The Dilemma and Reflection on Ethnic Minority Educational Equity: Understanding Minority Leadership and Systemic Barriers

Jiabao Chen

University of Toronto, Ontario, M5S 1A1, Canada

jiabao.chen@mail.utoronto.ca

Abstract. The issue of educational equity is still a worldwide concern; due to their systemic inequities towards accessing quality education, students of color, low-income learners, or students who do not speak English still face this issue. This research paper is devoted to the minority educational leadership of a first-generation college graduate in the United States and how their two-fold or more identity of race, class, and opportunity create an impact on their professional experiences. Basing the research on the Intersectionality Theory and Culturally Responsive Leadership Theory, the authors propose to research the perspectives of these leaders on educational equity, overcome the challenges posed by the institution, and include everyone in the process of working within predominantly white education. The results indicate that these leaders are simultaneously both the objects of a systemic inequality and change agents. Their relationship-based and culturally grounded leadership practices are also disruptive of the white-centric normative framework and offer avenues to institutional change. The study, however, is limited to a small sample of Latino education leaders making the generalization of the study difficult. Further studies that will incorporate cross-cultural and comparative research should be conducted in future to explore more about the dynamics of minority leadership and educational equity in various settings.

Keywords: Educational Equity; Intersectionality; Culturally Responsive Leadership; Minority Education Leaders; Institutional Inequality.

1. Introduction

Educational equity remains a persistent challenge in global education systems. Despite decades of reform, students of color, low-income learners, and non-native English speakers continue to face systemic inequities that limit access to quality education. As the UNESCO Global Education Monitoring Report 2020: Inclusion and Education: All Means All notes, in most strictly middle- and high-income countries, students who learn the lessons in a non-native language normally achieve approximately 34-percent lower reading results than those who learn the lessons in native language [1]. These persistent inequities demonstrate that education is not a nonpartisan institution but the representation of more general social roles and cultural supremacy. Racial and linguistic differences are still especially strong in the United States. Research indicates that predominantly Black students enroll in schools that have almost equal proportions of Black students and in predominantly White schools, enrolled Blacks are about only eight percent of the total population. Additionally, Blacks and Latinos students note more frequent adult conflicts and a lower sense of security than students of other races [2].

The proposed study uses the combination of both theoretical and case study research with the foundation of interdisciplinary theory and cultural responsive leadership theory. It examines the lives of education leaders who are minority and first time college graduates in their families. They are a minority and a first-generation college student, which makes them exceptional in the careers as they represent a complex combination of a race, class, and opportunity. Through the perspective of their own stories, this paper will uncover how these leaders conceptualize educational equity, deal with systemic obstacles, and advance inclusivity practices in white learning institutions that are majorities. Their direct experiences are good perspectives on how culturally responsive leadership would offer a transgressional way towards equity and justice in education.

2. Theoretical Framework

This paper is anchored more on the Intersectionality Theory [3] and the Culturally Responsible Leadership Theory that offer analytical approaches to the situation and actions of minority education leaders as per the lenses of identity structure and leadership practice, respectively.

Intersectionality Theory was first applied to expose the various oppressions imposed on the Black woman both in the legal system and in social set-up [3]. According to this theory, the social identity of the person does not depend on one dimension, but on a multidimensional complex relationship of factors including race, gender, class, language and cultural background [3]. The dimensions of identity are interrelated in the given social structures and the hierarchy of authority, which constitutes the intricate network of oppression and privilege. In the case of minority education leaders, they tend to be both reformers and marginalized by the education system since they are minority as well as first-generation college graduates. This two identity format affects their thinking, decision and actions in matters of educational equity.

In contrast, the Culturally Responsible Leadership Theory revolves around the real side of educational leadership by stating that a leader needs to be responsive and respectful of cultural differences of students and communities in diverse cultures [4]. The argument according to this theory is that educational leadership should not be an administrative process only, it should be a cultural activity driven by values. Culturally responsive leaders are expected to be aware of the influence of cultural differences on the learning opportunities and to foster inclusive learning and social justice in curriculum development, teacher education, resource distribution and policy promotion.

Overall, the cross-cutting theory makes minority educational leaders see the structural dilemmas and identity tensions, and the culturally responsive leadership theory offers them the ways to reach the destination of educational equity. The two approaches combined not only lead to a better understanding of the challenges and strategies which minority educational leaders resort to in a white-centric education system, but also offer good theoretical ground to this study which examines the multidimensional mechanisms of educational equity.

3. The Structural Causes of Minority Educational Inequity

The root cause of educational equity issues among ethnic minorities lies not only in the uneven distribution of educational resources, but also in the structural inequalities inherent in social power relations and cultural discourse.

3.1 Institutional Inequality and White Centrism

The education dilemma of ethnic minorities is rooted in the structural inequality and power mismatch system that has existed over the years, in the education system. White centrism tends to influence not only the standards of education, assessment of leadership, and curriculum design but also implicitly uses the values of the white middle class as a metric of educational achievement [5]. Ethnic minority teachers who desire to acquire professional standing are compelled most of the time to mimic or conform to the mainstream cultural standards thereby undermining their own expression and influence of culture. Educational leadership according to the theory of culturally responsive leadership is not an activity of a neutral administration, but it is a social activity affected strongly by cultural beliefs [4]. Lack of awareness and respect of multiculturalism in educational institution makes the ethnic minority educators constantly negotiate their identity and authority between either fitting into the mainstream or following the culture, and this identity conflict in the long-run can be a major stumbling block towards educational equity.

Meanwhile, institutional inequality can also be observed with regard to the composition of the teachers and administrators in the education system. The cultural inclusiveness and sensitivity of the teaching environment depend on the ethnic makeup of the teaching staff directly. But in the real sense, the low percentage of the minority teachers and principals in the education system deprives the students of having role models and people to support them as they might be aware of their culture

and language peculiarities. The cultural capital is homogeneous because of the homogeneity of teaching force: white teachers have an institutional advantage as they are accustomed to mainstream cultural norms, whereas minorities in terms of their experience and views are usually neglected or even dismissed. Such deficit of representation undermines the cultural diversity of the education system and it is hard to actually reach the structural level of educational equity.

3.2 Cross-Identity and Sociocultural Bias

The dilemma of minority educators are not a one-dimensional form of discrimination, but rather a multi-layered constraint on their status in educational organizations, which is the result of the superposition of multiple cross-identities. Minority educators' status in the school is determined by their cross-identities such as race, class, gender and language, and these cross-identities influence their understanding of educational equity and working way of educational equity [3]. Therefore, the cross-identity view shows that educational inequality is not only a matter of educational resources, but also a kind of school exclusion in social system.

Society and family cultural values may also be the potential constraints of educational inequality. According to culturally responsive leadership theory, school leaders should have an understanding of students and teachers from different cultural backgrounds. But in fact, under the influence of traditional gender roles, the culture of language assimilation, intergenerational cultural conflict and other factors, when minority individuals seek education, they will also bring the potential cultural constraint to the education process. For example, the social bias of language assimilation compels many students to quit their mother tongue in order to meet the expectation of mainstream society that "there is a standard language" [6]. These sociocultural biases and institutional constraints are superimposed on each other, which makes the education system less inclusive and equal.

4. Case Analysis: Minority Educational Leadership under White-Centric Systems

4.1 Leadership Challenges within White-Centric Institutions

The experiences of minority educational leaders reveal how white-centrism continues to shape professional expectations and leadership evaluation within the education system.

Josefina, an elementary school principal from a Latinx background, articulated her perspective on how her leadership style distinguishes itself from that of other administrators in the school district. She indicated that her recognition for exemplary leadership was primarily attributable to her administrative similarities to her white counterparts. This response underscores the complexities faced by minority leaders within a predominantly white-centric paradigm. While their accomplishments are often evaluated based on educational metrics, there exists an implicit expectation for them to align with the attributes of white leaders[7]. Josefina's situation reflects a broader structural issue. In Oregon, Hispanic and Latino students constitute 22.45 percent of the public school population; however, Latino educators—including teachers and principals—account for merely 4 percent and 5 percent of the educational workforce, respectively. This imbalance demonstrates a significant disparity in resource distribution and professional representation, favoring white educators over their Latino counterparts [7]. The limited representation of minority teachers and administrators reduces cultural diversity in decision-making spaces and contributes to the perpetuation of inequitable educational outcomes.

4.2 Intersectional Identities and Cultural Constraints

To better understand how these leaders construct their perspectives on education, it is important to examine their formative experiences. Childhood encounters with linguistic and cultural bias deeply shape their advocacy for equity. Josie faced punitive measures from her teacher for her inability to comprehend instructions delivered in English. Similarly, Roger opted to cease using Spanish,

believing that proficiency in English would enhance his prospects for success and prevent him from being perceived negatively. In another case, Teresa's teacher recommended she pursue beauty school based on her parents' vocational backgrounds [7]. These early experiences highlight how class and language intersect to restrict educational opportunities for minority students.

The concept of intersectionality further illuminates how multiple social identities simultaneously constrain educational and professional trajectories. Josie experienced familial opposition to her residing on campus due to her identity as a Latina woman. At the same time, Gregorio was unable to engage in extracurricular activities like his peers, a limitation stemming from his background in a migrant farm laborer family [7]. For many minority students, decisions about schooling are influenced by the tension between cultural expectations and educational aspirations. For instance, this includes Roger's decision to avoid speaking Spanish in school and Josie's dishonesty in seeking her family's permission to attend college [7].

As these Latino education leaders transition from their childhood experiences to their professional lives, intersectionality continues to shape their work and leadership experiences. Teresa, drawing from her minority background, is acutely aware of the challenges that students of color face within a predominantly white education system. However, her opinions are often perceived as hostile by her colleagues. Unlike her white counterparts, who can provide clear yes or no answers, Teresa prefers to engage in inquiry and gather diverse perspectives to articulate her thoughts [7]. Whether it's her identity as a Latina woman, or her white colleagues' fear that she will harm the interests of the majority ethnic group when she fights for preferential policies for minorities, Teresa's identity is constructed from multiple social identities, and her role in educational research is not just that of an educator. It is the image of a minority woman as an educator who fights for the interests of minorities in a predominantly white society [8].

The dilemma of minority education extends beyond school-age students to minority professionals within the educational workforce. The pressure faced by minority education leaders comes not only from educating minority students themselves, but also from their distress that they can understand the plight of minority students but cannot break the white-centered education system. Disproportionate teacher-to-student ratios among minority groups in the education system, along with the marginalization of minority teachers, reinforce the segregation between these communities and mainstream society. For instance, consider Josie, an educational leader who has successfully closed the academic gap among her students. Despite her accomplishments, she often feels that her leadership style is undervalued by her predominantly white colleagues. This troubling standard of evaluation for minority educators has led Josie to develop a unique educational philosophy. She no longer feels the need to defend her approaches for fear of fitting the stereotypical image of Latinos [7].

While it is true that a predominantly white education system is more likely to assess equity in an arbitrary manner and prioritize poverty above other social justice issues, educators from minority backgrounds like Gregorio emphasize that there are more critical equity issues beyond just poverty. He argues that respecting students' cultural and linguistic identities can provide a greater sense of security for minority students than mere economic assistance [7]. Similarly, Josie works to bridge the gap between students by fostering relationships among faculty, children, and their families. Her success, and the recognition she has received from governmental bodies, demonstrate how culturally grounded leadership can partially mitigate systemic inequities. If Gregorio’s perspective is acknowledged and implemented, it could help address the issue of educational equity more effectively.

5. Discussion

This paper presents a difficult condition of minority educational leaders in the white-centered education system, which extends way beyond the issue of inequity of resources or variation of student achievement in past studies. As the study reveals, the experiences of these educators indicate that educational equity cannot be treated only like a policy or an economic problem, but a social practice

that lies very deep in institutional and cultural power systems. This study, unlike the current research that emphasizes on student learning results, makes a shift in terms of its findings, which target the activities of the education system actors, the minority leaders in the education system. Both are victims and controllers of the culture change, and such multi-facetedness ensures the theoretical scope of educational equity studies is broadened.

This paper, in theory, validates the significance and broadens the relevance of cross cutting and culturally responsive leadership theory. The cross-cutting framework can assist people in comprehending how minority educators come up with distinctive educational philosophies in the tensions of multiple identities, the race, gender, and class; at the same time, the culturally responsive leadership theory can enlighten us that minority educators overcome institutional prejudice with cultural sensitivity and relationship-based practice. These educational leaders reflect the possibility of the so-called relational leadership and cultural reconstruction in contrast to traditional leadership models, which are based on the white experience. Their administration is not administrative management, but a social action that revolves around cultural understanding, which questions the mainstream discourse system of educational leadership.

The results are of significance to the educational practice and policy. To begin with, educational equity cannot be based on macro level policy intervention, but should focus on culture change at the system level. The teacher preparation and selection of principals must include multicultural evaluation guidelines, which will allow educators to shift to the respect of differences beyond the cognizance of differences. The essence of culturally responsive leadership is the dismantling of institutional disparities using the school-based cultural restructuring processes, where the educational leaders should be agents of equity in action [8]. Second, there should be support networks and mentorship programs to minority educators to eliminate the feeling of isolation into the system and make them more assertive in their decision making. Lastly, schools ought to revisit their performance-oriented standardized assessment systems and inject the aspects of cultural equity and relational equity into educational management in the quest to have real diversity and inclusion.

6. Conclusion

This study focuses on the multidimensionality of educational inequality faced by minority education leaders within a white-centric education system. The findings suggest that educational injustice extends far beyond resource allocation or student achievement disparities, reflecting deeper institutional and cultural hierarchies. Minority leaders play a dual role: they are both victims of systemic inequality and agents of change within educational institutions. Using cross-cutting theory and culturally responsive leadership theory, this study reveals how their career trajectories are interwoven with multiple identities—race, class, gender, and language—and how their leadership practices challenge white-centric normative expectations. The cases of leaders such as Josie, Gregorio, and Teresa demonstrate that culture-based, relationship-oriented leadership practices can serve as tools for change, fostering inclusion and reimagining equity from within the system.

However, this study also has limitations. Its primary focus on Latino education leaders limits the general applicability of the findings to other ethnic or cultural groups. Future research should conduct more detailed comparative studies across different minority groups and national contexts to gain a deeper understanding of the role of interdisciplinarity in global educational leadership.

References

[1] United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). (2020). Global education monitoring report 2020: Inclusion and education – All means all. UNESCO Publishing. https:// unesdoc. unesco. org/ ark:/ 48223/pf0000373718.

[2] Godínez, R., Voight, A., Jin, X., Griffin-Todd, K., Javadi, A., Stock, M., Golden, A. R., & O'Neill, S. M. (2025). Youth participatory action research (YPAR) to promote educational equity. Journal of Prevention & Intervention in the Community, 53(1), 57–86. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/10852352.2024.2444744.

[3] Al-Faham, H., Davis, A. M., & Ernst, R. (2019). Intersectionality: From theory to practice. Annual Review of Law and Social Science, 15(1), 247-265.

[4] Brown, M., Altrichter, H., Shiyan, I., Rodríguez Conde, M. J., McNamara, G., Herzog-Punzenberger, B., ... & Sánchez, L. (2022). Challenges and opportunities for culturally responsive leadership in schools: Evidence from four European countries. Policy Futures in Education, 20(5), 580-607.

[5] Allen, R. L., & Liou, D. D. (2019). Managing whiteness: The call for educational leadership to breach the contractual expectations of white supremacy. Urban Education, 54(5), 677-705.

[6] Gudowska, M. (2024). Mother Tongue Tied: On Language, Motherhood & Multilingualism–Disrupting Myths & Finding Meaning. Footnote Press.

[7] Rodela, K. C., & Rodriguez-Mojica, C. (2019). Equity Leadership Informed by Community Cultural Wealth: Counterstories of Latinx School Administrators. Educational Administration Quarterly, 56(2), 289-320. https://doi-org.myaccess.library.utoronto.ca/ 10.1177/0013161X19847513 (Original work published 2020).

[8] Khalifa, M. A., Gooden, M. A., & Davis, J. E. (2016). Culturally responsive school leadership: A synthesis of the literature. Review of Educational Research, 86(4), 1272–1311.