the Dynamics of Democratic Challenge

Chujing Liu

Shenzhen College of International Education, Antuoshan sixth roud, Futian, Shenzhen, 518000, China

s22505.Liu@stu.scie.com.cn

Abstract. Political dynasties persist globally by leveraging structural advantages, including kinship networks, patronage systems, strategic electoral financing, and the cognitive advantage of brand-name recognition. These mechanisms enable families to perpetuate power across generations, often undermining democratic ideals and meritocracy. This study examines the conditions under which dynasties maintain dominance and the factors that precipitate their decline. The research employs secondary sources, drawing on peer-reviewed literature from JSTOR (1980–2024), and focuses on qualitative case studies selected for longitudinal analysis, inclusion of both persistence and decline cases, and empirical validation through election results or archival evidence. Theoretical frameworks include Dal Bó et al.'s institutional analysis and Feinstein's brand-name advantage theory. Findings show that dynasties sustain power through self-reinforcing mechanisms, while technological innovations, digital media, and socio-cultural shifts among younger, globally connected citizens erode their legitimacy. Cases such as Vico Sotto's political rise in the Philippines demonstrate how civic engagement and meritocratic values can challenge entrenched dynastic orders. The findings suggest that dynastic persistence is context-dependent. While structural advantages facilitate continuity, bottom-up social, technological, and generational changes create avenues for political renewal, highlighting the dynamic interplay between entrenched power and evolving democratic forces.

Keywords: Dynastic Persistence, Democratic Accountability, Digital Media and Politics, Structural Advantage.

1. Introduction

The enduring dominance of political dynasties, from the resounding name of the Kennedys in the United States to the Gandhis in India, illustrates how families worldwide function as unified levers of power, strategically leveraging elections, wealth, and inherited name recognition to perpetuate influence across generations. Unlike traditional hereditary rule, modern political dynasticism thrives on institutionalized advantages, including kinship networks, patronage systems, and the strategic use of electoral financing (Dal Bó et al., 2009), which primarily serve to prioritize family solidarity and consolidate private interests. However, such mechanisms inherently clash with democratic ideals, fostering nepotism and corruption while undermining meritocratic principles. Historically, monarchies once flourished, but their legitimacy eroded under pressures of democratization and meritocratic reforms (Wolf, 1991). Drawing on this theoretical perspective, this study hypothesizes that political dynasties may follow a similar trajectory, with contemporary global political systems already exhibiting early signs of shifting power dynamics. Nevertheless, these changes are expected to be uneven: while some dynasties may collapse under institutional or societal pressures, others may adapt and endure depending on their specific context.

Understanding the persistence and decline of political dynasties is critical for assessing the resilience of democratic institutions and the mechanisms by which inequality and elite reproduction persist. By examining the structural and socio-cultural forces that enable dynastic continuity or precipitate decline, this study contributes to the broader discourse on political accountability, meritocracy, and the interaction between institutional structures and social change. The central question guiding this study is: How do political dynasties maintain their grip on power in an era of

democratic accountability, and what forces ultimately precipitate their decline? This research contends that dynastic persistence relies on structural advantages, such as social capital, campaign finance systems, and entrenched patronage networks, whereas their vulnerability emerges from democratic deepening and the liberalization of public attitudes. Through comparative historical and contemporary cases, this study seeks to delineate the conditions under which dynasties either consolidate power or succumb to obsolescence [1-3].

This study primarily relies on secondary sources, with a focus on peer-reviewed literature from JSTOR's Political Science Collection (1980–2024), offering comprehensive coverage of dynastic politics. Key theoretical frameworks are drawn from seminal works on elite politics, including Dal Bó et al.'s (2009) institutional analysis and Feinstein's (2010) brand-name advantage theory. The objective of this study is to provide a rigorous comparative analysis of political dynasties, examining both the mechanisms of persistence and the factors leading to decline. By integrating structural, institutional, and socio-cultural perspectives, the research aims to illuminate the interplay between entrenched elite power and emergent democratic forces, ultimately offering insights into the conditions that facilitate political renewal and the limits of dynastic continuity [4].

2. Maintenance of power

Political dynasties persist not merely because of electoral luck or individual charisma, but through systematic strategies that consolidate resources, leverage symbolic capital, and institutionalize networks of influence. This section examines three interrelated mechanisms—financial reservoirs, name recognition, and inherited institutional networks—that enable dynastic families to reproduce authority across generations.

One of the central strategies through which political dynasties maintain power is the consolidation of generational wealth and the cultivation of entrenched sponsor networks, both of which provide structural financial advantages in elections. In the United States, for instance, campaign spending increased dramatically from $540 million in 1976 to $3.9 billion in 2000 (Alexander, 2002), underscoring the decisive role of financial resources in shaping electoral competitiveness. This logic holds globally. For instance, Arnold Tuano's study of structural inequality in the Philippines demonstrates that dynastic families maintain stable funding streams through alliances with major business conglomerates (Tuano, 2019). These relationships extend beyond campaign donations: business elites also wield media influence to reinforce dynastic dominance. A prominent example is the Ayala Corporation, a sprawling Filipino business empire whose long-standing ties with multiple political clans ensured favourable policies such as tax incentives and infrastructure contracts in exchange for electoral support (Tuano, 2019). Such arrangements create pre-existing financial reservoirs that allow dynasties to sponsor successors, producing a self-reinforcing cycle of political continuity. Moreover, established families enjoy privileged access to corporate donors, minimizing fundraising barriers that often constrain non-dynastic challengers. As Muraoka (2017) shows in Japan, dynastic candidates also rely on pork-barrel strategies, channelling state resources to loyal constituencies. This process constitutes a "mutual reinforcement cycle," in which family support enables electoral victories, and elected successors redistribute state resources in ways that further secure dynastic influence. In this way, material advantages combine with patronage politics to entrench dynastic power across generations.

Beyond financial and patronage networks, name recognition functions as a critical electoral resource, particularly among less politically engaged voters. Feinstein (2010) terms this the "brand name advantage," whereby voters use family names as heuristics, reducing the cognitive burden of political decision-making (Feinstein, 2010, p.1). This advantage is especially pronounced in contexts of widespread political ignorance. As Somin observes, most voters "neither have time nor the incentive" to evaluate candidate positions in detail (Somin 1998, p.1). Structural factors exacerbate this problem: in the United States, for example, roughly two-thirds of adults lack a college education, suggesting that large segments of the electorate face significant informational barriers (Somin, 1998).

Name recognition thus operates not as a trivial by-product of fame but as a structural mechanism that compensates for low levels of political knowledge, reinforcing the persistence of dynasties in democratic systems [5-6].

The significance of name recognition is further supported by empirical evidence linking it to measurable electoral advantages. Feinstein (2010) finds that dynastic candidates are consistently rated more favourably and recalled more easily by voters. Studies of Japan's electoral system similarly reveal that legacy candidates—who are often younger, less experienced, or female—can nevertheless overcome electoral barriers because of the prestige conferred by family lineage. Daniel M. Smith (2018) highlights that voters value ties to formerly influential clans, locally respected families, and reputations for competence in public roles, while party platforms and political speeches are often dismissed as vague or non-committal. As a result, the political goodwill associated with a family name becomes a form of trans-generational capital, transmitted across generations and converted into enduring political advantage.

Finally, dynasties inherit institutional networks that provide new candidates with organizational and bureaucratic support unavailable to outsiders. The 2024 Indonesian vice-presidential election illustrates this dynamic: President Joko Widodo's 36-year-old son, Gibran, successfully leveraged his father's political networks to secure victory (Xia, 2024). As Xia Fangbo (2024) argues in Nanyang Issues Studies, Widodo likely relied on bureaucratic alliances cultivated during his presidency, particularly through infrastructure projects like the capital relocation plan, to bolster his son's campaign. These networks often extend into religious and civil society institutions. In Indonesia, for example, Islamic boarding schools have reportedly received state resources in exchange for supporting dynastic candidates (Azizah et al., 2021). A similar logic is evident in the Philippines, where governors from entrenched clans strategically increase economic spending when facing electoral competition (Solon et al., 2019). Such practices highlight the durability of dynastic networks, which combine formal institutions and informal patronage structures to insulate dynastic families from competition.

Taken together, these mechanisms—financial reservoirs, brand name recognition, and inherited institutional networks—illustrate how political dynasties reproduce power across generations. Rather than relying solely on charisma or ideology, dynasties draw strength from structural advantages that systematically marginalize non-dynastic challengers and entrench family rule within ostensibly democratic systems. This suggests that dynastic persistence is not simply the product of individual popularity or voter loyalty, but the outcome of institutionalized patterns of resource accumulation, symbolic transmission, and network reproduction. In this sense, political dynasties embody both the resilience of traditional authority and the structural limits of democratic competition.

3. The Decline of Political Dynasties

While the previous section highlighted the mechanisms through which political dynasties consolidate and reproduce power—through wealth, name recognition, and institutional networks—it is equally important to examine the forces that challenge and potentially erode this entrenched authority. The decline of political dynasties is increasingly shaped not primarily by formal institutional constraints—which often remain weak and unenforced—but by profound socio-cultural transformations that reshape the foundations of political legitimacy. These bottom-up dynamics open space for alternative forms of leadership and create conditions for a more equitable and meritocratic order. To understand the forces driving this transformation, it is necessary to examine how institutional weaknesses, information flows, and generational attitudes interact to undermine entrenched family power. In other words, the erosion of dynastic power derives less from statutory prohibitions and more from shifts in societal attitudes, information flows, and generational values.

Empirical evidence demonstrates that while legal frameworks exist to curb dynastic power, they are often deliberately weak, poorly enforced, or easily circumvented, thereby rendering them ineffective. A notable example is the Philippine constitutional paradox. Article II, Section 26 of the

1987 Philippine Constitution explicitly states that "The State shall guarantee equal access to opportunities for public service and prohibit political dynasties as may be defined by law." However, this provision is non-self-executing, requiring a subsequent enabling law by Congress to define the term ‘political dynasty.’ Since Congress itself is dominated by members of entrenched political families, this generates a deep institutional conflict of interest that prevents effective implementation. Consequently, the constitutional prohibition has remained largely symbolic and inert for decades. This case underscores that formal institutional measures, in isolation, are insufficient to dismantle entrenched political families and highlights the importance of examining broader social mechanisms that destabilize dynastic dominance [7-8].

One such mechanism is the transformation of the information environment. The rise of digital and social media has dramatically increased transparency and disrupted the traditional information monopoly held by elite families, thereby creating new opportunities for citizens to scrutinize and contest dynastic authority. Unlike traditional media, often co-opted by dynastic interests, digital platforms enable independent journalists, activists, and ordinary citizens to expose corruption and malpractice in real time. This decentralized scrutiny makes it significantly harder for dynasties to control public narratives and conceal malfeasance. Thus, the evolution of information technologies constitutes not merely a communication shift but a structural reconfiguration of political accountability, complementing social and cultural pressures against dynastic entrenchment.

Furthermore, younger generations raised under democratic norms exhibit declining tolerance for hierarchical, patronage-based politics. These urbanized, globally connected citizens increasingly value meritocracy, transparency, and policy-based governance over inherited status and clan loyalty. This shift is exemplified by the political rise of Vico Sotto in the Philippines, who, despite competing against entrenched dynastic advantages, mobilized a broad coalition of organizations and volunteers, leveraged his educational background, and presented himself as a competent and meritocratic alternative. Sotto’s strategy involved building a professional political platform, articulating clear policy proposals, and cultivating genuine public rapport, ultimately establishing a political party explicitly designed to contest dynasty-based campaigns. Although he himself comes from a political family in a different locality, his victory represents more than mere dynastic substitution; it signals the potential for genuine political renewal through organized, policy-oriented, and voter-responsive alternatives. His success in Pasig City, including the defeat of the Eusebio clan, demonstrates that political dynasties face growing challenges from reform-oriented leaders. Taken together with the rise of digital media, generational shifts indicate a gradual recalibration of the electorate’s expectations, which increasingly prioritize transparency and competence over inherited authority (PCIJ, 2025). Thus, individual cases of political innovation can catalyze broader challenges to dynastic systems, suggesting that decline is not solely structural but also strategic and contingent on effective leadership.

In short, the weakening of dynastic dominance cannot be explained by institutional design alone. Rather, the convergence of technological transformation, generational change, and grassroots mobilization is steadily eroding dynastic power. This suggests that the path toward political renewal requires both structural reforms and sustained civic engagement, as only the interaction of these forces can generate durable alternatives to entrenched family rule [9-10].

4. Conclusion

The persistence and decline of political dynasties illustrate a fundamental tension in modern democracies. This study demonstrates that dynasties maintain their dominance through self-reinforcing mechanisms that capitalize on structural advantages, including the consolidation of generational wealth via sponsor networks, the cognitive shortcut of brand-name recognition, and the inheritance of patronage networks. Such mechanisms elevate barriers to entry for non-dynastic actors, enabling families to reproduce political power across generations—a pattern observable in the United States, Japan, the Philippines, and Indonesia. Nonetheless, dynastic power is neither uniform nor

immutable. Emerging cases signal the potential for political renewal. While some dynasties successfully adapt to shifting political and socio-economic landscapes by leveraging entrenched advantages, others face mounting pressures from heightened public scrutiny, amplified by social media, and from citizenry—particularly younger generations—who increasingly contest the legitimacy of hereditary rule. Technological innovations and bottom-up socio-cultural shifts are undermining dynastic legitimacy, as the democratization of information through digital media disrupts traditional narrative monopolies, while generational changes in values emphasize meritocracy, transparency, and policy competence. The political rise of figures such as Vico Sotto exemplifies how organized civic forces can challenge even the most entrenched dynastic orders, highlighting the transformative potential of active citizen engagement in reshaping local political landscapes. Therefore, this study underscores that the trajectory of political dynasties is inherently contingent and context-dependent. Looking ahead, the struggle against dynastic dominance remains ongoing, dependent on both active civil society participation and governance structures that promote equitable access to political opportunity and merit-based leadership. These findings highlight the necessity of understanding dynasticism not merely as a static structural phenomenon, but as a dynamic interplay between entrenched power and evolving social, technological, and generational forces.

References

[1] Burgonio, T. (2025, April 9). Even the mightiest political dynasties fall silent—and fade away. PCIJ.org. https://pcij.org/2025/04/06/mighty-political-dynasties-fall-silent-fade-away-philippines/.

[2] Feinstein, B. D. (2010). The dynasty advantage: Family ties in congressional elections. Legislative Studies Quarterly, 35 (4), 571–598. https://doi.org/10.3162/036298010793322366.

[3] Geevers, E. M., & Gustafsson, H. (Eds.). (2023). Dynasties and state formation in early modern Europe (1st ed.). Amsterdam University Press. https://doi.org/10.1515/9789048554034.

[4] Huang, K. (2010, October 29). It's all in the family: Political dynasties in democracies. Harvard Political Review. https://harvardpolitics.com/its-all-in-the-family-political-dynasties-in-democracies/?webview_progress_bar=1&show_loading=0&push_animated=1&theme=light.

[5] Institutionalization and party resilience in Indonesian electoral democracy. (n.d.-b). ScienceDirect. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405844023101277.

[6] Mendoza, R. U., Cruz, J. F., & Yap, D. B. II. (2014, August 1). Assessing the potential success of the people's initiative on an anti-political dynasty act: Data from the top five most dynastic Philippine provinces. SSRN. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2466959.

[7] Muraoka, T. (2018). Political dynasties and particularistic campaigns. Political Research Quarterly, 71 (2), 453–466. https://doi.org/10.1177/1065912917745163.

[8] Political dynasties, business, and poverty in the Philippines. (n.d.-c). ScienceDirect. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2667319322000222.

[9] Smith, D. M. (2018). Dynasties and democracy: The inherited incumbency advantage in Japan. Stanford University Press.

[10] The family [political dynasty]. (2024, January 31). Encyclopedia of Arkansas. https://encyclopediaofarkansas.net/entries/the-family-political-dynasty-2666/?show_loading=0&push_animated=1&webview_progress_bar=1&theme=light.