

A Systematic Review of the Transforming Leadership Literature and Future Outlook

Bo Dong *

Emilio Aguinaldo College, Manila, Philippines

* Corresponding author: Bo Dong (Email: bo.dong.mnl@eac.edu.ph)

Abstract: This paper presents a systematic review and synthesis of the literature on transformative leadership, examining its characteristics, dimensions, and impact on organizational transformation. This review presents an original synthesis of research progress, challenges, and future research directions in the transforming leadership domain. Despite significant advancements in the field, challenges persist, such as inconsistent measurement tools, establishing causal links between transformative leadership and successful transformation, and the need for research in diverse cultural settings. This paper highlights future research directions, including interdisciplinary approaches, practical applications, digital transformation contexts, and cross-cultural considerations. The rapidly evolving business landscape necessitates effective transformative leadership to navigate organizational changes and ensure success.

Keywords: Change Management Leadership; Transformational Leadership; Change Leadership Behavior; Impact of Change Leadership.

1. Introduction

Transformative leadership has emerged as a critical factor in facilitating organizational change and driving success in an increasingly dynamic business environment. This paper aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the current research landscape and trends in transformative leadership by systematically reviewing and synthesizing the literature. The research question that guides this review is: What are the main problems, challenges, and future directions of research on transformative leadership?

The concept of transformative leadership was first introduced by Chris Argyris in 1970 through his research on leadership behavior during organizational transformations. He defined transformative leadership as a set of skills and abilities exhibited by leaders to successfully manage the challenges of organizational transformation and achieve continuous success. Since then, numerous scholars have contributed to the study of transformative leadership. Ronald Heifetz (1994) is considered one of the most influential authorities on the subject, emphasizing that transformative leadership requires not only management skills but also a leadership style characterized by adaptability, flexibility, and creativity. Another significant figure in the field is John Kotter (1995), who pioneered the idea that transformative leadership involves the ability of managers to guide organizational transformation and translate it into practical actions.

The rationale for this study is grounded in the crucial role that transformative leadership plays in organizational transformation, as emphasized by recent studies. For instance, the MIT Sloan Management Review (2020) found that 93% of entrepreneurs and managers believed they needed better leadership to cope with digital change. Similarly, a study by the Capgemini Research Institute (2021) found that 80% of respondents believed leadership was the most crucial factor in achieving success in digital transformation.

Transformative leadership research mainly focuses on two directions: psychology and organizational behavior. In the realm of psychology, scholars adopt theoretical frameworks

from personality and social psychology to explore relevant factors of transformative leadership through questionnaire surveys, case studies, and other methods, such as leader personality traits, leadership styles, leadership behaviors, and leadership support. In organizational science, scholars examine the role and mechanism of transformative leadership from the perspectives of organizational behavior and organizational transformation management, employing empirical research and case studies to investigate topics such as leaders' transformative vision, communication skills, establishment of transformative culture, and organizational structure.

In the field of transformative leadership, scholars have developed a conceptual and theoretical framework, leading to a growing consensus on the definition and key features of this leadership style. Empirical research and case studies have explored the relationship between transformative leadership and organizational performance, with evidence indicating that transformative leadership can positively impact organizational outcomes (Arif & Akram, 2018). Scholars have also identified key behaviors and characteristics of transformative leaders through surveys and experiments, including a focus on innovation, encouraging risk-taking, having a clear vision and mission, and prioritizing interpersonal relationships (Alshamsi et al., 2020). Additionally, researchers have investigated the various factors that contribute to the formation of transformative leadership, including personal characteristics, environmental factors, and leaders' behaviors (M. Tănase, 2020). While progress has been made in advancing the understanding of transformative leadership, challenges remain, such as the gap between theory and practice, the use of singular research methods, and inconsistent research results. Future research should aim to address these challenges and explore new avenues for promoting the development of transformative leadership theory and practice.

In this paper, a systematic review and synthesis of literature on transformative leadership is presented, providing readers with a comprehensive understanding of the current research

landscape and trends in this field. The paper highlights the main problems and challenges facing the current research on transformative leadership, including the lack of consistent measurement standards and tools, the need for more research on cultural factors, multi-level change, and other aspects, and the gap between theoretical research and practice. The paper also identifies the future trends and directions of research on transformative leadership, including a deeper understanding of the definition of transformative leadership, the exploration of transformative leadership from multiple levels and angles, the investigation of the relationship between leadership and culture, technology, and other factors, and the integration of practice to deeply study transformative leadership. The findings of this study are expected to provide a useful reference for future academic research and practice in the area of transformative leadership.

In conclusion, the importance of transformative leadership in today's dynamic business environment cannot be overstated. As organizations face increasing challenges and complexities, effective transformative leadership is essential in navigating these obstacles and ensuring the successful implementation of change. By systematically reviewing and synthesizing the literature on transformative leadership, this paper offers a comprehensive understanding of the field's current research landscape and trends. Furthermore, it highlights the main challenges and opportunities for future research, providing valuable insights for both academics and practitioners. By addressing these challenges and focusing on emerging trends, the field of transformative leadership can continue to evolve and adapt, ultimately contributing to the success of organizations as they navigate the complexities of the modern business environment.

2. Main Research Directions and Achievements of Transforming Leadership

Transforming leadership, a pivotal leadership style in contemporary organizations, has garnered significant scholarly attention over the years, resulting in a rich and diverse body of research. This article aims to provide an overview of the main research directions and achievements in the field of transforming leadership, examining its characteristics and dimensions, impact on organizational transformation success, development and training, influence on employee innovation, cross-cultural research, underlying mechanisms and processes, and practical applications.

Extensive literature has explored the defining characteristics and dimensions of transforming leadership, offering a deeper understanding of how it may be affected by personal traits, organizational culture, and other factors (Bass & Riggio, 2006). While these studies have significantly contributed to our knowledge, more work is needed to integrate their findings into a coherent theoretical framework. Moreover, the interplay between the various dimensions of transforming leadership warrants further investigation, as it may provide crucial insights for organizational development.

The influence of transforming leadership on organizational transformation success is of paramount importance in this field (Herold et al., 2008). Despite this, there remains a need for more empirical studies that examine the relationship between transforming leadership and different types of organizational transformation outcomes. Additionally, researchers should explore the contextual factors that may

moderate the impact of transforming leadership on transformation success, as this could inform more targeted and effective interventions.

The development and training of transforming leaders have emerged as a vital research area, with numerous studies investigating various training methods and their effects (Duan et al., 2017). A synthesis of this research reveals that while there are promising practices in training transforming leaders, more work is needed to identify the most effective strategies for different organizational contexts. Furthermore, future research should explore the role of individual differences in shaping the effectiveness of transforming leadership development programs.

A significant body of research has investigated the influence of transforming leadership on employee innovation behaviors, indicating that it promotes employee creativity and strengthens organizational innovation capabilities (Gong et al., 2009). Cross-cultural studies have further highlighted the importance of considering cultural differences in the implementation of transforming leadership, as the perceptions and practices of leaders and employees from diverse backgrounds may differ significantly (House et al., 2004). Integrating these findings, it becomes evident that more research is needed to examine how cultural factors may moderate the relationship between transforming leadership and employee innovation.

The mechanisms and processes through which transforming leadership influences various outcomes have been the subject of numerous scholarly investigations (Dvir et al., 2002). While these studies have greatly enriched our understanding, there is still much to be learned about the complex interplay of individual, team, and organizational factors in shaping the impact of transforming leadership. Future research should aim to develop a more comprehensive theoretical model that accounts for these various influences.

Research on transforming leadership offers valuable insights for practical applications in various organizational settings, such as healthcare and education (Øvretveit, 2005). As we transition to a more in-depth examination of these practical applications, it is crucial to consider the unique challenges and opportunities that transforming leadership may present in each context. By doing so, we can better tailor transforming leadership practices to address the specific needs of different organizations and industries.

In summary, the study of transforming leadership has made significant strides in understanding its characteristics, dimensions, impact on organizational transformation success, development and training, influence on employee innovation, cross-cultural aspects, underlying mechanisms, and practical applications. However, several research gaps and opportunities for further exploration remain.

Future research should focus on integrating the diverse findings on transforming leadership into a more coherent theoretical framework, examining the role of contextual factors in shaping its effectiveness, and exploring the complex interplay between individual, team, and organizational factors. Additionally, more empirical studies are needed to identify the most effective strategies for developing and training transforming leaders, as well as to examine the moderating role of cultural factors on the relationship between transforming leadership and various outcomes.

By addressing these research gaps, we can continue to advance our understanding of transforming leadership,

ultimately enhancing its practical application and impact on organizations across different industries and cultural contexts.

3. Main Problems and Challenges in Transforming Leadership Research

The findings indicate that transforming leadership is a critical factor in navigating organizational change, fostering innovation, and enhancing performance. Addressing the identified problems and challenges in research will enhance the understanding of transforming leadership, contribute to its effective implementation, and improve organizational outcomes.

3.1. Lack of Standard Measurement Tools

A primary challenge in studying transforming leadership is the absence of standardized measurement tools (Richard et al., 2009). Consequently, there is a need to establish a standardized measurement tool to enhance the consistency and comparability of research findings.

3.2. Challenges in Establishing Causal Links

AL-Syaidh et al. (2016) noted the challenge of establishing a clear causal relationship between transforming leadership and organizational change success, as transforming leadership is only one of many factors influencing change outcomes.

3.3. Need for Research in Diverse Fields and Cultural Backgrounds

There is a pressing need to investigate transforming leadership in various fields and cultural contexts (Oreg & Berson, 2011).

3.4. Interactions between Transforming Leadership and Other Leadership Types

Exploring the relationship between transforming leadership and other leadership types is essential for understanding the impact of transforming leadership on organizational change (Jim A. McCleskey, 2014).

Based on the identified problems and challenges, future research should focus on the development of standardized measurement tools, the establishment of causal links between transforming leadership and successful transformation, the exploration of transforming leadership in diverse fields and cultural backgrounds, and the examination of interactions between transforming leadership and other leadership types.

4. Future Research Directions in Transforming Leadership

Transformational leadership is an important factor in driving organizational change and improving performance. Despite significant progress in understanding the complexity of this leadership style, several gaps and opportunities for future research remain. Based on the primary research directions and findings in transformational leadership, this paper summarizes the main challenges and proposes future research directions.

4.1. Cross-disciplinary Research on Transforming Leadership

Transforming leadership is a multifaceted topic, drawing upon knowledge and theories from multiple disciplines,

including psychology, organizational behavior, sociology, and economics (Northouse, 2021). Future research should adopt a more interdisciplinary approach to gain a comprehensive understanding of transforming leadership and better address practical problems.

4.2. Practical Application of Transforming Leadership

While improving theoretical understanding is essential, the ultimate goal of transforming leadership research is to provide practical guidance (Avolio & Bass, 2004). Future research should focus on the practical application of transforming leadership, including cultivation, application in organizational change contexts, and impact measurement on organizational performance.

4.3. Transforming Leadership in the Context of Digital Transformation

As digital transformation accelerates, it is crucial to investigate transforming leadership's role in digital environments (Vial, 2019). Future research should explore how to effectively address digital change challenges and leverage digital technology to enhance transforming leadership's effectiveness.

4.4. Cross-cultural Considerations in Transforming Leadership Research

To fully understand and apply transforming leadership theory across different cultural contexts, research must address cultural complexities and nuances (House et al., 2004). Future research should focus on adapting transforming leadership to various cultural backgrounds, overcoming cultural barriers, and facilitating effective practice in diverse cultural settings. This approach requires a deeper understanding of how cultural values and beliefs shape leadership practices and navigating cross-cultural communication and collaboration.

Future research should employ rigorous methodological approaches, including mixed-methods designs, to establish causal links between transforming leadership and organizational outcomes. Additionally, researchers should consider the role of context and culture in shaping transforming leadership practices and adapt their research methods accordingly.

In the digital era, research on transformative leadership is more urgent. Digital transformation has become an inevitable trend of enterprise development, requiring changes in organizational structure, business models, cultural atmosphere, and other aspects. Leaders with innovative and transformative capabilities are needed to promote and guide these changes. Additionally, the digital era demands new leadership styles, such as open leadership and platform leadership, which are closely connected with transformative leadership. Thus, it is increasingly important and meaningful to conduct research on transformative leadership in the digital era.

In conclusion, the future of transforming leadership research holds significant potential for enhancing our understanding of this leadership style and its practical applications. By addressing the challenges and gaps identified in the literature and focusing on emerging research directions, the field of transforming leadership can continue to evolve, contributing to the success of organizations as they navigate the complexities of the modern business environment.

5. Conclusion

In this literature review, we sought to examine the main research directions, achievements, and challenges in transforming leadership, with the aim of understanding its impact on organizational transformation success. We have summarized the key findings, which include the characteristics and dimensions of transforming leadership, its impact on organizational transformation success, the development and training of transforming leaders, the influence on employee innovation behaviors, cross-cultural research, and the mechanisms underlying the influence of transforming leadership. Additionally, we have synthesized these findings to present a comprehensive overview of the current state of transforming leadership research.

Based on the research findings, this paper concludes with the following management insights for transforming leadership. Firstly, organizations should prioritize cultivating leaders with transforming leadership skills to provide strong leadership for organizational change (Aarons et al., 2014). Secondly, leaders should actively guide and create a cultural atmosphere that supports organizational transformation by combining transforming leadership with organizational culture (Groves & LaRocca, 2011). Thirdly, leaders should encourage innovative thinking and support employees in trying new ideas and methods to promote innovation and change within the organization (Gumusluoglu & Ilsev, 2009). Fourthly, improving employee participation is necessary through active communication and interaction with employees, making them feel valued and supported during the transformation process (Ford & Ford, 1995). Finally, continuous learning and development of leaders are essential for mastering the latest transforming theory and practical experience, as well as enhancing their leadership and transformation abilities (Day & Dragoni, 2015).

The literature review of transforming leadership reveals significant progress in theoretical frameworks, research methods, and practical applications. However, several challenges and issues remain to be addressed. Firstly, there are still disagreements regarding the definition and characteristics of transforming leadership, indicating a need for further comprehensive discussion (Bass, 1999). Secondly, existing measurement tools for transforming leadership require further verification and improvement to enhance their reliability and effectiveness (Podsakoff et al., 1990). Thirdly, although some scholars have explored the cultivation and development of transforming leadership, more in-depth research is needed (Antonakis & House, 2014). Fourthly, current research has some limitations in understanding the impact mechanisms and processes of transforming leadership, necessitating further exploration. Lastly, while some studies have investigated the practical application of transforming leadership, more research is required to understand how to apply this concept to different industries and fields.

In conclusion, the study of transforming leadership faces various challenges, such as domain limitations, method limitations, and paradigm limitations. To address these challenges, future research needs to expand the scope of transforming leadership research beyond the organizational field to include other fields, such as politics and education. Additionally, the introduction of quantitative research methods can provide statistical evidence to support research results. Finally, researchers should explore multidisciplinary

theories and methods to develop innovative and cutting-edge research paradigms of transforming leadership and to deeply explore its essence.

References

- [1] S. Al-Husseini, I. El Beltagi, and J. Moizer, "Transformational leadership and innovation: the mediating role of knowledge sharing amongst higher education faculty," *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 670-693, Sept. 2021.
- [2] N. H. J. Al-Syaidh, "Transformational Leadership and its Role on the Effectiveness of Employees' Behavior: A Theoretical Study," *Journal of Business & Management (COES&RJ-JBM)*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 14-35, Dec. 2016.
- [3] S. Arif, and A. Akram, "Transformational leadership and organizational performance: the mediating role of organizational innovation," *SEISENSE Journal of Management*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 59-75, Aug. 2018.
- [4] B. J. Avolio, and B. M. Bass, *Multifactor leadership questionnaire (TM)*. Mind Garden, Inc. Menlo Park, CA, 2004.
- [5] I. Buil, E. Martínez, and J. Matute, "Transformational leadership and employee performance: The role of identification, engagement and proactive personality," *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, vol. 77, pp. 64-75, Jan. 2019.
- [6] P. Bycio, R. D. Hackett, and J. S. Allen, "Further assessments of Bass's (1985) conceptualization of transactional and transformational leadership," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, vol. 80, no. 4, p. 468, Feb. 1995.
- [7] E. EYAMBA, and S. A. APAU, "Effect of Transformational Leadership Style on Innovative Work Behaviour of Employees," *IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM)*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 28-38, Feb. 2020.
- [8] L. R. Farahnak, M. G. Ehrhart, E. M. Torres, et al., "The influence of transformational leadership and leader attitudes on subordinate attitudes and implementation success," *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 98-111, Apr. 2020.
- [9] T. A. Judge, and R. F. Piccolo, "Transformational and transactional leadership: a meta-analytic test of their relative validity," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, vol. 89, no. 5, p. 755, Nov. 2004.
- [10] A. Luo, P. Guchait, L. Lee, et al., "Transformational leadership and service recovery performance: The mediating effect of emotional labor and the influence of culture," *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, vol. 77, pp. 31-39, Jan. 2019.
- [11] J. A. McCleskey, "Situational, transformational, and transactional leadership and leadership development," *Journal of business studies quarterly*, vol. 5, no. 4, p. 117, Aug. 2014.
- [12] P. G. Northouse, *Leadership: Theory and practice*. Sage publications, 2021.
- [13] P. J. Richard, T. M. Devinney, G. S. Yip, et al., "Measuring Organizational Performance: Towards Methodological Best Practice," *Journal of management*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 718-804, Jun. 2009.
- [14] N. Siangchokyoo, R. L. Klinger, and E. D. Campion, "Follower transformation as the linchpin of transformational leadership theory: A systematic review and future research agenda," *The Leadership Quarterly*, vol. 31, no. 1, p. 101341, Feb. 2020.
- [15] J. J. Sosik, and V. M. Godshalk, "Leadership styles, mentoring functions received, and job-related stress: a conceptual model and preliminary study," *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 365-390, Jun. 2000.

- [16] M. Tănase, "Influence of Transformational Leadership on Innovation in Organizations," *Network Intelligence Studies*, vol. 8, no. 15, pp. 81-89, Aug. 2020.
- [17] M. Usman, "Transformational Leadership and Organizational Change: In the Context of Today's Leader," *International Business Education Journal*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 95-107, May. 2020.
- [18] D. van Dierendonck, "Servant Leadership: A Review and Synthesis," *Journal of management*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 1228-1261, Jul. 2010.
- [19] C. A. Yue, L. R. Men, and M. A. Ferguson, "Bridging transformational leadership, transparent communication, and employee openness to change: The mediating role of trust," *Public Relations Review*, vol. 45, no. 3, p. 101779, Sept. 2019.
- [20] A. Zuraik, and L. Kelly, "The role of CEO transformational leadership and innovation climate in exploration and exploitation," *European Journal of Innovation Management*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 84-104, Aug.
- [21] R. A. Heifetz, and R. Heifetz, *Leadership Without Easy Answers*. Harvard University Press, 1994.
- [22] J. P. Kotter, "Leading change: Why transformation efforts fail," In *Museum management and marketing*, vol. Ed. Eds. Routledge, 2007, pp. 20-29.
- [23] S. Alshamsi, O. Isaac, A. Ameen, et al., "Impact of Transformational Leadership on Organizational Innovation among Government Employees," *Test Engineering and Management*, vol. 82, pp. 14783-14794, 2020.
- [24] S. Oreg, and Y. Berson, "Leadership and Employees' Reactions to Change: The Role of Leaders' Personal Attributes and Transformational Leadership Style," *Personnel Psychology*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 627-659, 2011.
- [25] J. A. McCleskey, "Situational, transformational, and transactional leadership and leadership development," *Journal of business studies quarterly*, vol. 5, no. 4, p. 117, 2014.
- [26] G. Vial, "Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda," *The journal of strategic information systems*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 118-144, 2019.
- [27] R. J. House, P. J. Hanges, M. Javidan, et al., *Culture, leadership, and organizations: The GLOBE study of 62 societies*. Sage publications, 2004.
- [28] G. A. Aarons, M. G. Ehrhart, and L. R. Farahnak, "The implementation leadership scale (ILS): development of a brief measure of unit level implementation leadership," *Implementation Science*, vol. 9, pp. 1-10, 2014.
- [29] K. S. Groves, and M. A. LaRocca, "An empirical study of leader ethical values, transformational and transactional leadership, and follower attitudes toward corporate social responsibility," *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol. 103, pp. 511-528, 2011.
- [30] L. Gumusluoglu, and A. Ilsev, "Transformational leadership, creativity, and organizational innovation," *Journal of Business Research*, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 461-473, 2009.
- [31] J. D. Ford, and L. W. Ford, "The role of conversations in producing intentional change in organizations," *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 541-570, 1995.
- [32] A. V. Day, and L. Dragoni, "Leadership development: An outcome-oriented review based on time and levels of analyses," *Annu. Rev. Organ. Psychol. Organ. Behav.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 133-156, 2015.
- [33] P. M. Podsakoff, S. B. MacKenzie, R. H. Moorman, et al., "Transformational leader behaviors and their effects on followers' trust in leader, satisfaction, and organizational citizenship behaviors," *The Leadership Quarterly*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 107-142, 1990.
- [34] J. Antonakis, and R. J. House, "Instrumental leadership: Measurement and extension of transformational-transactional leadership theory," *The Leadership Quarterly*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 746-771, 2014.
- [35] B. M. Bass, and R. E. Riggio, "Transformational leadership," 2006.
- [36] A. M. Herold, D. B. Fedor, S. Caldwell, et al., "The effects of transformational and change leadership on employees' commitment to a change: a multilevel study," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, vol. 93, no. 2, p. 346, 2008.
- [37] Y. Gong, J.-C. Huang, and J.-L. Farh, "Employee Learning Orientation, Transformational Leadership, and Employee Creativity: The Mediating Role of Employee Creative Self-Efficacy," *Academy of Management Journal*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 765-778, 2009.
- [38] R. J. House, P. J. Hanges, M. Javidan, et al., *Culture, leadership, and organizations: The GLOBE study of 62 societies*. Sage publications, 2004.
- [39] T. Dvir, D. Eden, B. J. Avolio, et al., "Impact of Transformational Leadership on Follower Development and Performance: A Field Experiment," *Academy of Management Journal*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 735-744, 2002.
- [40] J. Øvretveit, *The leaders role in Quality and safety improvement. A Review of Research and Guidance. the Improvement Action Evaluation Project*. Sweden: Sveriges Kommuner Och Landsting, 2005.