

From Romantic Obsession to Risky Behaviors: Investigating the Association between Love Addiction, Attachment Styles, and Impulsive Actions in Intimate Relationships

Sirui Zhang *

Minhang Vanke Bilingual School, Shanghai, 200000, China

* Corresponding Author Email: zhangsr@student.dtd-edu.cn

Abstract. Love dependency with compulsive dependency and vulnerable self-esteem has become a psychiatric condition with potential criminological significance. The article detects how insecure attachment and affective disorder form the longitudinal trajectory from romantic dependency to aggression and crime. The article integrates attachment theory, the addiction model and neurobiological framework-grounded in recent neuro-psychological and a neuro-criminological studies-explain how caregiving deficits in childhood and abuse in childhood cause insecure attachments and impulsivity. These risk factors, in their own capacity, heighten vulnerability to jealousy and rejection, and encourage different maladjustive behaviors, including verbal aggression, coercion control, and intimate partner aggression. The cultural analysis section adopts the Pick-up Artist (“PUA”) phenomenon from China as an example to show how societal surroundings foster affective dependency and manipulation maneuvers. For intervention, scientists advocate dual-track policies of clinical and social efforts. Emotion-centered therapy, mindfulness training, and reconstructed attachment can reduce personal vulnerability, and emotional education in classrooms and family modeling of healthy attachments can foster system-wide prevention. The article's conclusion suggests it is necessary to undertake cross-cultural longitudinal studies in the future and to establish more efficient preventive measures based on various cultural value backgrounds to help reduce love dependence turning to violence and crime risk.

Keywords: Romantic Obsession, Risky Behaviors, attachment theory, addiction model, neurobiological framework-grounded.

1. Introduction

In recent years, the “love brain” has been a trending term at youth circles and especially on the Chinese social media platforms. It is said half-seriously or in a tongue-in-cheek manner when describing someone obsessively fixated on love beyond the point where regular life, studying, or work responsibilities are affected. Even when spoken daily with a humorous or snickering edge, the word frequency conveys a corresponding social and psychological truth: love itself can very possibly operate like a drug when it is driven to excess. As with drugs or betting, romantic fever can generate spikes and troughs of feeling, craving for contact, and uncontrolled behavior.

When obsession results from love, it does not just have an effect on mood or attention; it can cause long-range collateral emotional change, impaired judgment, and possibly imperilling action. These are no trivial risks. These types of patterns of behavior may take many forms, ranging from relatively small but distracting patterns of behavior through more intense patterns of aggression. Some examples would include compulsive use of instant messaging, fights that are jealousy-motivated, and at the other end of the continuum, violence or stalking of the partner. Following such behaviors has allowed researchers to find that love addiction and insecure attachment are not distinct phenomena but are highly overlapping with broader problems of social maladjustment, psychiatric morbidity, and even criminal psychology

The researchers found that insecure attachments predisposed individuals to being more sensitive to feelings of being rejected and interpersonal risk. Insecure attachments also impaired individuals' effective regulation of feelings, elevating this risk of violent/impulsive behavior in romantic relationships [1]. That is, the seemingly funny idea of being “love-brained” not only intersects with

very serious issues within society, like intimate partner violence and psychological manipulation. From a criminological perspective, acknowledging these mechanisms illuminates where some of the forms of interpersonal violence and coercive control come from.

Therefore, this essay will identify the connections between love addiction and attachment theory and emotional problems. Through combining evidence of previous research, it will show the mechanisms by which insecure attachment and emotion dysregulation can cause maladaptive relationships. Through this, the essay illuminates love addiction's psychological issues and its possible evolution into violence and dangerous behaviors. Finally, understanding these processes is both crucial within academic scholarship and within actual real-world interventions within mental health and relationships counseling.

2. Theoretical background

Attachment theory was initially proposed by John Bowlby in the mid-20th century and describes the manner in which early feelings of attachment formed between caregivers and young children impact individuals' styles and attitudes toward relationships throughout life. When a child is consistently receiving care, warmth, and protection, then secure attachment is formed. These children end up being adults comfortable with relationships and ready to explore their environment rather than living their life in fear. As a result, they are strong when facing adversity. It is possible that inconsistently or carelessly taking care of results in insecure styles of attachment like anxiety, avoidance, or ambivalence [2]. They are anything but irrelevant templates; they are what is drawn on subsequently when relating to intimacy, dependence, and conflict within romantic relationships.

Attachment styles are called into sharp relief within adult love relationships. Adults with a securely attached style are likely to display trustworthiness, communicative effectiveness, and adaptive management of emotions. They are likely to have steady and satisfying relationships. By contrast, individuals who are insecurely attached often encounter difficulties in intimacy and conflict management, which typically manifest in two major forms. Anxiety-attached adults experience fear of abandonment and are ever-demanding of reassurance. Avoidantly attached adults are likely to pull back emotionally and resist intimacy based on their fear of being vulnerable. These insecure styles often jeopardize relationship satisfaction and durability and put them at higher risk of repeated conflict and breakup.

Neuroscientifically, romantic love is associated with the reward system of the brain, the ventral tegmental area, and the nucleus accumbens. Being in love is both stimulating dopaminergic circuits such as those which are stimulated by addictive substances or games of chance [3]. Importantly, this overlap suggests the brain is not capable of distinctly discriminating between substance-induced addiction and compulsions of romantic love. Thus, both psychological theories as well as neurobiological evidence assert the idea that romantic attachment can act as an addictive process. Reynaud and colleagues have argued that love addiction is then adequately characterized as a kind of behavioral disorder, apt to inducing individuals toward compulsive action and loss of control [4].

Also, the "addiction model" of explanation allows a system of explanation of the manner by which romantic love may shift from a wholesome impact on life and living relationships to a maladaptive dependence. Even though attachment is a basic human need, insecure attachment and addictive tendencies vastly amplify vulnerability to mood changes and emotional reactivity and potentially violent actions [5]. By integrating attachment theory and models of addiction, scientists shed light on why love, widely seen as a determinant of enjoyment and growth, is at times a predictor of suffering, dysfunction, and interpersonal violence.

3. Love addiction & emotional problem

Love addiction can properly only be defined as a maladaptive pattern of romantic attachment when persons place excessively strong priority on their love at the expense of autonomy,

self-esteem, and general psychological resilience. While healthy love is founded on reciprocal trustworthiness, equality of treatment, and respect, love addiction is characterized by compulsive dependence, susceptibility of emotion, and excruciating psychological conditions.

Arguably the most obvious sign is extreme dependency. Love-addicted individuals are often made to feel incomplete or insecure if they do not receive constant reassurance from their lovers. They may be subject to symptoms of separation anxiety, uncontrollably overwhelming jealousy, or reassurance seeking galore. This dependency is disruptive of daily functioning: students may be unfocused at school, employees may experience declines in productivity, and relationships with family or friends may suffer when attention is directed entirely at the love relationship [6].

Low self-esteem is yet another common feature. Love addicted individuals' self-esteem tends to come from the relationship only. When there are problems, guilt, shame or abandonment fear may consume them and solidify their dependency. This is unhealthy because this will continue to keep the individual from being capable of having at some point in time in their lives an independent strong sense of themselves independent of the relationship. This pattern more and more strengthens unhealthy dependencies.

As time passes, these dynamics become ingrained, forming dependency structures that impede psychological healing because of deeply rooted maladaptive coping strategies. These, over the long term, solidify into dependencies that make mental recovery difficult through maladaptive coping mechanisms or strength [7].

Moreover, love addiction is very comorbid with psychological suffering. Different studies have found greater prevalence of cognitive complaints, depression, and anxiety within individuals with addictive attachment styles [8]. Not only does this constant preoccupation of the relationship leave one depleted of emotional energy, but it also corrodes resilience elsewhere. For instance, one will become irritable, controlling, or distrustful and therefore worsen conflict and further destabilize their relationships.

One of the lesser discussed but meaningful side effects is lowered sexual satisfaction. It has been recognized by Rogier et al. that compulsively dependent persons are often dissatisfied with sexual intimacy [7]. This is likely because their concern is excessively directed at control or reassurance of feelings and less at mutual pleasure and free exchange. Sex then becomes yet another stress and displeasure contributing factor and not necessarily a bonding aid.

Family expectations, social pressures, and differing views concerning autonomy can also be responsible for the development of love addiction. Where pressure from family and society is higher, as it is in China and other collectivist cultures where family and society pressure is high, individuals may feel more stress toward conforming to the romantic ideal. This then may expose them more to risks of obsessive dependency or of manipulative tactics such as "PUA" (pick-up artistry), where insecurities and attachment are used. Western research itself is likely to stress individual autonomy and self-expression and hence has slightly differing addictive attachment profiles. Taken together, these psychological and cultural influences demonstrate that love addiction is far more complex than the simplistic idea of "loving too much." In reality, love dependency extends well beyond excessive affection, encompassing deeper emotional, behavioral, and cultural dimensions.

Love dependency is far more than "loving too much". It is a complex psychological syndrome of fragile self-esteem and insecure attachment and of dysregulation of emotion. Unless corrected with therapeutic measures, love addiction can get out of hand, bringing about relational instability as well as hurtful behavioral patterns. Identification of these emotional dysfunctions is thus a first step toward the establishment of healthier styles of attachment and strong forms of love.

4. Attachment styles & risk behaviors

Insecure types of attachment have much higher emotional dysregulation than those who have a secure form of attachment. Secure attachment offers an individual a sense of security and stability

during periods of relational tension. Insecure attachment removes this buffer and can bring to an individual strong negative emotion such as those of anger, jealousy, and fear.

Thus, people with insecure attachment have more emotional loss of control when there is conflict, as demonstrated in being more reactive and impulsive in the making of choices. These propensities have both in experiments and in the clinic, substantiating that insecure attachment is the greatest predictor of maladaptive stress response.

Again, fragile self-esteem makes matters worse by further intensifying sensitivity to being in opposition and being judged. Individuals with this level of vulnerability will take minor arguments as personal criticism, more firmly establishing feelings of insecurity in them as well as inclining them toward defensiveness or controlling behavior. Ineffective negotiation of mutually workable solutions, or weak communication, spawns misunderstandings and frustration, and both of these in turn breed a stronger urge to manage the relationship dynamic. This dysfunctional cycle almost always culminates in verbal aggression, in that criticism, coercive communication, and angry comment become methods of managing relational fear as much as methods of regaining a false mastery [9].

Further, researchers stress that this instability is no fleeting experience but one that can engender rooted behavioral risks. Persistent emotional dysregulation, grounded in insecure attachment and supported with low self-esteem, fosters fertile ground in which impulsivity and aggressiveness take root. Such individuals are as probable if not more so as to engage in verbal aggression and at risk for physical assault or coercive patterns that endanger partner safety. In the long term, such dynamics also compromise intimacy, decrease relationship happiness, and elevate conflict escalation likelihood if constructive resolution processes—like guided counseling, structured conversation, or mediated negotiation—are not initiated. The combination of insecure attachment, brittle self-esteem, and poor communication thus forms the triangle that significantly contributes to the risk of high-risk behaviors in romantic relationships.

5. Impulsivity & aggregation

Impulsivity was also early recognized as being among the significant risk factors that drive interpersonal conflict. In romantic relationships, it typically manifests as argumentative talk, jealousy, and, in at least some instances, physical aggression. Such tendencies crop up where people act more than they think enough, intense feeling taking the place of rational problem-resolution. It follows then that impulsivity acts against the possibility of productive talk, producing cycles of aggression eroding relational trust and stability [5].

Trauma in childhood has been consistently identified as a predictor for these maladaptive behavioral profiles. Exposure in early childhood to abuse, neglect, or inconsistent caregiving damages the development of a secure attachment bond such that individuals are more prone to poor emotional regulation in later adulthood. The high-profile ACEs (Adverse Childhood Experiences) study demonstrated that childhood trauma markedly amplified the risk for later-life aggression and impulsivity [10]. Such individuals are normally more stress-sensitive and possess fewer coping strategies and are hence prone to aggressive responses in the event of conflicts.

Insecure attachment specifically worsens this vulnerability acutely. Anxiety-attached persons may engage in verbal aggression or anger-fueled confrontations as a strategy for receiving reassurance, while avoidantly attached persons may swing back and forth in withdrawal or explosive anger. These two styles of attachment raise aggression risk upon arousal by affective stressors such as feelings of rejection, jealousy, or intense fear of being abandoned. Other recent findings also observe that insecurely attached adults tend to have issues in impulse inhibition—a functioning impairment which, in interaction with relational stress, produces aggression and, in particular, intimate partner aggression [11].

Moreover, scientists have also argued that aggression in the situation of love addiction and insecure attachment is not limited to physical aggression. Verbal and emotional aggression,

including coercion, humiliation, or controlling communication, are equally detrimental to psychological well-being. Velotti et al. indicate that emotion regulation deficits and impulsivity are mediators connecting insecure attachment and aggressive behavior, hence calling for early interventions [12]. Together, the studies highlight the point that impulsivity, when a derivative of childhood trauma and insecure attachment, transforms conflict into a dangerous stimulant for relational instability and aggression.

6. From the perspective of criminal psychology

As compulsive attachment and emotional dependency get out of control, consequences extend well beyond relational dissatisfaction and into the realm of criminology. Researchers note that pathological dependency may manifest in dangerous behaviors including stalking, harassment, and intimate partner attacks [13]. Here, the inability to abide rejection or detachment propels individuals to exert power in the form of intrusive monitoring, coercion, or outright violence. Such behaviors not only put the mate's safety at risk but illustrate the manner in which compulsive love clouds the border where affection terminates and crime initiates.

Further studies indicate correlated relations linking emotional dependency and patterns of coerced control wherein verbal aggression, psychological bullying, and physical harm threats are employed in exercising dominance in a relationship [14]. Escalation from emotional dependency to explicit aggression underscores the means in which unresolved attachment insecurities are transformed into criminogenic factors. In most cases, perpetrators rationalize such behavior as a “proof of love” yet these actions align closely with patterns of abuse documented in both forensic and legal scholarship. Hence, dependence-related compulsion provides a mechanism wherein intimate relations are converted to matters of legal concern.

Cultural background also takes center stage in determining the expression of such compulsions. In the Chinese context, the rise of “Pick-Up Artist” (PUA) subcultures illustrates how practices of manipulation and psychological control have been normalized within particular online communities and social environments. Recent analyses demonstrate that PUA techniques capitalize on emotional vulnerabilities by fostering dependency through repeated cycles of devaluation, humiliation, and intermittent reinforcement, a pattern that closely mirrors the mechanisms described in coercive control theory [15]. Such a process is akin to coercive control in domestic abuse situations, wherein the victim’s agency is weakened incrementally, and their sense of personality is eclipsed underneath the manipulator’s dominance. Relative to Western societies, where explanations of partner aggression tip towards physical aggression, the Chinese discussion surrounding PUA reflects the way psychological manipulation in and of itself is a potent risk factor.

Together, these findings suggest compulsive love and emotional dependency are not only psychiatric afflictions but potential precursors to crime. Consideration of both psychological processes and cultural tradition simultaneously discovers dependency-motivated attachments often lead a trajectory toward a pattern of control and violence that not only necessitates psychological intervention but also consideration from a legal and social standpoint.

7. Implications & interventions

Delineating the associations between insecure attachment, emotional dysregulation, and maladaptive behavior highlights the need for the implementation of productive interventions. At a clinical level, psychotherapy offers a series of promising approaches. Emotionally Focused Therapy (EFT), originally implemented in dyadic contexts, aims to restructure insecure attachment relations in favor of promoting secure, emotionally responsive relations. Johnson indicates EFT to strengthen relational satisfaction and reduce conflict through education of partners in recognizing and modifying their emotionally needy behavior in a constructive way [16]. Similarly, mindfulness-based interventions, such as planned exercises such as meditation, guided breathing

exercises, and body-scan exercises, attempt to enhance impulsivity and self-awareness. Another therapeutic technique is attachment reconstruction. Here, adults work through unfinished childhood attachments through controlled interventions—such as guided recollection, narrative exploration, and dialogue guided by clinicians. The process helps in establishing healthier internal models of oneself and others and reducing dependency and compulsive entanglement in relationships.

In addition to the therapeutic domain, social-level interventions are also not to be underestimated. Education is at the heart of helping adolescents develop emotional literacy, communication, and stress-management skills, particularly in structured programs such as social-emotional learning (SEL) curricula, peer-mentoring programs, and teacher-led workshops. Incorporation of such programs helps teens by exposing them to real-world tools in healthier relationships as well as in recognizing the risks of coercive control or unhealthy interdependency. Likewise, parents and caregivers are expected to model secure attachment through reliability, warmth, and open communication. Bowen et al. describe the influence of family on children's later regulation of emotions such that children's later insecurity in attachments is protected against through parents' guidance and stability [17].

Finally, such individual and social interventions must themselves be taken in a complementary fashion. Arguing along the lines of Arseneault et al. reductions in the incidence of interpersonal violence thereby entail a necessity for reductions in both the psychological predisposition of individuals and the wider relational milieus in which they are socialized [1]. Clinical therapies alongside systemic family and school-level interventions thereby offer an integrative approach to countering threats through love addiction, impulsivity, and insecure attachment.

8. Conclusion

This manuscript examined the manner in which love dependence and insecure attachment intersect to develop vulnerabilities expressing themselves in impulsivity, aggression, and, in extreme cases, crimes. Love addiction, consisting of compulsive clinging and fragile self-esteem, undermines resilience and hampers coping ability. When combined with insecure behaviors like anxious preoccupation or avoidance withdrawal, these vulnerabilities foster jealousy, verbal hostility, and poor conflict resolution ability. It matures over time into impulsive eruptions and aggression, themselves progressing into stalking, power and control, or intimate violence. The chain of causation—love dependence and insecure attachment producing emotional instability, impulsivity, and aggression—draws attention to the manner in which relations-related issues mature into issues of criminal psychology.

On the one hand, the study indicates developmental and cultural dimensions of the evolution of such risks. Childhood trauma, inconsistent parenting, and pathological family patterns are among the factors in insecure attachment and subsequent consequences. Moreover, cultural representations like China's PUA subculture illustrate ways in which manipulation and psychological exploitation can render a culture of forced dependency normal, spilling out from the perimeters of individual psychology to wider social practice. A consideration of this broader background illuminates a need for psychological and social interventions alike in attempting to preclude extension into abuse and violence.

Future research must go in several different directions. It is essential to conduct cross-cultural studies to evaluate how differences in autonomy, family, and gender norms shape the risks and manifestations of love dependence. Longitudinal studies are necessary to assess over time the genesis of insecure attachment and compulsive relational behavior and identify transition points at which interventions might have specific efficacy. Future research must finally put a greater emphasis on prevention through school-based emotional education, family support interventions, and early therapeutic interventions preparing individuals for secure infancy attachments. Merging clinical, cultural, and prevention methods, future studies can more adequately investigate the

processes in which love addiction and insecure attachment are converted to impulsive and violent behavior and thus diminish the perils of relational maladjustment and crime.

References

- [1] L. Arseneault, A. Brassard, A.-A. Lefebvre, M.-F. Lafontaine, N. Godbout, M.-È. Daspe, C. Savard, K. Péloquin: Romantic attachment and intimate partner violence perpetrated by individuals seeking help: The roles of dysfunctional communication patterns and relationship satisfaction. *J. Fam. Violence*, 39, 1557–1568 (2024)
- [2] M. Mikulincer, P. R. Shaver: *Attachment in adulthood: Structure, dynamics, and change*. (Guilford Press, New York, 2007)
- [3] H. Fisher, A. Aron, D. Mashek, H. Li, L. L. Brown: The neural mechanisms of mate choice: A hypothesis. *J. Comp. Neurol.*, 493(1), 58–65 (2006)
- [4] M. Reynaud, L. Karila, L. Blecha, A. Benyamina: Is love passion an addictive disorder? *Am. J. Drug Alcohol Abuse*, 36(5), 261–267 (2010)
- [5] J. Dineen, L. Dinc: Love addiction: Trait impulsivity, emotional dysregulation and attachment style. *Eur. J. Psychiatry*, 38(3), 100255 (2024)
- [6] A. Gori, S. Russo, E. Topino: Love addiction, adult attachment patterns and self-esteem: Testing for mediation using path analysis. *J. Pers. Med.*, 13(2), 247 (2023)
- [7] G. Rogier, F. Di Marzio, C. Presicci, R. G. Cavalli, P. Velotti: Love addiction and sexual satisfaction within the attachment perspective: An empirical contribution. *Psychol. Sex.* (2024)
- [8] C. Giacobbe, G. Maggi, L. Borrello, A. Barone, C. Mastromarino, P. Antonelli, G. Santangelo: Psychological and cognitive complaints in individuals with love addiction. *J. Affect. Disord. Rep.*, 100, 785 (2024)
- [9] Y. Miyagawa, Y. Kanemasa: Insecure attachment and psychological intimate partner violence perpetration: Low self-compassion and compassionate goals as mediators. *J. Fam. Violence*, 38, 1443–1455 (2023)
- [10] R. F. Anda, V. J. Felitti, J. D. Bremner, J. D. Walker, C. Whitfield, B. D. Perry, W. H. Giles: The enduring effects of abuse and related adverse experiences in childhood. *Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.*, 256(3), 174–186 (2006)
- [11] L. Knox, G. Karantzas, E. Ferguson: The role of attachment, insecurity, and stress in partner maltreatment: A meta-analysis. *Trauma. Violence. Abus.* (2023)
- [12] P. Velotti, G. Rogier, S. B. Zobel, A. Chirumbolo, G. C. Zavattini: The relation of anxiety and avoidance dimensions of attachment to intimate partner violence: A meta-analysis about perpetrators. *Trauma. Violence. Abus.* 23(1), 196–212 (2022)
- [13] J. R. Meloy: The psychology of stalking. J. R. Meloy (Ed.), *The psychology of stalking: Clinical and forensic perspectives*. (Academic Press, San Diego, 1997)
- [14] R. C. Shorey, T. L. Cornelius, K. M. Bell: A critical review of theoretical frameworks for dating violence: Comparing the dating violence literature to the marital violence literature. *Aggress. Violent Behav.*, 13(3), 185–194 (2008)
- [15] Y. Du: The semantic evolution and social motivation of "PUA" in the Chinese context (2012–2023). *China Youth Stud.*, 4, 69–75 (2024)
- [16] S. M. Johnson: *The practice of emotionally focused couple therapy: Creating connection*. (Routledge, London, 2004)
- [17] M. Bowen, M. E. Kerr, M. Bowen: *Family evaluation: An approach based on Bowen theory*. (W. W. Norton & Company, New York, 2010)