

Marketing, Psychology, and the Mechanisms of Fast-Food Overconsumption: A Case Study of McDonald's

Wenjun Liu *

Ridley College, St. Catharines, ON L2R7C3, Canada

* Corresponding Author Email: harryliu662@gmail.com

Abstract. Using McDonald's as a global case study, this research investigates the phenomena of overconsumption in the fast-food sector. It contends that excessive consumption is caused by a systemic interplay between behavioral psychology, marketing design, and socioeconomic factors rather than just human decision. McDonald's deliberately lowers consumers' cognitive work while boosting emotional satisfaction through food formulation, pricing, promotion, and spatial accessibility, resulting in compulsive overconsumption. In order to demonstrate how choice architecture, habit loops, and reward learning produce a feedback loop that reinforces repetitive eating behaviors, the study combines insights from behavioral economics, neurology, and marketing. Therefore, rather than being a sign of weakness on the part of the individual, overconsumption is portrayed as a structural result of market innovation and cultural normalization. In order to address this issue and realign corporate profits with public health and social responsibility, the study emphasizes the need for ethical marketing frameworks and regulatory measures, such as transparent labeling and bans on child-oriented advertising.

Keywords: Marketing, psychology, fast-food overconsumption.

1. Introduction

The phenomenon of overconsumption in the fast-food industry reflects a complex interaction between marketing strategies, consumer psychology, and public health concerns. This paper explores McDonald's as a global archetype, analyzing how product design, pricing, promotion, and spatial placement interact with behavioral and socioeconomic mechanisms to drive frequent and excessive consumption. Drawing upon behavioral research and market data, the study reveals how McDonald's marketing system reduces cognitive effort while amplifying emotional rewards, forming a feedback loop that sustains habitual overconsumption [1,2]. The findings suggest that overconsumption is not merely a matter of individual choice but a structural outcome of marketing innovation and cultural normalization of fast-food convenience [3,4].

1.1. Definition of Overconsumption

In marketing and consumer behavior research, overconsumption describes a repetitive consumption pattern that surpasses the limits of health or financial well-being. Unlike occasional indulgence, it arises when external market forces—such as pricing, advertising, and distribution—intersect with internal behavioral factors, including hedonic preference, habit formation, and reward learning [2,5]. Within the fast-food context, this manifests as increased meal frequency, larger portion sizes, and persistent demand for hyper-palatable foods marketed as value options [6]. From a public welfare perspective, overconsumption constitutes an adverse externality when long-term health and environmental costs are transferred to individuals and society, whereas from a managerial lens, it signals a successful yet ethically ambiguous outcome of demand stimulation [3,7].

Central to the discussion are two marketing constructs. First, choice architecture—menu layout, default bundles, and time-limited offers—can nudge consumers toward higher-calorie selections through anchoring and perceived savings. Second, habit loops support automaticity: signals (logos, colors, jingles, store proximity) become associated with gratifying results, reinforcing conditioned responses that bypass conscious decision-making [8]. Thus, overconsumption is less about individual willpower and more about how environmental cues reshape perceptions of value over time [2,9].

1.2. Individual-Level Consequences

At the individual level, overconsumption is linked to a range of diet-related health risks. Hyper-palatable fast foods (with sugar, salt, and saturated fat) are linked to overeating, weight gain, and increased risk of obesity due to their activation of reward circuits that override satiety cues [7,10]. Over time, these exposures heighten the appeal of calorie-dense foods while reducing self-regulatory capacity. Consumer research based on neuroscience suggests that repeated exposure to these types of foods may hyper-sensitize the brain's reward system [11].

Financially, perceived affordability can disguise the cumulative cost of small, frequent purchases. Discounts and bundled promotions lead consumers to spend more overall, diverting limited budgets away from healthier groceries or preventive care [8]. For low-income households, these incremental expenses reinforce a cycle of convenience-based consumption, exacerbating both health and economic disparities [12].

1.3. Family-Level Consequences

On the family level, habitual fast-food consumption reshapes domestic eating norms. Parents who frequently resort to takeout meals due to time constraints unintentionally model fast-food dependence, shaping children's long-term food preferences [5,13]. Nutrition scholars have long documented how children's taste preferences align with parental models, suggesting that parental nutrition education could mitigate the formation of unhealthy habits [14]. Repeated exposure to processed, high-energy foods during formative years establishes sensory familiarity and diminishes the appeal of home-cooked options. Over time, this erodes food literacy and disrupts opportunities for cultural and nutritional education within the family setting. Shared meals—traditionally occasions for connection and identity—are replaced by transactional, time-efficient dining practices, contributing to a decline in collective food culture [15].

1.4. Societal and Environmental Implications

Widespread overconsumption imposes considerable burdens on public health systems. The prevalence of obesity-related illnesses—including diabetes and cardiovascular diseases—escalates healthcare expenditures and reduces workforce productivity [5,6]. Governments and employers consequently shoulder the indirect costs of fast-food-driven dietary patterns [16].

The environmental aspect of the resource-intensive supply chain—such as beef and poultry production or single-use packaging—significantly inflates greenhouse gas emissions and waste levels [10]. Because these environmental costs remain unpriced in menu items, the result is a classic negative externality borne by communities rather than corporations [17].

1.5. Cultural Implications

Culturally, fast-food overconsumption aligns with modern consumerism's glorification of speed and abundance. McDonald's, through its long history of using iconic symbols, family-friendly cues, and value messaging, has become a shorthand for convenient indulgence [2,18]. The global standardization of brands affects local foodscapes, leading to cultural homogenization and diminishing appreciation for traditional cuisines [19]. While brand standardization enhances predictability and efficiency, it simultaneously displaces local food identities and fosters dependence on industrialized eating patterns [20].

2. Drivers of McDonald's Overconsumption

2.1. Sensory and Cognitive Mechanisms

2.1.1. Product Formulation and Reward Learning.

McDonald's achieves hyper-palatability through precise combinations of sugar, salt, and fat, engineered to maximize sensory pleasure and reduce chewing effort [7,10]. Neuroscientific studies show that these stimuli activate the mesolimbic dopamine system, reinforcing cue–reward learning that encourages repeated consumption [11]. Environmental triggers such as the brand's color palette, aroma, and jingles become conditioned signals that automatically induce craving and weaken self-control [9].

2.1.2. Habit Formation and Minimal Cognitive Load.

Predictable menus, standardized flavors, and app-based reordering systems reduce the mental effort required to make food choices. Over time, decision-making becomes habitual, driven by heuristics rather than rational evaluation [3,8]. This habitualization ensures steady demand and increases order size, particularly under time pressure or emotional stress [21].

2.1.3. Choice Architecture and Portion Norms.

Bundled meals redefine what constitutes a “complete meal,” encouraging higher-calorie intake through perceived savings. Limited-time offers and app-exclusive discounts accelerate decision-making and diminish reflection time [22]. The framing of value as “more for less” thus converts occasional indulgence into routine consumption.

2.1.4. Children's Signals and Early Brand Socialization.

McDonald's marketing aimed at children—through toys, mascots, and birthday events—fosters brand loyalty at an early age. This associative learning links food with fun and reward, making the brand part of childhood identity formation [23]. From a business perspective, such strategies secure future consumers, embedding brand preference into adolescence and beyond [24].

2.2. Economic and Geographical Considerations

2.2.1. Pricing, Promotions, and Perceived Value.

By combining affordability with constant promotional turnover, McDonald's maintains a steady inflow of consumers. Multi-value packs, “buy-one-get-one” deals, and app-based vouchers exploit consumers' sensitivity to discounts while increasing overall spending [25]. The illusion of savings masks an increase in both caloric and monetary intake.

2.2.2. Ubiquity and Access.

With most locations strategically placed near transit routes and residential areas, McDonald's ensures that consumers are rarely far from a point of sale. Accessibility reduces search costs and facilitates opportunistic consumption [26]. In lower-income neighborhoods, higher fast-food density correlates with greater consumption frequency, showing that availability functions as a structural nudge rather than a purely personal choice [27].

2.2.3. Omnichannel Convenience.

Digital platforms like mobile apps and delivery services reduce friction even further. Push notifications, saved orders, and time-limited offers leverage temporal urgency and the goal-gradient effect to drive engagement [25]. Convenience becomes both a selling point and a mechanism of behavioral conditioning.

2.2.4. Macroeconomic Framing.

During inflationary periods, McDonald's reasserts its value proposition with "meals under five dollars" campaigns, positioning itself as an affordable yet quality choice for families [12]. This pricing strategy, while economically strategic, encourages increased purchase frequency among cost-conscious consumers, perpetuating overconsumption cycles [28].

3. Synthesis: Why Overconsumption Persists

McDonald's exemplifies how sensory engineering, behavioral conditioning, and promotional design integrate into a self-reinforcing system. Reward-rich foods stimulate craving; seamless availability turns desire into purchase; bundled pricing raises portion expectations; repetition consolidates habits; and digital tools keep the cycle personalized and continuous [3,5]. This model demonstrates how modern marketing converts human cognitive shortcuts into sustained commercial advantage.

From the perspective of marketing scholarship, McDonald's demonstrates how firm-level value creation can unintentionally lead to social value destruction, underscoring the need for ethical frameworks in marketing innovation [20]. From a policy standpoint, mitigating overconsumption requires systemic interventions—clear menu labeling, moderated child-directed marketing, ethical loyalty designs, and urban planning that ensures healthier alternatives [17].

4. Conclusion

McDonald's dominance illustrates how marketing innovation can unintentionally foster systemic overconsumption. Through the integration of psychological conditioning, spatial accessibility, and pricing incentives, the brand constructs an ecosystem where habitual indulgence feels effortless and justified. Over time, these mechanisms blur the line between consumer autonomy and market-driven compulsion, revealing how corporate systems can reshape everyday decision-making at both individual and collective levels.

From a managerial viewpoint, this strategy showcases mastery in reducing friction and amplifying gratification—two principles that boost engagement but carry societal costs. For public institutions, the resulting challenges to health systems, cultural diversity, and environmental balance demand cross-sector collaboration. Understanding overconsumption therefore requires shifting focus from individual accountability to the systemic mechanisms of global marketing. Only by aligning profitability with social responsibility can the fast-food industry move toward sustainable growth.

References

- [1] Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.
- [2] Euromonitor International. (2024). *Consumer foodservice: Global industry overview*. <https://www.euromonitor.com/consumer-foodservice-global-industry-overview/report>
- [3] Ariely, D. (2008). *Predictably irrational: The hidden forces that shape our decisions*. HarperCollins.
- [4] IBISWorld. (2024). *Fast food restaurants in the US – Market research report*. <https://www.ibisworld.com/united-states/market-research-reports/fast-food-restaurants-industry/>
- [5] World Health Organization. (2024). *Obesity and overweight*. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight>
- [6] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2023). *Adult obesity causes & consequences*. <https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/basics/adult-causes.html>
- [7] Gearhardt, A. N., Corbin, W. R., & Brownell, K. D. (2011). Neural correlates of food addiction. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 68 (8), 808–816. <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamapsychiatry/article-abstract/1107203>

- [8] Statista. (2024). Fast food industry – Statistics & facts. <https://www.statista.com/topics/863/fast-food-industry/>
- [9] Castells, M. (2010). *The rise of the network society* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.
- [10] McDonald's Corporation. (2025). Purpose & impact progress report. <https://corporate.mcdonalds.com/content/dam>
- [11] NielsenIQ. (2024). Consumer behavior under inflation: Foodservice choices. <https://nielseniq.com/global/en/insights/>
- [12] National Restaurant Association. (2024). State of the restaurant industry report. <https://restaurant.org/research-and-media/research/industry-statistics/state-of-the-restaurant-industry/>
- [13] Birch, L. L., & Fisher, J. O. (1998). Development of eating behaviors among children. *Pediatrics*, 101 (3), 539–549.
- [14] Sproesser, G., Strohbach, S., Schupp, H. T., & Renner, B. (2018). Eating habits and health outcomes. *Appetite*, 121, 336–346.
- [15] Fischler, C. (1988). Food, self, and identity. *Social Science Information*, 27 (2), 275–292.
- [16] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2024). Obesity update.
- [17] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2023). Global food system environmental impacts.
- [18] Ritzer, G. (2020). *The McDonaldization of society* (9th ed.). Sage.
- [19] Watson, J. L. (2006). *Golden arches East: McDonald's in East Asia*. Stanford University Press.
- [20] Slow Food International. (2022). *Manifesto for quality and sustainability*.
- [21] Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, fast and slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- [22] Cialdini, R. B. (2007). *Influence: The psychology of persuasion*. HarperCollins.
- [23] McNeal, J. U. (1992). *Children as consumers*. Lexington Books.
- [24] Ward, S. (1974). Consumer socialization. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 1(2), 1–14.
- [25] Statista. (2024). Mobile ordering and digital promotions in foodservice. <https://www.statista.com/topics/863/fast-food-industry/>
- [26] Euromonitor. (2024). Drive-thru and delivery expansion trends. <https://www.euromonitor.com/>
- [27] Public Health Ontario. (2023). Fast-food density and consumption patterns.
- [28] NielsenIQ. (2024). Inflation and consumer decision-making. <https://nielseniq.com/global/en/insights/>