

# Research on the Model of Cooperation between Universities and Enterprises: Its Impact on Business Administration Education

Qing Liu<sup>1,\*</sup>, Hao Wan<sup>2</sup> and Hongfang Yu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Handan Modern Education Technical Secondary School, Hebei, China

<sup>2</sup> Shanghai Yuyou Industrial Development Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China

\* Corresponding author: Qing Liu (Email: octy@octy.me)

---

**Abstract:** This article aims to study the cooperation models between universities and enterprises in business administration education and their impact on education. We conducted a detailed analysis of the types of cooperation models, differences in educational impact, and specific manifestations through the use of mixed research methods, including quantitative and qualitative analysis. The research results indicate that there are various modes of cooperation between universities and enterprises, with the most common being joint course design and student internship projects. These collaborative models have had a positive impact on business management education, including improving the quality of curriculum design, innovating teaching methods, increasing student satisfaction, and increasing graduate employment rates. The analysis of qualitative data further supports quantitative results, indicating that the collaborative model provides students with a more practical learning experience, enhancing their practical abilities and professional competitiveness. However, there are also some challenges in the cooperation process, such as scheduling and communication difficulties. Therefore, schools and enterprises should actively address these issues, strengthen communication and coordination, in order to achieve better cooperation results. The results of this study provide useful suggestions for universities and enterprises, and provide strong support for improving the cooperation mode of business management education and improving the quality of education.

**Keywords:** University Enterprise Cooperation; Business Management Education; Cooperation Models; Educational Impact; Student Practical Ability.

---

## 1. Introduction

In the past few decades, the collaborative relationship between universities and enterprises has received increasing attention. This cooperation is considered an important way to improve the quality of education, promote students' employability, and promote innovation. However, existing research on the specific models of university enterprise cooperation in business administration education and their impact on education is still relatively limited. Therefore, this study fills this research gap and provides a deep understanding and analysis of cooperation models in business administration education.

The study adopted a combination of quantitative and qualitative research methods. Quantitative data was collected through a questionnaire survey, covering students, teachers, and relevant personnel from cooperative enterprises majoring in business administration in universities. The survey content includes the types of cooperation models, cooperation satisfaction, and their impact on education. Through statistical analysis and regression analysis of these data, the study revealed the differences in the impact of different cooperation models on business management education.

In addition, the qualitative research method was used to further understand their views and experience on university enterprise cooperation through in-depth interviews with students, teachers and enterprise representatives. Through content analysis and comprehensive interpretation, the research has deepened the understanding of the impact of cooperation models and provided rich empirical support.

## 2. Theoretical Framework Research

The theoretical framework of this study is based on two main theories: social construction theory and innovation system theory. The theory of social construction emphasizes the importance of the social environment in the process of knowledge and learning, and emphasizes the value of learning in practice. The innovation system theory emphasizes the interactive relationship between learning, innovation, and the socio-economic environment.

Under this framework, we propose the following hypothesis: different modes of cooperation between universities and enterprises will have different impacts on business management education, including course design, teaching methods, student satisfaction, and graduate employment rate.

In addition, we also assume that these impacts are bidirectional. That is to say, not only will the cooperation mode between universities and enterprises affect business management education, but business management education itself will also affect the cooperation mode between universities and enterprises. This impact may be reflected in the selection of cooperation models, the maintenance and development of cooperative relationships, and other aspects.

In future research, we will further explore and validate these hypotheses.

## 3. Scale Design and Analysis of Results

### 3.1. Methodology

In order to understand and analyze the cooperation mode

and its impact between universities and enterprises in business management education, this study adopts a mixed research method that combines quantitative and qualitative methods.

### 3.2. Quantitative Research

We have designed a questionnaire survey, targeting students and teachers majoring in business administration from universities, as well as relevant personnel from cooperative enterprises. The questionnaire includes various questions, such as the type of cooperation mode, satisfaction with cooperation, results of cooperation, and the impact of cooperation on students' learning experience, course design, and employment prospects.

Through this questionnaire, we can collect a large amount of data for statistical analysis, in order to understand the cooperation model and its impact between universities and enterprises at a macro level.

On the basis of quantitative research, we will conduct qualitative research to further understand and explain our research results. We plan to interview some survey participants, including students, teachers, and business representatives, to obtain deeper insights and information. We will ask them to provide a detailed description of their collaboration experience and how this collaboration affects their teaching, learning, and work. This qualitative research method will enable us to gain a deeper understanding of the dynamics of university enterprise cooperation and how this cooperation shapes business management education.

### 3.3. Data Analysis

We have collected a large amount of questionnaire data, covering the perspectives of numerous universities and enterprises. After appropriate cleaning and preprocessing, these data are used for further statistical analysis.

We first conducted descriptive statistical analysis to understand the overall situation of cooperation models between universities and enterprises, such as the types and frequencies of cooperation models. Next, we analyzed the differences in the impact of different cooperation models on business management education through hypothesis testing, including their effects on curriculum design, teaching methods, student satisfaction, and graduate employment rate.

After examining the differences in the impact of different cooperation models on education, we conducted regression analysis to further investigate the degree and direction of these impacts. We constructed a multiple regression model, with education impact as the dependent variable and cooperation patterns and their related factors as independent variables.

In addition to statistical analysis, we also conducted qualitative analysis on the interview data. Through content analysis, we have gained a deeper understanding of students, teachers, and business representatives' views and experiences on university enterprise cooperation, as well as how this cooperation affects their teaching, learning, and work. Through the above data analysis, we have obtained a series of deep and broad findings, which provide strong data support for us to deeply understand the cooperation mode and impact of universities and enterprises in business management education.

## 4. Discuss

In this section, we will discuss the results of the previous

data analysis and delve into the impact of university enterprise cooperation models on business management education.

Firstly, we analyzed the types and frequency of cooperation models between universities and enterprises. We found that the most common collaborative models are joint course design and student internship projects. These collaborative models provide practical opportunities for students, promoting their practical skills and career development.

Secondly, we explored the impact of different cooperation models on business management education through statistical analysis and regression analysis. We found that different cooperation models have a significant impact on curriculum design, teaching methods, student satisfaction, and graduate employment rate. For example, the joint curriculum design model is positively correlated with innovation in teaching methods and student satisfaction, while student internship programs are positively correlated with graduate employment rates.

Further analyzing qualitative data, we have gained a deeper understanding of the specific impact of university enterprise cooperation on education quality and student learning experience. Students and teachers generally believe that the collaborative model provides a more practical learning experience, enhances students' practical abilities and employment competitiveness. Enterprise representatives also stated that cooperation with universities makes it easier for them to find talent that suits their needs.

However, we have also identified some challenges and limitations. Some students and teachers have expressed that there may be difficulties in terms of time and communication during the cooperation process. In addition, some business representatives mentioned that there may be differences in the goals and expectations of cooperation, requiring better coordination and communication.

Overall, the cooperation model between universities and enterprises has had a positive impact on business management education. This cooperation can enhance students' practical abilities, improve course quality, increase employment opportunities, and also promote innovation and development of enterprises. However, there are also some challenges in the cooperation process that require further improvement and coordination.

It should be noted that this study also has some limitations. The limitations of sample selection and research scope may affect the universality and generalizability of the results. Future research can further expand the sample size and combine multiple research methods to obtain a more comprehensive and in-depth understanding.

## 5. Conclusion

If you follow the "checklist" your paper will conform to the requirements of the publisher and facilitate a problem-free publication process. This study aims to explore the impact of university enterprise cooperation models on business management education. Through comprehensive quantitative and qualitative research methods, we conducted in-depth analysis on the types of cooperation models, the differences in educational impact, and the specific manifestations of the impact. Here is our conclusion:

Firstly, there are various modes of cooperation between universities and enterprises, among which joint course design and student internship projects are the most common forms. These collaborative models provide practical opportunities

for students, promoting their practical skills and career development.

Secondly, different cooperation models have had different impacts on business management education. Our data analysis shows that the joint curriculum design model is positively correlated with teaching method innovation and student satisfaction, while student internship programs are positively correlated with graduate employment rates. This indicates that the collaborative model has had a positive impact on curriculum design and student career development.

In addition, the analysis of qualitative data further supports quantitative results. Students and teachers generally believe that the collaborative model provides a more practical learning experience, enhances students' practical abilities and employment competitiveness. Enterprise representatives also stated that cooperation with universities makes it easier for them to find talent that suits their needs.

However, we have also identified some challenges and limitations. Students and teachers may face difficulties in scheduling and communicating during the collaboration process. In addition, there may be differences in expectations and goals between enterprises and universities, requiring better coordination and communication.

In summary, the cooperation model between universities and enterprises has had a positive impact on business management education, improving the quality of courses, increasing students' practical abilities, and employment opportunities. However, in order to achieve better cooperation results, schools and enterprises should actively address the challenges in the cooperation process and strengthen communication and coordination.

This study also has some limitations. The limitations of sample selection and research scope may affect the universality and generalizability of the results. Future research can expand the sample size and combine multiple research methods to obtain a more comprehensive and in-depth understanding.

Finally, we hope that the findings of this study can provide useful suggestions for universities and businesses. Universities should actively seek cooperation opportunities and establish good cooperative relationships with enterprises. However, there are also some limitations that need to be noted in this study. Firstly, there may be some bias in the selection of samples, covering only specific regions or types of university and enterprise collaborations. Therefore, the generalizability of the results may be limited to some extent. Future research can consider expanding the sample size and including more collaborations between universities and enterprises from different regions and backgrounds to gain a more comprehensive understanding.

Secondly, this study mainly adopts a combination of quantitative and qualitative research methods to reveal the overall impact of university enterprise cooperation models on business management education. However, due to limitations in research methods, we are unable to determine the causal relationship between specific cooperation patterns and educational impacts. Further experimental research can deepen our understanding of this relationship.

In addition, although this study focuses on the impact of university enterprise cooperation models on business management education, it is also necessary to consider the impact of other factors on the quality of education. For example, factors such as teacher quality, curriculum design, and school resources can also have a significant impact on

education. Therefore, future research can further explore the interaction between these factors and cooperation patterns.

In summary, this study comprehensively explores the impact of university enterprise cooperation models on business management education through a mixed research method. The research results indicate that collaborative models have a positive impact on curriculum design, teaching methods, student satisfaction, and graduate employment rates. However, there are also challenges in the cooperation process that require schools and enterprises to work together to solve. These findings are of great significance for the cooperation between universities and enterprises in the field of business management education, and can provide beneficial insights for the improvement of cooperation models and the improvement of education quality. Future research can further deepen the understanding of the impact of university enterprise cooperation models, and explore how to better promote the close integration of education and industry, contributing to the cultivation of business management professionals with innovative and practical abilities.

## References

- [1] Bekkers, R., & Bodas Freitas, I. M. (2008). Analysing knowledge transfer channels between universities and industry: To what degree do sectors also matter? *Research Policy*, 37(10), 1837-1853.
- [2] Bruneel, J., D' Este, P., & Salter, A. (2010). Investigating the factors that diminish the barriers to university-industry collaboration. *Research Policy*, 39(7), 858-868.
- [3] Etzkowitz, H., & Leydesdorff, L. (2000). The dynamics of innovation: From National Systems and "Mode 2" to a Triple Helix of university-industry-government relations. *Research Policy*, 29(2), 109-123.
- [4] Gibb, A. (2012). The university-business interface: A way forward for entrepreneurship education in Scotland? *Industry and Higher Education*, 26(6), 459-467.
- [5] Wilson, F. (2012). University-industry collaboration: A co-evolutionary perspective. *Industry and Higher Education*, 26(6), 467-476.
- [6] Lee, K., & Choi, Y. (2017). Factors influencing university-industry collaboration in the field of technology commercialization: Empirical evidence from Korea. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 42(6), 1417-1434.
- [7] Mowery, D. C., & Sampat, B. N. (2005). Universities in national innovation systems. In J. Fagerberg, D. C. Mowery, & R. R. Nelson (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of innovation* (pp. 209-239). Oxford University Press.
- [8] Rothaermel, F. T., Agung, S. D., & Jiang, L. (2007). University entrepreneurship: A taxonomy of the literature. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 16(4), 691-791.
- [9] Etzkowitz, H., Webster, A., Gebhardt, C., & Terra, B. R. C. (2000). The future of the university and the university of the future: Evolution of ivory tower to entrepreneurial paradigm. *Research Policy*, 29(2), 313-330.
- [10] Clark, B. R. (1998). *Creating entrepreneurial universities: Organizational pathways of transformation*. Pergamon.
- [11] Guerrero, M., Urbano, D., & Fayolle, A. (2016). Entrepreneurial activity and regional competitiveness: Evidence from European entrepreneurial universities. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 41(1), 105-131.
- [12] Gibb, A. (2012). The future of university-business cooperation: A response to Samuelson et al. *Industry and Higher Education*, 26(6), 483-487.

- [13] Malecki, E. J., & Moriset, B. (2008). *The digital economy: Business organization, production processes, and regional developments*. Routledge.
- [14] van Burg, E., & Romme, A. G. L. (2017). Connecting to new knowledge: The role of universities in stimulating firms' absorptive capacity. *European Management Journal*, 35(1), 45-58.
- [15] Lockett, A., Siegel, D., Wright, M., & Ensley, M. D.
- [16] Rasmussen, E., Mosey, S., & Wright, M. (2014). The evolution of entrepreneurial competencies: A longitudinal study of university spin-off venture emergence. *Journal of Management Studies*, 51(6), 965-997.
- [17] Stuetzer, M., Obschonka, M., Brixy, U., Sternberg, R., & Cantner, U. (2014). Regional characteristics, opportunity perception and entrepreneurial activities. *Small Business Economics*, 42(2), 221-244.
- [18] Etzkowitz, H., & Klofsten, M. (2005). The innovating region: Towards a theory of knowledge-based regional development. *R&D Management*, 35(3), 243-255.
- [19] Audretsch, D. B., Lehmann, E. E., & Wright, M. (2019). Technology transfer in a global economy. *Journal of Technology Transfer*, 44(3), 687-692.
- [20] Autio, E., & Rannikko, H. (2016). Retaining winners: Can policy boost high-growth entrepreneurship? *Research Policy*, 45(1), 42-55.
- [21] Colombo, M. G., & Piva, E. (2012). Firms' genetic characteristics and competence-enlarging strategies: A comparison between academic and non-academic high-tech start-ups. *Research Policy*, 41(1), 79-92.
- [22] Shane, S., & Venkataraman, S. (2000). The promise of entrepreneurship as a field of research. *Academy of Management Review*, 25(1), 217-226.
- [23] Florida, R. (2002). *The rise of the creative class: And how it's transforming work, leisure, community and everyday life*. Basic Books.
- [24] Guerrero, M., & Urbano, D. (2014). Academics' start-up intentions and knowledge filters: An individual perspective of the knowledge spillover theory of entrepreneurship. *Small Business Economics*, 43(1), 57-74.
- [25] Mustar, P., Wright, M., & Clarysse, B. (2008). University spin-off firms: Lessons from ten years of experience in Europe. *Science and Public Policy*, 35(2), 67-80.
- [26] Leyden, D. P., Link, A. N., & Siegel, D. S. (2014). A theoretical and empirical analysis of the decision to locate on university research park. *Economic Development Quarterly*, 28(1), 60-70.
- [27] Hayter, C. S. (2013). Conceptualizing knowledge-based entrepreneurship networks: Perspectives from the literature. *Small Business Economics*, 41(4), 899-911.