

Challenges and Optimization Strategies in Cultivating the Capacity for Autonomous Development Among University Students

Linlin Liu *

School of Management, Zhengzhou Shengda University, Zhengzhou, Henan, 451191, China

* Corresponding author: Linlin Liu

Abstract: As reforms in talent development within higher education continue to advance, developing students' capacity for self-directed development is of great significance for stimulating their initiative in learning, improving the quality of talent development, and cultivating high-caliber application-oriented professionals. At present, higher education institutions are continuously expanding the scope for student autonomy through reform, yet students' capacity for self-directed development has not improved correspondingly. Therefore, higher education institutions should respond to students' actual developmental needs, update existing teaching methods, improve approaches to student development, and systematically advance the development of students' capacity for self-directed development. Beginning with an examination of the specific meaning of self-directed development, this paper constructs a three-dimensional analytical framework comprising "agentic willingness–capacity for action–supportive conditions" and explains the significance of developing students' capacity for self-directed development. It then discusses four categories of problems in current capacity-development practices at higher education institutions and the mechanisms underlying their emergence. Finally, in light of current practices, it proposes four optimization strategies: agency activation, self-directed learning design, sustained support, and collaborative empowerment. Conducting research in this area effectively is of great significance for improving the quality of talent development.

Keywords: College Students; Independent Development Capability; Talent Development.

1. Introduction

As the focus of higher education gradually shifts toward quality enhancement, approaches to student development in higher education institutions are transitioning from externally imposed behavioral regulation to the promotion of students' agentic development. As higher education institutions continue to expand the scope of students' choices, institutional arrangements aimed at giving students greater autonomy in course selection, more flexible academic paths, and more diversified practical opportunities have also been gradually introduced. The mission of higher education is not limited to the teaching of knowledge and the cultivation of skills, but also to help students develop the ability to plan their own development, be proactive, reflect on and adjust their choices and continue to develop. Personally, this ability affects students' transition from external supervision to self-regulation. From the perspective of talent training, it is also related to whether higher education institutions can effectively cultivate students' innovative spirit, sense of responsibility and lifelong development ability.

However, the expansion of students' autonomy has not been accompanied by the corresponding improvement of students' self-development ability. Faced with an increasingly diverse range of choices, some students exhibit problems such as a lack of clear goals, overreliance on others when making decisions, and a lack of perseverance in carrying out those decisions. Under the current incentive system dominated by the system, students are more inclined to pay attention to quantifiable and provable results, and pay less attention to reflection and progressive internal growth throughout the whole development process [1]. Therefore, expanding students' autonomy does not, in and of itself, lead to a

corresponding improvement in their ability to develop independently. On the contrary, it only provides an institutional prerequisite for this development. Only when students possess the necessary motivation and capacity for action, and receive appropriate institutional support, can externally granted autonomy be transformed into meaningful developmental capacity.

2. Conceptualization of Students' Capacity for Self-Directed Development

University students' capacity for self-directed development refers to the comprehensive capacity to proactively engage in development planning, self-directed learning, self-management, self-reflection, practical exploration, and continuous improvement in pursuit of personal growth goals within the context of higher education. This ability has three basic characteristics. First of all, the driving force of its development comes from the inside: the main driving force comes from the students' own desire for growth, rather than passively dealing with external pressure. Secondly, its scope covers multiple areas of development. It is not limited to academic learning, behavior management or career planning, but also includes academic development, values shaping, practical innovation, physical and mental growth and future adaptability. Third, this ability can be cultivated, not inherent or immutable. It can be stimulated and strengthened by systematic educational intervention.

Building on this, the present study further conceptualizes the development of university students' capacity for self-directed development in terms of three interrelated core elements: agentic willingness, capacity for action, and

supportive conditions[2]. The three elements are interdependent and indispensable: insufficient agentic willingness results in a lack of developmental motivation; weak capacity for action prevents developmental intentions from being effectively translated into action; and imbalances in supportive conditions deprive capacity development of the necessary institutional and resource foundations. Building on this analysis, the subsequent sections further examine the problems in developing university students' capacity for self-directed development and the corresponding improvement strategies.

3. Challenges in Developing Students' Capacity for Self-Directed Development

(1) Expanded Scope for Autonomy and Insufficient Agentic Willingness: Weakened Self-Regulation When External Management Serves as a Substitute

In recent years, higher education institutions have expanded opportunities for students to make their own choices by offering more elective courses, implementing a flexible credit system, developing practical platforms, and exploring individualized education programs. One reason for these reforms is that, for a long time, external management has replaced students' own planning and self-regulation, resulting in a lack of practical opportunities for them to develop these skills[3]. Long-term exposure to highly structured management models may also lead to students' reliance on external frameworks. Therefore, students may regard higher education institutions, teachers or institutional arrangements as the main responsible parties for their own development, rather than internalize the responsibility of planning their own development path. As the scope of autonomy provided by external sources expands and the external support that previously replaced self-regulation gradually diminishes, some students may not necessarily become more proactive as a result of these reduced restrictions. On the contrary, they might feel confused because the internal abilities required for self-regulation have not been fully developed, which makes the limitations of their autonomy more obvious[4].

(2) Strengthened Capacity-Development Goals and Insufficient Curricular Support: The Crowding-Out Effect of the Knowledge-Transmission Logic on Self-Directed Learning Processes

The goal of talent training in higher education increasingly emphasizes the ability of independent learning, innovative thinking, problem solving and sustainable development. However, some courses are mainly organized around content coverage, unified teaching rhythm and standardized evaluation, resulting in a clear gap between the ability development goals and the actual curriculum practice. A knowledge-transmission approach prioritizes the coverage of prescribed content, instructional efficiency, and the comparability of assessment. This method has certain advantages in systematically organizing knowledge, but it may limit students' opportunities to ask questions independently, make exploratory trial and error, and reflect and adjust their learning.

Cultivating autonomous learning ability requires repeated opportunities to apply these skills in the actual learning process. Content-based teaching often ignores well-designed learning activities, which should have included independent

exploration, self-regulation and reflective improvement. Therefore, students lack the opportunity to practice the learning styles required for these abilities[5]. Over time, they are accustomed to accepting pre-set content, rather than actively constructing knowledge, exploring problems, and reflecting on the learning process. Lack of continuous practice opportunities, the development of autonomous learning and problem solving skills is likely to be limited.

(3) Comprehensive Developmental Needs and Fragmented Support Systems: Disruptions in the Student Development Continuum

The independent development of college students involves a range of needs, including goal planning, academic support, career exploration, psychological adaptation, and practical participation. These needs run through all areas of student development and may change with the advancement of the student education stage. The resources intended to address them, however, are often distributed across separate functional departments responsible for academic guidance, career planning, mental health, and practical participation. As a result, stable mechanisms for information sharing and coordination among teacher mentors, student counselors, course teachers, and student affairs departments are often lacking.

This fragmentation makes it difficult to provide continuous support throughout the student development process. Student development is not a series of isolated events but an ongoing cycle involving goal setting, action implementation, feedback, reflection and adjustment, and subsequent action. Supporting this process requires continuity over time and coordination across different stages of development[6]. Information about students' previous experiences may not be effectively transferred, while responsibility for maintaining connections across stages may remain unclear. As a result, subsequent interventions may be designed without sufficient reference to students' prior learning and developmental experiences.

The core limitation of the current support system lies not simply in the availability of resources, but in the absence of a responsible institutional mechanism capable of tracking students' development over time and coordinating support across different areas. Even if the relevant resources are in place, scattered in various departments may also lead to students' growth experience can not be continuously recorded, recognized and integrated into the follow-up support. Therefore, previous experience may not be effectively accumulated, feedback may not be able to guide follow-up actions, and students' independent development cannot form a coherent and gradual process.

4. Pathways for Developing Students' Capacity for Self-Directed Development

(1) From External Constraints to Agency Activation: Strengthening Students' Capacity for Self-Directed Planning and Personal Responsibility

Under the current management mode of higher education institutions, external planning has long replaced students' self-regulation. Student advisors and faculty mentors may take a leading role in setting goals and determining developmental pathways. Although this approach can help maintain an orderly educational process and prevent short-term deviations in students' development, it may also limit opportunities for students to exercise self-regulation and, in

turn, constrain the expression of their own initiative[7]. Strengthening students' initiative thus requires more than introducing additional planning activities or increasing external supervision. Students need to gradually assume greater responsibility for key aspects of their own development, including goal setting, process monitoring, and reflective attribution.

This shift also requires a corresponding change in the roles of student counselors and teacher mentors, from "planning for students" to "supporting students in planning for themselves." Instead of making development decisions for students, they should cultivate students' self-development ability by providing relevant information and feedback, while giving students appropriate space for independent choice, trial and error correction[5]. Self-regulation skills cannot be developed solely through external arrangements. It requires students to gradually make their own decisions and accept the corresponding consequences. However, autonomous decision-making must also be based on the actual situation and the limitations of the real world. Otherwise, autonomy may only stay in the form, and can not be transformed into substantive self-development ability.

(2) From Knowledge Transmission to Self-Directed Learning Design: Enhancing the Capacity-Building Effectiveness of Curriculum and Instruction

For a long time, the curriculum has always emphasized the coverage of knowledge and the teaching of content, but it rarely leaves students with the space to ask questions independently, actively explore, reflect and adjust their learning. In this case, completing the teaching does not necessarily bring the cultivation of ability. To solve this problem, it is necessary to re-adjust the direction of curriculum teaching and integrate independent topic selection, problem-oriented inquiry, project-based learning, cooperative discussion and reflective feedback into the learning process. In this way, the curriculum goal can be shifted from "content teaching" to "ability training".

This change is necessary because the ability of autonomous learning is cultivated through repeated practice and gradual internalization. Students need opportunities to solve real problems, monitor the learning process, adjust learning strategies and evaluate their performance reflectively. This puts forward different requirements for teachers, and their roles have also shifted from mainly imparting knowledge to designing learning experiences that support these processes. Teaching arrangements also need to allow sufficient time for such learning. In particular, it is necessary to adjust the allocation of class hours and the pressure to complete the prescribed content, so as to provide students with meaningful self-learning opportunities[8].

Therefore, the effectiveness of curriculum optimization should not be evaluated only by the coverage of content or the completion of specified knowledge points. A more appropriate criterion is whether students really participate in and show a learning process that includes self-questioning, active inquiry, collaborative construction, and reflective improvement.

(3) From Fragmented Services to Continuous Support: Ensuring Continuity throughout the Student Development Process

Cultivating college students self-development ability increasingly needs continuous support, covering goal setting, continuous practice and reflective adjustment. However, the current support system is still mainly organized according to

the professional division of labor, and the services provided by various functional departments are relatively independent. This arrangement leads to a significant mismatch between the services provided by the institution and the continuous needs of students in the development process.

Decentralized services may improve the efficiency of interventions in a single area. However, when students encounter development problems that span different fields or stages, their limitations will be more obvious. In this case, information may become fragmented, responsibilities may be dispersed, and support may be interrupted. Students' self-development itself is a repeated process, including goal setting, action practice, problem identification, feedback adjustment and reflective improvement. Therefore, the cultivation of this ability depends on students obtaining feedback in real situations and using these feedbacks for further adjustment. The decentralized service model without institutional coordination and follow-up mechanism is difficult to provide continuous support in the process of student development.

The support system should move beyond relatively independent services toward a more continuous and coordinated model. Departments can assume a coordinating role by bringing together mentors, counselors, teachers, student organizations, and professional service units in response to students' developmental needs. Information can be shared among various support channels, and students can be referred to appropriate departments in a timely manner on the premise of obtaining informed consent and taking appropriate privacy protection measures.

This continuity is not intended to replace students' autonomy in decision-making or to strengthen institutional control over their development. Its purpose is to ensure that students receive useful feedback and necessary resources throughout the learning process, thereby enhancing their ability to adjust their behavior and take responsibility for their own development.

5. Conclusion

Based on the analysis of the significance, practical difficulties and formation mechanism of cultivating college students' self-development ability, this paper puts forward some strategies to promote the reform of higher education. These strategies include: encouraging students to actively participate in the learning process, enhancing their self-planning and self-responsibility; reconstruct the internal logic of curriculum teaching to provide more space for questioning, inquiry and reflection; and establish a continuous support mechanism that can support students' cross-stage development.

Through these changes, colleges and universities can further support the development of students' goal planning, autonomous learning, self-management and practical innovation ability, and enhance their ability to adapt to different development situations. The implementation of these strategies aims to improve the educational environment and promote the transformation from alternative management to support empowerment. In this environment, students can gradually develop their self-development ability through practical experience and better cope with the needs of social development.

Acknowledgments

Zhengzhou Shengda University 2026 Research Project: A Practical Study on Cultivating Students' Autonomous Development Abilities from a School-Based Perspective (Project No.: SD-XB2026018).

References

- [1] Zhou, P., & Zhang, D. (2016). Research on the route to cultivate self-development ability of university students. In 2016 International Conference on Economy, Management and Education Technology (pp. 165-169). Atlantis Press. <https://doi.org/10.2991/icemet-16.2016.34>.
- [2] Robinson, J. D., & Persky, A. M. (2020). Developing self-directed learners. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 84(3), 847512. <https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe847512>.
- [3] Wu, D., Zhang, S., Ma, Z., & Liu, Y. (2024). Unlocking potential: Key factors shaping undergraduate self-directed learning in AI-enhanced educational environments. *Systems*, 12(9), 332. <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems12090332>.
- [4] ADEA Commission on Change and Innovation in Dental Education, Hendricson, W. D., Andrieu, S. C., Chadwick, D. G., Chmar, J. E., Cole, J. R., et al. (2006). Educational strategies associated with development of problem-solving, critical thinking, and self-directed learning. *Journal of Dental Education*, 70(9), 925-936. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.0022-0337.2006.70.9.tb04184.x>.
- [5] Murniati, C. T., Hartono, H., & Nugroho, A. C. (2023). The challenges, supports, and strategies of self-directed learning among college students. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, 17(3), 365-373. <https://doi.org/10.11591/edulearn.v17i3.20342>.
- [6] Navas Bonilla, C. R., Viñan Carrasco, L. M., Gaibor Pupiales, J. C., & Moreno Guerrero, P. A. (2025). The future of education: A systematic literature review of self-directed learning with AI. *Future Internet*, 17(8), 366. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fi17080366>.
- [7] Gupta, N., Ali, K., Jiang, D., & Sahu, K. K. (2024). Beyond autonomy: unpacking self-regulated and self-directed learning through the lens of learner agency-a scoping review. *BMC Medical Education*, 24(1), 1519. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-024-04398-0>.
- [8] Li, R., Mohamad Nasri, N., & Mahmud, S. N. U. R. (2024). The role of self-directed learning in promoting deep learning processes: a systematic literature review. *F1000Research*, 13, 761. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.154248.2>.