

A Review of Research on Evaluation Methods for Missile Weapon System Effectiveness

Yuanchang Li, Guiming Chen, Lingliang Xu

Rocker Force University of Engineering, Xian 710025, China

Abstract: Weapon system effectiveness evaluation is a foundational task in the modern military domain, profoundly impacting national security and long-term development. Accurate evaluation guides subsequent optimization and upgrades of weapon systems, enhancing their application effectiveness and survivability in future conflicts. This study comprehensively analyzes parameters such as reliability, maintainability, and operational applicability, employing multiple methodological frameworks including the ADC method (Analytic Hierarchy Process, AHP, and Fuzzy Comprehensive Evaluation) for systematic analysis. It also considers uncertainties like environmental conditions and operator skill levels. The research encompasses the entire lifecycle of weapon systems, including design, manufacturing, improvement, and operational use, with case studies demonstrating applications across different weapon types. Finally, the paper underscores the importance of effectiveness evaluation and outlines future research directions and challenges.

Keywords: Weapon system; effectiveness evaluation; theoretical analysis; systems engineering.

1. Introduction

Weapon system effectiveness evaluation is a foundational task in modern military domains, intricately linked to the design, development, and refinement of weapon systems, as well as critical to operational readiness and practical applicability [1]. Accurate evaluation of existing systems guides subsequent optimizations and upgrades, enhancing their combat effectiveness and survivability in future conflicts. A highly effective weapon system bolsters national security, strengthens defense capabilities, and holds immeasurable strategic significance for a nation's long-term development and regional stability.

The evaluation process encompasses numerous parameters, including system reliability, maintainability, operational applicability, and supportability. These metrics must be analyzed through theoretical frameworks and validated with empirical test data and historical case studies to ensure scientific rigor and practical utility. Methodologically, established approaches such as the ADC method (Analytic Hierarchy Process, AHP, and Fuzzy Comprehensive Evaluation) are employed. The ADC method[5], for instance, is well-suited for assessing system reliability by quantifying availability, dependability, and capability[3]. AHP facilitates hierarchical decision-making by integrating expert insights, while fuzzy logic addresses the ambiguity inherent in qualitative assessments. Recent advancements have also explored the application of deep learning techniques, such as Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN) and neural networks, to enhance data processing depth and predictive accuracy[2].

Uncertainties such as environmental conditions, operator proficiency, and adversary responses must be systematically incorporated into evaluations. This complexity necessitates a multidisciplinary approach, combining system engineering principles with probabilistic modeling and scenario-based simulations. The integration of these methods not only improves evaluation precision but also supports iterative system improvements throughout the lifecycle—from design and manufacturing to deployment and sustainment[1].

In conclusion, weapon system effectiveness evaluation is a technically demanding process that demands robust theoretical foundations and practical expertise. By continuously integrating innovative methodologies and empirical insights, this field enhances the modernization of national defense systems and provides a critical safeguard for national security and peace stability. Each evaluation iteration serves as a catalyst for system refinement, ensuring readiness to meet evolving battlefield challenges.

2. Parameter Selection and Methodological Framework

The selection of evaluation parameters is critical to ensuring the validity of weapon system effectiveness assessments. This includes key performance indicators such as reliability, maintainability, survivability, and combat effectiveness. Parameter selection must integrate the system's design philosophy, operational scenarios, and mission requirements through comprehensive analysis to determine critical factors. For instance, the ADC method (Availability, Dependability, and Capability) is often employed to quantify system performance metrics, while fuzzy logic and expert judgment are used to address qualitative uncertainties.

The establishment of a methodological framework requires the integration of diverse evaluation approaches, including ADC, AHP (Analytic Hierarchy Process), and fuzzy comprehensive evaluation. The ADC method is suitable for early-stage effectiveness predictions, AHP excels in multi-criteria decision analysis, and fuzzy logic enhances evaluation in complex, uncertain environments. This framework aims to create a holistic system that spans the entire lifecycle of weapon systems, addressing both deterministic and probabilistic aspects through scenario-based modeling and sensitivity analysis.

Key challenges in effectiveness evaluation include: Uncertainty in system failures: Addressed through probabilistic modeling and robust design principles.

Environmental variability: Incorporating adaptive algorithms and real-time data fusion techniques. Integration

of phased evaluation results: Utilizing multi-source data correlation and causal analysis to ensure consistency .

The focus of effectiveness evaluation is to develop a systematic, scientific, and adaptable methodological framework for weapon systems. This framework will provide theoretical and practical guidance for enhancing system performance and resilience in complex environments, ultimately supporting national security through advanced technological capabilities .

3. Technical Challenges and Research Progress

In the practice of effectiveness evaluation, there are various technical difficulties. For example, different types of weapon systems have different structural characteristics and performance indicators, so how to establish a reasonable effectiveness evaluation index system has become a major challenge. In addition, the evaluation process involves a large number of uncertain factors, such as environmental conditions, operator skill levels, and electronic countermeasure capabilities, all of which pose challenges to the accuracy and comprehensiveness of effectiveness evaluation.

Currently, researchers are constantly exploring and developing new evaluation methods and technical approaches. For instance, by combining the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) and the Availability Coefficient Method (ADC) with the principles of fuzzy mathematics, it is possible to more comprehensively evaluate the reliability and maintainability of weapon systems. Meanwhile, integrating Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN) and Deep Neural Networks can better address the issue of insufficient data. By generating more synthetic data, the generalization ability of models can be improved, thereby enhancing the accuracy of evaluation.

Furthermore, detailed effectiveness evaluations need to be conducted for specific weapon systems. For example, the evaluation of a helicopter-mounted gun system requires consideration of systematic errors and random errors, while the effectiveness evaluation of an anti-aircraft gun system needs to take into account its structural characteristics and the complexity of combat use comprehensively [2]. The evaluation of anti-ship missile systems involves performance under complex electronic countermeasure environments and combat conditions. During effectiveness evaluation, various complex scenarios that may be encountered in actual operations must also be considered, such as electronic interference, changes in meteorological conditions, and the electronic countermeasure capabilities of targets. These factors need to be appropriately reflected and quantitatively processed in the evaluation model. With the continuous improvement of models and the advancement of methods, the effectiveness evaluation of weapon systems is gradually enhancing its scientificity, accuracy, and effectiveness to better serve the needs of modern warfare [4].

4. Handling Uncertain Factors in Effectiveness Evaluation

In the practice of effectiveness evaluation, handling uncertain factors typically includes establishing mathematical models for uncertainty, conducting quantitative analysis of uncertainty, and formulating management strategies for uncertainty.

Firstly, it is necessary to establish mathematical models for

the key factors affecting weapon system effectiveness and verify their rationality and effectiveness through the models. For example, probability theory and statistical methods can be used to quantify the uncertainty of parameters, and sensitivity analysis can be employed to evaluate the impact of these uncertainties on overall effectiveness.

Secondly, quantitative treatment of uncertainty is required, which usually involves the selection of probability distributions, parameter identification, and sensitivity analysis. For instance, in the effectiveness evaluation of surface-to-air missile systems, the Analytic Hierarchy Process (ADC) can be used to estimate possible state transition probabilities and quantify the uncertainty of system reliability and maintainability parameters under various states.

Lastly, the formulation of management strategies is a response to the impact of uncertainty. This requires evaluators to combine specific combat scenarios and available technical means to develop management strategies that can reflect the actual impact of uncertainty and provide reasonable decision-making support for decision-makers. For example, in the evaluation of electronic countermeasure effectiveness, it is necessary to comprehensively consider uncertain factors such as electronic interference and system compatibility, and propose corresponding evaluation strategies.

In conclusion, handling uncertain factors is a core aspect of weapon system effectiveness evaluation. Through comprehensive analysis and management of these factors, the accuracy of evaluation results can be improved, providing a scientific basis for the optimization design and use of weapon systems.

5. The Relationship between Evaluation Results and Actual Combat Applications

Weapon system effectiveness evaluation is a complex and multi-dimensional process. It involves not only the feasibility and practicality of technology but also considerations closely related to the actual combat environment, such as the technical and tactical skills of operators and practical applications 2. When conducting effectiveness evaluations of weapon systems such as surface-to-air missile systems, it is necessary to comprehensively consider multiple factors including system reliability, maintainability, operational applicability, and cost-effectiveness ratio 2. The evaluation process requires the use of modern evaluation tools such as the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) and fuzzy comprehensive evaluation, and practical verification should be carried out in combination with application cases in actual combat environments.

(1) For surface-to-air missile systems, the effectiveness evaluation process includes the following core steps:

1. State Analysis: Establish a set of possible system states and analyze all potential situations that may occur during system operation, providing basic data for subsequent effectiveness evaluations.

2. Index System Establishment: Identify the key factors affecting weapon system effectiveness and establish a comprehensive evaluation index system to ensure the comprehensiveness and systematicity of subsequent evaluations.

3. Weight Allocation: Determine the relative importance of different influencing factors using methods such as the Analytic Hierarchy Process, which significantly impacts the

decision-making of evaluation results.

4. Reliability and Maintainability Analysis: Evaluate the system's availability to complete designated tasks and the sustainability of maintenance support by combining system reliability and maintainability data.

5. Comprehensive Effectiveness Evaluation: Consider factors such as system availability and credibility, and use methods like fuzzy comprehensive evaluation to quantitatively analyze the overall effectiveness of the weapon system [6].

Additionally, it is necessary to explore effectiveness evaluation methods in electronic countermeasure environments, including the handling of uncertain factors, data standardization, and processing techniques.

(2) For other types of weapon systems such as helicopter-mounted gun systems, anti-aircraft guns, and anti-ship missile systems, corresponding effectiveness evaluation technologies and models also need to be established based on their characteristics and combat application scenarios.

Finally, the Model-Based Systems Engineering (MBSE) method provides a new perspective for weapon system effectiveness evaluation. Through system structure analysis, behavior analysis, and requirement analysis, it offers a systematic methodology and practical solutions for effectiveness evaluation, helping to improve the rationality of system design and the accuracy of evaluation[4].

In conclusion, weapon system effectiveness evaluation is not only a technical analysis process but also a systems engineering that closely integrates theory with practical combat applications. Through scientific methods and precise technical means, the actual combat effectiveness of weapon systems can be effectively enhanced, thereby providing important guarantees for the success of military operations 4.

6. Conclusion

6.1. Summary of Research Achievements

The development of weapon system effectiveness evaluation methods reflects the technological advancements and methodological innovations in this field. Through the improvement of existing methods and the application of new technologies, weapon systems can be evaluated more scientifically and effectively in terms of overall performance. This provides support for related decision-making and also offers a theoretical foundation and technical support for the future design and application of weapon systems. With the continuous development of technology, future research directions may focus on model optimization, method innovation, and further enhancement of system performance.

6.2. Future Research Directions and Challenges

With the emergence of new combat platforms such as unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV) and unmanned surface vessels (USV), their effectiveness evaluation methods need to consider the unique technical characteristics and operational modes of these new platforms. The high degree of automation and intelligence of these systems requires us to consider not only traditional physical performance parameters but also new dimensions such as software algorithms, the security of data links, and the networking capabilities of the systems.

Secondly, with the deepening of information warfare, electronic countermeasures have become an important aspect

of effectiveness evaluation. This requires us to consider not only hardware performance but also factors such as electronic warfare capabilities, the reliability of communication links, and the stability of information systems during evaluation.

Thirdly, with the continuous integration of new technologies such as artificial intelligence and quantum computing, effectiveness evaluation methods also need to keep pace with the times and combine these new technologies to improve the accuracy and efficiency of evaluations.

Additionally, as the combat environment becomes more complex, such as in urban warfare and cyber warfare, effectiveness evaluation needs to handle more complex and variable battlefield environments. This requires the development of more flexible and comprehensive evaluation models, as well as more efficient and accurate data analysis methods.

Finally, considering the compatibility and interoperability of different systems, future effectiveness evaluations should also consider the evaluation of synergistic effects and interoperability between different systems. This is crucial for the comprehensive effectiveness and overall advantage of the systems.

In summary, future research on weapon system effectiveness evaluation methods needs to continuously innovate and develop in evaluation models, evaluation techniques, and data processing to meet the new requirements of future battlefield environments.

6.3. Recommendations and Development Prospects

Research on weapon system effectiveness evaluation has a broad and profound application prospect. With the continuous advancement of technology and changes in battlefield requirements, related evaluation technologies will also continue to develop and improve to meet the complex needs of modern warfare. Future research directions may focus more on model innovation, method precision, and result practicality, while also facing challenges such as data quality, model complexity, and evaluation standardization.

References

- [1] Luo Yu. Research on the Effectiveness Evaluation of Air Defense Missile Weapon Systems. Northwestern Polytechnical University. 2016.
- [2] Li Jian, Liu Haibin, Hu Di. Effectiveness Evaluation of Weapon Systems Based on Generative Adversarial Networks and Deep Neural Networks J. Computer Applications and Software, 2020, 37(02).
- [3] Yu Chi, Zhang Gangfeng, Yang Chao. Operational Effectiveness Evaluation Analysis of Airborne Laser Weapon Systems C. Proceedings of the 5th China Aviation Science and Technology Conference. Proceedings of the 5th China Aviation Science and Technology Conference: Chinese Aeronautical Society, 2021: 628 - 634.
- [4] Wang Guoliang, Zhao Yan, Lu Zhi'ang, et al. Effectiveness Evaluation of Missile Weapon Systems Based on MBSE J. Fire Control and Command Control, 2022, 47(08).
- [5] Yang Jianbo, Zong Siguang. Research on the Effectiveness Evaluation Method of Anti-ship Missile Weapon Systems Based on Fuzzy-ADC J. Modern Defense Technology, 2021, 49(03).
- [6] Li Zhao. Research on the Effectiveness Evaluation Technology of Anti-aircraft Gun Weapon Systems Based on Visual Simulation. North University of China. 2016.