

Practical Thoughts on Pressure Guidance Mechanisms to Alleviate Burnout and Safeguard Mental Health in Campus Settings

Gangwei Huang

School of Education, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore 21218 MD, United States

Abstract. Academic pressure is now a common feature of life for college students in recent years; however, stress itself is not negative, so the problem lies in whether the school has good guidance facilities to help students view stress as a chance to increase their enthusiasm for learning rather than continuous fatigue. How campus-based pressure guidance mechanisms can alleviate academic burnout and protect mental health. Based on the theory of stress appraisal, research on burnout, studies of school burnout, mental health promotion literature and public mental health reports have shown that pressure guidance should be viewed as an integrated institutional process rather than an isolated counseling service. Based on the above analysis, the construction of an effective mechanism should include early assessment support, resource-oriented intervention, peer-teacher linkage, curriculum adjustment and tiered mental health referral. Therefore, the paper proposes to alleviate burnout proactively by strengthening the psychological support system in schools and fostering a positive school culture that can help students manage stress before it becomes severe.

Keywords: Academic stress, burnout, mental health, campus guidance, school support, student wellbeing.

1. Introduction

Academic competition, pressure for credentials, family expectations and uncertain social futures have all become sources of stress for students at present. Many schools now expect students to take on various extra-curricular activities in addition to difficult studies, and they must also learn how to live well in society and prepare for their future careers. Therefore, it is no longer just a problem for a small number of vulnerable people. It is now a structural feature of the educational environment.

At the same time, there is no need to be depressed because of the pressure. Some students are able to turn academic demands into motivation and discipline, as well as self-growth; others are gradually worn out and begin to feel cynical, doubt themselves, and withdraw emotionally. Therefore, the main problem is not that students have been under academic pressure; rather, the mechanisms in place to help students understand, manage and respond to this pressure appropriately are lacking or weak.

The modules for university applications are relatively disconnected. Counseling services may be focused on crisis response; teachers may prioritise performance over the emotional process; and peer support will remain informal and inconsistent. As a result, the stress is often only noticed when it is severe and has led to other mental health problems. If schools want to protect the well-being of students in an all-weather way, they need to reconsider pressure guidance as an institution-wide issue that involves teaching, advising, evaluation, communication, daily life, etc.

How the pressure guidance mechanism in the college setting can reduce burnout and maintain good mental health is examined here. Provide some leads so that, in time, we can avoid any issues, and thus they will not need to be handled later. Section 2 presents the theoretical foundation of academic pressure, burnout and mental health support. Section 3 shows the specific reasons for reducing the risk of burnout through pressure guidance. Section 4 presents the particular institutional path for campus intervention. The conclusion then lists the application value of building pressure guidance in daily life.

2. Theoretical Basis of Pressure Guidance in Campus Settings

A reasonable pressure-guidance device needs to know how stress works before it is used. Lazarus and Folkman believe that stress is caused by both external pressures and individuals' appraisals of those pressures [1]. This system can accommodate all students in different years at the university who experience different levels of academic stress. Some students believe that the exam time, amount of study, and required grades are reasonable problems to be solved; others feel that too much will be added. Pressure guidance is thus not to reduce all academic burdens. It can help students understand the demands, consider available resources and choose coping strategies for stress in time.

Research on burnout offers the second conceptual support. Maslach, Schaufeli and Leiter describe the three dimensions of burnout as exhaustion, cynicism and reduced efficacy [2]. Although the idea originated in the context of work, it has been gradually adapted to education. If the students are continuously stressed and do not receive emotional or institutional support, they will lose the desire to learn, lose their sense of purpose in school, and feel continuously inadequate. It is no longer simple fatigue. It is a pattern of disengagement from work, identity and expectations.

Schaufeli and his colleagues have adapted the burnout theory to the student group and found ways to study burnout and engagement in university students around the world [3]. Based on their work, we know that academic burnout is not merely an analogy of workplace exhaustion; rather, it is an observable phenomenon related to study pressure and the educational environment. Salmela-Aro and his co-authors extended this path by creating the school burnout inventory, which presents student burnout as exhaustion from schoolwork, cynicism towards the purpose of school, and a sense of inadequacy [4]. The above indicators are all closely related to the governance of colleges and universities and can be affected by daily teaching and research work.

Demands-resources theory links burnout theory to practice. Salmela-Aro and Upadaya have shown that school burnout and engagement are related to the ratio of demands and resources [5]. Thus, pressure guidance should not be regarded as the same as unrestrained pressure reduction. Provide the students with sufficient interpretation, emotion and institutional support according to their actual circumstances and prevent prolonged exhaustion. Therefore, a good mechanism will adjust the demand for resources and not avoid the problem of difficulty.

Research on the mental health of college students supports early intervention. Stallman found that the university students experienced significant psychological distress compared with the general population [6]. Based on the above analysis, the pressure-guidance mechanism can be introduced into the general rules of the university for routine operations, not just in an emergency. They should not wait for a student to ask for help in a crisis. Based on the above, the three origins of academic burnout can be considered to be self-evaluation, institutional demands and social support. When universities attribute psychological stress to individual deficiencies, they fail to recognise systemic deficiencies in the organisation that cause repeated overload. On the other hand, if pressure refers to a changing relationship between students and their surroundings, then guidance will also need to address psychological and administrative problems.

3. How Pressure Guidance Mechanisms Alleviate Burnout

Pressure-reducing devices can help reduce stress to prevent overwork before it leads to long-term exhaustion. According to the review of research, prolonged stress in students' lives is often linked to various types of negative emotions and behaviours [7]. It is not that all stress is bad; however, if it is too high and lasts for a long time, it can damage one's sleep and mood as well as other parts of life. The guidance system of the school can deal with students' problems earlier in life by helping them manage stress better, learning to ask for help in a timely manner, and obtaining support before developing negative behaviour.

Second, a pressure-sensitive device can be used to detect the first symptoms of mental illness. Auerbach and others, based on data from the WHO world mental health surveys international college student project, have shown that mental disorders are common among college students and that timely

intervention and support systems in higher education are needed [8]. Therefore, at the institutional level, many students with severe psychological problems do not seek institutional help in time. If the schools only use spontaneous disclosure, many of the actual needs will be missed. Therefore, there should be several channels through which the guidance mechanism informs the public, such as advisors, teachers, peer leaders and residential staff, who can notice any changes in the students' behaviour and promptly help.

Pressure guidance can reduce burnout by relocating the intervention point earlier in the crisis. Conley and others believe that all-encompassing mental health promotion and prevention systems for higher education should receive more attention rather than just individual treatment for severe cases [9]. Therefore, the campuses should combine psychoeducation, self-regulation training, peer support, and low-threshold professional access into a single, coordinated system in practice. If support is only provided at the end of the problem chain, many students will not receive help until they are disengaged and feel hopeless.

Pressure guidance reduces stress by altering a person's perception of that stress. The world health organisation points out that supporting environments for mental health protection are needed in addition to narrow biomedical responses [10]. Therefore, in college, one should not believe that quiet perseverance in one's studies is the only way to be considered mature. Strengthen the construction of the communication network in the school to help address students' emotional problems and provide psychological and vocational assistance when needed. If stress can be dealt with through the group's support and skills training, it will not be regarded as a failure.

Guidance mechanisms are also effective in interrupting the progress of strain to identity-level disengagement. Burnout is serious because of fatigue; moreover, repeated exhaustion may change a student's perception of themselves as a learner. If students feel that not keeping up is due to their own lack of ability rather than a gap in resources and demands, their withdrawal will be more severe. Pressure Guidance can break the cycle of setbacks by offering new opportunities and helping students feel that they are part of the learning process and belong. Thus, the support provided is not only for comfort but also to help people find their own purpose.

Guidance also helps distribute the responsibility of college life among various parts of the campus. Students are more likely to ask for help when they do not perceive the offer of help as a sign of weakness but as an integral part of learning. When teachers, advisors and peer mentors can all speak the same language regarding work pressure, recovery and coping, there will be many more chances for adjustment rather than a single high-threshold counselling point. This division of labour will not be silent, the lag between strain and response will decrease, and prevention will be more feasible for large numbers of diverse students.

4. Practical Paths for Campus Pressure Guidance

The first feasible path is to build an all-weather preventative system that can operate in advance of a disaster. As stress appraisal determines how students experience academic pressure [1], schools can provide organised guidance on recognizing pressure, coping strategies, time management and self-awareness of emotions from the start of the school year. The above training should not be limited to a single lesson. It should be carried out throughout the academic year and included in advising, course introduction and orientation, as well as other stages such as examination periods, internships and graduation preparations. Prevention normalisation will help students learn to recognise the early warning signs themselves and will not have to wait until they are exhausted.

Secondly, consider the problem of burnout to be one in the education system rather than a personal deficiency. Burnout research shows that exhaustion, cynicism and a sense of inefficacy are the results of prolonged relational stress, not laziness or a lack of virtue [2]. Therefore, in practice, faculty and administrators should be able to identify signs of withdrawal, repeated disengagement, emotional flattening, sudden decline in performance, and expressions of meaninglessness among students' studies. According to the student burnout model in [3, 4], a simple screening and referral system can

be established at the university level to protect privacy and ensure timely intervention. It does not intend to pathologise daily life but to address such problems promptly before they become serious.

Third, link pressure guidance with a demand-resource adjustment mechanism. As burnout increases with sustained high demands and a lack of coping resources [5], schools need to address both the qualities of students and structural causes of overload. Too many close deadlines, unclear evaluation criteria, constant online visibility and a lack of organisation in work can all be stressful. Therefore, the reform of academic management practice should include more open assessment schedules, coordinated workload distribution and recovery time after periods of intensive study. Institutional Design Matters as Much as Individual Resilience.

The fourth is to use universal mental health promotion and target support simultaneously. Based on the outcome evaluation of higher education programmes, promotion and prevention strategies can achieve real results if they are well-organized rather than merely symbolic [9]. Therefore, the campus mechanism should offer a series of low-threshold workshops, peer groups, self-help resources, online check-in tools and professional counselling. Students with mild strain can learn coping skills and be discussed with peers; students showing a worsening of symptoms need to be referred for special care. A multi-level structure can organise support more efficiently and reduce the shame of seeking help.

The fifth way is to build a supportive campus culture and expand the circle of care. Research on college mental health and global mental health strategy shows that early support is more effective when help-seeking is socially normalised and institutionally visible [8, 10]. In practice, the following parties need to work together: student affairs office, faculty mentors, residence office, counselor, peer group, etc. Students are more likely to be willing to accept help from trusted people in their daily lives than from the school clinic. Therefore, the pressure-guidance device should be both relative and high-end.

Good pressure guidance should foster a sense of exercise and enthusiasm for it, rather than just relieving symptoms. The demand-resource theory shows that the need for recovery after overwork is reduced when schools offer students a sense of purpose and competence support [5]. Schools need to create spaces for students' voices, flexible learning adjustments, reflective learning and recognition of gradual progress. Guide the students' behaviour under pressure instead of simply suppressing it; they will find a reason to do so. It is necessary for the sustained mental health of students; therefore, psychological recovery should aim to restore a sense of purpose and belonging in learning and life.

The third is a demand for assessment. The campus mechanism should not be regarded as a token welfare project with inherent good intentions. Periodically assess the participation rate, referral timeliness, student feedback, help-seeking behaviour and perceived workload coordination of the school. Thus, it will be known whether the guidance has reached the students in time to prevent burnout. Help to connect mental health policies with educational quality assurance, and make pressure guidance a continuous part of campus governance rather than a one-time effort.

Add a pressure-guidance mechanism and establish communication channels for students, families, teachers, and professional support staff. Burnout often occurs gradually as a result of repeated small signals, rather than in a single event. Missed classes, decreased participation, late submissions of homework, irritability, sleep disorders and avoidance of communication may all be signs that the pressure has become too much for a student to handle. If these signs are considered minor disciplinary problems, we will lose the opportunity for early intervention. Therefore, the school should establish an open-door communication channel and inform parents about any problems with their children in a timely manner so that help can be provided. It will not reveal private psychological information or increase supervision of students. At the same time, it is to build a support system capable of identifying and dealing with warning signs reasonably and appropriately. Thus, a gap will be reduced between school management and mental health support. When students feel that the school will change because of their difficulties, they will be more willing to ask for help before they reach the point of exhaustion.

5. Conclusion

Academic pressure has been a persistent problem for students in college, and burnout and reduced mental health are not immune to it. There should be good mechanisms in schools to deal with stress before it leads to burnout, cynicism and helplessness, etc. A school that only views stress as a weakness of individuals will be too slow to respond; a school that integrates pressure guidance into its educational design can intervene earlier and more effectively.

This paper proposes the idea of a combined campus system for the pressure-guidance mechanism, rather than a standalone counselling office. The above measures will not work unless they are supported by early assessment, burnout recognition, universal prevention, tiered referral, academic adjustments and a culture that endorses help-seeking. Therefore, protecting the mental health of the people requires psychological literacy and institutional reform.

In practice, this mechanism can integrate care and education to some extent. Schools can provide students with emotional, social and organisational support to help them cope with the difficulties in life according to educational goals. Create a situation where students face some challenges but are not too stressful; they can recover from the stress without shame and remain interested in learning, self-worth, and their future.

References

- [1] Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. Springer Publishing Company.
- [2] Maslach, C., Schaufeli, W. B., & Leiter, M. P. (2001). Job burnout. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52, 397-422. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.397>
- [3] Schaufeli, W. B., Martinez, I. M., Pinto, A. M., Salanova, M., & Bakker, A. B. (2002). Burnout and engagement in university students: A cross-national study. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 33(5), 464-481. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022102033005003>
- [4] Salmela-Aro, K., Kiuru, N., Leskinen, E., & Nurmi, J.-E. (2009). School Burnout Inventory (SBI): Reliability and validity. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 25(1), 48-57. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759.25.1.48>
- [5] Salmela-Aro, K., & Upadaya, K. (2014). School burnout and engagement in the context of demands-resources model. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 84(1), 137-151. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12018>
- [6] Stallman, H. M. (2010). Psychological distress in university students: A comparison with general population data. *Australian Psychologist*, 45(4), 249-257. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00050067.2010.482109>
- [7] Pascoe, M. C., Hetrick, S. E., & Parker, A. G. (2020). The impact of stress on students in secondary school and higher education. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, 25(1), 104-112. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02673843.2019.1596823>
- [8] Auerbach, R. P., Mortier, P., Bruffaerts, R., Alonso, J., Benjet, C., Cuijpers, P., et al. (2018). WHO World Mental Health Surveys International College Student Project: Prevalence and distribution of mental disorders. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 127(7), 623-638. <https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000362>
- [9] Conley, C. S., Durlak, J. A., & Dickson, D. A. (2013). An evaluative review of outcome research on universal mental health promotion and prevention programs for higher education students. *Journal of American College Health*, 61(5), 286-301. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2013.802237>
- [10] World Health Organization. (2022). *World mental health report: Transforming mental health for all*. World Health Organization.